**Before the**
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Washington, D.C. 20554

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| In the Matter of  Telecommunications Carriers Eligible for Universal Service Support  Connect America Fund | **)**  **)**  **)**  **)**  **)**  **)**  **)**  **)** | WC Docket No. 09-197  WC Docket No. 10-90 |

ORDER

**Adopted: February 22, 2022 Released: February 22, 2022**

By the Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau:

# Introduction

1. In this Order, the Wireline Competition Bureau (Bureau or WCB) designates two carriers in Alabama (as identified in Attachment A) as eligible telecommunications carriers (ETCs) in eligible high-cost areas within the specified state. Designation in these areas is conditioned upon, limited to, and effective upon the carriers’ authorization to receive support under the Rural Digital Opportunity Fund (RDOF) program.[[1]](#footnote-3) Any such ETC designation covering RDOF supported areas, however, should not be interpreted as an entitlement to support or an indication that the Bureau will ultimately authorize the petitioner for support. If the Bureau decides the petitioners should be authorized to receive support, it will release separate public notices announcing funding authorizations.

# Background

## Rural Digital Opportunity Fund Auction (Auction 904)

1. On January 30, 2020, the Commission adopted the framework for the Rural Digital Opportunity Fund (RDOF or program), to award support through a reverse descending clock auction.[[2]](#footnote-4) At the conclusion of this auction, there were 180 winning bidders.[[3]](#footnote-5) The Commission created a two-phase application process for this auction, requiring interested parties to successfully complete a short form application (FCC Form 183) as a condition of participating in the auction; this application collected from applicants information and certifications that would enable the Commission to make an initial determination that the applicant had the legal, technical, and financial qualifications necessary to meet program requirements should it become a winning bidder.[[4]](#footnote-6) In order to become authorized to receive support, winning bidders, or entities assigned support through the division of winning bids, would then have to complete a more comprehensive long-form application (FCC Form 683) designed to ensure that support recipients are qualified to receive support and have met all regulatory prerequisites and conditions for receiving Universal Service Fund (USF) support.[[5]](#footnote-7) Four-hundred seventeen (417) entities submitted long form applications by the January 29, 2021 deadline.[[6]](#footnote-8) The Commission required that applicants submit as part of this application and no later than June 7, 2021, documentation of ETC designation(s) and a letter certifying that the designations cover all relevant census blocks in a state.[[7]](#footnote-9)
2. The Lifeline—or low-income—program provides support to reimburse providers for offering supported services at discounted prices to qualifying low-income households, with more support directed at such households on Tribal lands.[[8]](#footnote-10) Eligible services include voice and broadband Internet access service meeting certain requirements.[[9]](#footnote-11) In a given geographic area, a carrier may be designated as an ETC and become eligible to receive funding from both the high-cost and low-income components of USF.[[10]](#footnote-12) ETCs are generally obligated to offer the Lifeline-supported service throughout their designated service areas.[[11]](#footnote-13)

