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By the Chief, Pricing Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau:

# INTRODUCTION

1. In this Order, the Wireline Competition Bureau (Bureau) sets forth the Tariff Review Plans (TRPs) to be used by incumbent local exchange carriers (LECs or carriers) to substantiate their interstate access service tariff revisions that will become effective on July 1, 2022.[[1]](#footnote-3) We also waive sections 69.3(a), 51.907(i)(2)(i)-(ii) and 51.909(m)(2)(i)-(ii) of the Commission’s rules to the extent necessary to implement non-rate-level reforms associated with various Commission orders.[[2]](#footnote-4) Finally, we clarify the extent that rate-of-return carriers receiving model-based universal service support may recover certain exogenous costs through end user charges and Connect America Fund-Intercarrier Compensation (CAF-ICC) support.[[3]](#footnote-5)
2. The 2022 TRPs implement the transitional rate changes and recovery rules adopted in the *USF/ICC Transformation Order* and the *8YY Access Charge Reform Order*.[[4]](#footnote-6) The 2022 TRPs for rate-of-return incumbent LECs also implement the universal service reforms and related tariffing requirements adopted in the *Rate-of-Return Reform Order* and the *Rate-of-Return Business Data Services Order*.[[5]](#footnote-7) Completed versions of the 2022 TRPs appended to this document will provide the supporting documentation to fulfill, in part, the requirements contained in sections 51.700 through 51.715, 51.901 through 51.919, 61.38, 61.39, 61.41 through 61.50, and 69.3 of the Commission’s rules.[[6]](#footnote-8) The 2022 TRPs display support data in a consistent manner, thereby facilitating review of the incumbent LECs’ rate revisions by the Commission and interested parties.

