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By the Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau; Chief, International Bureau; Chief, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau; Chief, Office of Economics and Analytics:

1. **Introduction**
2. Frontier Communications Corporation, Debtor-in-Possession (Frontier) and its wholly-owned operating subsidiaries (Operating Subsidiaries) (collectively, Applicants) filed a series of applications[[1]](#footnote-3) pursuant to sections 214 and 310(d) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (Act),[[2]](#footnote-4) and sections 1.948, 63.03-04, 63.18, and 63.24 of the Federal Communications Commission’s (Commission or FCC) rules[[3]](#footnote-5) seeking to “effectuate a pre-arranged plan of reorganization (the Plan, and the transactions contemplated thereunder, the Restructuring) under Chapter 11 (Chapter 11) of the United States Code” to transfer control of the authorizations held by the Operating Subsidiaries from Frontier as debtor-in-possession to a newly formed parent company, Frontier Communications Parent (Reorganized Frontier).[[4]](#footnote-6) Frontier filed a petition for declaratory ruling (Petition) on behalf of the Operating Subsidiaries holding common carrier wireless licenses to permit post-emergence foreign ownership above the 25% benchmark in section 310(b)(4) of the Act and section 1.5000(a)(1) of the Commission’s rules.[[5]](#footnote-7) Also, in connection with its participation in the Rural Digital Opportunity Fund Phase I Auction (Auction 904), Frontier separately requested a waiver of section 1.21001 of the Commission’s rules, which prohibits major modifications to auction short-form applications, and sections 1.21004 and 54.804 of the Commission’s rules, which require an auction winning bidder to submit a long-form application, in order to permit its successor(s) to replace it in the auction and/or post-auction process.[[6]](#footnote-8)
3. On July 23, 2020, the Wireline Competition Bureau, International Bureau, and Wireless Telecommunications Bureau released a Public Notice accepting the Applications for filing and establishing a pleading cycle for public comments.[[7]](#footnote-9) Communications Workers of America (CWA) and The Utility Reform Network (TURN) (together, CWA/TURN) timely filed joint comments in response to the Public Notice.[[8]](#footnote-10) No party filed a petition to deny. The Applicants timely filed reply comments, and CWA also filed reply comments.[[9]](#footnote-11) Another party, USTelecom, filed an *Ex Parte* Letter in support of the Applications.[[10]](#footnote-12) The Applicants filed additional information to supplement the Applications on August 31, 2020,[[11]](#footnote-13) and then on October 30, 2020, after the public comment period closed.[[12]](#footnote-14)
4. On August 3, 2020, the Department of Justice (DOJ) informed the Commission that the Committee for the Assessment of Foreign Participation in the United States Telecommunications Services Sector (Committee) was requesting that the Commission defer action on the Applications while it reviewed them for any national security and law enforcement concerns.[[13]](#footnote-15) Then, on September 21, 2020, DOJ notified the Commission that the Committee was “conducting a [120-day] initial review to assess whether granting the Applications will pose a risk to national security or law enforcement interests of the United States.”[[14]](#footnote-16) On December 28, 2020, the National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA) filed a Petition to Adopt Conditions to Authorizations and Licenses on behalf of the Committee stating that the Committee “has no objection to the Commission approving the [transaction], provided that the Commission conditions its approval on the assurance of [Frontier] to abide by the commitments and undertakings set forth in the December 17, 2020 Letter of Agreement (LOA).”[[15]](#footnote-17)
5. While the Applications were pending, Frontier participated in Auction 904 to bid for Rural Digital Opportunity Fund Phase I support. On October 13, 2020, Commission staff released a public notice announcing that Frontier was among the applicants that had been qualified to bid in Auction 904.[[16]](#footnote-18) On December 7, Commission staff released a public notice announcing that Frontier was a winning bidder in Auction 904.[[17]](#footnote-19)
6. We have thoroughly reviewed the record, including the supplemental information submitted by the Applicants, and we conclude that the transaction serves the public interest, convenience, and necessity and meets the requirements of the Act.[[18]](#footnote-20) Accordingly, we grant the Applications, subject to the conditions set forth below. We also grant a conditional waiver of sections 1.21004 and 54.804 as needed with respect to any Auction 904 long-form application filed by Frontier or its successors-in-interest, in order to help achieve the public interest benefit of facilitating Frontier’s prompt emergence from the bankruptcy process and to support broadband deployment to unserved areas of the country. Grant of the waiver will allow Frontier to complete a transaction that amounts to a transfer of control prior to the authorization of Rural Digital Opportunity Fund Phase I support.
7. **background**
   1. **Description of the Applicants**
8. Frontier, a Delaware corporation, is the fourth largest local telecommunications company in the United States, serving approximately 4.1 million customers in 29 states.[[19]](#footnote-21) Frontier currently owns and operates more than 50 incumbent local exchange carriers (LECs) located in 25 states.[[20]](#footnote-22) Through its interexchange carrier subsidiaries, it also provides intrastate, interstate, and international long distance service on a resale basis in each of the states where Frontier operates as an incumbent LEC.[[21]](#footnote-23) Applicants state that Frontier and the Operating Subsidiaries provide local and long distance services, data and Internet services, video services, Voice-over-Internet Protocol, and other services throughout their operating territories.[[22]](#footnote-24)
   1. **Description of the Transaction**
9. The Bankruptcy Court approved the Plan on August 21, 2020, and issued a confirmation order on August 27, 2020.[[23]](#footnote-25) The Plan, as described by Applicants, proposes to pay in full all non-funded debt owed to Frontier’s employees, contractors, vendors, suppliers, carriers, and other third parties and provides for the conversion of more than $10 billion of Frontier’s unsecured notes into equity in Reorganized Frontier.[[24]](#footnote-26) Under the Plan, upon emergence from Chapter 11, holders of Frontier’s unsecured senior notes (Senior Noteholders), which are primarily controlled by U.S.-based investment funds, will initially own, in the aggregate, 100% of the new common stock of Reorganized Frontier, although they do not anticipate that any single Senior Noteholder would hold a 10% or greater direct or indirect interest in Reorganized Frontier.[[25]](#footnote-27) Applicants intend that the new common stock of Reorganized Frontier will be publicly traded and listed on a recognized U.S. stock exchange.[[26]](#footnote-28) They explain that the proposed transition will not create any new majority shareholders of Frontier, and the Senior Noteholders will not exercise day-to-day control over the company.[[27]](#footnote-29)
10. Further pursuant to the Plan, the Operating Subsidiaries will be held through a new corporate structure consisting of three newly-formed holding companies, all Delaware entities: Reorganized Frontier, Frontier Communications Intermediate (Frontier Intermediate), and Frontier Communications Holdings (Frontier Holdings).[[28]](#footnote-30) These three companies will replace Frontier (the current publicly-traded parent holding company) in the ownership structure.[[29]](#footnote-31) As part of the Chapter 11 emergence process, Frontier will transfer all of the stock of its direct first-tier subsidiaries and any other assets held by Frontier to Frontier Holdings.[[30]](#footnote-32) All outstanding and issued stock of Frontier will be cancelled, and the legal entity will dissolve.[[31]](#footnote-33) According to Applicants, upon emergence, all existing direct, first-tier subsidiaries will be directly owned by Frontier Holdings, and Frontier’s indirect subsidiaries will continue to be held by the existing intermediate holding company entities.[[32]](#footnote-34) Through the new holding company corporate structure at the parent company level, Reorganized Frontier will continue to indirectly own the stock of the Operating Subsidiaries.[[33]](#footnote-35) Under the Plan, the FCC licenses held by each Operating Subsidiary will continue to be held by those same entities upon emerging from bankruptcy.[[34]](#footnote-36) Further, the FCC licenses held by Frontier will be assigned to and held by the newly formed Frontier Holdings.[[35]](#footnote-37)
11. **STANDARD OF REVIEW AND PUBLIC INTEREST FRAMEWORK**
12. Pursuant to sections 214(a) and 310(d) of the Act,[[36]](#footnote-38) the Commission must determine whether the proposed transaction would serve the public interest, convenience, and necessity.[[37]](#footnote-39) In making this determination, the Commission first assesses whether the proposed transaction complies with the specific provisions of the Act, other applicable statutes, and the Commission’s rules.[[38]](#footnote-40)