## Requirements for FCC ETC Designation

1. Section 254(e) of the Act provides that “only an eligible telecommunications carrier designated under section 214(e) shall be eligible to receive specific federal universal service support.”[[12]](#footnote-14) Under section 214(e), an ETC is a “common carrier” that “offer[s] the services that are supported by the Federal universal service support mechanisms under section 254(c),”[[13]](#footnote-15) and under that section, the Commission must “establish periodically” the services supported by universal service, “taking into account advances in telecommunications and information technologies and services.”[[14]](#footnote-16) The Commission has defined voice as the only supported service.[[15]](#footnote-17) This definition is “technologically neutral,” allowing “ETCs to provision voice service over many platforms.”[[16]](#footnote-18) The Commission has also stated that a petitioner offering voice service may be treated as a “telecommunications carrier” under Title II of the Communications Act (and so become eligible to receive an ETC designation) if it “voluntarily‘ holds itself out as a telecommunications carrier and complies with appropriate federal and state requirements.’”[[17]](#footnote-19)
2. Section 214 of the Act gives primary authority for ETC designations to state commissions.[[18]](#footnote-20) The Commission has authority to designate a carrier as an ETC only when “a common carrier [is] providing telephone exchange service and exchange access that is not subject to the jurisdiction of a State commission.”[[19]](#footnote-21) The petitioning carrier must demonstrate that the Commission has jurisdiction and may do so by submitting an “affirmative statement from the state commission or a court of competent jurisdiction that the carrier is not subject to the state commission’s jurisdiction.”[[20]](#footnote-22) The Bureau has also stated, for the limited purpose of determining whether it has secondary authority to make an ETC determination, that “[i]f a state law expressly articulates that it does not have jurisdiction over a relevant type of technology, Commission staff would consider such a statute relevant in its determination of Commission jurisdiction.”[[21]](#footnote-23) The Commission has delegated authority to the Bureau to consider appropriate ETC designation requests.[[22]](#footnote-24)
3. A petition for ETC designation by the Commission must include: (1) a certification that the petitioner offers or intends to offer all services designated for support by the Commission pursuant to section 254(c) of the Act (which service must be offered on a common carriage basis); (2) a certification that the petitioner offers or intends to offer the supported services either using its own facilities or a combination of its own facilities and resale of another carrier’s services;[[23]](#footnote-25) (3) a description of how the petitioner advertises the availability of the supported services and the charges therefor using media of general distribution;[[24]](#footnote-26) (4) a detailed description of the geographic service area for which the petitioner requests to be designated as an ETC;[[25]](#footnote-27) and (5) a certification that neither the petitioner, nor any party to the application, is subject to a denial of federal benefits pursuant to the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988.[[26]](#footnote-28)
4. In addition, a petitioner for ETC designation must demonstrate its ability to meet certain service standards. A petitioner seeking an ETC designation for purposes of becoming eligible to receive RDOF support must: (1) certify that it will comply with the service requirements applicable to the support that it receives; (2) demonstrate its ability to remain functional in emergency situations; and (3) demonstrate its ability to satisfy applicable consumer protection and service quality standards.[[27]](#footnote-29)
5. We note that for petitioners seeking ETC designation for the purpose of becoming authorized to receive program support, the Commission has waived the same section 54.202 requirements that it previously waived for CAF Phase II auction support recipients, specifically, the requirement that the petitioner submit a five-year service plan and proof of compliance with consumer protection and service quality standards.[[28]](#footnote-30) These waivers are predicated upon the finding that the need for such requirements is obviated by the specific service quality standards applicable to support recipients and specific reporting obligations relating to such standards.[[29]](#footnote-31)
6. Prior to designating a carrier as an ETC pursuant to section 214(e)(6) of the Act, the Commission must determine whether such designation is in the public interest.[[30]](#footnote-32) When making a public interest determination, the Commission historically has considered the benefits of increased consumer choice and the unique advantages and disadvantages of the applicant’s service offering.[[31]](#footnote-33) Because, however, ETC designation of long-form applicants is conditioned upon, limited to, and effective upon authorization to receive support under the program, designation in these areas is presumed to serve the public interest.[[32]](#footnote-34) To be ultimately authorized, long-form applicants must complete a competitive bidding process that demonstrates their ability to provide service efficiently in unserved high-cost areas and an application process that demonstrates their ability to meet their public interest obligations.[[33]](#footnote-35)

## Petitions for ETC Designation and Related Filings

1. We specify with respect to every carrier in the attachment to this Order (petitioners) that the designation will cover all eligible census blocks covered by the RDOF award (as published by the Commission on its website) for the state indicated and for which the petitioner is authorized to receive support, regardless of any discrepancies in the description of such areas in individual petitions.[[34]](#footnote-36) The Bureau has released public notices soliciting comments on each of the petitions addressed in Attachment A of this Order.[[35]](#footnote-37) No parties have filed comments addressing these ETC petitions.

# Discussion

1. We find that each of the petitioners has satisfied the statutory requirements of sections 254 and 214(e) of the Act for designation as an ETC, and the Commission’s requirements for ETC designation.[[36]](#footnote-38) We therefore designate each petitioner as a high-cost ETC in the specified state(s), conditioned upon and limited to the high-cost areas where the Commission authorizes the petitioner to receive RDOF support and effective only upon such authorization. In these areas, petitioners must meet RDOF requirements, Lifeline requirements, and other service obligations attendant to their high-cost designations, as specified in the Act and the Commission’s rules.[[37]](#footnote-39)

