David Martin, Esq. DA 21-1556

Hogan Lovells US LLP

555 Thirteenth Street

Washington D.C. 20004

RE: **PTC-220 LLC, Call Sign WRKK374**

Dear Mr. Martin:

The Mobility Division (Division) of the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau (Bureau) hereby grants the License Modification Application[[1]](#footnote-2) of PTC-220, LLC (PTC-220)—a joint venture of the nation’s seven Class I freight railroads[[2]](#footnote-3)—for permanent authority to operate 547 positive train control (PTC) wireless radio base stations, 5,566 PTC wireless radio wayside stations,[[3]](#footnote-4) and related mobile (locomotive) stations under Automated Maritime Telecommunications System (AMTS) Call Sign WRKK374.[[4]](#footnote-5) For the reasons discussed below, we also grant PTC-220’s related requests for waiver of certain Commission rules to facilitate its members’ PTC deployment.[[5]](#footnote-6)

Today’s action will enable PTC-220’s seven member railroads[[6]](#footnote-7) to deploy Congressionally-mandated, interoperable PTC safety systems on rail lines serving 16 western states.[[7]](#footnote-8) Our action also will benefit Amtrak and other railroads that operate as tenants on the members’ rail networks as well as passenger and commuter railroads leasing spectrum to deploy PTC on their own lines in the license area.[[8]](#footnote-9) This grant of permanent operating authority is subject to certain conditions adopted below.

1. **BACKGROUND**

*Positive Train Control*. The *Rail Safety Improvement Act of 2008*, as amended by *the Positive Train Control Enforcement and Implementation Act of 2015* (together, the Rail Safety Act), required most U.S. freight, passenger, and commuter railroads to install and operate interoperable PTC systems by December 31, 2018.[[9]](#footnote-10) Four railroads timely met this deadline.[[10]](#footnote-11) As the Rail Safety Actpermits, the remaining railroads subject to the PTC mandate, including PTC-220’s member railroads, requested up to a 2-year extension, until December 31, 2020, to implement PTC.[[11]](#footnote-12) The FRA found that these railroads met the statutory criteria necessary to qualify for an extended implementation schedule and, subsequently, that they met their extended deadlines.[[12]](#footnote-13)

PTC systems are designed to reduce the risk of human-error rail accidents, by “prevent[ing] train-to-train collisions, over-speed derailments, incursions into established work zone limits, and the movement of a train through a switch left in the wrong position.”[[13]](#footnote-14) The U.S. rail industry has chosen to implement PTC through wireless networks that use radio spectrum. These networks have the capacity to enable real-time information sharing between trains, rail wayside devices, and “back office” applications, regarding train movement authorities, speed restrictions, train position and speed, and the state of signal and switch devices.

*Spectrum Acquisition and Authorization*. On July 16, 2019, Thomas K. Kurian (Kurian) and PTC-220 filed an application to disaggregate, partition, and assign AMTS spectrum from Kurian’s Call Sign WQCP809 to PTC-220.[[14]](#footnote-15) On July 24, 2019, the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau (Bureau) placed the Assignment Application on public notice.[[15]](#footnote-16) Warren Havens and the Polaris PNT Group filed a petition to deny the Assignment Application.[[16]](#footnote-17) On November 23, 2020, the Mobility Division denied that petition and initiated processing of the Assignment Application.[[17]](#footnote-18) The Mobility Division consented to the Assignment Application on November 24, 2020[[18]](#footnote-19) and, on January 12, 2021, PTC-220 consummated the AMTS spectrum acquisition, resulting in the grant of Call Sign WRKK374 (219.5 to 220 MHz).[[19]](#footnote-20)

PTC-220 previously acquired numerous 220 MHz spectrum licenses for its members to deploy PTC throughout the country.[[20]](#footnote-21) However, the need for its members to deploy two additional PTC common channels—which locomotive radios will use to attach to PTC base stations and receive instructions regarding the proper frequency to operate on in an area—and the lack of additional suitable 220 MHz spectrum drove PTC-220’s acquisition of 500 kilohertz of immediately adjacent AMTS spectrum (from 219.5 to 220 MHz).[[21]](#footnote-22) In addition to the spectrum PTC-220 acquired in AMTS Region 10 under Call Sign WRKK374, PTC-220 has acquired 219 MHz spectrum in AMTS Regions 2, 4, 5, and 6 (Call Signs WRDI936, WRDH825, WRDH826, and WRDH972, respectively), providing its members AMTS spectrum coverage in most of the country.[[22]](#footnote-23)