13. If the proposed transaction does not violate a statute or rule, the Commission then considers whether the transaction could result in public interest harms by substantially frustrating or impairing the objectives or implementation of the Act or related statutes.[[39]](#footnote-41) The Commission’s competitive analysis, which forms an important part of the public interest evaluation, is informed by, but not limited to, traditional antitrust principles.[[40]](#footnote-42) DOJ has independent authority to examine the competitive impacts of proposed mergers and transactions involving transfers of Commission licenses, but the Commission’s competitive analysis under the public interest standard is somewhat broader.[[41]](#footnote-43) Notably, the Commission has determined it may impose and enforce narrowly tailored, transaction-specific conditions that address the potential harms of a transaction.[[42]](#footnote-44) Specifically, the Commission has repeatedly held that it will impose conditions “only to remedy harms that arise from the transaction (i.e., transaction-specific harms)” and that are “related to the Commission’s responsibilities under the Communications Act and related statutes,” and that it “will not impose conditions to remedy pre-existing harms or harms that are unrelated to the transaction.”[[43]](#footnote-45)
14. If the Commission determines that a transaction raises no public interest harms or that any such harms would be ameliorated by narrowly tailored conditions, it next considers a transaction’s public interest benefits.[[44]](#footnote-46) Notably, the Commission has long recognized the clear public interest benefits in a license or authorization holder being able to assign or transfer control of its license or authorization freely.[[45]](#footnote-47) The Commission will also review other claimed public interest benefits of a transaction, with the applicants bearing the burden of proving those benefits by a preponderance of the evidence.[[46]](#footnote-48) As part of its public interest authority, the Commission may impose narrowly-tailored conditions to ensure for the public the transaction-specific benefits claimed by the Applicants.[[47]](#footnote-49)
15. Finally, if the Commission finds that narrowly tailored, transaction-specific conditions are able to ameliorate any public interest harms and the transaction is in the public interest, it may approve the transaction as so conditioned.[[48]](#footnote-50) In contrast, if the Commission does not find that a proposed transaction even with such conditions serves the public interest or if the record presents a substantial and material question of fact, then it must designate the application for hearing.[[49]](#footnote-51)
16. **QUALIFICATIONS OF APPLICANTS AND COMPLIANCE WITH COMMUNICATIONS ACT AND FCC RULES AND POLICIES**
17. Section 310(d) of the Act requires that the Commission make a determination as to whether the Applicants have the requisite qualifications to hold Commission licenses.[[50]](#footnote-52) Among the factors the Commission considers in its public interest review is whether the applicant for a license has the requisite “citizenship, character, financial, technical, and other qualifications.”[[51]](#footnote-53) Therefore, as a threshold matter, the Commission must determine whether Frontier meets the requisite qualification requirements to hold and transfer licenses under section 310(d) of the Act and the Commission’s rules.[[52]](#footnote-54)
18. The Commission generally does not reevaluate the qualifications of transferors unless issues related to basic qualifications have been sufficiently raised in petitions to warrant designation for hearing.[[53]](#footnote-55) We find that there is no reason to reevaluate the requisite citizenship, character, financial, technical, or other basic qualifications of the Applicants under the Act or our rules, regulations, and policies. Indeed, no party has raised an issue with respect to the basic qualifications of the Applicants. Although, CWA/TURN’s filings reflect concerns regarding the Plan, they do not take issue with the basic qualifications of the Applicants under the Act, our rule, regulations, or policies.[[54]](#footnote-56) Accordingly, we find that the Applicants have the requisite citizenship, character, financial, technical, and other basic qualifications under the Act and our rules, regulations, and policies.
19. The proposed transaction must comply with the Act, other applicable statutes, and the Commission’s rules before we can find that it is in the public interest.[[55]](#footnote-57) We find that the proposed transaction will not violate any statutory provision or Commission rule.
20. **POTENTIAL PUBLIC INTEREST HARMS**
21. At the outset, we find that Frontier’s emergence from bankruptcy through the Restructuring process will not eliminate a competitor in any market in which it operates, and no commenter has raised this issue as a potential harm We next review any other potential harms raised in the record and decline the request of CWA/TURN that we delay making a determination on the Applications until we have received more information on the impact of Frontier’s approved Reorganization Plan on its workforce and other issues.[[56]](#footnote-58) We also decline the request of CWA/TURN that we delay our action until we review the findings of all state regulatory authorities that are conducting separate proceedings.[[57]](#footnote-59)
22. Although CWA and TURN “do not take a position on the relief requested by Frontier,” they argue that the Commission needs more information to determine whether the proposed transaction is in the public interest.[[58]](#footnote-60) Specifically, these commenters request that we delay granting the Applications until we have more information to determine the impact of the transaction on financing details, service quality, Frontier’s competitiveness, and workforce, including CWA’s members, and operations.[[59]](#footnote-61)
23. We find compelling the Applicants’ response to CWA and TURN’s argument that we need more information before proceeding.[[60]](#footnote-62) The Applicants maintain that the Restructuring “will be seamless for customers and will not adversely affect service, operations, management, or employees in Frontier’s service territories.”[[61]](#footnote-63) They contend that “customers will continue to receive services at the same rates, terms, and conditions as they did prior to the Restructuring” and will not experience any interruption in services.[[62]](#footnote-64) According to the Applicants, post-Restructuring Frontier “will remain bound by [its] existing tariffs and contracts with customers, and existing facilities and arrangements will remain undisturbed.”[[63]](#footnote-65)
24. As the Applicants further explain, the Restructuring “will provide the Applicants with greater financial stability and flexibility, including the ability to support continuing operations, meet financial obligations, and focus on enhancing service offerings and improving service to customers.” They assure that Restructuring “will not change the terms and conditions of, or alter the rights and obligations set forth in, any agreements or arrangements Frontier has with other service providers [and wholesale customers].”[[64]](#footnote-66) The Applicants also emphasize post-transaction Frontier’s commitment to comply with existing regulatory requirements under the Act and the Commission rules, including “its broadband deployment obligations through its participation in the Commission’s Connect America Fund Phase II program.”[[65]](#footnote-67)
25. In addition, the Applicants assure that “all employee wages, compensation, benefit programs, and collective bargaining agreements in effect as of the Plan’s effective date will remain in place” to “help ensure that Frontier maintains sufficient resources and a workforce to support and improve Frontier’s continued operations.”[[66]](#footnote-68) Further, they represent that “[a]ll non-funded debt to employees, contractors, vendors, suppliers, carriers, and other third parties will be paid in full.”[[67]](#footnote-69)
26. Based on the record, we find no merit to CWA’s and TURN’s concerns regarding service quality, competitiveness, workforce, including CWA’s members, and operations. Indeed, we expect that Frontier is more likely to improve service quality and invest in infrastructure than it would be absent its prompt emergence from bankruptcy. In addition, Applicants have confirmed that they remain subject to specific service quality requirements in all states, including in West Virginia, Minnesota, and Ohio where the state commissions are conducting service quality reviews, and in New York where Frontier has committed to a three-year service quality improvement plan as part of the state commission’s approval of the bankruptcy reorganization.[[68]](#footnote-70) As the Commission has found, claims regarding past practices associated with service quality, failing infrastructure and any other matters that existed prior to the proposed transaction, or future potential business practices are more appropriately addressed through normal dispute resolution processes, such as the complaint processes of the Commission or applicable state public utility commissions, litigation or arbitration, where specified, or by participating in appropriate Commission or state proceedings rather than as part of the review of the instant transaction.[[69]](#footnote-71)