## Commission Authority

1. Each petitioner has demonstrated that the Commission has the requisite authority to designate it as an ETC pursuant to section 214(e)(6) of the Act. Each petitioner has submitted an affirmative statement of the relevant state authority declining to assert jurisdiction.[[38]](#footnote-40) As explained in these statements, section §37-2A-4(a) of the Code of Alabama, 1975 specifically excludes from state regulatory authority the services offered by the petitioners.[[39]](#footnote-41) As indicated below, each petitioner has demonstrated that it meets all statutory requirements for ETC designation. Accordingly, we find that the Bureau, acting under the Commission’s delegation of authority, may designate the petitioners listed in Attachment A as ETCs for purposes of eligibility for RDOF support.

## Eligibility Requirements

1. *Offering the Service Supported by the Universal Service Support Mechanisms*. We find that each of the petitioners has established through the required certifications and related filings that it qualifies as a telecommunications provider for purposes of receiving universal service support for which it will become eligible pursuant to the requested designation and that it will offer the service supported by the federal universal service support mechanisms.[[40]](#footnote-42) Specifically, each petitioner has committed to offering voice service on a common carrier basis and has specified that its voice service meets the requirements of section 54.101(a) of the Commission‘s rules.[[41]](#footnote-43) The petitioner must offer this service as of the first day of the month following the authorization.[[42]](#footnote-44)
2. *Compliance with the Service Requirements Applicable to RDOF Support Recipients*. We find that each of the petitioners has established its ability to comply with service requirements applicable to the support that it will receive.[[43]](#footnote-45) This determination takes into account that, prior to being authorized to receive RDOF support, the petitioners must certify and demonstrate, as part of their short form and long- form applications, the technical and financial ability to provide voice and broadband services meeting or exceeding RDOF standards,[[44]](#footnote-46) certify their commitment to meeting relevant public interest obligations,[[45]](#footnote-47) and certify their compliance with all statutory and regulatory requirements for receiving the universal service support or, alternatively, certify their acknowledgement that they must be in compliance with these requirements prior to being authorized to receive support.[[46]](#footnote-48) Moreover, once authorized, the petitioners must satisfy certain reporting obligations to ensure that the support received is being used efficiently and appropriately and that service requirements are being met.[[47]](#footnote-49)
3. *Compliance with Service Requirements Applicable to Lifeline Services.*  Each petitioner has committed to offering Lifeline discounts to qualifying low-income consumers, consistent with the Commission’s rules, in all high-cost areas where it is authorized to receive support.[[48]](#footnote-50) We emphasize that all ETCs receiving Lifeline support must report certain information to the Commission, USAC, and the local regulatory authority, pursuant to section 54.422 of the Commission’s rules.[[49]](#footnote-51)
4. *Offering the Supported Services Using a Carrier’s Own Facilities*. Each petitioner has certified that it will offer supported services using its own facilities or a combination of its own facilities and resale of another carrier’s services.[[50]](#footnote-52) Facilities are the ETC’s “own” if the ETC has an exclusive right to use the facilities to provide the supported services or when service is provided by any affiliate within the holding company structure.[[51]](#footnote-53) An ETC may satisfy its obligation to “offer” supported voice service (or its functional equivalent, including VoIP) through a third-party vendor but cannot simply rely on the availability of over-the-top voice options.[[52]](#footnote-54) Instead, the ETC must remain legally responsible, through a managed service solution, that ensures that the service meets consumer protection and service quality standards.[[53]](#footnote-55)
5. *Advertising Supported Services.* Each petitioner has committed to advertising the availability of the supported services and related charges using media of general distribution.[[54]](#footnote-56) We emphasize that, as part of this commitment, an ETC must advertise the availability of its services and charges in a manner reasonably designed to reach Lifeline-eligible consumers.[[55]](#footnote-57)
6. *Ability to Remain Functional in Emergency Situations*. Each petitioner has stated that it can remain functional in emergency situations.[[56]](#footnote-58) Each petitioner has stated that it has sufficient back-up power to ensure functionality in the designated service area without an external power source, can re-route traffic around damaged facilities, and can manage traffic spikes resulting from emergency situations.[[57]](#footnote-59)
7. *Anti-Drug Abuse Act Certification*. Each petitioner has submitted a certification that satisfies the requirements of the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988, as codified in sections 1.2001-1.2003 of the Commission’s rules.[[58]](#footnote-60)