In the short term, PTC-220’s member railroads will use the AMTS spectrum to improve PTC reliability by implementing two additional common channels.[[23]](#footnote-24) In the longer term, the railroads will leverage the AMTS spectrum to: (1) expand PTC deployment onto non-mandatory lines; (2) support other, related train control safety applications, including End-of-Train devices and distributed power systems; and (3) support future safety-related functions, such as improvements to the monitoring of grade crossing equipment.[[24]](#footnote-25)

*License Modification Application.* Although AMTS geographic licensees generally are authorized to deploy base stations anywhere within their licensed geographic service areas, section 80.215(h)(2) of the Commission’s rules requires individual licensing of base stations located less than 169 kilometers (105 miles) from a channel 13 TV station, or less than 129 kilometers (80 miles) from a channel 10 TV station.[[25]](#footnote-26) PTC-220 seeks to modify its geographic area license under Call Sign WRKK374 to individually authorize, on a permanent basis and subject to its license term, operation of 547 PTC base and 5,566 PTC wayside stations.[[26]](#footnote-27)

*PTC-220 Engineering Report*. Pursuant to section 80.215(h)(2) of the Commission’s rules,[[27]](#footnote-28) PTC-220 performed an interference study, which addresses the potential number of channel 10 and channel 13 over-the-air (OTA) TV households that could be impacted by operation of PTC-equipped base, wayside, and mobile stations in the license area.[[28]](#footnote-29) We discuss the report’s findings below.

*Interference Mitigation Plan*. As required by section 80.215(h)(2) of the Commission’s rules, PTC-220 submitted a plan to limit potential interference from operation of the proposed base and wayside stations to OTA television reception.[[29]](#footnote-30) PTC-220 has certified that it, its members, and spectrum lessees will adhere to this plan.[[30]](#footnote-31) We discuss the plan below.

*PTC-220 Waiver Requests*. PTC-220 requests a waiver of section 80.215(i) of the Commission’s rules to operate locomotive radios at up to 50 watts transmitter power output (TPO)[[31]](#footnote-32) with an effective radiated power (ERP) up to 39 watts.[[32]](#footnote-33) PTC-220 also requests a waiver of section 1.913(b) of the Commission’s rules to the extent the rule requires PTC-220 to file a separate FCC Form 601, Schedule D for authorization of each of its 6,113 PTC base and wayside radio stations.[[33]](#footnote-34) For the reasons stated below, we grant the requested rule waivers.

*Broadcaster Notification*. As required by section 80.475(a)(2) of the Commission’s rules,[[34]](#footnote-35) PTC-220 served written notice of the License Modification Application on potentially affected broadcast stations on June 15, 2021 and, as amended, on August 17 and September 27, 2021.[[35]](#footnote-36) No broadcast station or other party has filed a comment on, or opposed, PTC-220’s License Modification Application.

1. **DISCUSSION**

We have reviewed the License Modification Application, Engineering Report, Interference Mitigation Plan, and all other filings in the record before us, and find that the public interest in facilitating rail safety will be served by granting PTC-220 permanent authority to operate the 547 PTC base stations, 5,566 wayside stations,[[36]](#footnote-37) and related mobile stations.[[37]](#footnote-38)

1. **Authorization of Wireless Mobile (Locomotive) Radio Stations**

We first address PTC-220’s request for authorization to operate locomotive radios at up to 50 watts TPO with an ERP up to 39 watts under Call Sign WRKK374.[[38]](#footnote-39)

