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By the Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau:

# INTRODUCTION

1. This Order on Clarification responds to an application filed by Cincinnati Bell, Inc. (Cincinnati Bell)[[1]](#footnote-3) seeking review, in part, of a public notice released by the Wireline Competition Bureau (Bureau) adopting procedures and forms for the Secure and Trusted Communications Networks Reimbursement Program (Reimbursement Program).[[2]](#footnote-4) Specifically, Cincinnati Bell seeks review of language in the *Finalized Reimbursement Process Public Notice* suggesting that certain customer premises equipment (CPE) produced or provided by Huawei Technologies Company (Huawei) and ZTE Corporation (ZTE) are ineligible for reimbursement under the Reimbursement Program.[[3]](#footnote-5) We grant Cincinnati Bell’s request to clarify the extent to which certain CPE produced or provided by Huawei and ZTE that is essential to the provision of advanced communications service, as described herein, is considered covered communications equipment eligible for reimbursement under the Reimbursement Program.

# BACKGROUND

1. As directed by the Secure and Trusted Communications Networks Act of 2019 (Secure Networks Act), as amended, the Commission established the $1.9 billion Reimbursement Program to reimburse providers of advanced communications services with ten million or fewer customers for costs reasonably incurred in the removal, replacement, and disposal of covered communications equipment or services from their networks that pose a national security risk.[[4]](#footnote-6) Communications equipment or services considered “covered” and thus eligible for Reimbursement Program support is limited to the communications equipment or services produced or provided by Huawei or ZTE that were obtained by providers of advanced communications services on or before June 30, 2020.[[5]](#footnote-7)
2. In the *2021 Supply Chain Order*, the Commission specifically addressed the eligibility of non-Huawei and non-ZTE mobile handsets and other CPE, finding the replacement of such equipment ineligible for reimbursement under the Reimbursement Program.[[6]](#footnote-8) The Commission stated that “[e]xpanding the scope of reimbursement eligibility to include subscriber mobile handheld devices not produced or provided by Huawei or ZTE threatens to detract substantial funding away from the core mission of securing the nation’s networks.”[[7]](#footnote-9) Accordingly, the Commission found the replacement of such handsets not reasonably necessary for the removal, replacement, and disposal of covered communications equipment or service, and thus ineligible for reimbursement.[[8]](#footnote-10)
3. On August 3, 2021, the Bureau adopted the final procedures for the application filing and reimbursement process for the Reimbursement Program.[[9]](#footnote-11) The Bureau also adopted a final version of the Catalog of Eligible Expenses and Eligible Costs (Catalog), “which applicants may rely on, where applicable, when submitting cost estimates in their [FCC Form 5640] Application Request for Funding Allocation.”[[10]](#footnote-12) The Bureau made several changes to a preliminary version of the Catalog when adopting the final version.[[11]](#footnote-13) In particular, the Bureau removed from the Catalog cost estimates for several CPE-related expenses explaining that such equipment is “not reasonably necessary” and thus ineligible for reimbursement under the *2021 Supply Chain Order*.[[12]](#footnote-14)
4. On September 29, 2021, Cincinnati Bell filed an application seeking review of the Bureau’s *Finalized Reimbursement Process Public Notice*, asking the Commission to “confirm that all network equipment produced by ZTE, specifically including premise gateway devices” is eligible for reimbursement “even if they include Wi-Fi capability.”[[13]](#footnote-15) In the alternative, Cincinnati Bell asks for the Commission to direct the Bureau “to correct and update the [*Finalized Reimbursement Process Public Notice*] and Final Catalog to remove information inconsistent with the Commission’s determination that all communications equipment provided by ZTE is reimbursable.”[[14]](#footnote-16) No oppositions were filed in response.[[15]](#footnote-17)

# DISCUSSION

1. Consistent with the Secure Networks Act and *2021 Supply Chain Order*, we clarify that certain CPE produced or provided by Huawei or ZTE is covered communications equipment that is potentially eligible for reimbursement under the Reimbursement Program. In the *2021 Supply Chain Order*, the Commission addressed the issue of non-covered, that is, non-Huawei and non-ZTE mobile handsets and related CPE, finding the replacement of such equipment not reasonably necessary to the removal, replacement, and disposal of covered communications equipment and service.[[16]](#footnote-18) Accordingly, the Commission stated the costs associated with the removal, replacement, and disposal of such non-covered CPE are ineligible for reimbursement under the Reimbursement Program.[[17]](#footnote-19)
2. The Bureau in the *Finalized Reimbursement Process Public Notice* addressed the handling of CPE generally for purposes of the Catalog, without reference to covered or non-covered CPE. Accordingly, we clarify the reasoning provided for the exclusion of CPE generally as referring only to non-covered non-Huawei and non-ZTE CPE that is not essential to the provision of advanced communications service. Costs associated with the removal, replacement, and disposal of covered Huawei and ZTE CPE, where “essential to the provision of advanced communications service,” may be eligible for reimbursement.[[18]](#footnote-20) Such Huawei and ZTE CPE could include “network gateway devices with wireless (Wi-Fi) capabilities” to the extent such equipment is “owned, rented, leased, or otherwise obtained” by the provider of advanced communications service on or before June 30, 2020.[[19]](#footnote-21)  Accordingly, costs associated with the removal, replacement, and disposal of covered CPE produced or provided by Huawei or ZTE are eligible for reimbursement.[[20]](#footnote-22)

# ORDERING CLAUSES

1. ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to the authority contained in sections 1-4 and 405 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151-154, 405, section 4 of the Secure Networks Act, 47 U.S.C. § 1603, Division N, Title IX, sections 901 and 906 of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021, Pub. L. No. 116–260, §§ 901, 906, 134 Stat. 1182, and sections 0.91, 0.291, 1.115, and 1.50004(p) of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 0.91, 0.291, 1.115, 1.50004(p), that the Application for Review filed by Cincinnati Bell, Inc. on September 29, 2021 IS CONSTRUED as a Petition for Clarification and IS GRANTED to the extent provided herein.
2. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that pursuant to section 1.102(b)(1) of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR § 1.102(b)(1), this Order SHALL BE EFFECTIVE upon release.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Kris Anne Monteith

Chief

Wireline Competition Bureau
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