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ORDER

**Adopted: March 17. 2021 Released: March 17, 2021**

By the Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau:

# INTRODUCTION

1. In this Order, consistent with precedent,[[1]](#footnote-3) we grant the petition filed by Ace Telephone Company of Michigan, Inc.[[2]](#footnote-4) (Ace or Petitioner) seeking waiver of certain of the Commission’s intercarrier compensation rules to allow it to consolidate four affiliated study areas into a single study area.[[3]](#footnote-5) Based on the record before us, we find that grant of the unopposed waiver request will serve the public interest by promoting increased productivity and efficiencies that result in cost savings for Ace, which will in turn benefit consumers by, over time, reducing the rates charged to customers and demand on the high cost program while encouraging the company to invest in broadband deployment.

# Background

## Intercarrier Compensation Reform

1. In the *USF/ICC Transformation Order*,[[4]](#footnote-6) the Commission capped reciprocal compensation and interstate switched access rates and most intrastate switched access rates at the rates in effect on December 29, 2011.[[5]](#footnote-7) In that same order, the Commission adopted a multi-year process for reducing most terminating switched access rates to bill-and-keep.[[6]](#footnote-8) The Commission adopted a transitional revenue recovery mechanism that allows incumbent local exchange carriers (LECs) to recover a portion of the intercarrier compensation revenues lost due to the Commission’s reforms, up to a defined amount, which decreases during each year of the incumbent LEC’s transition to bill-and-keep.[[7]](#footnote-9) These defined amounts are referred to as “Eligible Recovery.”[[8]](#footnote-10) An incumbent LEC may recover its Eligible Recovery each year from its end users through an Access Recovery Charge, subject to an annual cap.[[9]](#footnote-11) If the projected Access Recovery Charge revenues are not sufficient to cover the entire Eligible Recovery amount, rate-of-return carriers may elect to receive the remainder from the Connect America Fund in the form of Connect America Fund – Intercarrier Compensation support.[[10]](#footnote-12)
2. The Petitioner is a rate-of-return incumbent LEC. The calculation of a rate-of-return LEC’s Eligible Recovery begins with its Base Period Revenue.[[11]](#footnote-13) A rate-of-return carrier’s Base Period Revenue is the sum of certain intrastate switched access revenues and net reciprocal compensation revenues received by March 31, 2012, for services provided during Fiscal Year (FY) 2011,[[12]](#footnote-14) and the projected revenue requirement for interstate switched access services for the 2011-2012 tariff period.[[13]](#footnote-15) The Base Period Revenue for rate-of-return carriers was reduced by 5% initially and is reduced by an additional 5% in each year of the transition.[[14]](#footnote-16) A rate-of-return LEC’s Eligible Recovery is equal to the adjusted Base Period Revenue for the year in question, less, for each relevant year of the transition, the sum of (1) certain projected intrastate switched access revenue; (2) projected interstate switched access revenue; and (3) projected net reciprocal compensation revenue.[[15]](#footnote-17)
3. The Commission’s rules for calculating Eligible Recovery are based on study-area-specific data and do not address what adjustments may be necessary when study areas are consolidated.[[16]](#footnote-18) Because a carrier’s Base Period Revenue and interstate revenue requirement are study-area-specific, combining study areas requires a decision about how best to combine the different Base Period Revenues and interstate revenue requirements.[[17]](#footnote-19) Combining study areas also requires a waiver of the Commission’s rules governing Base Period Revenue calculations and interstate revenue requirements.[[18]](#footnote-20)