27. Moreover, as Frontier acknowledges, it remains subject to all Commission rules and requirements addressing competition and service rates, terms, and conditions under the Act.[[70]](#footnote-72) Further Frontier has specifically committed that it will comply, post-Restructuring, with all Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) audit requirements associated with its provision of Lifeline service.[[71]](#footnote-73) In order to support our continuing monitoring to prevent waste, fraud, and abuse in the Commission’s universal service programs, we accept Frontier’s audit compliance commitment and make it an enforceable condition of the approval of the Applications.[[72]](#footnote-74)
28. We also find unavailing, concerns that CWA and TURN express about the Plan and particularly about the term “virtual separation” under the terms of the Plan and about the structure and position of Senior Noteholders of the Plan.[[73]](#footnote-75) The Plan has already been approved by the Bankruptcy Court and facilitating the successful resolution of a bankruptcy proceeding is an important factor in our public interest analysis.[[74]](#footnote-76) It is the Commission’s policy to support bankruptcy laws, and where possible to accommodate them in a manner that is consistent with the Act.[[75]](#footnote-77) As the Commission has explained, facilitating a telecommunications service provider’s successful emergency from bankruptcy “advances the public interest by providing economic and social benefits, especially the compensation of innocent creditors.”[[76]](#footnote-78) Moreover, as the Applicants explain “the virtual separation review is an internal financial tracking and reporting exercise only for its state-level financial revenue and not a physical or organizational separation, and it is irrelevant to the Commission’s consideration of the Applications.”[[77]](#footnote-79) We agree with the Applicants contention that concerns about the structure and position of Senior Noteholders of the Plan[[78]](#footnote-80) are misguided, because of them will exercise day-to-day management of the company or have special voting or other rights,[[79]](#footnote-81) and that “there is no sinister arrangement of Senior Noteholders that would control post-transaction Frontier.”[[80]](#footnote-82) We also find compelling the findings of the Bankruptcy Court that the Plan “is consistent with the interests of the debtors and equity security holders and with public policy”[[81]](#footnote-83) and “was proposed with the legitimate and honest purpose of maximizing the value of the Debtors’ Estates and to effectuate a successful restructuring of the Debtors.”[[82]](#footnote-84) The Court also specified that the Plan “satisf[ies] all of the requirements for Confirmation set forth in section 1129(b) of the Bankruptcy Code.”[[83]](#footnote-85) We recognize the Bankruptcy Court’s determination, and we do not question its findings here.
29. We are also not persuaded by CWA’s and TURN’s contention that we “should not make a final determination until [we have] had the opportunity to review the findings of state regulators.”[[84]](#footnote-86) Our review of the Applications does not affect the states’ independent proceedings, nor do we intend any finding in this Memorandum Opinion and Order to have a bearing on the states’ independent consideration of matters before them under applicable state law or precedent, which may differ from our standard of review.[[85]](#footnote-87)
30. **POTENTIAL PUBLIC INTEREST BENEFITS**
31. Having determined that there are no material harms associated with the transaction, we next review the claimed public interest benefits, beyond fostering the free transferability of licenses and authorizations.[[86]](#footnote-88) The Commission finds a claimed benefit to be cognizable only if it is transaction-specific—meaning it naturally arises as a result of the transaction[[87]](#footnote-89)—and is verifiable, and is likely to flow through to consumers and not inure solely to the benefit of the company.[[88]](#footnote-90)
32. According to the Applicants, the Restructuring will “ensure that Frontier will emerge from Chapter 11 as a financially stronger service provider and competitor” and allow it to “reduce its debt by approximately $10 billion, with a correspondingly significant decrease in Frontier’s annual interest expense of approximately $1 billion.”[[89]](#footnote-91) As a result, the Applicants contend that Frontier will able to focus on improvements to services, and such “higher quality and more robust” offerings will encourage other service providers to improve their services and prices, precipitating greater marketplace competition.[[90]](#footnote-92) USTelecom agrees with the Applicants that the Restructuring “is in the public interest” because an “improved financial position will . . . better position Frontier to focus on improvements to services for its customers.”[[91]](#footnote-93) The Applicants maintain that consumers will benefit from Frontier “continu[ing] to provide a competitive alternative to wireless, VoIP, and cable competitors that are not subject to the same regulations and, as a result, have lower cost structures and greater operational flexibility,”[[92]](#footnote-94) as well as from increased choices among telecommunications services.[[93]](#footnote-95)
33. Further, according to the Applicants, the Restructuring will serve the public interest “by promoting job preservation and creation.” [[94]](#footnote-96) They contend that, in addition to retaining employees and abiding by its collective bargaining agreements, Frontier’s improved financial condition post-Restructuring may provide it with the capacity to create new jobs.[[95]](#footnote-97)
34. While the Applicants have offered several claimed benefits, they have not provided tangible and verifiable evidence in support of such claims, and we are thus unable to quantify any potential benefits that may flow to consumers. However, we do find that Frontier’s emergence from bankruptcy, as approved by the Bankruptcy Court, will ameliorate the uncertainties faced by Frontier’s customers when Frontier filed for bankruptcy in April 2020,[[96]](#footnote-98) and that Frontier’s successful emergence from bankruptcy hinges on the grant of their Applications as soon as possible.[[97]](#footnote-99) We also find that Frontier’s emergence from bankruptcy intact with new ownership will benefit its customers through its strengthened capital position, avoid disruption of service, and better position it to offer improved services. Further, maintaining and, in this case, restoring, a viable telecommunications provider that can invest and innovate benefits the public interest and serves the competitive goals of the Act.
35. **NATIONAL SECURITY, LAW ENFORCEMENT, FOREIGN POLICY, AND TRADE CONCERNS**
36. When analyzing a transfer of control or assignment application that includes foreign investment, we also consider public interest issues related to national security, law enforcement, foreign policy, and trade policy concerns.[[98]](#footnote-100) As part of our public interest analysis, the Commission coordinates with the relevant Executive Branch agencies that have expertise in these particular issues.[[99]](#footnote-101) The Commission accords deference to the expertise of these Executive Branch agencies in identifying issues related to national security, law enforcement, foreign policy, or trade policy concerns raised by the relevant Executive Branch agencies.[[100]](#footnote-102) Ultimately, the Commission, however, makes an independent decision on the application based on the record in the proceedings.[[101]](#footnote-103)
37. According to the Applicants, the transaction “raises no significant U.S. national security, law enforcement, foreign policy, or trade policy concerns because foreign individuals and entities will not have any meaningful rights to control [] business decisions and operations.”[[102]](#footnote-104) Applicants maintain that “although some of the Senior Noteholders that will obtain a pro rata share of the equity in Reorganized Frontier are domiciled in foreign jurisdictions, or have entities in their control chains that are domiciled in foreign jurisdictions, these Senior Noteholders will not have an active role in the day-to-day management of Frontier.”[[103]](#footnote-105) Further, the Applicants assure that “Frontier will continue to be managed from within the United States by U.S. citizens.”[[104]](#footnote-106) They add that “none of the Senior Noteholders will have a 10 percent or greater direct or indirect equity interest” post-Restructuring and “these Senior Noteholders are primarily controlled by large, U.S.-based financial investment funds with experience in investing in U.S. telecommunications and technology companies.”[[105]](#footnote-107)
38. Pursuant to Commission practice, the Applications were referred to the relevant Executive Branch agencies for their views on any national security, law enforcement, foreign policy or trade policy concerns related to the foreign ownership of the Applicants.[[106]](#footnote-108) On December 22, 2020, NTIA, on behalf of the Committee, advised that the Committee has no objection to the Commission’s granting the applications and the section 310(b)(4) petition, provided that the Commission conditions its approval on the assurances of Frontier to abide by the commitments and undertakings set forth in the LOA.[[107]](#footnote-109) In accordance with the request of NTIA and the Committee, and in absence of any objection from the Applicants, we grant the NTIA Petition and condition grant of the applications and section 310(b)(4) petition on compliance by Frontier with the commitments and undertakings set forth in the LOA.
