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# INTRODUCTION

1. One Ministries, Inc., (One Ministries or Petitioner),[[1]](#footnote-3) licensee of television station KQSL(DT), Fort Bragg, California (Facility ID No. 8378) (KQSL or Station) filed the above-captioned Petition[[2]](#footnote-4) requesting modification of the Station’s television market to include Cloverdale and certain communities in Contra Costa, San Mateo, and San Francisco Counties located within the San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose Designated Market Area (DMA).[[3]](#footnote-5) These communities are served by Comcast systems (Comcast),[[4]](#footnote-6) on which KQSL is not being carried on a mandatory basis (Communities). The Petitioner states that all of the Communities are located within the DMA and, therefore, are presumptively part of KQSL’s market.[[5]](#footnote-7) The Petition is opposed by Comcast, with the exception of the community of Cloverdale.[[6]](#footnote-8) One Ministries filed a Reply, and Comcast filed a Surreply.[[7]](#footnote-9) For the reasons stated below, the Petition is granted in part and denied in part.

# background

## Market Modification Procedures

1. Pursuant to section 614 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (the Act), and implementing rules adopted by the Commission in its *Must Carry Order*, commercial broadcast television stations are entitled to assert mandatory carriage rights on cable systems located within the station’s market.[[8]](#footnote-10) A station’s default market for this purpose is its DMA as defined by Nielsen Media Research.[[9]](#footnote-11) A DMA is a geographic market designation that defines each television market exclusive of others, based on measured viewing patterns. Essentially, each county in the continental United States is allocated to a market based on which stations receive a preponderance of total viewing hours in the county.[[10]](#footnote-12)
2. Under the Act, however, the Commission is also directed to consider changes in a station’s local market. Section 614(h)(1)(C) provides that the Commission may:

with respect to a particular television broadcast station, include additional communities within its television market or exclude communities from such station’s television market to better effectuate the purposes of this section.[[11]](#footnote-13)

In considering such requests, the Act provides that:

the Commission shall afford particular attention to the value of localism by taking into account such factors as –

1. whether the station, or other stations located in the same area, have been historically carried on the cable system or systems within such community;
2. whether the television station provides coverage or other local service to such community;
3. whether modifying the market of the television station would promote consumers’ access to television broadcast station signals that originate in their State of residence;[[12]](#footnote-14)
4. whether any other television station that is eligible to be carried by a cable system in such community in fulfillment of the requirements of this section provides news coverage of issues of concern to such community or provides carriage or coverage of sporting and other events of interest to the community; and
5. evidence of viewing patterns in cable and noncable households within the areas served by the cable system or systems in such community.[[13]](#footnote-15)
6. In order to establish a station’s relationship to the community at issue as required by the Act, section 76.59(b) of the Commission’s rules requires requests for market modification to be supported by the following evidence:
7. A map or maps illustrating the relevant community locations and geographic features, station transmitter sites, cable system headend locations, terrain features that would affect station reception, mileage between the community and the television station transmitter site, transportation routes and any other evidence contributing to the scope of the market;
8. Noise-limited service contour maps (for full-power digital stations) or protected contour maps (for Class A and low power television stations) delineating the station’s technical service area and showing the location of the cable system headends or satellite carrier local receive facilities and communities in relation to the service areas;[[14]](#footnote-16)
9. Available data on shopping and labor patterns in the local market;
10. Television station programming information derived from station logs or the local edition of the television guide;
11. Cable system channel line-up cards or other exhibits establishing historic carriage, such as television guide listings;
12. Published audience data for the relevant station showing its average all day audience (*i.e.*, the reported audience averaged over Sunday-Saturday, 7 a.m.-1 a.m., or an equivalent time period) for both cable and noncable households or other specific audience indicia, such as station advertising and sales data or viewer contribution records; and
13. If applicable, a statement that the station is licensed to a community within the same state as the relevant community.[[15]](#footnote-17)

