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By the Chief, Audio Division, Media Bureau:

# introduction

1. In this *Forfeiture Order*, we issue a monetary forfeiture in the amount of three thousand five hundred dollars ($3,500) to Katahdin Communications, Inc. (Permittee), permittee of FM Translator Station W273DJ, Millinocket, Maine (Translator), for willfully violating section 73.3598[[1]](#footnote-3) of the Commission’s rules (Rules) by failing to timely file a license to cover application, and willfully and repeatedly violating section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (Act),[[2]](#footnote-4) by engaging in unauthorized operation of the Translator after its construction permit had expired.

# Background

1. The Bureau issued Permittee a construction permit for the Translator on January 8, 2018, with an expiration date of January 8, 2021 (Permit).[[3]](#footnote-5) However, Permittee did not file a covering license application by January 8, 2021, and the Permit expired on its own terms that day pursuant to section 73.3598(e) of the Rules.[[4]](#footnote-6) On April 5, 2021, Permittee submitted an application for a covering license (Application),[[5]](#footnote-7) which the Bureau dismissed on May 17, 2021.[[6]](#footnote-8) On June 17, 2021, Permittee filed a Petition for Reconsideration (Petition), demonstrating that the Translator was constructed prior to the expiration of the Permit.[[7]](#footnote-9) The staff treated the Petition as a request for waiver of section 73.3598 of the Rules, granted the waiver, and reinstated the Permit and the Application.[[8]](#footnote-10)
2. On September 2, 2021, the Media Bureau (Bureau) issued a *Memorandum Opinion and Order and Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture* (NAL) in which it found that Permittee willfully violated section 73.3598 of the Rules by failing to timely file a license to cover application prior to the Permit’s expiration date.[[9]](#footnote-11) The Bureau also found that Permittee willfully and repeatedly violated section 301 of the Act by engaging in unauthorized operation of the Translator for almost three months, between January 8, 2021, and April 5, 2021.[[10]](#footnote-12) The Bureau found Permittee apparently liable for a base forfeiture of $7,000, but reduced the base amount to $3,500 because the Translator provides a secondary service.[[11]](#footnote-13)
3. On September 19, 2021, Permittee submitted a response to the *NAL* (NAL Response).[[12]](#footnote-14) In the NAL Response, Permittee states that the forfeiture should be reduced or cancelled because: (1) Permittee was unaware that it needed to file a license to cover application; (2) Permittee did not engage in a three-month period of unauthorized operation of the Station; and (3) the Commission did not notify Permittee of its violation prior to issuing the *NAL*.[[13]](#footnote-15)

