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By the Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau; Chief, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau; and the Chief, Office of Economics and Analytics:

1. **INTRODUCTION**
2. In this Order, the Wireline Competition Bureau, the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau (the Bureaus), and the Office of Economics and Analytics (OEA), grant petitions filed individually by East Kentucky Network, LLC, d/b/a Appalachian Wireless (Appalachian Wireless); United States Cellular Corporation (U.S. Cellular); GCI Communication Corp. (GCI); and Union Telephone Company (Union Telephone), seeking waivers of the deadlines for the construction, drive testing, and reporting requirements associated with the receipt of final disbursements of Mobility Fund Phase I (MF-I) or Tribal Mobility Fund Phase I support.[[1]](#footnote-3) We find that these petitions, as amended, present special circumstances warranting extension of the MF-I and Tribal Mobility Fund Phase I performance deadlines and that the grant of these petitions serves the public interest.
3. **BACKground**
	1. **Mobility Fund I and Tribal Mobility Fund I**
4. In the *USF/ICC Transformation Order*, the Commission comprehensively reformed and modernized the high-cost component of the Universal Service Fund by, among other things, establishing the Mobility Fund, a universal service support mechanism dedicated expressly to mobile services.[[2]](#footnote-4) Phase I of the Mobility Fund comprises both MF-I and Tribal Mobility Fund Phase I. [[3]](#footnote-5) For MF-I, the Commission designated a fund of up to $300 million in one-time universal service support, to be allocated through a reverse auction (Auction 901), for the deployment of mobile voice and broadband services.[[4]](#footnote-6) For Tribal Mobility Fund Phase I, the Commission designated a separate fund of up to $50 million, to be allocated through a second reverse auction (Auction 902), and determined that this support generally would “be awarded on the same terms and subject to the same rules as general Mobility Fund Phase I support.”[[5]](#footnote-7) Under these rules, winning bidders in Auctions 901 and 902 committed to extend the availability of mobile voice and broadband services on networks that provide third-generation (3G) or better performance or to accelerate the deployment of fourth-generation (4G) wireless networks in areas that lacked such services.[[6]](#footnote-8)
5. Before the start of Auctions 901 and 902, each potential bidder was reminded that it was responsible “for investigating and evaluating all technical and marketplace factors that may have a bearing on the level of . . . support it submits as a bid . . . .”[[7]](#footnote-9) Bidders were further advised that “[e]ach bidder is responsible for assuring that, if it wins the support, it will be able to build and operate facilities in accordance with the Mobility Fund obligations and the Commission’s rules generally.”[[8]](#footnote-10) The MF-I rules require winning bidders to provide service to at least 75% of the designated eligible road miles within the relevant area covered by their winning bids,[[9]](#footnote-11) while the Tribal Mobility Fund Phase I rules require providing service to at least 75% of the population within eligible areas.[[10]](#footnote-12) Winning bidders committing to provide service over a 3G network are required to meet their performance requirements within two years of being authorized to receive support,[[11]](#footnote-13) while winning bidders committing to provide service over a 4G network must meet their performance requirements within three years of authorization.[[12]](#footnote-14)
6. The rules further provide that MF-I and Tribal Mobility Fund Phase I support be disbursed to authorized winning bidders in up to three stages: the first disbursement of one-third of the total winning bid amount is made when the winning bidder is authorized to receive support;[[13]](#footnote-15) a recipient becomes eligible to receive a second disbursement when it submits a report demonstrating coverage of 50% of the applicable coverage requirements;[[14]](#footnote-16) and it becomes eligible to receive the final disbursement when it meets the final construction, testing, and reporting requirements by the applicable deadline.[[15]](#footnote-17)
	1. **The Parties**
7. *Appalachian Wireless*. On July 18, 2013, the Bureaus authorized Appalachian Wireless to receive up to approximately $4.41 million in MF-I support for its 19 winning bids in Auction 901.[[16]](#footnote-18) The deadline for Appalachian Wireless to complete construction of a 3G network, drive testing, and submission of reports demonstrating coverage was July 19, 2015. Appalachian Wireless timely completed the performance requirements for seven of the census tracts covered by its winning bids.[[17]](#footnote-19) For the remaining 12 census tracts, Appalachian Wireless sought and was granted a change in its deployment commitment from a 3G to a 4G network, with an associated revision of its deadline to July 19, 2016, to construct, drive test, and report its testing data.[[18]](#footnote-20) Appalachian Wireless met its revised deadline for five of the 12 census tracts.[[19]](#footnote-21)