## KQSL

1. KQSL is licensed to Fort Bragg, California, a community located in Mendocino, California.[[16]](#footnote-18) Its primary broadcast location is a site on top of Cahto Peak, near Laytonville, California using a directional antenna at 1.3 kW ERP that transmits the Station’s signal north toward Eureka, east toward Red Bluff and south over a portion of Sonoma County.[[17]](#footnote-19) In 2011, Comcast successfully petitioned to modify KQSL’s market to exclude the Communities, as well as others outside the scope of the instant proceeding.[[18]](#footnote-20) In granting Comcast’s petition, the Media Bureau (Bureau) found that KQSL had “no history of carriage and no discernable viewership in the communities at issue.”[[19]](#footnote-21) It also found that the Station’s noise-limited service contour did not cover the communities, that it was geographically distant from the communities at an average distance of 140 miles, and that it was separated from those communities by mountainous terrain.[[20]](#footnote-22) Finally, although KQSL stated that it “intended” to “provide Asian language programming targeted to Bay Area residents,” the Bureau did not consider this expressed intention a sufficient basis for treating KQSL as a specialty station.[[21]](#footnote-23)

## The Petition

1. One Ministries asserts that the factors that previously caused the deletion of the Communities from KQSL’s market no longer apply.[[22]](#footnote-24) KQSL has constructed a distributed transmission system (DTS) that supplements KQSL’s original transmitter atop Cahto Peak with a separate transmitter in Cloverdale.[[23]](#footnote-25) The Petitioner admits that “[t]he DTS does not expand KQSL’s contour, but rather serves to improve signal coverage in Cloverdale, which is now squarely encompassed by KQSL’s 36 dBu contour and receives a good quality signal.”[[24]](#footnote-26) The Petitioner asserts that because the location of the DTS transmitter site is geographically proximate to all of the Communities, this supports their inclusion in KQSL’s market.[[25]](#footnote-27) According to One Ministries, the Station now has a history of carriage in all of the Communities not encompassed by KQSL’s contour.[[26]](#footnote-28) One Ministries describes KQSL as an independent, Christian television station that has served the San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose DMA for decades,[[27]](#footnote-29) and states that it now broadcasts “locally targeted and produced religious programming, including Spanish language programming, as well as Chinese-language news, finance, and lifestyle programming.”[[28]](#footnote-30) The Petitioner therefore contends that KQSL’s mix of religious and foreign language programming now qualifies it as a “specialty station” for the purposes of this proceeding.[[29]](#footnote-31)
2. The Petitioner notes that the Bureau has previously considered the effect of deploying a DTS on a station’s market. Like KQSL, California station KILM had been the subject of a market modification that resulted in the deletion of a number of communities from its market.[[30]](#footnote-32) Following the issuance of that decision, KAZN Licensee, the licensee of KILM, constructed a DTS that enabled it to deliver a good quality signal to the communities that had been removed from its market.[[31]](#footnote-33) Although finding it to be a “very close case,” the Bureau granted KILM’s petition to add the deleted communities back to its market.[[32]](#footnote-34) The Bureau found that KILM was able to provide signal coverage to every community it sought to add after it “invested to improve its over-the-air signal coverage” by deploying the DTS, and had developed a history of carriage in the communities at issue and surrounding communities.[[33]](#footnote-35) Similarly, the Petitioner notes that California station KVMD had been the subject of a petition that resulted in the deletion of a number of communities from the station’s market.[[34]](#footnote-36) KVMD later constructed a DTS that supplemented its original transmitter, bringing it closer to all of the deleted communities and allowing it to deliver a good quality signal to most. On the basis of this new coverage, KVMD successfully petitioned to return the deleted communities to its market.[[35]](#footnote-37)
3. As noted above, Comcast opposes the Petition to add the communities back into KQSL’s market, with the exception of the community of Cloverdale.[[36]](#footnote-38) Comcast argues that the decisions cited by the Petitioner undermine, rather than support, its Petition, because in each case the analysis centered on new DTS operations that allowed the stations to deliver a good signal to all – or almost all – of the communities at issue.[[37]](#footnote-39) Here, Comcast argues, the new DTS is limited in scope and its effect is minimal, merely serving to improve signal coverage in a single community.[[38]](#footnote-40) As Petitioner concedes, the Contested Communities all remain well outside of KQSL’s DTS noise-limited contour.[[39]](#footnote-41) Comcast asserts that where expanded over-the-air coverage was a positive factor in the Bureau’s previous DTS-related market modification decisions, KQSL’s continuing lack of over-the-air coverage even after its DTS construction must be considered a negative factor in this case.[[40]](#footnote-42)

# Discussion

1. After evaluation of the five market modification factors enumerated below, we find support for the addition of Cloverdale to KQSL’s market, but not for the addition of the Contested Communities. Accordingly, the Petition is granted in part and denied in part.