# BACKGROUND

1. In the *USF/ICC Transformation Order*, the Commission required incumbent LECs to reduce, over a period of years, many of their switched access charges effective on July 1 of each of those years to ultimately transition those rates to zero, as part of the Commission’s transition to a bill-and-keep regime.[[7]](#footnote-9) The transition of those rates to zero has been completed.[[8]](#footnote-10) The Commission also adopted a recovery mechanism to mitigate the impact of reduced intercarrier compensation revenues on carriers and to facilitate continued investment in broadband infrastructure.[[9]](#footnote-11) As part of the recovery mechanism, the Commission defined as “Eligible Recovery” the amount of intercarrier compensation revenue reductions that incumbent LECs would be eligible to recover through a combination of an end-user charge, the Access Recovery Charge (ARC),[[10]](#footnote-12) and, where eligible and if an incumbent LEC elects to receive it, Connect America Fund Intercarrier Compensation (CAF ICC) support.[[11]](#footnote-13) An incumbent LEC’s Eligible Recovery is based on a decreasing percentage of the cumulative reduction in revenue each year resulting from the intercarrier compensation reform transition.[[12]](#footnote-14)
2. In the *Rate-of-Return Reform Order*,the Commission modified the rules governing the provision of high-cost universal service support for rate-of-return incumbent LECs.[[13]](#footnote-15) The Commission allowed rate-of-return incumbent LECs the option of electing model-based support for a term of 10 years in exchange for meeting defined build-out obligations.[[14]](#footnote-16) For rate-of-return incumbent LECs not electing model-based support, the Commission modernized the existing Interstate Common Line Support (ICLS) rules to provide support in situations where the customer no longer subscribes to traditional regulated local exchange voice service, i.e., subscribers of stand-alone broadband.[[15]](#footnote-17) This revised form of support is known as Connect America Fund Broadband Loop Support (CAF BLS).[[16]](#footnote-18) As part of its implementation of the *Rate-of-Return Reform Order*, the Commission revised certain cost allocation and tariffing rules for rate-of-return incumbent LECs to introduce supported Consumer Broadband-only Loop (CBOL) services.[[17]](#footnote-19)
3. With respect to pricing considerations, the Commission required rate-of-return incumbent LECs offering supported stand-alone broadband loops to move costs for these loops from the special access service category to a new CBOL service category.[[18]](#footnote-20) Beginning with the 2018 annual access tariff filing, the amount to be moved is to be determined consistent with the Part 36 and Part 69 cost allocation rules.[[19]](#footnote-21)
4. The Commission also adopted rules governing the tariffing of CBOL rates, including a $42 per-loop, per-month rate cap for rate-of-return incumbent LECs electing model-based or Alaska Plan support and a rate methodology for rate-of-return incumbent LECs receiving CAF BLS.[[20]](#footnote-22) For rate-of-return incumbent LECs electing model-based support, the Commission determined that such carriers would not be eligible to participate in the National Exchange Carrier Association (NECA) common line pooling mechanism but could elect to have NECA tariff their common line rates.[[21]](#footnote-23) The Commission further required rate-of-return incumbent LECs to impute an amount equal to the ARC they assess on voice/broadband lines to their supported CBOL service.[[22]](#footnote-24) Beginning with the 2018 annual access tariff filing, the ARC imputation rule was revised for a five-year period to require rate-of-return incumbent LECs to limit the imputed amount so that total ARC revenues and imputation for the current tariff period do not exceed a pre-*Rate-of-Return* *Reform* *Order* baseline as a result of CBOL imputation.[[23]](#footnote-25) Rate-of-return incumbent LECs’ filings must also true-up the imputation made two years prior to the most recent annual filing.[[24]](#footnote-26)
5. In the *Rate-of-Return Business Data Services Order*, the Commission adopted rules allowing rate-of-return incumbent LECs receiving model-based universal service support or other forms of fixed high-cost universal service support to elect incentive regulation for their lower-capacity (DS3 or lower) time division multiplexing (TDM)-based transport and end-user channel termination business data services (BDS) offerings, effective either July 1, 2019 or July 1, 2020.[[25]](#footnote-27)
6. In the *8YY Access Charge Reform Order*, the Commission adopted rules requiring price cap and rate-of-return incumbent LECs to transition their interstate and intrastate toll free (or 8YY) originating end office access service rates to bill-and-keep over a three-year period.[[26]](#footnote-28) As an initial step, rate-of-return incumbent LECs capped all intrastate originating access service rates for toll free calls, including toll free database query charges, as of December 28, 2020.[[27]](#footnote-29) Beginning July 1, 2021, all incumbent LECs were required to tariff separate rate elements for toll free and non-toll free interstate and intrastate originating end office access service, then reduce these intrastate rates to interstate levels.[[28]](#footnote-30) All incumbent LECs were required to detariff rate elements for toll free interstate and intrastate originating switched transport service between an end office and tandem switch consistent with bill-and-keep.[[29]](#footnote-31) Further, all incumbent LECs were required to tariff a single rate element of no more than $0.001 per minute for toll free joint tandem switched transport access service[[30]](#footnote-32) and reduce tariffed intrastate and interstate toll free database query charges to no more than $0.004248 per query.[[31]](#footnote-33)

#  IMPLEMENTATION OF theCommission’s orders

## Annual Tariff Filing Rate-Level Limitation

1. Section 69.3(a) of the Commission’s rules limits the annual access charge tariff filing to rate-level changes.[[32]](#footnote-34) We find good cause to waive this limitation in order to facilitate implementation of non-rate-level reforms associated with the *USF/ICC Transformation Order*, *Rate-of-Return Reform Order*, *Rate-of-Return Business Data Services Order*, and *8YY Access Charge Reform Order*. This waiver is consistent with actions we have taken in prior annual access tariff filing periods.[[33]](#footnote-35)

## Toll Free 8YY Revenue Calculation Requirements

1. In the *8YY Access Charge Reform Order*, the Commission required price cap and rate-of-return incumbent LECs to transition their interstate and intrastate toll free originating end office access service rates to bill-and-keep over a three year period.[[34]](#footnote-36) Beginning July 1, 2022, incumbent LECs are required to reduce their interstate and intrastate toll free originating end office access service rates by one-half of the maximum rate allowed by the Commission’s rules.[[35]](#footnote-37) Incumbent LECs are also required to reduce intrastate and interstate toll free database query charges by one-half of the difference between the rate permitted by the rules and the transitional rate of $0.0002 per query.[[36]](#footnote-38)