39. **SECTION 310(B) FOREIGN OWNERSHIP REVIEW AND PETITION FOR DECLARATORY RULING**
40. Section 310(b)(4) of the Act establishes a 25% benchmark for investment by foreign individuals, governments, and corporations in U.S.-organized entities that directly or indirectly control U.S. common carrier wireless and satellite service licensees.[[108]](#footnote-110) This section of Act also grants the Commission discretion to allow higher levels of foreign ownership in a licensee’s controlling U.S.-organized parent unless the Commission finds that the public interest would be served by refusing to permit such foreign ownership.[[109]](#footnote-111) The Commission’s public interest analysis under section 310(b)(4) also considers national security, law enforcement, foreign policy, or trade issues that may be raised by the foreign ownership.[[110]](#footnote-112)
41. Frontier (Petitioner) requests a declaratory ruling, pursuant to section 310(b)(4) of the Act and section 1.5000(a)(1) of the Commission’s rules,[[111]](#footnote-113) to permit foreign ownership of the Operating Subsidiaries’ proposed, controlling U.S. parent, Frontier Intermediate, to exceed the 25% benchmark specified in section 310(b)(4) of the Act. Petitioner asserts that the proposed foreign interest of the Operating Subsidiaries’ proposed, controlling U.S. parent, Frontier Intermediate, would serve the public interest.[[112]](#footnote-114)
42. According to the Petition, Reorganized Frontier, Frontier Intermediate, and Frontier Holdings, all Delaware entities that are anticipated to be corporations, will be formed to replace Frontier, the current publicly traded parent company.[[113]](#footnote-115) Upon emergence from bankruptcy, Reorganized Frontier will own directly 100% of the equity and voting interests of Frontier Intermediate.[[114]](#footnote-116) In turn, Frontier Intermediate will own directly 100% of the equity and voting interests of Frontier Holdings, which will directly or indirectly hold 100% of the equity and voting interests of the Operating Subsidiaries.[[115]](#footnote-117) The licenses and authorization held by each Subsidiary will continue to be held by the same entities upon emerging from bankruptcy.
43. Petitioner estimates that, upon emergence from bankruptcy, approximately 80% of the voting and 78% of the equity interests in Reorganized Frontier will be held directly or indirectly by foreign individuals or entities.[[116]](#footnote-118) The Petition states that, under the plan of reorganization, the Senior Noteholders would collectively hold the new common stock of Reorganized Frontier.[[117]](#footnote-119) Petitioner claims that no single Senior Noteholder is anticipated to hold a 10% or greater direct or indirect equity or voting interest in Reorganized Frontier.[[118]](#footnote-120) In addition, at emergence from bankruptcy, only four Senior Noteholders are expected to hold more than a 5%, but less than a 10%, equity and voting interest directly or indirectly in Reorganized Frontier, none of which will exercise day-to-day management of Reorganized Frontier.[[119]](#footnote-121) The Petition states that only one of these four Senior Noteholders is a foreign entity or foreign-owned or -controlled.[[120]](#footnote-122) In addition, Petitioner asserts that Reorganized Frontier is intended to become a publicly traded company as promptly as reasonably practicable after Frontier’s emergence from Chapter 11 bankruptcy.[[121]](#footnote-123)
44. Specifically, Petitioner seeks Commission authorization for foreign investors to hold, in the aggregate, directly and/or indirectly, 100% of Frontier Intermediate’s equity and/or voting interests subject to the specific approval requirements in section 1.5004 of the Commission’s rules.[[122]](#footnote-124) Pursuant to section 1.5001(i) of the rules,[[123]](#footnote-125) Petitioner requests specific approval for HG Vora Special Opportunities Master Fund, Ltd. (Cayman Islands) to hold 5.5% equity and voting interests in Reorganized Frontier, and thus indirectly in Frontier Intermediate, upon emergence from Chapter 11 bankruptcy.[[124]](#footnote-126)
45. Petitioner also requests advance approval, pursuant to section 1.5001(k),[[125]](#footnote-127) for HG Vora Special Opportunities Master Fund, Ltd. to increase its direct or indirect equity and/or voting interests in Reorganized Frontier, and thus indirectly in Frontier Intermediate, up to and including a 49.99% non-controlling interest.
46. We received no comments regarding foreign ownership, and, as discussed above, NTIA, on behalf of the Committee, has advised the Commission that it had no objection to the Commission approving the authority sought, provided that the Commission conditions its approval on the assurance of Frontier to abide by the commitment and undertakings set forth in the LOA.
47. Based on our review of the record, under section 310(b)(4) of the Act and the Commission’s foreign ownership rules and policies, we find that the public interest would not be served by prohibiting foreign ownership of Frontier Intermediate, the controlling U.S. parent, in excess of the 25% benchmark in section 310(b)(4) of the Act. We, therefore, grant the Petition subject to the conditions set out herein. This ruling authorizes, first, 100% aggregate foreign ownership of Frontier Intermediate, as the controlling U.S. parent of Operating Subsidiaries, subject to the terms and conditions set forth in the section 1.5004 of the Commission’s rules.[[126]](#footnote-128)
48. In addition, pursuant to section 1.5001(i) of the rules, we approve the foreign 5.5% equity and voting interests that would be held indirectly in Frontier Intermediate by HG Vora Special Opportunities Master Fund, Ltd., upon emergence from Chapter 11 bankruptcy. We also approve Petitioner’s request for advance approval, pursuant to section 1.5001(k), permitting HG Vora Special Opportunities Master Fund, Ltd. to increase its indirect equity and voting interest in Frontier Intermediate up to and including a 49.99% non-controlling interest.
49. Finally, under this ruling, Petitioner has an affirmative duty to monitor its foreign equity and voting interest, calculate its interests consistent with the principles enunciated by the Commission, including the standards and criteria set forth in sections 1.5002 through 1.5003 of the rules,[[127]](#footnote-129) and otherwise ensure continuing compliance with the provisions of section 310(b) of the Act.[[128]](#footnote-130) A failure to comply or remain in compliance with a condition of this authorization shall constitute grounds for declaring it terminated without further action on the part of the Commission. Failure to meet a condition of this ruling may also result in monetary sanctions or other enforcement action by the Commission.