## Statutory Factors

### Historical Carriage

1. The first statutory factor we must consider is “whether the station, or other stations located in the same area, have been historically carried on the cable system or systems within such community.”[[41]](#footnote-43) When analyzing a station’s historic carriage, consideration is given not only to carriage by the operator that is the subject of the request, but also to whether the station is carried by competitors in the relevant communities.[[42]](#footnote-44)
2. The Petitioner asserts that KQSL is currently and has previously been carried within the Communities by a number of MVPDs.[[43]](#footnote-45) Today, the Station is carried in all of the Communities on systems operated by AT&T U-verse, DirecTV, DISH Network, and Wave Broadband, but Petitioner acknowledges that KQSL has been carried for fewer than ten years on each system.[[44]](#footnote-46) In opposition, Comcast argues that we should give little weight to Petitioner’s relatively short history of carriage, given its decades of operation, and notes that no Comcast system has ever carried the station in the communities.[[45]](#footnote-47) Comcast further argues that given DBS service is generally DMA-wide, voluntary DBS carriage in a particular community within the DMA is of little significance when analyzing historic carriage in an individual community.[[46]](#footnote-48) Additionally, Comcast observes that the carriage agreement with Wave Broadband commenced only last year,[[47]](#footnote-49) and argues that KQSL’s limited history of carriage in the communities is insufficient to demonstrate a nexus to the Contested Communities.[[48]](#footnote-50)
3. Comcast also argues that One Ministries’ historic carriage arguments are further undermined because KQSL lacks historic carriage in a number of cable communities that are either: “(a) adjacent to or nearby the Contested Communities; or (b) much closer to Fort Bragg[, KQSL’s community of license].”[[49]](#footnote-51) Comcast asserts that in focusing on KQSL’s recent carriage by certain MVPDs in the Contested Communities, and ignoring gaps in its cable carriage outside those Contested Communities, the Petitioner “advances an unprecedented market modification request that illogically leapfrogs across a vast expanse of Northern California and nonsensically asserts a local nexus to a scattershot collection of Bay Area communities.”[[50]](#footnote-52) Comcast argues that the only common characteristic of the Contested Communities is KQSL’s voluntary carriage by other MVPDs, and that there is “no single, integrated television market” that includes Fort Bragg and the Contested Communities.[[51]](#footnote-53)
4. In reply, the Petitioner argues that KQSL’s years of carriage by U-verse, DirecTV, and Dish are more relevant than its limited period of carriage by Wave.[[52]](#footnote-54) It also argues that carriage pursuant to retransmission consent may evidence stronger MVPD interest in KQSL’s programming because they are voluntarily carrying the station, rather than doing so to comply with a Commission mandate.[[53]](#footnote-55) Further, the Petitioner argues that Comcast can point to no authority where it is specified how long carriage must be in order to be ‘“historic’” and that the word itself is not associated with any particular length of time.[[54]](#footnote-56) The Petitioner states that this is consistent with precedent recognizing present carriage by competitors as historic carriage.[[55]](#footnote-57)
5. Finally, the Petitioner disputes Comcast’s contention that, in seeking to add the Communities to its market, the Petitioner focuses on historic and existing carriage in the Communities and ignores obvious gaps in cable carriage outside the Communities.[[56]](#footnote-58) The Petitioner argues that it need not discuss carriage in cable communities ‘“adjacent to or nearby’” the Communities or “‘much closer to Fort Bragg’” because the station has carriage in the Communities themselves.[[57]](#footnote-59)
6. Comcast is correct that DMA-wide DBS carriage is of limited relevance to the question of historic carriage in a given community, and that KQSL has not had any carriage in or around the Contested Communities, or communities between Fort Bragg and the Contest Communities, for most of its decades of operation. Nonetheless, the Station is being voluntarily carried by cable systems in the Contested Communities today, and has been for several years, notwithstanding Comcast’s own decision not to carry the stations. We therefore find that this first statutory factor weighs slightly in favor of the requested modification.