## Exogenous Costs

1. In this section, we clarify the extent to which rate-of-return carriers receiving model-based universal service support may recover increases in telecommunications relay service (TRS) fees, regulatory fees, and North American Numbering Plan Administration (NANPA) fees (collectively, “exogenous costs”) attributable to common line through tariffed end user charges and CAF ICC support.
2. When the Commission capped interstate switched access rates in the *USF/ICC Transformation Order*,[[37]](#footnote-39) it did not address how carriers should recover cost increases for TRS fees, regulatory fees, or NANPA fees.[[38]](#footnote-40) At the time, rate-of-return carriers recovered interstate common line costs, the costs of providing the local loop between the carrier’s central office and the customer’s premises for local exchange service, from subscriber line charges (SLCs) and interstate common line support (ICLS), and, to a lesser extent, from special access surcharges and line port costs in excess of basic analog service (line port charges).[[39]](#footnote-41) Rate-of-return carriers also recovered interstate switched access (other than common line) costs through a variety of rates capped by the *USF/ICC Transformation Order*. In the *2012 TRP Order*, the Bureau clarified that rate-of-return carriers were “permitted to recover any increase in TRS, regulatory, or NANPA fees through the SLC, if they are below the maximum cap” and granted these carriers a “limited waiver of section[] 69.104…to the extent the rules prohibit certain carriers from charging the maximum rate of $6.50 for a residential or single-line business subscriber line or $9.20 for a multi-line business subscriber line.”[[40]](#footnote-42) If carriers were already charging the maximum SLCs, we permitted them to “include that portion of increases in mandatory TRS, regulatory, or NANPA fees associated with a rate that is capped in their Eligible Recovery for the 2012 annual access charge tariff filing.”[[41]](#footnote-43)
3. In 2012, when the Bureau waived section 69.104 in the *2012 TRP Order*, model-based universal service support was not available to rate-of-return carriers. To the extent that these legacy rate-of-return carriers could not recover exogenous cost increases attributable to common line through their SLCs, special access surcharges, and line port charges, they could recover the remaining portion through ICLS. To the extent that these legacy rate-of-return carriers could not recover exogenous cost increases attributable to capped rates for switched access (other than common line), they could recover the remaining portion through Eligible Recovery. In the 2016 *Rate-of-Return Reform Order*, the Commission significantly revised its ICLS rules. In place of legacy ICLS, model-based rate-of-return carriers could elect to receive Connect America Fund-Alternative Connect America Cost Model (CAF-ACAM) support, a fixed amount not based on annual cost-of-service studies.[[42]](#footnote-44) The Commission also capped model-based rate-of-return carriers’ SLCs, special access surcharges, and line port charges at the rates in effect on the last day of the month preceding the month for which model-based support was first provided.[[43]](#footnote-45) Model-based rate-of-return carriers’ are thus constrained in their ability to fully recover increases in exogenous costs attributable to common line.
4. The Commission delegated authority to the Bureau to revise and clarify rules as necessary to ensure that the reforms adopted in the *USF/ICC Transformation Order* are properly reflected in the rules[[44]](#footnote-46) and, separately, delegated authority to the Bureau to take all necessary administrative steps to implement the reforms adopted in the *Rate-of-Return Reform Order*.[[45]](#footnote-47) Accordingly, as the waiver granted and the clarification provided in the *2012 TRP Order* predates model-based universal service support, we clarify that model-based rate-of-return carriers must first look to recover exogenous cost increases attributable to common line through their SLCs, special access surcharges, and line port charges up to the maximum rate caps permitted for these carriers by the Commission’s rules.[[46]](#footnote-48) To the extent that model-based rate-of-return carriers are charging maximum SLCs, special access surcharges, and/or line port charges, they may, consistent with the *2012 TRP Order*, recover otherwise unrecoverable exogenous cost increases attributable to common line through Eligible Recovery (ARCs, then, where eligible and if they so elect, CAF ICC support).[[47]](#footnote-49)
5. We also clarify, pursuant to precedent, that model-based rate-of-return carriers that are charging less than the maximum SLCs, special access surcharges, and/or line port charges permitted for these carriers[[48]](#footnote-50) may not recover any portion of exogenous cost increases attributable to common line through Eligible Recovery.[[49]](#footnote-51) The Commission intended for rate-of-return carriers to first look to recover costs attributable to common line through their end-user charges—SLCs, special access surcharges, and line port charges.[[50]](#footnote-52) Eligible Recovery, by contrast, was intended to provide a partial and decreasing level of compensation to offset some of the revenues carriers lost due to the reductions in switched access rates resulting from the *USF/ICC Transformation Order* reforms, without regard to exogenous cost increases.[[51]](#footnote-53) Model-based rate-of-return carriers that voluntarily reduce their SLCs, special access surcharges, and/or line port charges below the maximums allowed under the Commission’s rules and seek to recover exogenous cost increases attributable to switched access (other than common line) through Eligible Recovery, should maintain and make available upon request to Commission staff a worksheet reporting the portions of these increases attributable to common line, switched access (other than common line), and special access. This will ensure model-based rate-of-return carriers that voluntarily reduce common-line rates do not add exogenous cost increases attributable to common line to Eligible Recovery for recovery through ARCs or CAF ICC support.[[52]](#footnote-54) We ask that this worksheet be prepared twice, once for the original filing, and again as support for the two year true-up.