50. **RURAL DIGITAL OPPORTUNITY FUND PHASE I AUCTION (AUCTION 904)**
51. In connection with its timely-filed short-form application to participate in Auction 904,[[129]](#footnote-131) Frontier sought a waiver of section 1.21001(d)(4) of the Commission’s rules, which prohibits major modifications of applications to participate in competitive bidding for universal service support, in the event that Frontier would emerge from bankruptcy while its application was pending.[[130]](#footnote-132) Bidding in Auction 904 began on October 29, 2020, and concluded on November 25, 2020.[[131]](#footnote-133) Frontier was one of the 180 winning bidders in the auction.[[132]](#footnote-134) The *Auction 904 Closing Public Notice* established the deadline of January 29, 2021, for winning bidders to submit a long-form application for support.[[133]](#footnote-135) The public notice also noted that, with release of the notice, winning bidders were no longer required to maintain the accuracy and completeness of information furnished in their short-form applications.[[134]](#footnote-136)
52. On December 18, 2020, Frontier supplemented its Auction 904 Petition to also request a waiver of the rules prohibiting major modifications to a long-form application.[[135]](#footnote-137) Frontier also requested a waiver of the default provision of section 1.21004 of the Commission’s rules.[[136]](#footnote-138) In its Auction 904 Supplement, Frontier states that it anticipates that it will complete the restructuring and emerge from bankruptcy in the first quarter of 2021.[[137]](#footnote-139) Frontier notes that completing the restructuring would involve a change in ownership that would constitute an assignment or transfer of control of Frontier, which would preclude the winning bidder from filing a long-form application as required under section 1.21004.
53. According to Frontier, grant of the request to waive sections 1.21001 and 1.21004 of the Commission’s rules is in the public interest because absent a waiver Frontier would have to choose between (1) emerging from bankruptcy, thereby risking disqualification from the auction, and default on its auction bids, and (2) delaying its emergence from bankruptcy and postponing the benefits of restructuring to Frontier and its customers.[[138]](#footnote-140)
54. Generally, the Commission’s rules may be waived for good cause shown.[[139]](#footnote-141) Waiver of the Commission’s rules is appropriate only if special circumstances warrant a deviation from the rule and such deviation will serve the public interest.[[140]](#footnote-142) The Commission may exercise its discretion to waive a rule where the particular facts make strict compliance inconsistent with the public interest.[[141]](#footnote-143) In addition, the Commission may take into account considerations of hardship, equity, or more effective implementation of policy on an overall basis.[[142]](#footnote-144) We conclude that there is good cause for granting a conditional waiver of the relevant requirements of sections 1.21004 and 54.804 as needed to facilitate the participation of Reorganized Frontier and/or its Operating Subsidiaries in the post-auction application process for any bids won by Frontier in Auction 904. [[143]](#footnote-145) We condition the waiver upon consummation of the transaction and Reorganized Frontier and/or its Operating Subsidiaries meeting all of Frontier’s auction-related obligations and all qualifications to become authorized for Rural Digital Opportunity Fund Phase I support.
55. We find that this waiver is appropriate in the particular circumstances of this court-approved bankruptcy proceeding which had commenced long before the deadline for filing a short-form application to participate in Auction 904.[[144]](#footnote-146) In its short-form application, Frontier adequately disclosed its ownership structure and disclosed, in an attachment to its auction application, that it had filed for bankruptcy, citing the Bankruptcy Court docket number for the pending proceeding.[[145]](#footnote-147)
56. Granting the requested waiver will not undermine the purposes of the rule requiring the winning bidder (or its assignees) to file the long-form application(s), or the rule prohibiting major modifications of a pending long-form application. Those rules promote the same policy objectives as those underlying the prohibition against major modifications to Auction 904 short-form applications: “including, but not limited to, assuring that an auction applicant’s ownership structure, financial condition, and real parties-in-interest are fully disclosed and can be assessed prior to the auction; the representations and certifications in the application remain effective and enforceable while the application is pending; the pre-auction process is transparent; and the Commission, auction participants, and other applicants have consistent and transparent information about the identity of other applicants, which enhances auction competition by leveling the informational playing field.” [[146]](#footnote-148) Section 54.804’s requirement that the long-form application be submitted by the same entity (or its assignees) that is the winning bidder and its prohibition on transfer of control of a long-form applicant also safeguard against speculative bidding by those that might seek to “shop” winning bids to undisclosed third parties.[[147]](#footnote-149) None of those concerns are implicated here. Frontier disclosed its current and future real parties-in-interest in its short-form application as well as the Section 214 Application.[[148]](#footnote-150) Frontier has also confirmed the continuing effectiveness of the representations in its short-form application.[[149]](#footnote-151) Moreover, Frontier has indicated that, upon consummation of the proposed transaction, the parties in the long-form application will continue to be bound by Frontier’s actions in Auction 904 and will fulfill its Rural Digital Opportunity Fund Phase I obligations.[[150]](#footnote-152) Thus, we conclude that the rule’s purpose of safeguarding the integrity of the Auction 904 long-form application review and qualification process does not require strict application of the rule requiring the winning bidder to file the long-form application or the rule prohibiting major amendments of a long-form application in these special circumstances, and that deviation from the general rule is warranted.[[151]](#footnote-153)
57. Granting this waiver also offers potential public interest benefits that would not be realized through strict application of the rule. The purpose of Auction 904—identifying qualified applicants that are willing and able to compete to provide a specified level of service in eligible unserved areas in exchange for the lowest possible subsidy—will be promoted by encouraging participation and avoiding unnecessary disqualification.[[152]](#footnote-154) We find that granting this waiver and facilitating Frontier to emerge from bankruptcy will result in a long-form applicant that is better able to meet the Rural Digital Opportunity Fund Phase I public interest obligations, and will be better able to obtain letters of credit required for authorization of Rural Digital Opportunity Fund Phase I support.[[153]](#footnote-155) We also find that waiver of these rules will help to ensure that Frontier does not default on its auction obligations, which would undermine an objective of the Rural Digital Opportunity Fund Phase I auction process by leaving areas won by Frontier unserved. Instead, allowing Reorganized Frontier, which is in a stronger financial position than Frontier, will accelerate deployment of fixed broadband service in areas won by Frontier in Auction 904.
58. **CONCLUSION**
59. After a thorough review of the Applications and the record in this proceeding, we find that the Applicants are fully qualified and conclude that the grant of the Applications, as conditioned, would serve the public interest. We also find the public interest would not be served by prohibiting the foreign ownership that would be held in the Operating Subsidiaries’ proposed controlling U.S. parent, Frontier Intermediate, upon emergence from Chapter 11 bankruptcy. We also find the public interest would not be served by prohibiting the foreign ownership that would be held in the Operating Subsidiaries’ proposed controlling U.S. parent, Frontier Intermediate, upon emergence from Chapter 11 bankruptcy, or by strictly applying the prohibition on major changes to a Rural Digital Opportunity Fund long-form application in these particular circumstances. We therefore grant the Applications, Petition, and waiver request.
60. **ORDERING CLAUSES**
61. Accordingly, having reviewed the record in this matter, **IT IS ORDERED**, pursuant to sections 4(i) and (j), 5(c), 214(a), 214(c), 303(r), 309, and 310(d) of the Act, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), 154(j), 155(c), 214(a), 214(c), 303(r), 309, 310(d), and sections 1.948, 63.04, and 63.24 of the Commission’s rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 1.948, 63.04, 63.24, and pursuant to the authority delegated under sections 0.51, 0.91, 0.131, 0.261, 0.291, and 0.331 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 0.51, 0.91, 0.131, 0.261, 0.291, 0.331, that theApplications to transfer control of the authorizations listed in Appendix A **ARE GRANTED**, as conditioned in this Memorandum Opinion and Order and Declaratory Ruling.
62. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, pursuant to sections 4(i)-(j) and 310(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i)-(j), 310(b), and sections 1.5001-04 of the Commission’s rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 1.5001-04, the Petition for Declaratory Ruling filed by Petitioner **IS GRANTED**, as conditioned in this Memorandum Opinion and Order and Declaratory Ruling.
63. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, pursuant to Sections 4(i)-(j) and 310(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i)-(j), 310(b), and §§ 1.5001-04 of the Commission’s rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.5001-04, the Petition to Adopt Conditions of the U.S. Department of Justice **IS GRANTED**.
64. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, pursuant to sections 4(i)-(j), 214, 303(r), 309, 310(b), and 310(d) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i)-(j), 214, 303(r), 309, 310(b), 310(d), grant of the Applications and Petition for Declaratory Ruling **IS CONDITIONED UPON** compliance by Frontier Communications Corporation with the Letter of Agreement from Mark D. Nielsen, Executive Vice President and Chief Legal Officer, Frontier Communications Corporation, to the Chief, Foreign Investment Review Section (FIRS), Deputy Chief, Compliance and Enforcement (FIRS) on Behalf of the Assistant Attorney General for National Security, United States Department of Justice National Security Division, dated December 17, 2020. Any failure to comply and/or remain in compliance with any of these commitments and undertakings shall constitute a failure to meet a condition of the underlying authorizations and licenses and thus grounds for declaring the authorizations and licenses terminated without any further action on the part of the Commission. Failure to meet a condition of the license may also result in monetary sanctions or other enforcement action by the Commission.
65. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, pursuant to sections 4(i) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 154(i), the conditional waiver of sections 1.21004 and 54.804(b)(1), (2)(vii), (6)(ii) and (6)(iv), 47 C.F.R. §§ 1.21004 and 54.804(b)(1), (2)(vii), (6)(ii) and (6)(iv), as needed with respect to any Auction 904 long-form application filed by Frontier or its successors-in-interest, **IS GRANTED** to the extent provided in this Memorandum Opinion and Order and Declaratory Ruling.
66. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that this Memorandum Opinion and Order and Declaratory Ruling **SHALL BE EFFECTIVE** upon release, in accordance with section 1.102 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR § 1.102. Petitions for reconsideration under section 1.106 of the Commission’s Rules, 47 CFR § 1.106, may be filed within thirty days of the release date of this Memorandum Opinion and Order and Declaratory Ruling.
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**ATTACHMENT A**

**SECTION 214 AUTHORIZATIONS**

**A. International**

The International Bureau grants the following applications for consent to the assignment of certain international section 214 authorizations:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| File Number | Authorization Holder | Authorization Number |
| ITC-ASG-20200625-00095 | Citizens Telecommunications Company of California Inc., Debtor-in-Possession | ITC-214-20080219-00078 |
| ITC-ASG-20200625-00096 | Commonwealth Telephone Enterprises, LLC, Debtor-in-Possession | ITC-214-19960726-00343 |
| ITC-ASG-20200625-00097 | Frontier California Inc., Debtor-in-Possession | ITC-214-20080219-00063 |
| ITC-ASG-20200625-00098 | SNET America, Inc., Debtor-in-Possession | ITC-214-19930716-00119, ITC-214-19950215-00064, ITC-MOD-20041129-00487 |
| ITC-ASG-20200625-00099 | Frontier West Virginia Inc., Debtor-in-Possession | ITC-214-20080219-00071 |
| ITC-ASG-20200625-00100 | GVN Services, Debtor-in-Possession | ITC-214-20020225-00113 |
| ITC-ASG-20200625-00102 | Frontier Communications of America, Inc., Debtor-in-Possession | ITC-214-19971202-00753, ITC-214-20001121-00680 |
| ITC-ASG-20200625-00103 | Frontier Communications of the Carolinas LLC, Debtor-in-Possession | ITC-214-20090528-00564 |
| ITC-ASG-20200625-00104 | Frontier Communications Online and Long Distance Inc., Debtor-in-Possession | ITC-214-20090528-00565 |
| ITC-ASG-20200625-00105 | Frontier Florida LLC, Debtor-in-Possession | ITC-214-20080219-00064 |
| ITC-ASG-20200625-00106 | Frontier Communications of the Southwest Inc., Debtor-in-Possession | ITC-214-20090528-00563 |
| ITC-ASG-20200625-00107 | Frontier Southwest Incorporated, Debtor-in-Possession | ITC-214-20080219-00077 |
| ITC-ASG-20200625-00108 | Frontier Midstates Inc., Debtor-in-Possession | ITC-214-20080219-00081 |
| ITC-ASG-20200625-00109 | Frontier North Inc., Debtor-in-Possession | ITC-214-20080219-00082 |

**B. Domestic**

The Wireline Competition Bureau grants the application filed by Frontier Communications Corporation, Debtor-in-Possession (Frontier) and its wholly-owned operating subsidiaries to transfer control of domestic section 214 authority in WC Docket No. 20-197.[[154]](#footnote-156)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |

**SECTION 310(d) APPLICATIONS**

The Wireless Telecommunications Bureau grants the following applications for consent to the assignment and transfer of control of licenses:

**WIRELESS LICENSES**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| ULS File Number | Licensee | Lead Call Sign |
| 0009091707 | Citizens Telecommunications Company of California Inc., Debtor-in-Possession | KLR825 |
| 0009093123 | Citizens Telecommunications of Nevada, Debtor-in-Possession | KYJ80 |
| 0009093138 | Citizens Telecommunications Company of New York, Inc., Debtor-in-Possession | KEH87 |
| 0009093193 | Citizens Telecommunications Company of Tennessee L.L.C., Debtor-in-Possession | WAU236 |
| 0009093219 | Citizens Telecommunications Company of the White Mountains, Inc., Debtor-in-Possession | KPR50 |
| 0009093247 | Citizens Telecommunications Company of Utah, Debtor-in-Possession | KFI82 |
| 0009093259 | Citizens Utilities Rural Company, Inc., Debtor-in-Possession | KNLW337 |
| 0009093314 | Frontier California Inc., Debtor-in-Possession | KF5881 |
| 0009094735 | Frontier Communications Corporation, Debtor-in-Possession | WQKA212 |
| 0009093324 | Frontier Communications of the Carolinas LLC, Debtor-in-Possession | KCG60 |
| 0009093343 | Frontier Communications of the Southwest Inc., Debtor-in-Possession | KNB36 |
| 0009097212 | Frontier Communications of Wisconsin LLC, Debtor-in-Possession | WXS416 |
| 0009097218 | Frontier Florida LLC, Debtor-in-Possession | WQIX281 |
| 0009097222 | Frontier North Inc., Debtor-in-Possession | KGH31 |
| 0009097224 | Frontier Southwest Incorporated, Debtor-in-Possession | KG4012 |
| 0009097236 | Frontier West Virginia Inc., Debtor-in-Possession | WREH823 |
| 0009097245 | Navajo Communications Company, Inc., Debtor-in-Possession | KNKL556 |
| 0009097254 | NCC Systems, Inc., Debtor-in-Possession | WPRB360 |
| 0009097259 | Southern New England Telephone Company, Debtor-in-Possession | KCB95 |

**Petition for Declaratory Ruling Under Section 310(b)(4)**

The International Bureau grants the Petition, File No. ISP-PDR-20200624-00005.