Section 80.215(i) of the Commission’s rules provides that AMTS mobile radio stations (here, locomotive radios) must have a TPO “not exceeding 25 watts and an ERP not exceeding 18 watts.”[[39]](#footnote-40) The rule permits increased TPO, up to 50 watts, provided two conditions are met: (1) “[i]ncreases exceeding 25 watts are made only by radio command from the controlling [base] stations,”[[40]](#footnote-41) and (2) TPO “is 25 watts or less when external radio commands are not present.”[[41]](#footnote-42) Section 80.215(j) provides that mobile radio stations that meet both these conditions are “exempted from the limitation of 18 watts ERP when operating in specific geographical areas identified in a plan for the use of higher power.”[[42]](#footnote-43) PTC-220 seeks a waiver of the two conditions in sections 80.215(i)(1) and (2) (which it cannot meet for technical reasons) so that its members may operate locomotive radios at up to 50 watts TPO with an ERP up to 39 watts.[[43]](#footnote-44) We grant PTC-220’s request for the reasons that follow.[[44]](#footnote-45)

*Mobile Radio Transmitter Power Output Limit.* The Rail Safety Act requires U.S. railroads to deploy interoperable PTC systems so that when a railroad enters another’s territory as a tenant, it can safely use the host rail’s PTC system where required.[[45]](#footnote-46) To comply with this statutory interoperability requirement, PTC-220’s member railroads have deployed an integrated PTC system, which uses a combination of their base and wayside radio stations operating on 220-222 MHz band spectrum.[[46]](#footnote-47) Due to a shortage of available 220-222 MHz band spectrum for PTC deployment, PTC-220 has created a common pool of PTC spectrum channels drawn from 220-222 MHz Band spectrum and from adjacent band AMTS spectrum licensed to PTC-220.[[47]](#footnote-48) PTC-220 explains that to implement interoperable PTC systems that can use channels drawn from the common spectrum pool, it requires a limited waiver of section 80.215(i)’s power limits.[[48]](#footnote-49)

PTC-220’s seven member railroads and their tenant railroad partners have deployed more than 16,000 Meteorcomm PTC locomotive radios across the country,[[49]](#footnote-50) using the spectrum PTC-220 holds in the 220-222 MHz band. Consistent with applicable Part 90 rules,[[50]](#footnote-51) the railroads configured their mobile radios to operate at a constant 50-watt TPO in the 220-222 MHz band.[[51]](#footnote-52) PTC-220 states that the installed base of “locomotive radios do not have the ability to have their power dynamically controlled by” base stations.[[52]](#footnote-53) Instead, adjustment of a radio’s TPO “would require that a technician manually connect to the radio to program in the new value.”[[53]](#footnote-54) PTC-220 explains that “[a]s a result, the radio’s power is not variable as it moves from one base station’s coverage to another’s.”[[54]](#footnote-55)

We evaluate PTC-220’s request for waiver under section 1.925(b)(3) of the Commission’s rules. Under section 1.925(b)(3), the Commission may grant a request for waiver if it is shown either that (i) the underlying purpose of the rule(s) would not be served or would be frustrated by application to the instant case, and that a grant of the requested waiver would be in the public interest, or (ii) in view of unique or unusual factual circumstances, application of the rule(s) would be inequitable, unduly burdensome or contrary to the public interest, or the applicant has no reasonable alternative.[[55]](#footnote-56) We grant PTC-220’s waiver request under the second prong of the Commission’s waiver standard.[[56]](#footnote-57)

We find that in view of the unique and unusual circumstances here, including Congress’ mandate that PTC safety systems be interoperable, application of the two conditions required to operate mobile radio transmitters at up to 50 watts TPO in the AMTS band—(1) that TPO increases exceeding 25 watts are made only by base station radio command;[[57]](#footnote-58) and (2) that TPO must be 25 watts or less when external radio commands are not present[[58]](#footnote-59)—would be contrary to the public interest in the safety of life and property. Second, we find that not permitting PTC-220’s member railroads to operate mobile radios at 50 watts TPO would be contrary to the public interest because it would preclude sharing of scarce spectrum resources, which are needed for robust interoperable PTC deployment throughout the country. Third, we find that PTC-220 has no reasonable alternative. PTC-220’s member railroads have installed more than 16,000 locomotive radios that operate at a constant 50 watts TPO in the 220-222 MHz band; the record shows that it is not possible to program the radios to operate at 50 watts TPO and satisfy the condition that TPO be 25 watts or less when external radio commands are not present.