8. For the other seven census tracts, Appalachian Wireless explains that it encountered unforeseen and unexpected delays, which included, among other complications, prolonged regulatory approval processes that extended far longer than it had anticipated.[[20]](#footnote-22) Appalachian Wireless states that, despite such obstacles, it timely constructed a 4G network in five of the seven remaining census tracts by the July 19, 2016 deadline, but that it requires an extension of approximately three months to conclude drive testing and reporting of data for those tracts.[[21]](#footnote-23) For the remaining two census tracts, Appalachian Wireless seeks a nine-month extension of the construction, testing, and reporting deadline, to April 19, 2017.[[22]](#footnote-24)
9. According to Appalachian Wireless, the FAA’s regulatory approval process took longer than it had anticipated and significantly longer than it had experienced in past projects; the delays in the approval process in turn delayed construction, which delayed its ability to drive test and report its data in these census tracts.[[23]](#footnote-25) For example, according to Appalachian Wireless, the FAA’s regulatory process for tower review took more than two-and-a-half times as long for some of these sites as it took on average for the other MF-I sites that it was constructing.[[24]](#footnote-26) In addition, Appalachian Wireless states that it encountered other unexpected circumstances, including record-setting snowfall and flooding rain, complex terrain issues, and the lack of available, specialized contractors.[[25]](#footnote-27) Appalachian Wireless explains that, although it had begun its work on the tower sites in sufficient time to meet its MF-I deadlines, including building in reasonable margins for unexpected delays, the combination of these unexpected circumstances and delays in the regulatory approval process impeded its network construction, which prevented it from timely concluding its drive testing and reporting its data.[[26]](#footnote-28) Appalachian Wireless certified to the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) that it had completed its drive testing and reporting of data for all five of these census tracts on October 17 and 31, 2016, approximately three months after the applicable deadline.
10. For the remaining two census tracts (Harlan County and Floyd County), Appalachian Wireless requests a nine-month extension of its July 19, 2016 deadline for completing construction, drive testing, and submission of its testing data.[[27]](#footnote-29) Appalachian Wireless explains that it needs additional time for these two census tracts to meet the network coverage requirements; it further explains that alternative sites for the undertaking are not available without requiring the construction of multiple towers to achieve coverage requirements.[[28]](#footnote-30) Appalachian Wireless asserts that, due to historic preservation concerns, it faced unanticipated and unpreventable regulatory delays at both the state and federal levels related to the construction of two sites in ­­­its Harlan County census tract.[[29]](#footnote-31) For the Lynch site in the Harlan County census tract, Appalachian Wireless explains that the delay resulting from historic preservation issues had spanned almost three years, by the time it filed its petition.[[30]](#footnote-32) Appalachian Wireless maintains that, in November 2013, a local citizen raised concerns about the impact of the proposed tower construction to historic properties with the Kentucky State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO).[[31]](#footnote-33) After Appalachian Wireless successfully addressed the concerns raised by the Kentucky SHPO, the same individual filed a complaint with the Commission in May 2016, in which he objected to the tower registration on the same historic impact grounds.[[32]](#footnote-34) Appalachian Wireless claims that it could not have reasonably anticipated the delays that resulted from having this individual’s concerns considered and addressed by both the SHPO and the Commission.[[33]](#footnote-35)
11. For the Benham site in the Harlan County census tract,[[34]](#footnote-36) Appalachian Wireless claims that the regulatory delay for this census tract resulted primarily from Kentucky SHPO concerns that construction of a tower would adversely affect historic properties in surrounding residential areas,[[35]](#footnote-37) and that it took 16 months for it to develop a mitigation plan that was acceptable to the SHPO. It further claims that it took another 10 months of regulatory negotiations, until July 1, 2016, before all local and federal governmental approvals had been obtained so that Appalachian Wireless could commence construction of that site. According to Appalachian Wireless, this left insufficient time for it to meet the July 19, 2016, deadline for its performance requirements.[[36]](#footnote-38) Thus, with respect to both the sites in this census tract, Appalachian Wireless attributes its delays to “significant and unforeseen encumbrances.”[[37]](#footnote-39)
