**DA 22-388**

**Released: April 8, 2022**

**DOMESTIC SECTION 214 APPLICATION GRANTED FOR THE**

**TRANSFER OF** **CONTROL OF** **CABLESOUTH MEDIA III, LLC**

**TO ITC BROADBAND HOLDINGS, LLC**

**WC Docket No. 22-11**

By this Public Notice, the Wireline Competition Bureau (Bureau) grants an application filed by Hunt Land Holdings, LLC (Hunt Land Holdings), CableSouth Media III, LLC d/b/a Media3 (CableSouth), and ITC Broadband Holdings, LLC (ITC Holdings) (collectively, Applicants), pursuant to section 214 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and sections 63.03-04 of the Commission’s rules, requesting consent to consummate a transaction in which ITC Holdings will indirectly acquire a 35% ownership interest in, and control of, CableSouth.[[1]](#footnote-3)

On January 31, 2022, the Bureau released a public notice seeking comment on the Application.[[2]](#footnote-4) The Bureau did not receive comments or petitions in opposition to the Application.

**Applicants and Description of Transaction**

CableSouth, a Tennessee limited liability company, is wholly-owned by CableSouth Media, LLC, a Tennessee limited liability company that is, in turn, wholly-owned by Hunt Land Holdings, a Louisiana limited liability company that does not itself provide telecommunications services.[[3]](#footnote-5) Hunt Land Holdings and CableSouth, bidding through the Segnem Egere Consortium (Consortium), participated in and won the rights to provide service to 57,387 locations in Arkansas, Louisiana, and Mississippi through Phase I of the Rural Digital Opportunity Fund (RDOF) Auction.[[4]](#footnote-6) The Consortium assigned its winning RDOF bids to CableSouth.[[5]](#footnote-7) On March 15, 2022, the Bureau authorized CableSouth to receive RDOF support.[[6]](#footnote-8) CableSouth is designated as an eligible telecommunications carrier (ETC) in each of the jurisdictions in which it won RDOF bids.[[7]](#footnote-9) Under the brand names, SwyftConnect and Swyft Fiber, CableSouth currently offers broadband, video, and voice services in Arkansas, Louisiana, and Mississippi.[[8]](#footnote-10)

ITC Holdings, a Delaware limited liability company, is affiliated with incumbent local exchange carriers (LECs) and other telecommunications service providers in Colorado, Georgia, Kansas, Nebraska, and Texas.[[9]](#footnote-11) ITC Holdings is affiliated with several incumbent LECs and other providers through its ownership of US Connect Holdings, Inc.[[10]](#footnote-12) These incumbent LECs receive universal service fund high-cost support through the different mechanisms of cost-based support and fixed model-based support. Specifically, South Park, Rye, Dalton Telephone, Elsie, and S&A Telephone elected to receive fixed universal service support under the Alternative Connect America Cost Model (A-CAM).[[11]](#footnote-13) Livingston and Waverly Hall Telephone did not elect to receive model-based support and receive cost-based universal service support.[[12]](#footnote-14)

Following consummation of the proposed transaction, Applicants state that the following U.S.-based investment entities will own a 10% or greater equity interest in ITC Holdings: HF Direct Investments Pool LLC (14.5%); 4612-Broadband Holdco, LP (14.5%); ITC Broadband Investments (QP), LLC (17.8%); Kinetic-ITC Broadband Investments, LLC (13.9%); Atlantic Engineering Group, Inc. (16.2%); and ITC Broadband Management, LP (15%).[[13]](#footnote-15) Applicants state that no other entity or individual will hold directly or indirectly a 10% or greater interest in ITC Holdings.[[14]](#footnote-16)

Pursuant to the terms of the proposed transaction, which Applicants state will occur through a series of steps, ITC Holdings will indirectly acquire approximately 35% of the voting and equity interests in CableSouth from Hunt Land Holdings, along with certain shareholder rights.[[15]](#footnote-17) Post-consummation, ITC Holdings will “have the right to designate a minority of the members of the board of CableSouth’s parent company and an observer seat, as well as have the right to select two of the six planned managers for the board of CableSouth’s parent company going forward. The managers designated by ITC Holdings can be removed or replaced only by ITC Holdings or its designees. ITC Holdings (or its appointed managers) will also acquire a veto right over a variety of business activities, including, but not limited to: (i) effectuating a sale of the company, pledging all or substantially all assets of any CableSouth entity, filing for bankruptcy or liquidation, or merging or consolidating with any other person; (ii) amending any operative governance documents of the company; (iii) approving an annual budget; and (iv) establishing compensation levels for and removal of officers.”[[16]](#footnote-18) Applicants acknowledge that these rights, coupled with a 35% indirect ownership stake, would provide ITC Holdings with a *de facto* controlling interest in CableSouth.[[17]](#footnote-19) Upon consummation, the existing beneficial owners of CableSouth will continue to indirectly hold a 65% interest in CableSouth.[[18]](#footnote-20)