### Local Service

1. Second, we consider “whether the television station provides coverage or other local service to such community.”[[58]](#footnote-60) This statutory factor can be satisfied by demonstrating the Station’s signal coverage, its geographic proximity to the Communities, its shopping and labor patterns between the Station and the Communities, as well as locally-focused programming broadcast by the Station.
2. With respect to signal coverage, Petitioner states that KQSL recently began broadcasting using a DTS from a second transmitter site located in Cloverdale.[[59]](#footnote-61) This DTS, the Petitioner asserts, improved KQSL’s coverage of Cloverdale, which received a weak signal in the past due to mountainous terrain.[[60]](#footnote-62) The Petitioner also provides two maps showing KQSL’s current signal contour in relation to Cloverdale and the other Communities and the relevant headends for the systems serving the Communities.[[61]](#footnote-63) The Petitioner asserts that KQSL’s signal contour supports inclusion of Cloverdale in the Station’s market.[[62]](#footnote-64)
3. The Petitioner further asserts that although Cloverdale is the only Community covered by the Station’s contour, KQSL provides local service to the Communities through translator stations KQTA-LD, San Francisco, California (Facility ID No. 182960); KUKR-LD, Santa Rosa, California (Facility ID No. 182689); and KZHD-LD, Cotati, California (Facility ID No. 126508).[[63]](#footnote-65) Further, the Petitioner states that the Bureau previously granted a market modification request where the petitioning station’s translators “‘provide a Grade B signal contour over the communities at issue . . . .’”[[64]](#footnote-66) The Petitioner asserts that the Comcast headends serving the Communities are within the contours of these three translator stations.[[65]](#footnote-67) Moreover, the Petitioner notes that fiber optic transmission facilities ensure that the Station delivers a clear signal from its Santa Rosa studios to Comcast’s headend in Ukiah, California, which in turn feeds other headends serving the Communities.[[66]](#footnote-68) The Petitioner pledges that the Station will take all steps necessary to ensure that an adequate signal is delivered to all Comcast headends.[[67]](#footnote-69)
4. In Opposition, Comcast notes that One Ministries’ Petition makes clear that as a result of DTS, Cloverdale is the only Community that now falls within KQSL’s contour coverage area.[[68]](#footnote-70) Comcast argues that coverage of Cloverdale cannot somehow weigh in favor of the Petitioner’s market modification request for the Contested Communities, especially considering the distance of many of those Communities from Cloverdale.[[69]](#footnote-71) Furthermore, Comcast contends that market modification precedent does not support relying on translator coverage to compensate for a lack of over-the-air coverage by the primary signal. It argues that the Bureau already addressed and rejected this argument by KQSL in the *2011 Market Modification Order*.[[70]](#footnote-72) Finally, Comcast asserts that the Petitioner’s claim that it has fiber optic facilities to ensure that an adequate signal is delivered to Comcast is irrelevant under this factor.[[71]](#footnote-73)
5. The Petitioner responds by reiterating that it provides local service to all of the Communities either through KQSL’s recently licensed DTS or through translators.[[72]](#footnote-74) It also argues that, notwithstanding prior Commission precedent, in large markets translators may be of increased relevance for determining coverage because no station can place a contour over the entire market.[[73]](#footnote-75) The Petitioner further contends that KQSL is geographically proximate to the Communities.[[74]](#footnote-76) According to the Petitioner, the DTS transmitter site in Cloverdale is connected to the other Communities via major transportation routes, including U.S. Highway 101,[[75]](#footnote-77) and by public transportation.[[76]](#footnote-78) In terms of the Cloverdale transmitter site, the furthest Community, Redwood City, is 100.6 miles as the crow flies and 109 miles driving, while the closest Community, Cloverdale, is 0 miles both as the crow flies and driving.[[77]](#footnote-79) The Petitioner asserts that the distances between KQSL’s DTS transmitter site and the Communities are comparable to distances that were previously found geographically proximate.[[78]](#footnote-80)
6. In response, Comcast argues that One Ministries’ discussion of geographic proximity in this matter erroneously emphasizes the distance between its secondary DTS in Cloverdale and the Contested Communities, rather than the distance from its remote primary transmitter or, more importantly, KQSL’s city of license, Fort Bragg.[[79]](#footnote-81) Comcast points to the *2011 Market Modification Order* which noted that Fort Bragg is geographically distant from the communities and that the communities are separated by mountainous terrain.[[80]](#footnote-82) Comcast also adds that all of the Contested Communities are naturally separated from Fort Bragg and Cloverdale by the San Francisco Bay.[[81]](#footnote-83) Comcast notes that Fort Bragg is approximately 132 miles as the crow flies from San Francisco, the closest Contested Community, and approximately 159 miles from Redwood City, the farthest Contested Community.[[82]](#footnote-84) Finally, Comcast asserts that while it is theoretically possible to take public transportation between Cloverdale or Fort Bragg and the Contested Communities, the several hours it would take means it is not a realistic commuting option.[[83]](#footnote-85)