Given the totality of the circumstances in the record before us and for the reasons stated above, we hereby waive sections 80.215(i)(1) and (2) of the Commission’s rules to the extent necessary and authorize PTC-220 to operate PTC locomotive radios at 50 watts TPO under Call Sign WRKK374.[[59]](#footnote-60)

*Mobile Radio Effective Radiated Power Limits*. PTC-220 also requests waiver of section 80.215(i)’s 18-watt mobile radio ERP limit so that its members can operate PTC locomotive radios at up to 39 watts ERP.[[60]](#footnote-61) As explained above, although section 80.215(i) generally limits mobile radio ERP to 18 watts,[[61]](#footnote-62) section 80.215(j) exempts mobile radio operations from this ERP limit provided the two conditions for exceeding section 80.215(i)’s 25-watt TPO limit are met “when operating in specific geographical areas identified in a plan for the use of higher power.”[[62]](#footnote-63)

As required by section 80.215(j), PTC-220 has submitted a plan to deploy mobile radios with a peak ERP from 24 to 39 watts in specific geographical areas.[[63]](#footnote-64) The plan includes 58 maps of the specific rail lines where PTC mobile radios will operate[[64]](#footnote-65) and a showing regarding the radios’ peak ERP levels.[[65]](#footnote-66) PTC-220 explains that no appreciable additional interference would result from operation of the mobile radios because their transmit duty cycle is extremely low, their antennas are much lower to the ground than base and wayside station antennas, and they operate at much lower power levels.[[66]](#footnote-67)

Above we waive the two conditions of section 80.215(i) required to use mobile radios with TPO exceeding 25 watts ERP. Once these two conditions are met (or waived, as here), a licensee is exempt from the rule’s 18-watt ERP limit, provided it has submitted an appropriate plan for use of higher mobile power under section 80.215(j). We have closely reviewed PTC-220’s Section 80.215(j) Plan and find that the plan meets the requirements for exemption of mobile radio operations from section 80.215(i)’s 18-watt ERP limit. Accordingly, we hereby authorize PTC-220 to operate mobile radios at up to 39 watts ERP under Call Sign WRKK374.[[67]](#footnote-68)

1. **Authorization of** **Wireless Radio Base and Wayside Radio Stations**

We now address PTC-220’s request for authorization to operate 546 PTC wireless base stations and 5,566 PTC wireless wayside stations.

*Request for Waiver of 47 CFR § 1.913(b)*.We first address PTC-220’s request to provide PTC base and wayside radio station data in a consolidated format. Pursuant to sections 1.3 and 1.925(b)(3)(ii) of the Commission’s rules,[[68]](#footnote-69) PTC-220 requests that we waive section 1.913(b) of the Commission’s rules to the extent the rule requires PTC-220 to file a separate FCC Form 601, Schedule D for authorization of each fixed radio station.[[69]](#footnote-70) PTC-220 states that the PTC mandate and the number of required PTC base and wayside radio stations included in its License Modification Application present unique factual circumstances that would render the requirement to complete a Schedule D for each station unduly burdensome and contrary to the public interest within the meaning of section 1.925(b)(3)(ii).[[70]](#footnote-71)

PTC-220 requests permission to present the information required by Schedule D in a spreadsheet (attached to its application).[[71]](#footnote-72) The 95-page spreadsheet provides location and other pertinent station parameters in a user-friendly format that can be analyzed by interested parties and FCC staff, rather than having to parse 6,113 Schedule D filings.[[72]](#footnote-73) We find that Congress’ PTC mandate and the application of section 1.913(b)—to the extent it would require PTC-220 to file 6,113 Schedule Ds to comply with that mandate—present unique factual circumstances and that it would be contrary to the public interest to require strict adherence to the rule.[[73]](#footnote-74) For these reasons, we grant PTC-220’s waiver request.

*Interference Analysis*. As required by section 80.215(h)(2) of the Commission’s rules,[[74]](#footnote-75) PTC-220’s Engineering Report addresses the potential for interference from the proposed operation of PTC base and wayside radio stations to over-the-air (OTA) channel 10 and 13 receivers.[[75]](#footnote-76) The report identifies all channel 10 stations within 129 kilometers (80 miles) of a PTC site.[[76]](#footnote-77) The report also identifies 21 full power and 28 digital low power channel 13 stations within 169 kilometers (105 miles) of a PTC site.[[77]](#footnote-78)

*Channel 10 Broadcast Stations*. Section 80.215(h)(1) of the Commission’s rules requires applicants seeking to operate in the AMTS band to submit interference mitigation plans addressing the potential for interference to TV channel 10.[[78]](#footnote-79) The Commission adopted this rule, which was intended to protect analog TV channel 10 receivers from intermodulation interference, before the digital television transition; however, the transition from analog to digital television has resulted in a lack of criteria to assess the potential for interference to digital channel 10 receivers.