## Petitioner’s Requests

1. Ace seeks waiver of the Commission’s rules, as necessary, to allow it to consolidate four study areas in Michigan.[[19]](#footnote-21) Ace Telephone Company of Michigan, Inc. (Ace; Study Area Code 310704); Ace Telephone Company of Michigan, Inc. – Old Mission (Ace – Old Mission: Study Area Code 310777); Ace Telephone of Michigan, Inc. – Allendale (Ace – Allendale; Study Area Code: 310669); and Ace Telephone Company of Michigan, Inc. – Drenthe (Ace – Drenthe; Study Area Code: 310692) (together the Ace Affiliates) are commonly-owned and operated by Ace and will consolidate as of July 1, 2021.[[20]](#footnote-22) All are rate-of-return companies.[[21]](#footnote-23)
2. Like many other small carriers, the four Ace Affiliates currently participate in group tariffs. Ace and Ace – Old Mission are Issuing Carriers in the National Exchange Carrier Association (NECA) Tariff F.C.C. No. 5 (traffic-sensitive tariff).[[22]](#footnote-24) Ace – Allendale and Ace – Drenthe are Issuing Carriers in the TCA Tariff F.C.C. No. 1.[[23]](#footnote-25) To facilitate the consolidation of the four commonly-owned study areas, Ace – Allendale and Ace – Drenthe, “plan to withdraw from the TCA tariff and to participate in the NECA tariffs as an Issuing Carrier with respect to all of their interstate services and rates, effective July 1, 2021.”[[24]](#footnote-26) Ace proposes to tariff rates for the single consolidated Ace study area in Rate Band 6 for Local Switching Service, Rate Band 7 for Dedicated Transport Service, and Rate Band 1 for Tandem Switching Service.[[25]](#footnote-27) Ace also seeks a waiver to combine the 2011 interstate access revenue requirements of the consolidated study area and combine the Base Period Revenues for the four study areas into a single Base Period Revenue for the newly consolidated study area.[[26]](#footnote-28)
3. On November 9, 2020, we issued a public notice seeking comment on the Ace Petition.[[27]](#footnote-29) No comments were filed in response to the public notice.

# Commission precedent related to study area waivers

1. Generally, the Commission’s rules may be waived for good cause shown.[[28]](#footnote-30) The Commission may exercise its discretion to waive a rule where the specific facts make strict compliance inconsistent with the public interest.[[29]](#footnote-31) Waiver of the Commission’s rules is therefore appropriate only if special circumstances warrant a deviation from the general rule and such deviation will serve the public interest.[[30]](#footnote-32)
2. In the interest of efficiency, and where warranted based on the specific record in each case, the Wireline Competition Bureau (Bureau) has facilitated the consolidation of study areas by granting waivers of sections 51.917(b)(1) and 51.917(b)(7) of the Commission’s rules to allow the requesting carriers to add together certain intrastate switched access revenues and net reciprocal compensation revenues received by March 31, 2012, for services provided during Fiscal Year (FY) 2011, and the projected revenue requirement for interstate switched access services for the 2011-2012 tariff period.[[31]](#footnote-33) These rule waivers allow the entity to calculate a combined Base Period Revenue which serves as the baseline for calculating the Eligible Recovery of the company serving the combined study area going forward.[[32]](#footnote-34)
3. The Bureau has also used the waiver process to adjust the interstate switched access rate element(s) that the company requesting to consolidate study areas may assess. To facilitate such consolidations for entities that do not participate in the NECA traffic-sensitive tariff, the Commission has waived the rate cap requirement in section 51.909(a) of its rules to allow the requesting carriers to develop a weighted composite rate.[[33]](#footnote-35) Such a waiverallows some previously capped rates to increase, while other rates are reduced.[[34]](#footnote-36) The new, weighted composite rate then becomes the rate cap for the rate element(s) in question.[[35]](#footnote-37) To facilitate study area consolidations for entities that participate in the NECA traffic-sensitive tariff, the Bureau has granted waivers of section 51.909(a) of the Commission’s rules to allow NECA to place the consolidated study area in the rate band that most closely approximates the entities’ cost characteristics.[[36]](#footnote-38) The rate for that rate band then becomes the rate cap for that rate element in the consolidated study area.[[37]](#footnote-39)