# discussion

1. The forfeiture amount proposed in this case was assessed in accordance with section 503(b) of the Act,[[14]](#footnote-16) section 1.80 of the Rules,[[15]](#footnote-17) and the Commission’s *Forfeiture Policy Statement*.[[16]](#footnote-18) Section 503(b)(2)(E) of the Act requires that the Commission take into account the nature, circumstances, extent, and gravity of the violation and, with respect to the violator, the degree of culpability, any history of prior offenses, ability to pay, and other such matters as justice may require.[[17]](#footnote-19)
2. *License to Cover Application*. We have considered Permittee’s response in light of these statutory factors. We affirm our finding that Permittee willfully violated section 73.3598 of the Rules by failing to timely file a license to cover application. Permittee states that it was unaware that it needed to file a covering application.[[18]](#footnote-20) Permittee explains that, after the Commission granted its application for minor modification to change the tower location for the Translator, it attempted to contact Commission staff for guidance, in “October [and] November of 2020,”[[19]](#footnote-21) on “how to proceed,”[[20]](#footnote-22) but claims that the Commission did not respond to its inquiries.[[21]](#footnote-23) Permittee claims that it ultimately “presumed that there was nothing that [it] had to do in regards to the translator other than getting it constructed before the [Permit] lapsed.”[[22]](#footnote-24) Permittee states that it completed construction on January 1, 2021, before the Permit lapsed.[[23]](#footnote-25)
3. We reject Permittee’s claim that it was unaware that it needed to file a covering application. Section 73.3598(e) of the Rules makes clear that a license to cover application must be filed prior to the permit’s expiration date.[[24]](#footnote-26) The Permit itself also explicitly informed Permittee that a covering license application must be obtained prior to the permit expiration.[[25]](#footnote-27) Additionally, section 73.3533(b) of the Rules specifies that the filing of an application for modification of construction permit does [not](https://www.law.cornell.edu/definitions/index.php?width=840&height=800&iframe=true&def_id=359cc5d4e4c72f1a25bf46784babda19&term_occur=999&term_src=Title:47:Chapter:I:Subchapter:C:Part:73:Subpart:H:73.3533) extend the expiration date of the construction permit.[[26]](#footnote-28) Permittee’s failure to become familiar with the Commission’s rules or to follow the instructions detailed in the Permit does not excuse or mitigate its violation.[[27]](#footnote-29) For these same reasons, we reject Permittee’s argument that its failure to submit its Application resulted from the Commission’s alleged failure to answer its inquiries. “Long-standing Commission precedent . . . states that responsibility for complying with terms of a station’s license rests solely and exclusively with the licensee.’”[[28]](#footnote-30)  Moreover, it is well settled that parties rely on informal staff advice at their own risk,[[29]](#footnote-31) and the lack of guidance from the staff does not excuse Permittee from complying with the Rules.[[30]](#footnote-32) Accordingly, we see no reason to cancel or reduce the proposed forfeiture based upon Permittee’s purported lack of knowledge.
4. Similarly, we reject Permittee’s argument that the forfeiture should be reduced or cancelled because, it alleges, the Commission failed to notify Permittee that the Permit would be dismissed upon its expiration date. The Commission has been clear that the expiration of a construction permit does not require affirmative action by the Commission, and that permittees should not expect notification from the Commission of the forthcoming expiration of their permits.[[31]](#footnote-33)
5. *Unauthorized Operation*. We also affirm our finding that Permittee violated section 301 of the Act by engaging in unauthorized operation of the Translator. Permittee denies that it engaged in a three-month period of unauthorized operation.[[32]](#footnote-34) Permittee explains that it completed construction on January 1, 2021, and tested the transmitter for a short time on January 2, 2021.[[33]](#footnote-35) Permittee explains that, when it discovered that it needed to submit a covering application, it began its Application in “January or February of 2021,” but “got sidetracked” and did not complete the Application.[[34]](#footnote-36) Permittee states that it put the Translator into “part time operation” on April 1, 2021, in order to air the “opening day of Red Sox baseball.”[[35]](#footnote-37) Permittee did not submit its Application until April 5, 2021.[[36]](#footnote-38) Accordingly, the record reflects, and Permittee admits, that it placed the Translator into operation prior to submitting the Application. Permittee’s error in beginning, but not completing and submitting, its Application prior to its unauthorized operation is not a mitigating circumstance warranting reduction or cancellation of the forfeiture.[[37]](#footnote-39) Accordingly, we find that Permittee engaged in a period of unauthorized operation of the Translator after the permit had expired, and therefore that the forfeiture amount proposed for Permittee’s unauthorized operation is appropriate.
6. We reject Permittee’s argument that its unauthorized operation was not willful or repeated. Permittee contends that its actions were not willful or repeated because, when it placed the Translator into operation, it “had begun, and almost completely finished” the Application.[[38]](#footnote-40) We find that Permittee’s actions were willful because Permittee knew that it did not complete and submit its Application prior to placing the Translator into operation,[[39]](#footnote-41) and Permittee’s actions were repeated because it placed the Translator into operation for more than one day.[[40]](#footnote-42)
7. *Other Arguments*. We also reject Permittee’s contention that its violations should be mitigated because the Commission did not provide notice to Permittee prior to issuing the *NAL*. Permittee contends that, in other cases, the Commission provided notice to “the receiving party at least once.”[[41]](#footnote-43) Permittee misstates the law. Under section 503(b)(1)(B) of the Act, any person who is determined to have willfully or repeatedly violated the Act or the Rules shall be liable for a forfeiture penalty.[[42]](#footnote-44) To impose such a forfeiture penalty, the Commission need only issue a notice, such as the *NAL* issued to Permittee, and the person against whom the notice has been issued is provided an opportunity to show, in writing, why no such forfeiture penalty should be imposed.[[43]](#footnote-45) Accordingly, pursuant to section 503(b) of the Act, Permittee was not entitled to any warning or citation prior to issuance of the *NAL*.[[44]](#footnote-46)
8. We have considered Permittee’s response and the record of this case in light of the above statutory factors, our Rules, and the *Forfeiture Policy Statement*. We conclude that Permittee willfully violated section 73.3598 of the Rules and willfully and repeatedly violated section 301 of the Act.[[45]](#footnote-47)