12. For its remaining census tract in Floyd County, Appalachian Wireless also claims that it faced unforeseen construction delays.[[38]](#footnote-40) Appalachian Wireless explains, although it was not originally part of its construction plans, it later determined that it needed to add an additional site one mile north of the community of Hippo (the Hippo site) when its “engineering analysis” revealed that it needed an additional tower to meet the Commission’s performance requirements.[[39]](#footnote-41) Appalachian Wireless states that after it added the Hippo site to its construction plan it realized it could better meet the Commission’s performance requirements if it increased the height of the tower it was building there, but that doing so required further FAA review, which delayed its ability to meet its deadlines. Appalachian Wireless certified to USAC that it had concluded its construction, drive testing, and submission of its data for these last two census tracts on February 21, 2017, almost two months earlier than the April 19, 2017, extension deadline it requests.
13. *U.S. Cellular.* On June 24, 2013, the Bureaus authorized U.S. Cellular to receive up to approximately $30.9 million in MF-I support for its 21 winning bids in Auction 901.[[40]](#footnote-42) U.S. Cellular had a deadline of June 25, 2016, to complete construction of a 4G network, drive testing, and submission of reports demonstrating coverage.[[41]](#footnote-43) U.S. Cellular successfully completed construction and submitted testing data for 18 of the census tracts covered by its winning bids by that deadline and received the final payments for those census tracts.
14. On May 5, 2016, U.S. Cellular filed its Petition for Limited Waiver indicating that while it would meet its June 25, 2016 deadline for eighteen of the census tracts covered by its winning bids, for its remaining three census tracts it would need an extension of the deadline for the completion of construction, drive testing, and submission of testing data.[[42]](#footnote-44) In these three census tracts, it seeks an additional one hundred-eighty days for the census tract covering Lewis County,[[43]](#footnote-45) one year for the census tract covering its Dougan Falls North and Dougan Falls South sites,[[44]](#footnote-46) and approximately eighteen months for the census tract covering its Cannavinna Road site.[[45]](#footnote-47) According to U.S. Cellular, it commenced work for these census tracts in sufficient time to meet its deadlines, factoring in a reasonable margin for delay.[[46]](#footnote-48) U.S. Cellular claims, however, that with each of these census tracts, it encountered unexpected circumstances that caused delays beyond its control.[[47]](#footnote-49) U.S. Cellular maintains that it worked diligently to complete construction in a timely fashion[[48]](#footnote-50) and that a grant of its request will advance the goals of the Mobility Fund and serve the public interest because service will be provided to these remote areas far more quickly than if its petition were denied.[[49]](#footnote-51)
15. Regarding the Lewis County tract, U.S. Cellular claims that it had anticipated concluding the project on a one-year to eighteen-month schedule, but that it faced unexpected delays in regulatory review processes that were beyond its control.[[50]](#footnote-52) U.S. Cellular asserts that its site-acquisition vendor had difficulties for more than a year working through leasing and zoning issues with the Washington Department of Natural Resources and the Lewis County Community Development Planning Division, each of which demanded that the other entity complete its part of the regulatory approval process first.[[51]](#footnote-53) Despite having resolved regulatory issues relating to tree clearances, road layout, and utility design, U.S. Cellular explains that it was still awaiting the final leasing approvals from the Washington Department of Natural Resources when it filed its request for waiver.[[52]](#footnote-54) In support of its request,, U.S. Cellular contends that while lease negotiations normally take 2-3 months, for this census tract they took over a year.[[53]](#footnote-55) U.S. Cellular maintains that a 180-day extension would allow it to meet its performance requirements for this census tract.[[54]](#footnote-56) U.S. Cellular certified to USAC that it had completed construction, drive testing, and submission of testing data for this census tract by the requested deadline extension.