## Tariff Review Plan Content for Rate-of-Return Incumbent LECs

1. Prior to July 1, 2016, rate-of-return incumbent LECs using projected cost and demand data pursuant to section 61.38 filed access tariff revisions only in even-numbered years and carriers using historical cost and demand data pursuant to section 61.39 filed only in odd-numbered years.[[53]](#footnote-55) Pursuant to the *USF/ICC Transformation Order*, however, all rate-of-return incumbent LECs must file a TRP this year to comply with the requirements of sections 51.917(d)(1)(iv), and 51.917(e) of the Commission’s rules.[[54]](#footnote-56) Pursuant to the *8YY Access Charge Reform Order*, rate-of-return incumbent LECs must also file a TRP this year to comply with the requirements of section 51.909(n) of the Commission’s rules.[[55]](#footnote-57)
2. Rate-of-return incumbent LECs that provide CBOL service and receive CAF BLS are required to revise their CBOL rates to reflect the level of CAF BLS they expect to receive this coming tariff year.[[56]](#footnote-58) Rate-of-return incumbent LECs subject to section 61.38 of the Commission’s rules must base rate-of-return regulated rates for the coming tariff year on new cost studies.[[57]](#footnote-59) Rate-of-return incumbent LECs subject to section 61.39 may base rates for services subject to rate-of-return regulation on new cost studies or last year’s studies.[[58]](#footnote-60)
3. We remind rate-of-return incumbent LECs that offer CBOL service that they are responsible for ensuring that all relevant carrier data is reflected in TRPs filed with the Commission, whether CBOLs are provided on a tariffed or detariffed basis. Thus, for a carrier receiving CAF BLS that is tariffing a CBOL rate, the filing entity—whether the carrier, NECA, or cost consultant—must include in the 2022 TRP the data necessary to determine the maximum permitted CBOL rate.[[59]](#footnote-61) Similarly, the entity filing special access rates for a rate-of-return incumbent LEC—whether the carrier, NECA, or a cost consultant—must include in the 2022 TRP the special access costs reallocated to the CBOL category and the special access costs, net of that reallocation, i.e., the revenue requirement on which special access rates are based. A carrier that has detariffed its CBOL rates shall provide the required information related to those rates in the relevant portion of the TRP.
4. In the *Rate-of-Return Reform Order*, the Commission required rate-of-return incumbent LECs to impute to their supported CBOL lines an amount equal to the ARC they assess on voice/broadband lines.[[60]](#footnote-62) This ARC imputation applies to all supported lines, and is calculated separately for each type of broadband-only customer group (i.e., residential, single-line business, or multi-line business).[[61]](#footnote-63) Rate-of-return incumbent LECs’ calculation of CAF ICC support must reflect the limit on imputed ARC revenues from CBOL lines and their calculation of eligible recovery must reflect the true-up of the imputation made two years ago.[[62]](#footnote-64)