# ordering clauses

1. Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED**, pursuant to Section 503(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and Sections 0.283 and 1.80 of the Commission’s Rules,[[46]](#footnote-48) that Katahdin Communications, Inc., **IS LIABLE FOR A MONETARY FORFEITURE** in the amount of three thousand five hundred dollars ($3,500) for willfully violating Section 73.3598 of the Commission’s Rules and for willfully and repeatedly violating Section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.
2. Payment of the forfeiture must be made by credit card, ACH (Automated Clearing House) debit from a bank account using CORES (the Commission’s online payment system),[[47]](#footnote-49) or by wire transfer. Payments by check or money order to pay a forfeiture are no longer accepted. Below are instructions that payors should follow based on the form of payment selected:[[48]](#footnote-50)

* Payment by wire transfer must be made to ABA Number 021030004, receiving bank TREAS/NYC, and Account Number 27000001. A completed Form 159 must be faxed to the Federal Communications Commission at 202-418-2843 or e-mailed to [RROGWireFaxes@fcc.gov](mailto:RROGWireFaxes@fcc.gov) on the same business day the wire transfer is initiated. Failure to provide all required information in Form 159 may result in payment not being recognized as having been received. When completing FCC Form 159, enter the Account Number in block number 23A (call sign/other ID), enter the letters “FORF” in block number 24A (payment type code), and enter in block number 11 the FRN(s) captioned above (Payor FRN).[[49]](#footnote-51) For additional detail and wire transfer instructions, go to <https://www.fcc.gov/licensing-databases/fees/wire-transfer>.
* Payment by credit card must be made by using the Commission’s Registration System (CORES) at <https://apps.fcc.gov/cores/userLogin.do>. To pay by credit card, log-in using the FCC Username associated to the FRN captioned above. If payment must be split across FRNs, complete this process for each FRN. Next, select “Manage Existing FRNs | FRN Financial | Bills & Fees” from the CORES Menu, then select FRN Financial and the view/make payments option next to the FRN. Select the “Open Bills” tab and find the bill number associated with the NAL/Acct. No. The bill number is the NAL Acct. No. (e.g., NAL/Acct. No. 1912345678 would be associated with FCC Bill Number 1912345678). After selecting the bill for payment, choose the “Pay by Credit Card” option. Please note that there is a $24,999.99 limit on credit card transactions.
* Payment by ACH must be made by using the Commission’s Registration System (CORES) at <https://apps.fcc.gov/cores/paymentFrnLogin.do>. To pay by ACH, log in using the FRN captioned above. If payment must be split across FRNs, complete this process for each FRN. Next, select “Manage Existing FRNs | FRN Financial | Bills & Fees” on the CORES Menu, then select FRN Financial and the view/make payments option next to the FRN. Select the “Open Bills” tab and find the bill number associated with the NAL/Acct. No. The bill number is the NAL/Acct. No. (e.g., NAL/Acct. No. 1912345678 would be associated with FCC Bill Number 1912345678). Finally, choose the “Pay from Bank Account” option. Please contact the appropriate financial institution to confirm the correct Routing Number and the correct account number from which payment will be made and verify with that financial institution that the designated account has authorization to accept ACH transactions.

1. Any request for making full payment over time under an installment plan should be sent to: Associate Managing Director—Financial Operations, Federal Communications Commission, 45 L Street NE, Washington, DC 20554. Questions regarding payment procedures should be directed to the Financial Operations Group Help Desk by phone, 1-877-480-3201 (option #6), or by e-mail, [ARINQUIRIES@fcc.gov](mailto:ARINQUIRIES@fcc.gov).
2. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that copies of this *Forfeiture Order* shall be sent by First Class and Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, to Katahdin Communications, Inc., c/o Jim Talbott, P.O. Box 1240, Lake Road, Millinocket, ME 04462.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Albert Shuldiner

Chief, Audio Division

Media Bureau
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