Applicants assert that a grant of the Application would serve the public interest, convenience, and necessity.[[19]](#footnote-21) They certify that the proposed transaction would not result in any alteration to CableSouth’s RDOF network buildout plans,[[20]](#footnote-22) that they will adhere to all Commission requirements associated with RDOF funding,[[21]](#footnote-23) and that “following the construction of its RDOF network, CableSouth will provide gigabit broadband and VoIP service to end-user customers in Arkansas, Louisiana, and Mississippi.”[[22]](#footnote-24) They assert that ITC Holdings has significant industry and financial expertise in managing and investing in fiber networks and that “by leveraging this expertise and its extensive financial resources, ITC Holdings will better enable CableSouth to address technical and engineering matters and optimize build-out of its RDOF-supported network, whether in Arkansas, Louisiana, or Mississippi.”[[23]](#footnote-25) They state that the proposed transaction would be transparent to prospective customers and to CableSouth’s existing customers, who would continue to receive service pursuant to existing terms and conditions.[[24]](#footnote-26)

**Discussion**

We find, upon consideration of the record, that grant of the Application will serve the public interest, convenience, and necessity.[[25]](#footnote-27) To make this determination under Commission precedent, we consider whether the proposed transaction could result in public interest harms by substantially frustrating or impairing the objectives or implementation of the Act or related statutes.[[26]](#footnote-28) We then employ a balancing test weighing any potential public interest harms of the proposed transaction against any potential public interest benefits.[[27]](#footnote-29) The Applicants bear the burden of proving, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the proposed transaction, on balance, serves the public interest.[[28]](#footnote-30)

We find that there are no potential public interest harms identified in the record. First, the proposed transaction likely will not adversely affect or eliminate competition as ITC Holdings’ affiliates do not operate in the areas in which CableSouth provides service.[[29]](#footnote-31) Further, Applicants state that the proposed transaction will be transparent to the customers of the Applicants, who will continue to be served pursuant to each Applicant’s existing operations and existing terms and conditions, and therefore, we expect no harm to existing customers to result from the transaction.[[30]](#footnote-32)

Second, Applicants certify that they will meet all relevant RDOF support obligations,[[31]](#footnote-33) and we expect that the proposed transaction will not negatively impact these obligations. CableSouth and ITC Holdings, which will both hold ownership interests post-transaction, assert that they will “work together to build out CableSouth’s new RDOF-supported network in Arkansas, Louisiana, and Mississippi, over which they will provide gigabit broadband service to residential customers.”[[32]](#footnote-34) Indeed, Applicants have confirmed that “the transaction will not result in any alternation to the buildout plans” that CableSouth submitted to the Commission to qualify for RDOF funding.[[33]](#footnote-35) Moreover, CableSouth remains financially, managerially, and technically obligated to meet all public interest and performance obligations associated with the receipt of RDOF funding in accordance with the Commission’s pre-transaction approval of its qualifications. Applicants have attested that, following consummation of the proposed transaction, CableSouth will continue to have the necessary managerial and operational expertise to meet these RDOF commitments.[[34]](#footnote-36) They further state that ITC Holdings will provide equity financing for CableSouth, which is in addition to the amount that Hunt Land Holdings contemplated investing in connection with the RDOF funding.[[35]](#footnote-37) We expect that this additional funding, together with certain debt financing contemplated by a credit agreement that Applicants described in the record, will not compromise CableSouth’s support obligations and should place it in a strong position to meet its obligations. In addition, CableSouth will be subject to ongoing oversight and must comply with annual RDOF reporting and certification requirements.[[36]](#footnote-38)

We next consider whether the proposed transaction is likely to generate verifiable, transaction-specific public interest benefits.[[37]](#footnote-39) Applicants must provide evidence of a claimed benefit to allow the Commission to verify its likelihood and magnitude.[[38]](#footnote-40) Where potential harms appear unlikely, as is the case with the Application before us here, the Commission accepts a lesser degree of magnitude and likelihood than when harms are present.[[39]](#footnote-41)

Applicants state that following construction of the RDOF network, CableSouth will provide gigabit broadband service and VoIP service to end user customers and anticipate that “the additional financial resources from ITC Holdings, as well as its managerial and technical expertise in building out broadband networks, will put CableSouth in an optimal position to accelerate its network deployment and provide high quality broadband service to customers in Arkansas, Louisiana, and Mississippi in the near term, as well as fulfill its RDOF public interest obligations in the long term.”[[40]](#footnote-42) The Commission has specified that ensuring consumers receive new or additional services is an important public interest factor[[41]](#footnote-43) and accelerating private sector deployment of advanced services is one of the aims of the Act.[[42]](#footnote-44) Based on the record of this proceeding, we find it likely that the proposed transaction would result in some public interest benefits, including an investment of capital from ITC Holdings that is in addition to CableSouth’s own investment.[[43]](#footnote-45) This could accelerate broadband deployment so that CableSouth can expand service offerings more quickly and better compete. Absent any potential harms, and considering that the proposed transaction is likely to yield some benefits, we find, on balance, that the proposed transaction serves the public interest.