16. For the census tract covering the Dougan Falls North and Dougan Falls South sites, U.S. Cellular claims that, despite its best efforts over six months, it could not reach agreement with a neighboring carrier along Interstate 84 on a contour extension and that this failure required it to redesign its construction project.[[55]](#footnote-57) U.S. Cellular further claims that the redesigned project then faced regulatory delays and other complicating factors that U.S. Cellular could not control.[[56]](#footnote-58) U.S. Cellular contends that, for the Dougan Falls North site, steep terrain, heavy snows, and roads eroded by melting snow all exacerbated the delay in constructing the tower.[[57]](#footnote-59) As to the Dougan Falls South site, U.S. Cellular asserts that it encountered unanticipated permitting delays, including requirements for a nesting bird survey and a revised National Environmental Policy Act statement, and that, at the time of the filing, it anticipated additional delays resulting from vegetation preservation requirements and from mitigation and remediation requirements if it unearthed Tribal artifacts at the site.[[58]](#footnote-60) U.S. Cellular maintains that it could not have anticipated these delays, particularly the terrain issues, because these sites were not part of its original construction plan.[[59]](#footnote-61) U.S. Cellular further maintains that a one-year extension until June 25, 2017, would allow it to complete construction, drive testing, and submission of testing data for this entire census tract.[[60]](#footnote-62) U.S. Cellular certified to USAC that it had completed these requirements on December 19, 2016, more than six months ahead of its requested extension deadline.
17. As for the census tract covering the Cannavinna Road site, U.S. Cellular details several unanticipated regulatory processing and permitting delays associated with the construction of the site’s backhaul facilities, all of which it claims were beyond its control. U.S. Cellular contends that delays in negotiating a site lease and in obtaining permits required by the public utility authority and the United States Forest Service to install its backhaul facilities warrant an extension until December 31, 2017, to allow it to complete construction, drive testing, and submission of testing data for this census tract.[[61]](#footnote-63) U.S. Cellular certified to USAC that it had completed construction and drive testing and had submitted the testing data for this census tract, by the requested extension.
18. *GCI.* On March 13, 2015, the Bureaus authorized GCI to receive up to approximately $41.4 million in Tribal Mobility Fund Phase I support for its 51 winning bids in Auction 902.[[62]](#footnote-64) GCI’s deadline for completion of construction, drive testing, and submission of testing data for the 3G networks it committed to building was March 14, 2017, and its deadline for completion of construction, drive testing, and submission of testing data for the 4G networks it committed to building was March 14, 2018.[[63]](#footnote-65)
19. For one of its fifty-one winning bids, GCI seeks an extension of approximately one year, from March 14, 2017, to March 9, 2018, to complete construction, drive testing, and submission of testing data for a 3G network covering Pitkas Point, Alaska.[[64]](#footnote-66) GCI contends that it already provides 2G service for Pitkas Point and that it had planned to locate its equipment for its 3G network at its existing 2G site, which was located at a local school.[[65]](#footnote-67) GCI claims that its plans for the census tract were complicated even before it was authorized for support when it learned in November 2014 that a fuel spill had contaminated the entire area of its planned 3G construction site.[[66]](#footnote-68) GCI adds that because of the fuel spill, the local authority would not allow GCI to add 3G equipment to the site and, indeed, planned to demolish the school.[[67]](#footnote-69) According to GCI, it was therefore forced to find a new location at the right elevation for effective propagation and with a relatively large amount of space and power sufficient for both GCI’s 2G switch and its 3G equipment.[[68]](#footnote-70) GCI notes that this task was particularly daunting in Pitkas Point, a small and remote community with a hilly and extremely wet and marshy terrain where only a short construction window exists each year.[[69]](#footnote-71) GCI maintains that, in 2016, after two years of failed efforts and multiple unsuccessful lease negotiations to secure a suitable relocation site, it was able to change its approach and adopt a previously unavailable technical solution that allowed it to provide reliable 3G service without needing extra space for 2G fallback equipment.[[70]](#footnote-72)