# Discussion

1. Commission precedent supports granting the requested relief to allow for more efficient operation of consolidated rate-of-return study areas.[[38]](#footnote-40) Ace estimates that the consolidation will save it between $140,000-$170,000 per year in administrative and other expenses.[[39]](#footnote-41) As Ace explains, good cause exists to grant its Petition because granting the requested waiver will serve the public interest by “producing significant administrative cost savings that will increase efficiency and productivity, free up more scarce resources for critical broadband deployment and upgrades, and relieve some pressure for increases in customer rates and high cost support.”[[40]](#footnote-42)
2. First, we find that the efficiencies that arise from consolidating the four study areas constitute special circumstances that warrant granting the requested waiver and that good cause exists to grant Ace’s petition. To effectuate the consolidation of the four Ace study areas, we find that combining the consolidating study areas’ Base Period Revenues and combining the consolidating study areas’ interstate revenue requirements, as the Petitioner proposes, creates the appropriate base for the combined study area to use to calculate Eligible Recovery. Using the combined Base Period Revenue of the consolidating study areas and subtracting the requisite projected switched access revenues and net reciprocal compensation revenues of those study areas from this calculation for the consolidated study area will maintain the appropriate relationship between the components (Base Period Revenue and projected revenues) used in calculating the Eligible Recovery of the consolidated study area.
3. Second, we find the proposed rate band assignments to be reasonable.[[41]](#footnote-43) As participants in the NECA traffic-sensitive tariff, the Petitioners’ local switching, dedicated transport, and tandem switching rates in the consolidating study areas will be included in rate bands reflecting comparable cost groupings, per section 51.909(a) of the Commission’s rules.[[42]](#footnote-44) Post-consolidation, the combined study area must charge a single rate for each rate element. Combining the Ace study areas in this way may warrant one or more rates being included in different rate bands after the consolidation.
4. The changes caused by the study area consolidation will result in some traffic being assessed at a higher rate, while other traffic will be assessed at a lower rate, than it was prior to the consolidation.[[43]](#footnote-45) This banding process is the pooling equivalent of composite rate development approved in the *2012 Average Schedule Conversion Order*.[[44]](#footnote-46) The new rates for Ace become the rate caps for the affected rate elements. We recognize that, depending on the traffic mix, this may alter slightly the relative recovery between access revenues and Connect America Fund – Intercarrier Compensation support for the Petitioner.[[45]](#footnote-47) We find these minimal differences to be more than offset by the public interest benefits from the efficiencies gained by consolidating the four study areas. We agree with Petitioner that the study area consolidation will also serve the public interest[[46]](#footnote-48) by producing significant administrative cost savings and advancing the Commission’s goal of encouraging carriers to become more efficient and to increase productivity,[[47]](#footnote-49) which, in turn, will, over time, reduce the rates charged to consumers and demand on the high cost program, while facilitating increased investment in broadband deployment.
5. We also agree with the Petitioner that no waiver is necessary for Petitioner to withdraw the Ace – Allendale and Ace – Drenthe study areas from the TCA Tariff and re-enter those two study areas as full participants in the NECA Tariff F.C.C. No. 5 as of July 1, 2021, pursuant to the requirements provided in section 69.3 of the rules.[[48]](#footnote-50) NECA will make several determinations, pursuant to section 51.909(a)(4) of the Commission’s rules, to reflect the inclusion of the Ace – Allendale and Ace – Drenthe study areas in the NECA traffic-sensitive tariff.[[49]](#footnote-51)

# Ordering Clauses

1. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to sections 4(i), 5, 201-203 and 254(g) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), 155, 201-203, 254(g), and sections 0.91, 0.291 and 1.3 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 0.91, 0.291, 1.3, that the Petition for Waiver filed by Ace Telephone Company of Michigan, Inc. on November 2, 2020 IS GRANTED AS PROVIDED HEREIN.
2. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to section 1.102(b)(1) of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR § 1.102(b)(1), this Order SHALL BE EFFECTIVE upon release.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Kris Anne Monteith

Chief

Wireline Competition Bureau
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