## Public Interest Analysis

1. We conclude that the petitioners’ participation in universal service programs would be in the public interest and would provide numerous benefits to consumers. As noted above, these designations are conditioned upon, limited to, and effective upon the long-form applicants’ authorization to receive support under the RDOF program. If they are ultimately authorized, we presume that the designation will serve the public interest. Long-standing Commission precedent has explained that the public interest inquiry is a cost-benefit analysis focusing on a petitioner’s efficiencies, the benefits of competition, the public benefits of service, and the fundamental principles of USF, all of which are fully demonstrated through the competitive auction and successful completion of the authorization processes.[[59]](#footnote-61) To further elaborate, the competitive auction ensures that the most efficient provider (or at least one of the most efficient providers) will serve an area that the Commission has already identified as underserved or unserved using preapproved technologies. The Commission has determined that service to these areas will bring social and economic benefits in terms of access to advanced service meeting specific performance requirements. In addition, the Commission’s application process scrutinizes how the applicant for RDOF support will provide service and meet program requirements, subject to close oversight and default penalties; this helps ensure that the applicant will meet its obligation to provide ubiquitous service throughout the study area at rates and on terms that are reasonably comparable to those associated with comparable offerings in urban areas.
2. Accordingly, based on the information, representations, and certifications in their petitions, we find that the petitioners have met all applicable conditions and prerequisites for ETC designation and that conditionally granting these petitions serves the public interest.

## Regulatory Oversight

1. Under section 254(e) of the Act, an ETC must use universal service support “only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended.”[[60]](#footnote-62) Under section 214(e) of the Act, an ETC must provide supported services throughout the service area for which it receives an ETC designation.[[61]](#footnote-63) When and if the ETC (in this case, the long-form applicant) is authorized to receive high-cost support, it must file an annual certification for each state in which it receives support that all federal high-cost support received was used in the preceding calendar year and will be used in the coming calendar year only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended.[[62]](#footnote-64) The Commission conditions future support awarded through the high-cost program on the filing of such certification.[[63]](#footnote-65)
2. An ETC (in this case, the long-form applicant) receiving Lifeline support uses that support as intended when it reduces the price of its telecommunications services by the amount of the support for the eligible consumer.[[64]](#footnote-66) In addition, it must file annual reports that include, among other things, a certification of compliance with applicable minimum service standards, service quality standards, and consumer protection rules.[[65]](#footnote-67)
3. We find that reliance on the petitioners’ commitments to meet these and other regulatory requirements in their applications, as well as representations and commitments made in their petitions, is reasonable and consistent with the public interest and the Act. We conclude that fulfillment of these additional reporting requirements will further the Commission’s goal of ensuring that the petitioners can and commit to satisfying their obligation under section 214(e) of the Act to provide supported services throughout their respective designated service areas.
4. The Commission may initiate an inquiry on its own motion to examine any ETC’s records and documentation to ensure that the universal service support received is being used “only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services” in the areas for which the relevant ETC(s) have been designated.[[66]](#footnote-68) The ETC must provide such records and documentation to the Commission and USAC upon request.[[67]](#footnote-69) If the ETC fails to fulfill the requirements of the Act, the Commission’s rules, or the terms of this Order after it begins receiving universal service support, the Commission has authority to revoke its ETC designation.[[68]](#footnote-70) The Commission also may assess forfeitures for violations of Commission rules and orders.[[69]](#footnote-71) We note that the Commission has recently announced a new program, the Rural Broadband Accountability Plan (RBAP), that will increase audits, verifications, and transparency for USF high-cost programs, including RDOF.[[70]](#footnote-72) With the establishment of RBAP, RDOF recipients, like other high-cost recipients, will be subject to a high level of accountability as they work to meet applicable performance requirements and benchmarks.
5. As the Bureau has previously explained, a carrier that cannot appropriately use universal service support must relinquish its ETC designation pursuant to section 214(e)(4) of the Act and section 54.205 of the Commission’s rules.[[71]](#footnote-73) For ETCs designated by the Commission, the ETCmust file a notice of relinquishment in WC Docket No. 09-197, Telecommunications Carriers Eligible for Universal Service Support, using the Commission’s Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS). The Bureau will release an order approving the relinquishment if the relinquishing ETC demonstrates that the affected area will continue to be served by at least one ETC.[[72]](#footnote-74) The ETC must then send a copy of its relinquishment notice and a copy of the relinquishment order (within one week of its release) to USAC at [hcorders@usac.org](mailto:hcorders@usac.org). A carrier that intends to discontinue service must first seek authorization to discontinue service under section 63.71 of the Commission’s rules.[[73]](#footnote-75) In addition, ETCs seeking to transfer control of their domestic authorizations to operate pursuant to section 214 of the Act or to engage in the sale of assets under section 214 (including any authorization to receive RDOF support) must first receive approval from the Commission in accordance with sections 63.03 and 63.04 of the Commission’s rules governing the procedures for domestic transfer of control/asset applications.[[74]](#footnote-76) Transfers of control and assignments of international section 214 authorizations are separately subject to section 63.24 of the Commission’s rules.[[75]](#footnote-77) Except where the Commission has forborne from the application of section 214, this requirement applies to all transfers of control or asset acquisitions involving ETCs.