1. *See* Joint Application of Frontier Communications Corporation, Debtor-in-Possession and its Wholly- Owned Operating Subsidiaries for Consent to Assign and Transfer Control of Domestic and International Section 214 Authorizations Holders, WC Docket No. 20-197 (filed June 24, 2020) (Lead Application, and together with the applications listed in Attachment A, Applications). [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
2. 47 U.S.C. §§ 214, 310(d). [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
3. 47 CFR §§ 1.948, 63.03-04, 63.18, 63.24. The Commission licenses and authorizations subject to the applications are listed in Attachment A and include existing domestic and international section 214 authorizations and wireless licenses. [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
4. Lead Application at 1-2. On April 14, 2020, Frontier and all of its direct and indirect subsidiaries, including the Operating Subsidiaries, filed voluntary petitions with the Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York to comprehensively restructure Frontier under Chapter 11. Lead Application at 1 n.3 (citing *In re Frontier Communications Corporation, et al.,* Case No. 20-22476 (RDD)(Bankr. SDNY 2020) (Bankruptcy Court Decision)). On May 15, 2020, Applicants filed the Plan with the Bankruptcy Court to implement the terms of a Restructuring Support Agreement (RSA) with Frontier’s senior unsecured noteholders holding more than 75% of its unsecured bonds (such noteholders, the Consenting Noteholders, and all holders of Frontier’s unsecured senior notes, the Senior Noteholders). Lead Application at n.3; Exh. 1 (Description of the Parties, Description of the Transaction, Public Interest Statement (Public Interest Statement)) at 1-2, 9-13, and Attach. B (Restructuring Plan). [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
5. Frontier Communications Corporation, Debtor-in-Possession and Its Licensee Subsidiaries, Petition for Declaratory Ruling Under Section 310(b)(4) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, IBFS File No. ISP-PDR-20200624-00005 (filed June 24, 2020) (Petition) (citing 47 U.S.C. § 310(b)(4); 47 CFR § 1.5000(a)(1)). [↑](#footnote-ref-7)
6. 47 CFR §§ 1.21001, 1.21004, 54.804; Frontier Communications Corporation, Debtor-in-Possession, Petition for Waiver, AU Docket No. 20-34 (filed July 15, 2020) (Auction 904 Petition); Frontier Communications Corporation, Debtor-in-Possession, Supplement to Petition for Waiver, AU Docket No. 20-34 (filed Dec. 18, 2020) (Auction 904 Supplement). Applications to participate in Auction 904 were due July 15, 2020. Frontier Communications Corporation, Debtor-in-Possession was the entity that applied to participate in the auction. *Rural Digital Opportunity Fund Phase I Auction Scheduled For October 29, 2020; Notice and Filing Requirements and Other Procedures For Auction 904*, Public Notice, 35 FCC Rcd 6077, 6082, para. 12 (2020) (*Auction 904 Procedures Public Notice*). [↑](#footnote-ref-8)
7. *Applications Filed for the Assignment and Transfer of Control of Authorizations Held by Frontier Communications Corporation, Debtor-in-Possession and its Wholly-Owned Subsidiaries,* WC Docket No. 20-197, Public Notice, 35 FCC Rcd 7352 (WCB, IB, WTB 2020) (Public Notice). [↑](#footnote-ref-9)
8. *See* Communications Workers of America & The Utility Reform Network Comments, WC Docket No. 20-197 (rec. Aug. 20, 2020) (CWA/TURN Comments). [↑](#footnote-ref-10)
9. *See* Communications Workers of America & The Utility Reform Network Reply, WC Docket No. 20-197 (rec. Sept. 8, 2020) (CWA/TURN Reply); Frontier Communications Corporation Reply, WC Docket No. 20-197 (rec. Sept. 8, 2020) (Frontier Reply). [↑](#footnote-ref-11)
10. *See* Letter from Jonathan Spalter, CEO, USTelecom, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, FCC, WC Docket No. 20-197 (filed Sept. 8, 2020) (USTelecom *Ex Parte*). [↑](#footnote-ref-12)
11. *See* Letter from William F. Maher & Jennifer L. Kostyu, Counsel, Frontier, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, FCC, WC Docket No. 20-197 (filed Aug. 31, 2020) (Frontier Aug. 31 Letter). [↑](#footnote-ref-13)
12. *See* Letter from William F. Maher & Jennifer L. Kostyu, Counsel, Frontier, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, FCC, WC Docket No. 20-197, at 1 (filed Oct. 30, 2020) (Frontier Oct. 30 Letter). [↑](#footnote-ref-14)
13. Letter from Alice Suh Jou, National Security Division, DOJ, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, FCC, WC Docket No. 20-197, File Nos. ISP-PDR-20200624-00005 *et al*. (filed Aug. 3, 2020). The Committee was established in Executive Order 13913, 85 Fed. Reg. 19643 (Apr. 8, 2020), to, among other things, review FCC applications and petitions with foreign ownership for risks to national security and law enforcement interests. The Department of Justice, the Department of Homeland Security, and the Department of Defense are members of the Committee. *Id.* at Sec. 3(b). [↑](#footnote-ref-15)
14. Letter from Alice Suh Jou, National Security Division, DOJ, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, FCC, WC Docket No. 20-197, File Nos. ISP-PDR-20200624-00005 *et al*. (filed Sept. 21, 2020). [↑](#footnote-ref-16)
15. National Telecommunications and Information Administration, Petition to Adopt Conditions to Authorizations and Licenses, WC Docket No. 20-197, File Nos. ISP-PDR-20200624-00005 *et al*., at 1-2 (filed Dec. 28, 2020) (NTIA Petition) (citing the Letter of Agreement from Mark D. Nielson, Executive Vice President and Chief Legal Officer, Frontier, to the Chief, Foreign Investment Review Section (FIRS), Deputy Chief, Compliance and Enforcement (FIRS) on Behalf of the Assistant Attorney General for National Security, United States Department of Justice National Security Division (dated Dec. 17, 2020)). A copy of the LOA is attached to the NTIA Petition. [↑](#footnote-ref-17)
16. *386 Applicants Qualified to Bid in the Rural Digital Opportunity Fund Phase I Auction (Auction 904)*, 35 FCC Rcd 11356, 11374 (OEA/WCB 2020) (*Auction 904 Qualified Bidders Public Notice*). [↑](#footnote-ref-18)
17. *Rural Digital Opportunity Fund Phase I Auction (Auction 904) Closes; Winning Bidders Announced; FCC Form 683 Due January 29, 2021*,35 FCC Rcd 13888, 13914-15 (OEA/WCB 2020) (*Auction 904 Closing Public Notice*). [↑](#footnote-ref-19)
18. 47 U.S.C. §§ 214, 310(d). [↑](#footnote-ref-20)
19. Public Interest Statement at 4 (numbers as of December 31, 2019). [↑](#footnote-ref-21)
20. *Id*. Frontier’s current service territories are located in Alabama, Arizona, California, Connecticut, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Nebraska, Nevada, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, West Virginia, and Wisconsin. Frontier also serves a de minimis number of customers in Virginia. *Id*. at 4 n.6. [↑](#footnote-ref-22)
21. *Id*. at 4. [↑](#footnote-ref-23)