**Grant Subject to Condition**

The Applicants request approval to consummate a transaction involving companies that receive high-cost universal service support under the different support mechanisms of fixed model-based support and cost-based support (a mixed support transaction). The Commission has found that this type of transaction could result in potential harm to its goal of ensuring that limited universal service funding is distributed efficiently and effectively.[[44]](#footnote-46) When a company receiving a fixed level of support acquires or is acquired by a company receiving support based on its costs, the combined companies could, and in some instances might have an economic incentive to, shift certain shared or common costs from the fixed model-based support company to the cost-based support company.[[45]](#footnote-47) If cost shifting were to occur, the combined company, post-transaction, could obtain more high cost universal service support than the two companies did as separate entities, not because of any new investment, expense, or buildout, but rather solely because of the application of accounting procedures.[[46]](#footnote-48) Such an outcome is inconsistent with the Commission’s general expectation that transactions generate efficiencies that reduce the combined company’s costs.[[47]](#footnote-49) Moreover, providing additional universal service support to a company as a result of cost shifting solely because it acquired or merged with another company is not an efficient use of limited universal service resources.[[48]](#footnote-50)

In the *Hargray/ComSouth Order*, in which the Commission approved a mixed support transaction, it sought to prevent cost shifting and to protect the finite resources of the high-cost universal service fund by imposing a limited condition that capped high-cost universal service support based on the operating expenses of the entity receiving cost-based support.[[49]](#footnote-51) The Commission also directed the Bureau to impose the same limited condition on future transactions between parties receiving different types of high-cost universal service support.[[50]](#footnote-52)

Because ITC Holdings’ affiliates, Waverly Hall Telephone and Livingston, receive cost-based support, and ITC Holdings will acquire a controlling ownership interest in CableSouth, which will receive fixed support as an Auction 904 recipient, the potential for harm caused by cost-shifting is specific to the transaction.[[51]](#footnote-53) Accordingly, to mitigate the potential for cost shifting, we grant the Application subject to the condition adopted in the *Hargray/ComSouth Order*.[[52]](#footnote-54) The combined operating expenses of the post-consummation company’s rate-of-return affiliates[[53]](#footnote-55) shall be capped at the averaged combined operating expenses of the three calendar years preceding the transaction’s closing date for which the operating expense data are available.[[54]](#footnote-56)

The cap will apply to cost recovery under both HCLS and CAF-BLS and will be applied proportionately to each affiliate’s accounts used to determine the affiliate’s eligible operating expense for HCLS and CAF-BLS.[[55]](#footnote-57) For example, if the cap requires that a post-consummation company’s eligible operating expense be reduced by 10%, then each account used to determine each rate-of-return affiliate’s eligible operating expenses shall be reduced by 10%.[[56]](#footnote-58) For purposes of this cap, operating expenses shall include maintenance, network support/network operations/general, benefits, rent expenses, and corporate operations, while depreciation, return on investment, and taxes shall be excluded.[[57]](#footnote-59)

For all covered entities, the new cap shall also include an annual adjustment for inflation based on the Gross Domestic Product-Channel Price Index (GDP-CPI) for the years in which the new cap remains in effect.[[58]](#footnote-60) This cap shall remain in effect for seven years from the consummation of the transactions.[[59]](#footnote-61) The condition will also sunset if all of a post-consummation company’s rate-of-return affiliates become model-based support companies at any point during the seven-year period.[[60]](#footnote-62)

Pursuant to section 214 of the Act, 47 U.S.C. § 214, and sections 0.91, 0.291, 63.03, and 63.04 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 0.91, 0.291, 63.03, and 63.04, the Bureau hereby grants the Application discussed in this Public Notice, subject to compliance by ITC Holdings and CableSouth with all applicable RDOF obligations,[[61]](#footnote-63) and subject to the *Hargray/ComSouth* condition described above.

Pursuant to section 1.103 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR § 1.103, the grant is effective upon release of this Public Notice.[[62]](#footnote-64) Petitions for reconsideration under section 1.106 or applications for review under section 1.115 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 1.106, 1.115, may be filed within 30 days of the date of this Public Notice.

For further information, please contact Jodie May, Wireline Competition Bureau, Competition Policy Division, (202) 418-0913.
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