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ORDER

**Adopted: January 3, 2020 Released: January 3, 2020**

By the Chief, Policy and Licensing Division, Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau:

# INTRODUCTION

1. On October, 25, 2019, the Zayo Group, LLC (Zayo) filed an application requesting the approval of the assignment of 10 microwave licenses from Eagle-Net Alliance (Eagle-Net) to Zayo.[[1]](#footnote-3) On December 19, 2019, Zayo amended its application, seeking Commission approval of the assignment of an additional 32 microwave licenses from Eagle-Net to Zayo, increasing the number of microwave licenses to be assigned to 42.[[2]](#footnote-4) As with its initial application, Zayo requests a waiver of section 1.917(a) of the Commission’s rules[[3]](#footnote-5) governing who may sign applications so that it may sign the amended application on behalf of the assignor, Eagle-Net.[[4]](#footnote-6) Zayo also requests that the Commission approve the Eagle-Net-to-Zayo assignment effective *nunc pro tunc* to May 2, 2018.[[5]](#footnote-7) For the reasons set forth below, we grant the waiver request, deny the request for *nunc pro tunc* treatment of the assignment application, and direct processing of the assignment application.

# BACKGROUND

1. In 2011, Eagle-Net received a funding grant from the National Telecommunications and Information Agency (NTIA) to construct a broadband network to provide service to certain rural Colorado communities.[[6]](#footnote-8) In connection with that grant, Eagle-Net applied for and received the captioned microwave licenses and constructed the licensed facilities.
2. Zayo represents that Eagle-Net could not sustain its broadband network financially and that NTIA therefore requested Zayo—one of Eagle-Net’s partners in the broadband network—to assume management of Eagle-Net’s facilities.[[7]](#footnote-9) It did so, and on June 5, 2017, an insolvent Eagle-Net was dissolved.[[8]](#footnote-10) On May 2, 2018, again at NTIA’s request, Zayo took ownership of certain Eagle-Net assets including the captioned microwave licenses.[[9]](#footnote-11) Zayo, however, failed to file an application for assignment of the microwave licenses from Eagle-Net to Zayo, something that Zayo contends was “[d]ue to an administrative oversight.”[[10]](#footnote-12) Zayo now seeks to amend its application to include the additional 32 licenses.
3. Zayo has been operating all 42 microwave facilities without Commission authorization since May 2, 2018. In the statement accompanying the Zayo-Eagle-Net Application and in the statement accompanying the Zayo-Eagle-Net Amendment, Zayo seeks retroactive assignment of the microwave licenses from Eagle-Net to Zayo *nunc pro tunc* to May 2, 2018, the date it assumed ownership of Eagle-Net’s assets.[[11]](#footnote-13)
4. According to Zayo, despite its diligence, it has been unable to locate an official of Eagle-Net to execute the assignment applications as assignor. It therefore requests waiver of section 1.917(a) of the Commission’s rules[[12]](#footnote-14) so that it may execute the amended assignment application on behalf of Eagle-Net.[[13]](#footnote-15)

# DISCUSSION

1. Zayo’s contention that its failure to file a timely assignment application was due to an inadvertent administrative error is unpersuasive. Zayo, which represents itself as an “established FCC licensee”[[14]](#footnote-16) is charged with knowledge of the Commission’s rules.[[15]](#footnote-17) There is no dispute that Zayo operated the Eagle-Net facilities without Commission authorization for an extended period, repeatedly violating section 1.948 of the Commission’s rules[[16]](#footnote-18) and section 310(d) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.[[17]](#footnote-19) Its claim of administrative inadvertence, like similar claims advanced by others for failure to file required documents, has been uniformly rejected by the Commission as a defensible reason for not complying with Commission rules.[[18]](#footnote-20) Were we to grant Zayo’s request to make our approval of the assignment application retroactive to May 2, 2018, we would effectively insulate Zayo from responsibility for its long-term unauthorized operation of the microwave facilities. Our precedent establishing that “administrative oversight” does not excuse rule violations, and the fact that Zayo represents itself to be an experienced Commission licensee, combine to require denial of Zayo’s proposal.
2. We note, however, that it has not been the Commission’s practice, generally, to deny or dismiss otherwise conforming untimely assignment applications. Instead, the Commission typically has granted such applications but reserved its right to initiate enforcement action.[[19]](#footnote-21) We believe that to be the appropriate approach here and, accordingly, (a) refer the matter of Zayo’s unauthorized transfer and operation of the captioned microwave facilities to the Commission’s Enforcement Bureau, and (b) instruct staff to state on the resulting license that grant thereof is without prejudice to whatever action the Enforcement Bureau may take.
3. When an assignor has ceased to exist—as is the case with Eagle-Net —the Commission has waived the assignor’s signature on an assignment application.[[20]](#footnote-22) Here Zayo, as a former partner with Eagle-Net in the broadband project, would have been authorized to execute the assignment application had it been timely. Consistent with the Commission’s waiver standard, Zayo has shown that “the applicant has no reasonable alternative”[[21]](#footnote-23) for execution of the application. Accordingly, we waive the assignor’s signature on the application form and—as Zayo has requested—consent to Zayo’s signing the assignment application, Form 603, in the space provided for an assignor’s signature.

# Ordering clauses

1. Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED** that the application for assignment of license, as amended, filed by the Zayo Group, LLC, File No. 0008846678, seeking Commission consent to assign 42 microwave radio station licenses from Eagle-Net Alliance to The Zayo Group, LLC IS GRANTED.
2. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED**, that the Licensing Branch of the Policy and Licensing Division of the Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau SHALL PROCESS the application for assignment of license, File No. 0008846678 filed by The Zayo Group, LLC, as amended December 19, 2019, and SHALL CONDITION grant of said application as being without prejudice to such action as the Commission’s Enforcement Bureau may take for The Zayo Group, LLC’s unauthorized transfer and operation of the stations being assigned.
3. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the request for waiver of section 1.917(a) of the Commission’s rules,[[22]](#footnote-24) accompanying the application for assignment of license filed by The Zayo Group, LLC, as amended December 19, 2019, **IS GRANTED** and that The Zayo Group, LLC, IS AUTHORIZED, on behalf of the assignor, to execute the application, as amended, File No. 008846678, for Commission consent to the assignment of license from Eagle-Net Alliance to The Zayo Group .
4. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that The Zayo Group, LLC’s unauthorized transfer and operation of the microwave stations listed in the caption of this *Order* is, hereby, referred to the Enforcement Bureau of the Federal Communications Commission.
5. This action is taken under delegated authority pursuant to Sections 0.191 and 0.392 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 0.191, 0.392.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Michael J. Wilhelm

Chief, Policy and Licensing Division

Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau
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