7. The Petitioner responds that Comcast ignores precedent for reliance on the distance between KQSL’s DTS transmitter and the Communities to demonstrate geographic proximity.[[84]](#footnote-86) Referring to the *KVMD Order*, the Petitioner argues that in granting the Petition the Bureau relied, in part, on the geographic proximity of the DTS transmitter site to the communities, notwithstanding the fact that the station’s community of license was over 180 miles from the farthest community.[[85]](#footnote-87) The Petitioner further argues that “given the vastness of the San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose DMA, comparisons to distances found acceptable in other markets may not be entirely apt.”[[86]](#footnote-88) Regardless, the Petitioner asserts that “to the extent that some of the Communities are farther away, other factors . . . demonstrate that the subset of Communities in question are still part of the same economic market.”[[87]](#footnote-89) Specifically, Petitioner maintains that Fort Bragg, KQSL’s community of license, shares strong economic connections with the Communities because it is a tourist destination.[[88]](#footnote-90) It also asserts that Fort Bragg and the Communities share many of the same demographic characteristics.[[89]](#footnote-91) Additionally, the Petitioner argues that labor patterns also connect Fort Bragg to the Communities, but provides only circumstantial evidence in favor of this theory.[[90]](#footnote-92)
8. Comcast argues that Petitioner’s assertions regarding Fort Bragg’s status as a tourist destination and its demographic makeup are irrelevant,[[91]](#footnote-93) and that no Census data or other data is presented that supports the Petitioner’s assertions of economic or labor connections.[[92]](#footnote-94) Comcast argues that no evidence is presented to show that residents of the Contested Communities regularly commute to Fort Bragg, shop there, or are connected to Fort Bragg in any meaningful way.[[93]](#footnote-95)
9. The Petitioner responds that residents of the Communities travelling to Fort Bragg as a tourist destination would be evidence of a strong economic connection.[[94]](#footnote-96) Petitioner also notes that as Comcast carries a number of San Francisco stations on its Fort Bragg system, Comcast appears to recognize that Fort Bragg is part of the same economic market as the Communities.[[95]](#footnote-97)
10. Regarding locally-focused programming offered by KQSL, the Petitioner states that the Station “airs foreign language and multicultural programming, regional and international news, and religious programming.”[[96]](#footnote-98) The Petitioner further asserts that the Station airs programs produced by local churches and ministries within the Communities.[[97]](#footnote-99) Comcast argues that given the rejection of the Station’s programming claims in the *2011 Market Modification Order*,[[98]](#footnote-100) the Petitioner should have submitted a detailed log of its coverage of specific local news and events in each of the Contested Communities over an extended period of time in this proceeding.[[99]](#footnote-101) Instead, according to Comcast, the Petitioner acknowledges that it airs Total Living Network (TLN) network programming during the vast majority of its broadcast week, and it references only a small amount of local programming but provides few details.[[100]](#footnote-102)
11. We find that the second statutory factor weighs in favor of KQSL’s Petition with regard to Cloverdale, but that it weighs strongly against the Petition with respect to the Contested Communities. As acknowledged by the Petitioner, Cloverdale is the only Community covered by the Station’s contour as a result of the construction of a DTS. With regard to the Contested Communities, relying on translator coverage does not compensate for a lack of over-the-air coverage by the primary signal even after construction of the new DTS transmitter. Petitioner acknowledges that there is no geographic proximity between the Communities and its Community of License, and we find neither the narrowly-focused DTS transmitter in Cloverdale nor the size of the DMA sufficient basis to ignore the distances involved. We also agree with Comcast that One Ministries has failed to demonstrate meaningful economic connections between Fort Bragg and any of the Communities. Finally, although Petitioner has referenced some locally-produced and locally-focused programming aired by the KQSL, we find the record on this programming insufficient, standing alone, to demonstrate that the Station provides “local service” to any of the Contested Communities.