# PRICE CAP TARIFF REVIEW PLAN

1. In the *LEC Price Cap Order*, the Commission adopted price cap regulation for certain incumbent LECs, effective January 1, 1991.[[63]](#footnote-65) Price cap incumbent LECs file tariffs each year. All price cap incumbent LECs should file price cap annual access 2022 TRPs, as outlined below.

## Price Cap TRP Spreadsheets and Workpapers

1. We adopt changes to the 2022 price cap annual access TRP noted below. The spreadsheets and workpapers discussed below are contained in the Appendix.

### ARC Spreadsheets

1. The ARC spreadsheets consist of three individual spreadsheets that demonstrate the calculations necessary to determine an ARC rate. These spreadsheets were created to comply with sections 51.915(e) and (f) of the Commission’s rules, and include the 2022 Rate Ceiling, 2022 Tariff Rate Comparison, and 2022 True Up spreadsheets.[[64]](#footnote-66)

### Eligible Recovery Spreadsheet

1. The 2022 Eligible Recovery spreadsheet calculates the amount of Eligible Recovery a price cap incumbent LEC is entitled to receive pursuant to section 51.915(d) of the Commission’s rules.[[65]](#footnote-67) This year, the Eligible Recovery spreadsheet has been modified to change formulas in compliance with section 51.915(d)(viii) of the Commission’s rules.[[66]](#footnote-68)

### ICC Summary Spreadsheet

1. The 2022 Price Cap Summary spreadsheet provides a summary of data contained in the ARC spreadsheets (2022 Rate Ceiling, 2022 Tariff Rate Comparison, and 2022 True Up spreadsheets) and 2022 Eligible Recovery spreadsheet.

### IND1 Spreadsheet

1. The IND1 spreadsheet displays price cap indices (PCIs), actual price indices (APIs), service band indices (SBIs), and upper SBI limits. There are no changes to this spreadsheet. For the special access basket, to assist in verifying the historical indices reported in IND1, price cap incumbent LECs must continue to file workpapers that identify transmittals in which the current index levels became effective.

### CAP Spreadsheets

1. Price cap incumbent LECs develop the End User Common Line (EUCL) Charge, the Presubscribed Interexchange Carrier Charge (PICC) and Carrier Common Line (CCL) rates, which are the rates that recover common line, marketing and transport interconnection (CMT) revenue, on CAP-1, CAP-2, CAP-3, CAP-4, and CAP-5.
2. Price cap incumbent LECs that price certain common line rate elements separately by jurisdiction within a study area are required to develop such individual rates, instead of a roll up or average rate, on the CAP-1J form.

### PCI1 Spreadsheet

1. The PCI1 spreadsheet displays the calculation of the proposed PCIs for the price cap baskets and includes the following data: (1) the Gross Domestic Product Price Index (GDP-PI) measuring inflation; (2) the productivity offset (X-Factor);[[67]](#footnote-69) (3) the exogenous cost change (Z); (4) the base-year (calendar-year 2021) revenue (R) for each basket; (5) the weighting factor (w) used in computing the PCIs; and (6) the immediately preceding PCIs. There are no revisions to this spreadsheet.

### SUM1 Spreadsheet

1. This is a summary spreadsheet displaying the revenues in baskets and categories. It displays the base-year demand multiplied by: (1) rates at last PCI update; (2) current rates; and (3) proposed rates. SUM1 calculates the difference in revenues using base-year demand, under current and proposed rates. It also displays “R,” the revenue variable in the PCI formula that equals base period demand multiplied by rates at last PCI update. There are no revisions to the SUM1 spreadsheet.