OET-74 Supplement A states that “to assert compliance with the protection and mitigation requirements in [section 80.215(h)] regarding potential interference to channel 10, PTC applicants intending to operate in the AMTS band should briefly explain [1] that the transition to digital TV results in a lack of criteria to assess potential interference to channel 10, [2] that harmful interference to TV Channel 10 is unlikely, and [3] that if such interference is caused by PTC operations, it will be cured at the applicant’s expense.”[[79]](#footnote-80)

PTC-220 excluded eleven channel 10 digital stations from its interference analysis.[[80]](#footnote-81) Consistent with the requirements of OET-74 Supplement A, PTC-220’s Engineering Report states that “the transition [from analog] to digital TV results in a lack of criteria to assess potential interference to digital transmissions on channel 10,”[[81]](#footnote-82) and that the threat of harmful interference to digital channel 10 broadcast is not likely.[[82]](#footnote-83) Further, PTC-220 acknowledges that it is responsible for curing any interference to viewers of OTA channel 10 television stations at its own expense.[[83]](#footnote-84) We find that PTC-220 properly excluded channel 10 digital stations from the interference analysis.[[84]](#footnote-85)

We emphasize that if a railroad’s operations under Call Sign WRKK374 were to interfere with OTA reception of a channel 10 station, the railroad must remediate such interference at its own expense as required by section 80.215(h)(4)[[85]](#footnote-86) and the interference mitigation conditions we adopt below.

*Channel 13 Broadcast Stations*. PTC-220 used the Commission’s TVStudy software to determine the potential for the proposed PTC operations to interfere with channel 13 broadcast stations.[[86]](#footnote-87) Using TV Study, it applied the Longley-Rice radio propagation model[[87]](#footnote-88) to predict the number of television households that potentially could be affected by the operation of the proposed radio stations.[[88]](#footnote-89) PTC-220 states that, consistent with OET-74 and OET-74 Supplement A, it calculated the number of potentially affected channel 13 households using a threshold desired/undesired (D/U) signal ratio of -33 dB.[[89]](#footnote-90) PTC-220 used a matrix of square tiles—two kilometers per side for full power channel 13 stations and one kilometer per side for LPTV channel 13 stations—to identify the tiles with insufficient D/U ratio inside a television station’s service area and the number of potential households within those tiles that potentially could be affected by the proposed PTC operations.[[90]](#footnote-91)

Section 80.215(h)(3) of the Commission’s rules provides for authorization of an AMTS station where a licensee shows that fewer than 100 households would be impacted by its operation.[[91]](#footnote-92) However, a license must satisfy three requirements to obtain authorization of a station that potentially would impact more than 100 households.[[92]](#footnote-93) Rather than providing data for each station, PTC-220 chose to aggregate the data for all stations.[[93]](#footnote-94) PTC-220 predicts that, before undertaking interference mitigation, the aggregate transmissions of all the stations have the potential to affect 101,505 channel 13 TV households (1.4% of all households).[[94]](#footnote-95) Given this aggregation, to analyze the potential impact on channel 13 TV households, we will assume for this specific analysis that each base and wayside station has the potential to impact more than 100 channel 13 TV households.

Under section 80.215(h)(3)(i)-(iii) of the Commission’s rules, the Commission may approve a fixed AMTS station where 100 or more households are within the interference contour of that station and a television station’s analog Grade B contour (here, PTC-220 used the TV stations’ noise-limited service contours),[[95]](#footnote-96) provided the applicant: (1) shows that the proposed site is the only suitable location (at the application stage, it is sufficient to establish that the site is especially well-suited to provide the proposed service); (2) develops a plan to control any interference caused to TV reception from its operations; and (3) agrees to make adjustments to TV receivers to eliminate interference caused by its operations.[[96]](#footnote-97) We find that PTC-220 has satisfied the three requirements of section 80.215(h)(3)(i)-(iii).

