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**Petition to Deny**

Dear Counsel and Applicant:

We have before us two mutually exclusive applications filed by Vida Ministry Inc. (VMI) and Ethree Group, Inc. (EGI) for construction permits for new noncommercial educational (NCE) FM stations in communities in Florida, which the Media Bureau (Bureau) designated as NCE MX Group 52.[[1]](#footnote-2) The Bureau identified the EGI Application as the tentative selectee of the group.[[2]](#footnote-3) We also have before us the Petition to Deny (Petition) the EGI Application filed by VMI,[[3]](#footnote-4) and EGI’s Opposition to the Petition.[[4]](#footnote-5) For the reasons set forth below, we grant the Petition in part, rescind our tentative selection of the EGI Application, and refer NCE MX Group 52 to the Commission to conduct a point system analysis.[[5]](#footnote-6)

*Background*. The subject applications were filed during the 2021 NCE filing window, which was open from November 2, 2021, until November 9, 2021.[[6]](#footnote-7) In the *First Fair Distribution Order*, the Bureau conducted a fair distribution analysis pursuant to section 307(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (Act),[[7]](#footnote-8) determined that only the EGI Application was eligible for a fair distribution preference, and because VMI claimed no fair distribution preference, identified the EGI Application as the tentative selectee of NCE MX Group 52.[[8]](#footnote-9)

In the Petition, VMI argues that the Bureau improperly relied on the EGI Application’s fair distribution attachment, which contained inaccurate service population totals based on a different transmitter site—located in Big Pine, Florida—instead of its proposed community of Key Colony Beach, Florida.[[9]](#footnote-10) VMI maintains that absent accurate supporting service population totals, the Bureau should reject EGI’s fair distribution claim and declare the VMI Application as the tentative selectee of NCE MX Group 52.[[10]](#footnote-11)

In its opposition to the Petition (Opposition), EGI argues that it experienced filing complications, which it believed to be rectified, and acknowledges that it mistakenly attached the exhibit for a different application, which accordingly reflects inaccurate service population totals.[[11]](#footnote-12) However, EGI maintains that its application states a correct claim of fair distribution preference because despite the erroneous attachment, accurate totals demonstrate that it would provide a secondary service to over 2,000 people and more than 10 percent of the total population.[[12]](#footnote-13) EGI further maintains that despite the error, it should remain the tentative selectee since it claims a fair distribution preference and VMI does not.[[13]](#footnote-14)

*Discussion*.Pursuant to section 309(d) of the Act,[[14]](#footnote-15) petitions to deny and informal objections must provide properly supported allegations of fact that, if true, would establish a substantial and material question of fact that grant of the application would be *prima* *facie* inconsistent with the public interest.[[15]](#footnote-16)

The Bureau makes clear that applicant fair distribution claims must be readily ascertainable from timely-filed application exhibits.[[16]](#footnote-17) EGI’s Application includes a fair distribution preference exhibit reflecting the population totals of a community, which is not its proposed community of license, Key Colony Beach.. In response to VMI’s Petition, EGI filed an Opposition, which includes an amended fair distribution of service exhibit reflecting accurate population totals for Key Colony Beach, Florida. However, the Commission and the Bureau have consistently rejected similar post-window amendments which attempt to correct population figures.[[17]](#footnote-18) The Bureau has also rejected an amendment as an attempt to enhance a comparative position when the initial application erroneously used population data from a different community than the applicant proposed to serve.[[18]](#footnote-19)

Because EGI’s Application did not contain the required exhibit at the time the window closed, we grant the Petition in part and rescind our initial tentative selection of the EGI Application.

Rescinding our initial tentative selection of the EGI Application, however, does not automatically render the VMI application a replacement tentative selectee as VMI requests. Therefore, we deny in part the Petition. Instead, we must conduct a new fair distribution analysis in accordance with the Commission’s established comparative procedures.[[19]](#footnote-20) NCE MX Group 52 consists of two applications to serve different communities in Florida. VMI proposes to serve Duck Key, Florida. EGI proposes to serve Key Colony Beach, Florida. EGI asserts that it is eligible for a fair distribution preference. However, its fair distribution preference exhibit lacks accurate population totals and therefore does not support this claim.[[20]](#footnote-21) Because we are unable to determine whether EGI’s proposal satisfies the 10 percent threshold and 2,000-person minimum criteria based on the exhibits contained in the Application at the time of the close of the filing window, we cannot award EGI a fair distribution of service preference. VMI does not claim a fair distribution of service preference. Accordingly, we are unable to designate a tentative selectee under a 307(b) fair distribution analysis, and VMI and EGI will proceed to a point system analysis.

The point system analysis, which is conducted when section 307(b) is not determinative, must be conducted by the Commission, as this analysis is considered a simplified “hearing” for purposes of section 155(c)(1) of the Act.[[21]](#footnote-22) Accordingly we refer the matter to the Commission to make a determination under a point system analysis.[[22]](#footnote-23)

**Conclusion/Action.** Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED** that the Petition to Deny filed on April 8, 2022, by Vida Ministry Inc. **IS GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART**.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED**, that the tentative selection of the application of Ethree Group, Inc. (File No. 0000167098) for a construction permit for a NCE FM station in Key Colony Beach, Florida, **IS RESCINDED**.

Sincerely,

Albert Shuldiner

Chief, Audio Division

Media Bureau
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