22. *Id*. at 4-5. According to Frontier it generated full-year 2019 revenue of approximately $8.1 billion and a net income loss attributable to common shareholders of approximately $5.9 billion. *Id*. at 5. It has a significant amount of funded indebtedness, which amounted to approximately $17.5 billion as of the date it filed its bankruptcy petitions, of which $5.7 billion was secured. *Id*. As a result of its financial challenges, Frontier’s stock price dropped from $125.70 per share in 2015 to $0.37 per share prior to its bankruptcy petitions, reflecting an $8.4 billion decrease in market capitalization. *Id*. It reports that did not pay any dividends to its shareholders in 2018 or 2019. *Id*. [↑](#footnote-ref-24)
23. Frontier Aug. 31 Letter at 1 and Attach. 1 (Confirmation Order and Plan). The *Bankruptcy Court Approval Order* contains a timeline of the proceedings. Frontier Communications Corporation, et al., Finding of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order Confirming the Fifth Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization of Frontier Communications Corporation and its Debtor Affiliates Pursuant to Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, Case No. 20-22476 (RDD), at 1-4 (Bankr. SDNY 2020) (*Bankruptcy Court Approval Order*). [↑](#footnote-ref-25)
24. Public Interest Statement at 10. [↑](#footnote-ref-26)
25. Lead Application at 3-4; Public Interest Statement at 2-3, 9-12. Applicants state that some of the Senior Noteholders that will obtain a pro rata share of the equity in Reorganized Frontier are domiciled in foreign jurisdictions or have entities in their control chains that are domiciled in foreign jurisdictions. Public Interest Statement at 19; Petition at 3. [↑](#footnote-ref-27)
26. Lead Application at 3; Public Interest Statement at 3, 12. [↑](#footnote-ref-28)
27. Public Interest Statement at 12. [↑](#footnote-ref-29)
28. Lead Application at 3; Public Interest Statement at 1 n.2, 12-13 and Attach. C (Pre- and Post-Restructuring Corporate Structure); Petition at 2-3. The stockholders of Reorganized Frontier will be the Senior Noteholders; however, the specific holding company structure and names of the holding company entities may be modified during the course of the bankruptcy proceeding. [↑](#footnote-ref-30)
29. Public Interest Statement at 12; Petition at 2-3. [↑](#footnote-ref-31)
30. Public Interest Statement at 12-13. [↑](#footnote-ref-32)
31. *Id*. [↑](#footnote-ref-33)
32. *Id*., Attach. C. [↑](#footnote-ref-34)
33. *Id*. [↑](#footnote-ref-35)
34. Public Interest Statement. at 13. [↑](#footnote-ref-36)
35. *Id*. [↑](#footnote-ref-37)
36. 47 U.S.C. §§ 214(a), 310(d). [↑](#footnote-ref-38)
37. 47 CFR § 63.03(c)(1)(v) (noting that an analysis under section 214(a) includes a determination of “whether a proposed transfer of control would serve the public interest”); s*ee also, e.g.*, *Applications of T-Mobile US, Inc. and Sprint Corporation for Consent to Transfer Control of Licenses and Authorizations, et al.*, WT Docket 18-197, Memorandum Opinion and Order, Declaratory Ruling, and Order of Proposed Modification, 34 FCC Rcd 10578, 10595, para. 39 (2019) (*T-Mobile-Sprint Order)*; *Applications of* *Link-Level 3 Communications, Inc. and CenturyLink, Inc. for Consent to Transfer Control of Licenses and* Authorizations, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 32 FCC Rcd 9581, 9585, para. 8 (2017) (*CenturyLink-Level 3 Order*); *Applications filed by Qwest Communications International Inc. and CenturyTel, Inc. d/b/a CenturyLink for Consent to Transfer Control*, WC Docket No. 10-110, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 26 FCC Rcd 4194, 4198-99, para. 7 (2011) (*CenturyLink-Qwest Order*); *AT&T Inc. and BellSouth Corporation Application for Transfer of Control*, WC Docket No. 06-74, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 22 FCC Rcd 5662, 5672, para. 19 (2007) (*AT&T-BellSouth Order*). [↑](#footnote-ref-39)
38. *T-Mobile-Sprint Order*, 34 FCC Rcd at 10595, para. 39; *CenturyLink-Level 3 Order*, 32 FCC Rcd at 9585, para. 8; *CenturyLink-Qwest Order,* 26 FCC Rcd at 4199, para. 7. [↑](#footnote-ref-40)
39. *See, e.g.,* *T-Mobile-Sprint Order*, 34 FCC Rcd at 10595, para. 40; *CenturyLink-Level 3 Order*, 32 FCC Rcd at 9585, para 9. [↑](#footnote-ref-41)
40. *See, e.g.,* *T-Mobile-Sprint Order*, 34 FCC Rcd at 10595, para. 40; *CenturyLink-Level 3 Order*, 32 FCC Rcd at 9585, para. 9; *see* *also* *Northeast Utils. Serv. Co. v. FERC*, 993 F.2d 937, 947 (1st Cir. 1993) (public interest standard does not require agencies “to analyze proposed mergers under the same standards that the Department of Justice . . . must apply”). [↑](#footnote-ref-42)
41. *T-Mobile-Sprint Order*, 34 FCC Rcd at 10595-96, para. 40; *CenturyLink-Level 3 Order*, 32 FCC Rcd at 9585, para. 9. [↑](#footnote-ref-43)
42. *See, e.g.,* *T-Mobile-Sprint Order*, 34 FCC Rcd at 10596, para. 40; *CenturyLink-Level 3 Order*, 32 FCC Rcd at 9585-86, para. 9. [↑](#footnote-ref-44)
43. *See, e.g.,* *T-Mobile-Sprint Order*, 34 FCC Rcd at 10596, para. 40; *CenturyLink-Level 3 Order*, 32 FCC Rcd at 9586, para. 9. [↑](#footnote-ref-45)
44. *See, e.g.,* *T-Mobile-Sprint Order*, 34 FCC Rcd at 10596, para. 41; *CenturyLink-Level 3 Order*, 32 FCC Rcd at 9586, para. 10. [↑](#footnote-ref-46)
45. *See, e.g.,* *T-Mobile-Sprint Order*, 34 FCC Rcd at 10596, para. 41; *CenturyLink-Level 3 Order*, 32 FCC Rcd at 9586, para. 10. [↑](#footnote-ref-47)
46. 47 U.S.C. § 309(e); *T-Mobile-Sprint Order*, 34 FCC Rcd at 10596, para. 41; *CenturyLink-Level 3 Order*, 32 FCC Rcd at 9586, para. 10. [↑](#footnote-ref-48)
47. *See, e.g.,* *T-Mobile-Sprint Order*, 34 FCC Rcd at 10596, para. 41; *Applications of GCI Communication Corp., ACS Wireless License Sub, Inc., ACS of Anchorage License Sub, Inc., and Unicom, Inc. for Consent to Assign Licenses to the Alaska Wireless Network, LLC*, Memorandum Opinion and Order and Declaratory Ruling, 28 FCC Rcd at 10433, 10443-44, para. 26 (2013) (*Alaska Wireless-GCI Order*). [↑](#footnote-ref-49)
48. *See, e.g.,* *T-Mobile-Sprint Order*, 34 FCC Rcd at 10596, para. 42; *CenturyLink-Level 3 Order*, 32 FCC Rcd at 9586, para. 11. [↑](#footnote-ref-50)
49. 47 U.S.C. § 309(e); *T-Mobile-Sprint Order*, 34 FCC Rcd at 10596, para. 42; *CenturyLink-Level 3 Order*, 32 FCC Rcd at 9586-87, para. 11. Section 309(e)’s requirement applies only to those applications to which Title III of the Act applies. *T-Mobile-Sprint Order*, 34 FCC Rcd at 10596, para. 42, n.131 (citing *ITT World Communications, Inc. v. FCC*, 595 F.2d 897, 901 (2d Cir. 1979) (*ITT World v. FCC*); *CenturyLink-Level 3 Order*, 32 FCC Rcd at 9586-87, para. 11 & n.37). The Commission is not required to designate for hearing applications for the transfer or assignment of Title II authorizations when it is unable to find that the public interest would be served by granting the applications, *see*[*ITT World v. FCC*, 595 F.2d 897 at 901](https://1.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/FullText?findType=Y&serNum=1979112374&pubNum=0000350&originatingDoc=I403cce5ebef911e794bae40cad3637b1&refType=RP&fi=co_pp_sp_350_901&originationContext=document&transitionType=DocumentItem&contextData=(sc.Search)#co_pp_sp_350_901), but may do so if it finds that a hearing would be in the public interest. *CenturyLink-Level 3 Order*, 32 FCC Rcd at 9586-87, para. 11 & n.37. [↑](#footnote-ref-51)
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