 First, PTC-220 certifies that, consistent with section 80.215(h)(3)(i), each of the proposed station locations are “especially well-suited to provide the proposed [PTC] service.”[[97]](#footnote-98) PTC-220 explains that “[b]ecause the proposed services are designed specifically for communications with trains, the sites must be placed in close proximity to railroad tracks and appropriately spaced to ensure a good quality signal along all segments of the tracks”[[98]](#footnote-99)

Regarding the second and third requirements under section 80.215(h)(3), PTC-220 has developed a plan to mitigate potential interference (including free installation of notch filters if necessary)[[99]](#footnote-100) for any household experiencing interference to their OTA reception of a channel 13 station, which it predicts would eliminate potential interference to all households.[[100]](#footnote-101) Accordingly, we find that PTC-220 has satisfied the three requirements of section 80.215(h)(3)(i)-(iii) and hereby grant its application for permanent authority to operate the proposed 547 base stations and 5,566 wayside stations,[[101]](#footnote-102) subject to the interference mitigation conditions we adopt below.

*Interference Mitigation Plan and Conditions*. Section 80.215(h)(4) of the Commission’s rules requires AMTS licensees to eliminate interference from their fixed station operations to viewers’ OTA reception of channels 10 and 13.[[102]](#footnote-103) PTC-220’s Interference Mitigation Plan describes and establishes a process for PTC-220 to comply with section 80.215(h)(4), including a 24-hour hotline to receive reports of interference from affected TV stations.[[103]](#footnote-104)

PTC-220 will investigate whether a transmitter owned or controlled by a PTC-220 member-owner is the source of any reported interference.[[104]](#footnote-105) If interference mitigation is required, PTC-220 has committed to resolve the interference by one of three possible measures. First, PTC-220 could change the frequency of the interfering transmitter either to another licensed AMTS frequency or to a frequency in the 220-222 MHz band on spectrum licensed to PTC-220.[[105]](#footnote-106) Second, if a frequency change is not feasible, PTC-220 could modify the RF configuration of the site (*e.g.*, ERP, orientation, and tilt).[[106]](#footnote-107) Third, if interference were to persist despite the foregoing measures or if such measures are impractical, then PTC-220 would provide free of charge, TV notch filters to affected viewers, which it predicts would eliminate interference caused to any affected TV receiver.[[107]](#footnote-108) The filters have a minimum notch depth of 40 (+/-1) dB.[[108]](#footnote-109) According to PTC-220, “in all cases the addition of a 40 dB notch filter mitigates predicted interference in all tiles that have population and housing units greater than zero.”[[109]](#footnote-110)

Although section 80.214(h)(4) of the Commission’s rules provides AMTS licensees up to 90 days to resolve interference issues,[[110]](#footnote-111) PTC-220 has committed to being more responsive and to resolve reports of interference within 60 days of receiving a report.[[111]](#footnote-112) Consistent with the foregoing, as a condition of today’s grant of permanent authority to operate the 547 PTC base and 5,566 wayside radio stations, we require PTC-220 to:

1. Establish and maintain a 24-hour helpdesk to receive reports of potential interference.

1. Provide each potentially affected broadcaster contact information to report possible interference to the helpdesk;[[112]](#footnote-113)
2. Provide a party reporting interference a unique tracking number for each interference report;
3. Investigate any reported interference within 30 calendar days of receiving a report; and
4. Resolve any interference caused by its operations at its own expense within 60 calendar days of receiving an interference report.[[113]](#footnote-114)
5. **CONCLUSION**

For the reasons stated above, we hereby conditionally grant the License Modification Application and PTC-220’s related waiver requests, ULS File No. 0009583919 (WRKK374).

Action taken pursuant to Sections 1, 4(i), and 303(r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151, 154(i), and 303(r), and sections 0.331, 1.913(b), 1.925(b)(3), and 80.215(h)-(j) of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 0.331, 1.913(b), 1.925(b)(3), and 80.215(h)-(j).

Sincerely,

Roger S. Noel

Chief, Mobility Division

Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
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