20. In support of its requested extension, GCI states that it experienced unique and unusual circumstances in the construction process that it could not have reasonably anticipated and that were beyond its control, but that it nevertheless diligently kept working to find a solution.[[71]](#footnote-73) GCI certified to USAC that it had completed construction, drive testing, and submission of testing data for the Pitkas Point tract by March 9, 2018, the extended deadline requested in GCI’s Amended Petition.[[72]](#footnote-74)
21. *Union Telephone*. On July 29, 2013, the Bureaus authorized Union Telephone to receive up to approximately $22.8 million in MF-I support for its six winning bids in Auction 901.[[73]](#footnote-75) Union Telephone completed the construction of, and the submission of testing data for, four of the six census tracts covered by its winning bids by the July 30, 2015 deadline for 3G network construction, and received the final payments for those census tracts.[[74]](#footnote-76)
22. For the remaining two census tracts, for which Union Telephone committed to building a 4G network, it seeks an extension to conclude its drive testing and submission of testing data.[[75]](#footnote-77) Union Telephone explains that although it completed the construction of 4G networks servicing these census tracts in Carbon County and Fremont County, by the required deadline of July 29, 2016, it needs a three-month extension for Carbon County and a four-month extension for Fremont County to conclude the collection and submission of its drive testing data for those tracts.[[76]](#footnote-78) Union Telephone states that these two tracts cover extremely remote and rural areas of Wyoming and that grant of its request would serve the public interest because Union Telephone has already exceeded the coverage requirements for these areas and requires additional time only to collect and submit its reporting data.[[77]](#footnote-79) Union Telephone contends that, while it commenced work in both of the census tracts in time to meet its performance deadlines, and even allowed for reasonable margins for unexpected delays, its efforts to construct the two tracts were slowed by a series of unanticipated regulatory obstacles that were beyond its control followed by difficulties in accessing eligible and drivable roads left insufficient time to compile, review, and submit sufficient drive testing data to demonstrate compliance with the Commission’s coverage requirements.[[78]](#footnote-80)
23. As part of its plans for the Carbon County tract, Union Telephone explains, it intended to construct four new cellular sites primarily within the Medicine Bow Routt National Forest in Wyoming with as little impact on the forest as possible.[[79]](#footnote-81) Union Telephone explains that it encountered unanticipated regulatory obstacles when the Forest Service informed it that it would not approve any tower sites in the National Forest unless all private and state options had been exhausted.[[80]](#footnote-82) Union Telephone further explains that over the next year it unsuccessfully pursued private options with three landowners.[[81]](#footnote-83) Union Telephone asserts that it then tried to lease land owned by the State of Wyoming, but that obtaining access required not only a lease with the state but a prior survey, as well as access agreements with people who had grazing rights to the property.[[82]](#footnote-84) Permission from the grazing-rights holders took longer than Union Telephone anticipated, and the survey was then further delayed by snowpack.[[83]](#footnote-85) Union Telephone states that it did not receive the fully executed lease from the state until late June 2016.[[84]](#footnote-86) Thus, although Union Telephone began its efforts in May of 2014, it claims that it was not able to begin its construction until more than 2 years later.[[85]](#footnote-87) Union Telephone points out that despite all its unanticipated delays, it constructed its site within the required time frame.[[86]](#footnote-88) According to Union Telephone, grant of its petition for a short three-month extension would allow it to complete the testing and reporting of its drive testing data for this census tract.[[87]](#footnote-89) Union Telephone certified to USAC that it had completed its drive testing and submitted its data for this census tract by its requested extension of October 30, 2016.
