**DA 21-1376**

**Released: November 3, 2021**

**DOMESTIC SECTION 214 APPLICATION GRANTED SUBJECT TO CONDITION**

**WC Docket No. 21-361**

By this Public Notice, the Wireline Competition Bureau (Bureau) grants, as conditioned, an application filed by the New Knoxville Telephone Company (NKTC) and Schurz Communications, Inc. (Schurz) (together, Applicants), pursuant to section 214 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and sections 63.03-04 of the Commission’s rules,[[1]](#footnote-3) requesting consent to transfer control of NKTC and its subsidiary, GoldStar Communications, LLC (GoldStar) (NKTC and Goldstar, together, the Licensees), to Schurz.[[2]](#footnote-4) On September 28, 2021, the Bureau released a Public Notice seeking comment on the Application.[[3]](#footnote-5) The Bureau received no comments in opposition to a grant of the Application.

NKTC, an Ohio corporation, provides service as an incumbent local exchange carrier (LEC) in Auglaize County and certain rural portions of Shelby County, Ohio.[[4]](#footnote-6) NKTC elected to receive fixed universal service support under the Alternative Connect America Cost Model (A-CAM II) to deploy broadband services.[[5]](#footnote-7) NKTC holds 98% of the ownership interests in GoldStar, an Ohio limited liability company, that provides competitive LEC services in Allen, Auglaize, Mercer, Shelby, and Van Wert Counties, Ohio, and also provides long distance services in multiple states.[[6]](#footnote-8)

Schurz, an Indiana corporation, through its indirect wholly-owned subsidiary, Long Lines, LLC (Long Lines), provides service as an incumbent LEC in various counties in Iowa and South Dakota and provides competitive LEC and other services in several states.[[7]](#footnote-9) Long Line’s incumbent LECs include: Northwest Iowa Telephone, LLC (Northwest Iowa Telephone), a Delaware limited liability company, providing service in Woodbury and Monona Counties, Iowa; Jordan-Soldier Valley Telephone Company, an Iowa corporation, providing service in Monona County, Iowa; and Jefferson Telephone Company, LLC (Jefferson Telephone Company), a Delaware limited liability company, providing service in Union County, South Dakota. Northwest Iowa Telephone and Jordan-Solider Valley Telephone have elected to receive fixed universal service support under the Alternative Connect America Cost Model (A-CAM).[[8]](#footnote-10) Jefferson Telephone Company did not elect to receive model-based support and receives cost-based universal service support.[[9]](#footnote-11) Applicants state that the service areas of Schurz’s affiliates and subsidiaries do not overlap and are not adjacent with NKTC, Goldstar, or NK Telco.[[10]](#footnote-12) Schurz is a privately held company operated by members of the Schurz family, led by Mr. Todd Schurz, a U.S. citizen and President and CEO of Schurz.[[11]](#footnote-13)

Pursuant to the terms of the proposed transaction, NKTC will become a direct, wholly-owned subsidiary of Schurz, and GoldStar and NK Telco will become indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries of Schurz.[[12]](#footnote-14) Specifically, Schurz will acquire control through a wholly-owned subsidiary, Good River Broadband, Inc. (Merger Sub), an Ohio corporation created for the purpose of completing the proposed transaction, and Merger Sub will merge with and into NKTC, whereupon the separate existence of Merger Sub will cease, and NKTC will be the surviving corporation.[[13]](#footnote-15)

*Discussion*. The Applicants request approval to consummate transactions involving companies that receive high-cost universal service support under the different support mechanisms of fixed model-based support and cost-based support (a mixed support transaction). The Commission has found that this type of transaction could result in potential harm to its goal of ensuring that limited universal service funding is distributed efficiently and effectively.[[14]](#footnote-16) When a company receiving a fixed level of support acquires or is acquired by a company receiving support based on its costs, the combined companies could, and in some instances might have an economic incentive to, shift certain shared or common costs from the model-based support company to the cost-based support company.[[15]](#footnote-17) If cost shifting were to occur, the combined company, post-transaction, could obtain more high cost universal service support than the two companies did as separate entities, not because of any new investment, expense, or buildout, but rather solely because of the application of accounting procedures.[[16]](#footnote-18) Such an outcome is inconsistent with the Commission’s general expectation that transactions generate efficiencies that reduce the combined company’s costs.[[17]](#footnote-19) Moreover, providing additional universal service support to a company as a result of cost shifting solely because it acquired or merged with another company is not an efficient use of limited universal service resources.[[18]](#footnote-20)

In the *Hargray/ComSouth Order*, in which the Commission approved a mixed support transaction, it sought to prevent cost shifting and to protect the finite resources of the high-cost universal service fund by imposing a limited condition that capped high-cost universal service support based on the operating expenses of the entity receiving cost-based support.[[19]](#footnote-21) The Commission also directed the Bureau to impose the same limited condition on future transactions between parties receiving different types of high-cost universal service support.[[20]](#footnote-22)

Because Schurz’s subsidiary, Jefferson Telephone Company, receives cost-based support and Schurz is acquiring NKTC, which receives model-based support, the potential for harm caused by cost-shifting is specific to the transaction. Accordingly, to mitigate the potential for cost shifting, we grant the Application subject to the condition adopted in the *Hargray/ComSouth Order*.[[21]](#footnote-23) The combined operating expenses of each post-consummation company’s rate-of-return affiliates[[22]](#footnote-24) shall be capped at the averaged combined operating expenses of the three calendar years preceding the transactions’ closing date for which the operating expense data are available.[[23]](#footnote-25)

The cap will apply to cost recovery under both HCLS and CAF-BLS and will be applied proportionately to each affiliate’s accounts used to determine the affiliate’s eligible operating expense for HCLS and CAF-BLS.[[24]](#footnote-26) For example, if the cap requires that a post-consummation company’s eligible operating expense be reduced by 10%, then each account used to determine each rate-of-return affiliate’s eligible operating expenses shall be reduced by 10%.[[25]](#footnote-27) For purposes of this cap, operating expenses shall include maintenance, network support/network operations/general, benefits, rent expenses, and corporate operations, while depreciation, return on investment, and taxes shall be excluded.[[26]](#footnote-28)

For all covered entities, the new cap shall also include an annual adjustment for inflation based on the Gross Domestic Product-Channel Price Index (GDP-CPI) for the years in which the new cap remains in effect.[[27]](#footnote-29) This cap shall remain in effect for seven years from the consummation of the transactions.[[28]](#footnote-30) The condition will also sunset if all of a post-consummation company’s rate-of-return affiliates become model-based support companies at any point during the seven-year period.[[29]](#footnote-31)

We find, upon consideration of the record, that grant of the Application listed above, subject to compliance with the condition, will serve the public interest, convenience, and necessity.[[30]](#footnote-32) Therefore, pursuant to section 214 of the Act, 47 U.S.C. § 214, and sections 0.91, 0.291, 63.03, and 63.04 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 0.91, 0.291, 63.03, and 63.04, the Bureau hereby grants the Application discussed in this Public Notice subject to compliance with the condition described above.[[31]](#footnote-33)

Pursuant to section 1.103 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR § 1.103, the grant is effective upon release of this Public Notice. Petitions for reconsideration under section 1.106 or applications for review under section 1.115 of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR §§ 1.106, 1.115, may be filed within 30 days of the date of this Public Notice.

For further information, please contact Gregory Kwan, Wireline Competition Bureau, Competition Policy Division, (202) 418-1191.

**-FCC-**
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