### Promoting Consumer Access to Local Stations

1. The third statutory factor we consider is “whether modifying the market of the television station would promote consumers’ access to television broadcast station signals that originate in their State of residence.”[[101]](#footnote-103) This factor is intended to ensure that MVPD subscribers are “receiving news, politics, sports, emergency information, and other television programing relevant to their home state” and “relevant to their everyday lives.”[[102]](#footnote-104) A petitioner is considered to satisfy this factor if the involved station is licensed to a community within the same state as the new community.[[103]](#footnote-105) This factor may be given increased weight if the station provides programming specifically related to the subscribers’ state of residence, and may be given even more weight if subscribers in the existing market have little or no access to such in-state programming.[[104]](#footnote-106)
2. In support of this factor, the Petitioner states that KQSL is licensed to Fort Bragg, California and broadcasts from two transmitters located in California, which is the state in which the Communities are located.[[105]](#footnote-107) Comcast argues that KQSL does not merit special credit for its in-state location because this factor was intended to promote access to some in-state broadcasting to subscribers in ‘“border’” areas (i.e., ‘“Orphan Counties’”).[[106]](#footnote-108) Comcast is incorrect. KQSL is a licensed California station that broadcasts from transmitters in California, which is where the Communities at issue are located. We therefore find that this factor weighs in favor of the modification, but do not find sufficient evidence in the record to give this factor increased weight.

### Carriage of Other Eligible Stations

1. Fourth, we consider “whether any other television station that is eligible to be carried by a cable system in such community in fulfillment of the requirements of this section provides news coverage of issues of concern to such community or provides carriage or coverage of sporting and other events of interest to the community.”[[107]](#footnote-109) In general, this factor is interpreted as enhancing a station’s market modification petition if other stations do not sufficiently serve the communities at issue; however, other stations’ service to the communities rarely has counted against a petition.[[108]](#footnote-110)
2. The Petitioner states that “[u]pon information and belief, the Comcast systems serving the Communities carry other television stations that provide coverage of news, sports, and other issues of interest to the Communities.”[[109]](#footnote-111) Accordingly, consistent with our precedent, we assign no weight to this factor.

### Viewing Patterns

1. The fifth statutory factor focuses on “evidence of viewing patterns” in cable and noncable households “within the areas served by” the cable system or systems in such community.[[110]](#footnote-112) The Bureau has explained that, with respect to a specialty station like KQSL, this factor is generally given less weight.[[111]](#footnote-113) The Petitioner states that KQSL does not subscribe to Nielsen or any other ratings service.[[112]](#footnote-114) However, the Petitioner states that it “contacted Nielsen to discuss the possibility of obtaining the audience data specified in section 76.59(b) of the Commission’s rules, but because KQSL does not have a Nielsen encoder, Nielsen does not have any ratings information on KQSL that could be used for the purposes of the instant petition.”[[113]](#footnote-115)
2. The Petitioner concedes it is reasonable to presume that KQSL’s viewership in the Communities is lower than that of other stations with the right to demand cable carriage, but that the Bureau should consider that level of viewership to be unsurprising.[[114]](#footnote-116) KQSL does maintain a sales log from its infomercial programming, which demonstrates that in 2020, viewers from some of the Communities purchased goods after viewing KQSL programming.[[115]](#footnote-117) In addition, the Petitioner states that KQSL has received prayer requests from viewers located in the Communities.[[116]](#footnote-118)
3. In opposition, Comcast argues that One Ministries provides no formal evidence of viewing patterns in the record while conceding that the Station’s viewership is low and, therefore, the Bureau should find that this factor weighs against the market modification request.[[117]](#footnote-119) Comcast argues that KQSL’s sales logs “include only a few dozen purchases from the Contested Communities (which encompass millions of residents) over an extensive time period, and only a small handful of sales from multiple communities.”[[118]](#footnote-120) Additionally, Comcast asserts that there is nothing that suggests that these “very limited sales (which might include multiple sales from a single household) resulted from the over-the-air viewership (the relevant test here) rather than MVPD viewership.”[[119]](#footnote-121) Similarly, Comcast argues that the submission by the Petitioner of 22 prayer requests from July 25, 2018 through the filing date of the Petition proves nothing positive about KQSL viewership in the Contested Communities, which collectively have millions of potential viewers.[[120]](#footnote-122)
4. With regard to our evidentiary requirements, section 76.59(b)(6) of our rules requires published audience data for the relevant station “or other specific audience indicia, such as station advertising and sales data or viewership contribution records.”[[121]](#footnote-123) The “specific audience indicia” submitted by the Petitioner includes some entries from an infomercial sales log and a few prayer requests, some received by the Station itself, as highlighted above. While we recognize the Petitioner’s efforts to demonstrate the desire for KQSL’s programming through these infomercial sales logs and prayer requests, this evidentiary submission is not indicative of significant viewership. While this factor is given less weight with respect to a specialty station like KQSL, we nonetheless find that it weighs against modification of KQSL’s market.