24. Regarding the Fremont County census tract, Union Telephone claims that it encountered unexpected and protracted negotiations with the Northern Arapaho and Eastern Shoshone Tribal Nations, the two Tribal Nations that share management of the Wind River Indian Reservation, where this tract was located.[[88]](#footnote-90) Union Telephone maintains that it faced additional challenges finalizing its agreements, and the process took longer than usual because it was negotiating with two separate Tribal Nations.[[89]](#footnote-91) Union Telephone claims that it first approached the Northern Arapaho Business Council on October 30, 2012, and the Eastern Shoshone Business Council on January 15, 2013, but given the time it took to negotiate all necessary private leases and easements as well as the cultural approvals that were necessary to build in these areas, it was not until February 2016 that Union Telephone entered into a separate lease agreement with each Tribal Nation and not until March 16, 2016—over three years later—that the Tribal Nations finally issued a business license to Union Telephone.[[90]](#footnote-92) According to Union Telephone, from mid-September 2015 until early February 2016, it met with the Tribal business councils and engaged in Tribal workshops with both Tribes to obtain the approvals it needed to implement its Mobility Fund construction plans and to drive test its sites.[[91]](#footnote-93)
25. Union Telephone further maintains that it faced additional unanticipated delays in obtaining a lease from the Wyoming Department of Transportation that was necessary for it to construct one of its three towers on the Reservation.[[92]](#footnote-94) Union Telephone states that even though it initiated negotiations with the Wyoming Department of Transportation in April of 2016, it took until July 1, 2016, for Union Telephone to secure the fully executed lease it needed.[[93]](#footnote-95) And a few days later, Union Telephone commenced construction.[[94]](#footnote-96) While Union Telephone timely met its construction requirement for this census tract, it requires a brief four-month extension to conclude its drive testing and reporting.[[95]](#footnote-97) Union Telephone certified to USAC that it had completed its drive testing and data reporting within the four-month extension period requested in its amended petition.
26. **Discussion**
27. Generally, the Commission’s rules may be waived for good cause shown.[[96]](#footnote-98) Good cause is shown, and thus a waiver is appropriate, only if special circumstances warrant a deviation from the general rule, and such deviation will serve the public interest.[[97]](#footnote-99)
28. Applying the general rule to requests for waiver of the MF-I and Tribal Mobility Fund Phase I rules, we note that we advised prospective bidders before Auctions 901 and 902 that, as part of performing advance due diligence research and analysis, they should confirm the availability of prospective transmitter sites and assure themselves that, if they became winning bidders, they would be able to build and operate facilities that comply with all applicable technical and legal requirements, which, of course include performance deadlines.[[98]](#footnote-100) Furthermore, the Commission has consistently held that requests to waive or extend construction obligations will not be routinely granted.[[99]](#footnote-101) When considering waiver requests involving MF-I construction, drive testing, and reporting deadlines, we therefore have made clear that justifications based on impediments that could reasonably have been foreseen, such as employee issues, vendor problems, inclement weather, and last-minute delays, would not in themselves warrant waiver of a performance deadline.[[100]](#footnote-102)
29. Consistent with Commission precedent, prospective recipients of support should be aware that employee issues, vendor problems, equipment issues, inclement weather, and last minute delays occur in the regular course of business, and that these circumstances alone will not support waiver of Commission deadlines.[[101]](#footnote-103) Rather, waivers of MF-I performance obligations have been granted only where the support recipient has shown that it was delayed by special circumstances that could not have been foreseen and were beyond its control.[[102]](#footnote-104) Accordingly, we are not persuaded by Appalachian Wireless’s claims that its unexpected circumstances included record-setting snowfall and flooding rain; complex terrain issues; software malfunctions and the lack of available, specialized contractors.[[103]](#footnote-105) Likewise, we find meritless U.S. Cellular’s contention that steep terrain, heavy snows, and roads eroded by melting snow all compounded its delay in the construction of its towers.[[104]](#footnote-106) Equally unavailing are GCI’s statements that hilly and extremely wet and marshy terrain limited it to only a short construction window as well as its claims that problems associated with technical solutions and vendors added to its delays. [[105]](#footnote-107) Similarly, Union Telephone’s argument that it encountered many more undrivable and private roads than expected is not a basis on which we grant today’s petitions.[[106]](#footnote-108) All these arguments proffered by the petitioners were foreseeable and involve circumstances that should have been anticipated as those that occur in the normal course of business, especially given the areas covered by these winning bids, and therefore do not support the grant of a waiver of the Commission’s performance requirements.
