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report and order

**(Proceeding Terminated)**

**Adopted: July 2, 2021 Released: July 2, 2021**

By the Chief, Video Division, Media Bureau:

1. The Video Division, Media Bureau (Bureau), has before it a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking[[1]](#footnote-3) issued in response to a Petition for Rulemaking filed by Sinclair Boise Licensee, LLC (Petitioner), the licensee of KBOI-TV (NBC), channel 9, Boise, Idaho. The Petitioner has requested the substitution of UHF channel 20 for VHF channel 9 in the DTV Table of Allotments.[[2]](#footnote-4)
2. The Petitioner filed comments in support of the petition, as required by the Commission’s rules,[[3]](#footnote-5) reaffirming its commitment to apply for channel 20. No other comments were filed. We believe the public interest would be served by substituting channel 20 for channel 9 at Boise, Idaho. The Petitioner states that the Commission has recognized that VHF channels have certain propagation characteristics which may cause reception issues for some viewers, and that the reception of VHF signals requires larger antennas generally not well suited to the mobile applications relative to UHF channels.[[4]](#footnote-6) In addition, according to the Petitioner, KBOI-TV has received numerous complaints from viewers unable to receive the Station’s over-the-air signal, despite being able to receive signals from other stations. In its Amended Engineering Exhibit, the Petitioner demonstrated that while the noise limited contour of the proposed channel 20 facility does not completely encompass the licensed channel 9 contour, only 180 persons in two small loss areas are predicted to lose service from KBOI-TV, a number the Commission considers *de minimis*.[[5]](#footnote-7)
3. As proposed, channel 20 can be substituted for channel 9 at Boise, Idaho, in compliance with the principal community coverage requirements of section 73.625(a) of the Commission’s rules (rules),[[6]](#footnote-8) at coordinates 43-45-20.8 N and 116-05-57.0 W. In addition, we find that this channel change meets the technical requirements set forth in sections 73.616 and 73.623 of the rules with the following specifications:[[7]](#footnote-9)

City and State DTV Channel DTV Power (kW) Antenna HAAT (m) DTV Service Pop.

Boise, Idaho 20 625 862 717,314

1. We also conclude that good cause exists to make this channel change effective immediately upon publication in the Federal Register, pursuant to section 553(d)(3) of the Administrative Procedure Act.[[8]](#footnote-10) An expedited effective date is necessary in this case to ensure that KBOI-TV can operate with improved service to its viewers as quickly as possible.
2. Accordingly, pursuant to the authority contained in sections 4(i), 5(c)(1), 303(g), (r) and 307(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), 155(c)(1), 303(g), (r), and 307(b), and sections 0.61, 0.204(b) and 0.283 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 0.61, 0.204(b), and 0.283, **IT IS ORDERED**, That effective immediately upon the date of publication in the Federal Register, the DTV Table of Allotments, section 73.622(i) of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR § 73.622(i), **IS AMENDED**, with respect to the community listed below, to read as follows:

City and State Channel No.

Boise, Idaho 7, 20, \*21, 39

1. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED**, That within 30 days of the effective date of this Order, Sinclair Boise Licensee, LLC shall submit to the Commission a minor change application for a construction permit (Form 2100, Schedule A) specifying channel 20 in lieu of channel 9.
2. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED**, That pursuant to section 801(a)(1)(A) of the Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. § 801(a)(1)(A), the Commission **SHALL SEND** a copy of the Order to Congress and to the Government Accountability Office.
3. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED,** Thatshould no petitions for reconsideration or petitions for judicial review be timely filed,MB Docket No. 21-156 and RM-11901 **SHALL BE TERMINATED** and its docket closed.
4. For further information concerning the proceeding listed above, contact Joyce L. Bernstein, Video Division, Media Bureau, (202) 418-1647, [Joyce.Bernstein@fcc.gov.](mailto:Joyce.Bernstein@fcc.gov)
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