# Ordering Clauses

1. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to the authority contained in section 214(e)(6) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(6), and the authority delegated in sections 0.91 and 0.291 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 0.91, 0.291, that EACH OF THE PETITIONERS SPECIFIED IN ATTACHMENT A TO THIS ORDER IS CONDITIONALLY DESIGNATED AN ELIGIBLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIER IN ALL CENSUS BLOCKS IN THE SPECIFIED STATES FOR WHICH IT IS ELIGIBLE TO RECEIVE SUPPORT AWARDED THROUGH THE RURAL DIGITAL OPPORTUNITY FUND PHASE I AUCTION (AUCTION 904). These designations are contingent upon the petitioners becoming authorized to receive such support, are limited to those areas where support is authorized, and are effective only upon authorization.
2. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this Order SHALL BE TRANSMITTED to the relevant state commissions, the relevant tribal authorities, and to the Universal Service Administrative Company.
3. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to section 1.102(b)(1) of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR § 1.102(b)(1), this order SHALL BE effective upon release.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Kris Anne Monteith

Chief

Wireline Competition Bureau

**ATTACHMENT A**

**ETC PETITIONS GRANTED FOR RURAL DIGITAL OPPORTUNITY FUND**

**ELIGIBLE AREAS**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Petitioner Name** | **State** |
| Cyber Broadband Inc. | AL |
| Tombigbee Communications, LLC | AL |