30. Instead, we have found that the first prong of the waiver standard may be met for granting a waiver of MF-I construction, drive testing, and reporting deadlines where a petitioner demonstrates that it faced delays because of special circumstances that it could not reasonably have foreseen, controlled, or avoided.[[107]](#footnote-109) While today’s petitioners were not free from certain predictable causes of delay, each petitioner faced other, unforeseeable and unavoidable circumstances beyond its control that prevented the timely completion of its performance requirements. Three of the petitioners–-Appalachian Wireless, U.S. Cellular, and Union Telephone-–each encountered special circumstances in the form of permitting or other unusual regulatory delays of such nature, number, or duration that they could not have been foreseen, controlled, or circumvented by petitioners. Likewise, while trying to maintain and improve the provision of service at its Pitkas Point, Alaska site GCI encountered special circumstances after it faced an environmental disaster that it could not have foreseen, controlled, or circumvented.
31. Our decision today should not be construed to diminish the important role of federal, state, and local regulatory processes. These regulatory processes play a critical function in ensuring the lawfulness of tower construction, and Mobility Fund support recipients have an obligation to factor sufficient time into their construction schedules to allow for completion of regulatory reviews. Rather, our grant of waivers here, where petitioners have demonstrated special circumstances, recognizes that even with proper planning, unforeseeable delays can sometimes occur that can neither be avoided nor controlled, particularly in some of the hardest to serve areas. Appalachian Wireless, for example, faced regulatory tower approval processing delays and historic preservation challenges that lasted for almost as long as Appalachian Wireless’s three-year construction period. U.S. Cellular had unforeseen, difficult, and time-consuming issues to resolve before both local and state regulatory authorities and, on top of that, had to overcome unexpected environmental permitting delays, such as requirements to conduct a nesting bird survey and to revise its environmental statement. Union Telephone could neither have anticipated nor unilaterally avoided the more than three years that it took to secure necessary lease agreements with two Tribal Nations and with the Wyoming Department of Transportation. GCI faced catastrophic events in the form of a fuel spill and the consequent destruction of its planned construction site, which it could not have anticipated when it bid for support for this census tract and therefore had no way to avoid.
32. Based on their filings, we find that these petitioners made reasonable plans and diligent efforts to timely fulfill their MF-I and Tribal Mobility Fund obligations but that special circumstances beyond their control prevented them from meeting their respective deadlines. We note that in many cases these special circumstances lasted for a substantial portion of the time period afforded to MF-I and Tribal Mobility Fund Phase I winning bidders to complete their performance obligations. Despite these circumstances, however, each of the four petitioners worked diligently from the time it encountered these obstacles to mitigate and overcome them. Each one sought an extension of a reasonable length in light of the duration and scale of the delays it encountered. And, having timely completed construction of a large majority of the tracts for which it was awarded support, each petitioner requested additional time for only a small percentage of its winning bids. Moreover, each petitioner completed its construction, drive testing, and reporting requirements within the additional time that it had requested, and each one is currently fulfilling the goal of the MF-I and Tribal Mobility Fund Phase I program to extend the availability of mobile voice and broadband services in areas that lack such services. For all these reasons, we find that each petitioner has demonstrated special circumstances that warrant a deviation from the general rule, thereby satisfying the first part of the waiver standard.
33. We further find that the second part of the waiver standard has also been satisfied. Each of the four petitioners has demonstrated that deviation from the rules will serve the public interest because the grant of a waiver will ensure that each continues to provide mobile broadband service in some of the most remote areas of the nation, areas that did not have, and without Mobility Fund support would not now have, advanced mobile telecommunications service.[[108]](#footnote-110)
34. In sum, each petitioner has made a sufficient good cause showing to meet the waiver standard specified in the rules and under applicable precedent.[[109]](#footnote-111) These waivers will ensure the continued operation of a mobile voice and data network in rural and underserved areas that previously lacked basic communications infrastructure. Each petitioner was diligent in making advances to meet its performance requirements throughout the period leading up to its requested extensions, ensuring that the additional time sought after the deadlines would be as brief as possible. Each of these petitioners ultimately met all of their requirements within the time frame each requested. We therefore conclude that grant of these waiver requests is consistent with the objectives of MF-I and Tribal Mobility Fund Phase I and that waiver does not undermine the Commission’s policy of ensuring adherence to their performance requirements.[[110]](#footnote-112)
35. Accordingly, we grant the extensions of performance deadlines requested in the respective petitions, as amended, of Appalachian Wireless, U.S. Cellular, GCI, and Union Telephone.