### Conclusion

1. We conclude that the facts support the grant of the Petition to modify the market of KQSL, Fort Bragg, California, to include the Comcast system serving the Community of Cloverdale. The first factor weighs slightly in favor and the second and third statutory factors weigh in favor of the request with respect to Cloverdale, while only the fifth factor weighs against the request, and we assign no weight to the fourth factor. KQSL’s new DTS transmitter provides over-the-air coverage to Cloverdale, and the record demonstrates a commitment to serve this community. We also conclude, however, that we must deny the remainder of the petition. Only the first and third factors weigh at all in favor of the market modification request with respect to the Contested Communities, while the fifth factor weighs against the request and we are unable to give any weight to the fourth statutory factor. The second factor, on the other hand, weighs strongly against the request, tipping the scales firmly against a grant. For the reasons discussed herein, we grant the Petition with regard to Cloverdale and deny the Petition with regard to the Contested Communities.[[122]](#footnote-124)

# ORDERING CLAUSES

1. Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED,** pursuant to section 614(h) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 534, and section 76.59 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR § 76.59, that the captioned Petition for Special Relief (MB Docket No. 21-142, CSR 8997-A) filed by One Ministries, Inc., LLC **IS GRANTED** with regard to Cloverdale and **IS OTHERWISE DENIED**.
2. This action is taken pursuant to authority delegated by section 0.283 of the Commission’s rules.[[123]](#footnote-125)

 FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

 Steven A. Broeckaert

 Senior Deputy Chief, Policy Division

Media Bureau

1. The Petitioner states that One Ministries is a 501(c)(3) non-profit. Petition at n.1. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
2. One Ministries, Inc. Petition for Special Relief for Modification of the Television Market for Station KQSL, MB Docket No. 21-142 (filed Apr. 7, 2021), [https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/104071388910299/One%20Ministries%20-%20KQSL%20Market%20Mod%20Petition%20(Comcast).pdf](https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/104071388910299/One%20Ministries%20-%20KQSL%20Market%20Mod%20Petition%20%28Comcast%29.pdf) (*KQSL Petition*). The Media Bureau placed the Petition on public notice and sought comment. *Special Relief and Show Cause Petitions*, Public Notice, Report No. 0498 (MB Apr. 13, 2021). [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
3. Specifically, the Petitioner is seeking to add: Cloverdale (CA0110); Concord (CA0063); Contra Costa County (CA0062, CA0070, CA0075, CA0243, CA0289, CA0346, CA0354, CA0365, CA0576, CA0747, CA0924, CA1233, CA1507); Walnut Creek (CA0293, CA1395, CA1654); Martinez (CA0241); Pleasant Hill (CA0244); Berlingame (CA0925); Daly City (CA0280, CA1337); San Francisco (CA0245); San Mateo City (CA0206, CA1374); Redwood City (CA0205, CA1569); San Mateo County (CA0074, CA0076, CA0078, CA0079, CA0573, CA0575, CA0859, CA0860, CA0967, CA1377, CA1461, CA1501, CA1502, CA1523); and South San Francisco (CA0282). Petition at n.3. [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
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