1. *See Rural Digital Opportunity Fund Phase I Auction (Auction 904) Closes; Winning Bidders Announced; FCC Form 683 Due January 29, 2021*, WC Docket No. 19-126 et al., Public Notice, 35 FCC Rcd 13888 (RBATF, OEA, WCB 2020) (*RDOF Closing Public Notice*); *Rural Digital Opportunity Fund et al.*,WC Docket No. 19-126 et al.,Report and Order, 35 FCC Rcd 686 (2020) (*RDOF Report and Order*). [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
2. *RDOF Report and Order*, 35 FCC Rcd at 689-90, para. 8; *see also* *Rural Digital Opportunity Fund*, WC Docket No. 19-126, Order on Reconsideration, 35 FCC Rcd 10820 (2020). [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
3. *RDOF Closing Public Notice*, 35 FCC Rcd at 13888. [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
4. 47 CFR §§ 1.21001, 54.804; *Rural Digital Opportunity Fund Phase I Auction Scheduled for October 29, 2020; Notice and Filing Requirements and Other Procedures for Auction 904*, AU Docket No. 20-34 et al., Public Notice, 35 FCC Rcd 6077, 6088-89, para. 27-28 (2020) (*RDOF Procedures Public Notice*). [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
5. 47 CFR § 1.21004; *RDOF Report and Order*, 35 FCC Rcd at 696, para. 22. Winning bidders had the opportunity to assign some or all their winning bids to one or more related entities. *RDOF Procedures Public Notice*, 35 FCC Rcd at 6164-65, paras. 288-93. [↑](#footnote-ref-7)
6. *See* Long form Applicants Spreadsheet, Long form Applicants tab, [www.fcc.gov/auction/904/](https://www.fcc.gov/auction/904/). [↑](#footnote-ref-8)
7. 47 CFR § 54.804(b)(5); *RDOF Closing Public Notice*, 35 FCC Rcd at 13894, 13900-01. [↑](#footnote-ref-9)
8. *See Lifeline and Link Up Reform and Modernization et al*., WC Docket Nos. 11-42 et al., Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 27 FCC Rcd 6656, 6663, para. 14 (2012). [↑](#footnote-ref-10)
9. 47 CFR § 54.101; *see also* 47 CFR § 54.401(a). [↑](#footnote-ref-11)
10. 47 U.S.C. § 54.201(a)(1) (“Only eligible telecommunications carriers designated under this subpart shall receive universal service support distributed pursuant to subparts D [universal service fund support for high-cost areas] and E [universal service support for low-income consumers] of this part.”); *id*. § 54.101(d) (providing that any ETC designated in high-cost areas must comply with requirements in the Lifeline support rules). [↑](#footnote-ref-12)
11. *See* 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(1)(A); 47 CFR § 54.101(a) (providing that voice service is the supported service); 47 CFR § 54.403(a) (setting forth Lifeline support eligibility requirements). [↑](#footnote-ref-13)
12. 47 U.S.C. § 254(e). [↑](#footnote-ref-14)
13. *Id*. at § 214(e); *Id.* § 153(11) (defining “common carrier” or “carrier” in relevant part, as “any person engaged as a common carrier for hire, in interstate or foreign communication by wire or radio or interstate or foreign radio transmission of energy”). [↑](#footnote-ref-15)
14. *Id*. § 254(c). [↑](#footnote-ref-16)
15. 47 CFR § 54.101(a). [↑](#footnote-ref-17)
16. *Connect America Fund et al.*, WC Docket No. 10-90 et al., Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 26 FCC Rcd 17663, 17692, paras. 77-78 (2011) (*USF/ICC Transformation Order*). [↑](#footnote-ref-18)
17. *IP-Enabled Services; E9-1-1 requirements for IP-Enabled Service Providers,* WC Docket No. 04-36, WC Docket No. 05-196, First Report and Order and Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 20 FCC Rcd 10245, 10268, para. 38 n.128 (2005), *aff'd sub nom. Nuvio Corp. v. FCC*, 473 F.3d 302 (D.C. Cir. 2006). [↑](#footnote-ref-19)
18. *Id.* § 214(e)(2) (providing, in relevant part, that a state commission “shall upon its own motion or upon request designate a common carrier that meets the requirements of paragraph (1) as an eligible telecommunications carrier for a service area designated by the State commission”); *Id*. § 214(e) (providing that a common carrier designated under section 214(e)(2), (3), or (6) “offer the services that are supported by Federal universal service support mechanisms under section 254(c) of this title . . .). [↑](#footnote-ref-20)
19. *Id.* § 214(e)(6). [↑](#footnote-ref-21)
20. *See* *Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service et al*., CC Docket No. 96-45, Twelfth Report and Order, Memorandum Opinion and Order, and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 15 FCC Rcd 12208, 12267, para. 120 (2000); 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(6). [↑](#footnote-ref-22)
21. *WCB Reminds Connect America Fund Phase II Auction Applicants of the Process for Obtaining a Federal Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier*, WC Docket Nos. 09-197 and 10-90, Public Notice, 33 FCC Rcd 6696, 6697 (WCB 2018) (CAF *Phase II ETC Guidance Public Notice*). [↑](#footnote-ref-23)