36. **Ordering clauseS**
37. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that, under the authority contained in sections 1, 4(i), 4(j), 5(c), 201, 254, and 303(r), of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151, 154(i), 154(j), 155(c), 201, 254, 303(r), and sections 0.21, 0.91, 0.131, 0.271, 0.291, 0.331, and 1.3 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 0.21, 0.91, 0.131, 0.331, 0.271, 0.291, and 1.3, the Petition for Limited Waiver and Request for Extension of Compliance Deadlines for Mobility Fund Phase I Public Interest Obligations, as amended, of East Kentucky Network, LLC, d/b/a Appalachian Wireless, is HEREBY GRANTED to permit extension of the construction, drive testing, and reporting deadlines for the requested period for census tracts T21071920900 (SAC 268005) and T21095970100 (SAC 268006), through April 19, 2017; for the requested period for census tracts T21025920600 (SAC 268001), T21153970400 (SAC 268013), and T21175950100 (SAC 268014), through October 17, 2016; and for the requested period for census tracts T21095971300 (SAC 268008) and T21153970300 (SAC 268012) through October 31, 2016.
38. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, under the authority contained in sections 1, 4(i), 4(j), 5(c), 201, 254, and 303(r), of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151, 154(i), 154(j), 155(c), 201, 254, 303(r), and sections 0.21, 0.91, 0.131, 0.271, 0.291, 0.331, and 1.3 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 0.21, 0.91, 0.131, 0.331, 0.271, 0.291, and 1.3, the Petition for Limited Waiver and Request for Extension of Dates for Compliance with Mobility Fund Phase I Public Interest Obligations, as amended, of United States Cellular Corporation is HEREBY GRANTED to permit extension of the construction, drive testing, and reporting deadlines for the requested period for census tract T53041970100 (SAC 528005), through December 22, 2016; for the requested period for census tract T53059950200 (SAC 528006), through June 25, 2017; and for the requested period for census tract T53059950500 (SAC 528007), through December 31, 2017.
39. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, under the authority contained in sections 1, 4(i), 4(j), 5(c), 201, 254, and 303(r), of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151, 154(i), 154(j), 155(c), 201, 254, 303(r), and sections 0.21, 0.91, 0.131, 0.271, 0.291, 0.331, and 1.3 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 0.21, 0.91, 0.131, 0.331, 0.271, 0.291, and 1.3, the Petition for Waiver, as amended, of GCI Communication Corp. is HEREBY GRANTED to permit extension of the construction, drive testing, and reporting deadline for the requested period for SAC 618350, through March 9, 2018.
40. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, under the authority contained in sections 1, 4(i), 4(j), 5(c), 201, 254, and 303(r), of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151, 154(i), 154(j), 155(c), 201, 254, 303(r), and sections 0.21, 0.91, 0.131, 0.271, 0.291, 0.331, and 1.3 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 0.21, 0.91, 0.131, 0.331, 0.271, 0.291, and 1.3, the Petition for Limited Waiver and Request for Extension of Compliance Deadlines for Mobility Fund Phase I Public Interest Obligations, as amended, of Union Telephone Company is HEREBY GRANTED to permit extension of the construction, drive testing, and reporting deadline for the requested period for census tract T56007968000 (SAC 518001), through October 31, 2016; and to permit extension of the construction, drive testing, and reporting deadline for the requested period for census tract T56013940100-4610 (SAC 518005), through November 30, 2016.
41. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this Order SHALL BE transmitted to the Universal Service Administrative Company.
42. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, under section 1.102(b)(1) of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR § 1.102(b)(1), this Order SHALL BE effective upon release.
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