22. *See* *Procedures for FCC Designation of Eligible Telecommunications Carriers Pursuant to Section 214(e)(6) of the Communications Act*, CC Docket No. 96-45, Public Notice, 12 FCC Rcd 22947, 22948 (1997). [↑](#footnote-ref-24)
23. 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(1); 47 CFR § 54.201(d)(1). [↑](#footnote-ref-25)
24. 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(1); 47 CFR § 54.201(d)(2). [↑](#footnote-ref-26)
25. An ETC’s “service area” is set by the designating authority and is the geographic area within which an ETC has universal service obligations and may receive universal service support. 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(5); 47 CFR § 54.207(a). Although section 214(e)(5) of the Act, 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(5), requires conformance of a competitive ETC’s service area and an incumbent rural telephone company’s service area, the Commission has separately forborne from imposing such requirements on RDOF support recipients. *See* *RDOF Report and Order*, 35 FCC Rcd at 728, paras. 93-94. [↑](#footnote-ref-27)
26. 21 U.S.C. § 862; 47 CFR § 1.2002(a)–(b). [↑](#footnote-ref-28)
27. 47 CFR § 54.202(a). [↑](#footnote-ref-29)
28. *RDOF Closing Public Notice*, 35 FCC Rcd at 13901 n.71 (extending to RDOF long form applicants the same waivers of 54.202 requirements as provided to CAF Phase II long form applicants under the same rationales); CAF *Phase II ETC Guidance Public Notice*, 33 FCC Rcd at 6699-6700. [↑](#footnote-ref-30)
29. CAF *Phase II ETC Guidance Public Notice*, 33 FCC Rcd at 6699-6700; 47 CFR § 54.804; *id*. at § 54.806. [↑](#footnote-ref-31)
30. 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(6); 47 CFR § 54.202(b). [↑](#footnote-ref-32)
31. *See, e.g.*, *Virgin Mobile ETC Designation Order in the States of Alabama, Connecticut, Delaware, New Hampshire and the District of Columbia*, WC Docket 09-197, Order, 25 FCC Rcd 17797, 17799, para. 6 (WCB 2010). [↑](#footnote-ref-33)
32. *RDOF Closing Public Notice*, 35 FCC Rcd at 13901 n.71 (extending to RDOF long form applicants the same presumption that designation will serve the public interest under the same rationales justifying the application of such presumption to Phase II long form applicants); *Phase II ETC Guidance Public Notice*, 33 FCC Rcd at 6699-6700 (explaining the basis for this presumption). [↑](#footnote-ref-34)
33. *See RDOF Procedures Public Notice*, 35 FCC Rcd at 6112, para. 97 (“One of our main objectives is to maximize the impact of finite universal service resources by awarding support to those providers that will make the most efficient use of budgeted funds.”); *RDOF Report and Order*, 35 FCC Rcd at 694-95, para. 18; *RDOF Procedures Public Notice*, 35 FCC Rcd at 6166, para. 301. [↑](#footnote-ref-35)
34. *See* Results, Long Form Applicants Spreadsheet at <https://www.fcc.gov/auction/904/round-results>. [↑](#footnote-ref-36)
35. *Wireline Competition Bureau Seeks Comment on Rural Digital Opportunity Fund Petitions for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier,* Docket No. 09-197, Public Notice, DA 21-1242, 36 FCC Rcd 7241 (WCB rel. Oct. 1, 2021). [↑](#footnote-ref-37)
36. *See* Tombigbee Communications, LLC, Petition of Tombigbee Communications, LCC for Expanded ETC Designation in Alabama, WC Dockets 19-126, 09-197 (June 4, 2021) (Tombigbee Petition); Cyber Broadband Inc., WC Docket 09-197 (June 7, 2021) (Cyber Broadband Petition). We note that there remains pending before the Commission petitions for ETC designation for the purpose of receiving RDOF support. [↑](#footnote-ref-38)
37. 47 U.S.C. § 54.202(a)(1)(i); *id*. § 54.101. [↑](#footnote-ref-39)
38. Cyber Broadband Petition at Attachment, 8-9; Tombigbee Petition at Exhibit B. [↑](#footnote-ref-40)
39. § 37-2A-4(a), Code of Alabama, 1975. [↑](#footnote-ref-41)
40. Cyber Broadband Petition at 3; Tombigbee Petition at 3-4; 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(1)(A); 47 CFR § 54.201(d)(1). [↑](#footnote-ref-42)
41. Cyber Broadband Petition at 3; Tombigbee Petition at 3-4; 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(1), (6); 47 CFR § 54.101(a) (eligible voice telephony service must provide voice grade access to the public switched network or its functional equivalent). [↑](#footnote-ref-43)
42. *See RDOF Report and Order*, 35 FCC Rcd at 745, para. 139 (a new ETC receiving RDOF support should be prepared to provide voice service throughout its service areas, either through its own facilities or a combination of its own and other ETC’s facilities, on the first day of the month after receiving its ETC designation). [↑](#footnote-ref-44)
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