### EXG Spreadsheets

1. The EXG1 spreadsheet displays the exogenous cost changes to the PCIs attributable to any: (1) sale of exchanges; (2) FCC regulatory fees; (3) excess deferred taxes; (4) amortization of investment tax credits; (5) low end adjustment calculations; (6) fees associated with Telecommunications Relay Service (TRS); (7) changes in the allocation of costs between regulated and unregulated activities; (8) North American Numbering Plan Administration (NANPA) expenses; (9) removal of thousand block number pooling; or (10) other exogenous cost changes the price cap incumbent LECs may file. There are no revisions to the EXG1 spreadsheet. The EXG2 spreadsheet displays the net exogenous shifts related to bands and zones. There are no revisions to the EXG2 spreadsheet.
2. Price cap incumbent LECs shall reflect an exogenous cost adjustment for TRS in a mid-September filing to incorporate the final contribution factor for tariff year 2022-23 in rates to become effective October 1, 2022 as this factor was not available by May 1, 2022. That exogenous cost adjustment for TRS shall be “grossed up” to spread the entire adjustment over the remaining months in the tariff year. The exogenous cost adjustments for regulatory and NANPA fees also shall be reflected in rates that take effect October 1, 2022 as these fees are obligations covering a fiscal year that begins October 1, 2022. The exogenous cost adjustment for TRS for tariff year 2021-22 had to be grossed up and reflected in rates effective October 1, 2021, because the final contribution factor was not available by May 1, 2021. Price cap incumbent LECs therefore must make an exogenous cost adjustment in this year’s annual filing to remove the effect of that gross up from existing rates. Otherwise, price cap incumbent LECs will over-recover their TRS exogenous costs beginning July 1, 2022. Carriers that made an exogenous cost adjustment for TRS to the special access basket PCI and SBI upper limits or to the CMT-revenue-per-line constraint in their mid-September 2021 TRPs must remove the effect of the gross-up from these parameters, regardless of whether they changed their rates on October 1, 2021 or subsequently. Finally, price cap incumbent LECs have the option of not making an October 1, 2022 exogenous cost filing and rate adjustment if the total amount of such adjustments would either increase rates or meet a *de minimus* threshold of $930.00, which is the current standard tariff filing fee, applied on the same basis as the tariff filing for which the filing fee is paid.[[68]](#footnote-70)

### RTE1 Spreadsheets

1. These spreadsheets display information used to compute the APIs, SBIs, and upper SBI. They display base year 2021 demand, rates at last PCI update, current rates, proposed rates, and three different revenue figures computed by multiplying the 2021 demand by rates at last PCI update, current rates, and proposed rates, respectively. The RTE1 spreadsheets enable the Commission to verify the accuracy of “R,” the revenue variable in the PCI formula that equals base period demand multiplied by rates at last PCI update. Demand and price data are reported in the aggregate under the primary rate elements of each category. The level of aggregation in the RTE1 spreadsheets allows rapid, consistent verification of index calculations across all companies. There are no revisions to these spreadsheets.

### Rate Detail Spreadsheet

1. In their previous annual filings, each price cap incumbent LEC provided a spreadsheet that gave complete rate element detail, i.e., demand, rates at last PCI update, existing rates, and proposed rates for each rate element subject to price caps, and revenues at each of these rates. Price cap incumbent LECs should again file this spreadsheet with their 2022 annual access tariff filing. We leave unspecified the exact format of the rate detail spreadsheet because each price cap incumbent LEC has a different number of rate elements. For each rate element, however, price cap incumbent LECs should display the rate element name, jurisdiction, base period demand, rates at last PCI update, current rates, and proposed rates, and revenues at each of these rates based on base period demand. Price cap incumbent LECs also may include a rate-identifying code. The revenue amounts for baskets and categories should be totaled to assist in verifying the agreement between this form and the revenue amounts in RTE1. The variation in the number of rate elements among price cap incumbent LECs prevents us from specifying the row numbers, but each row of this spreadsheet should correspond to only one rate element. The rows should reflect the basket and service category sequence used in RTE1. There are no revisions to this spreadsheet.

### Services Excluded from Price Caps

1. For the 2022 filing, we require price cap incumbent LECs to provide a list of services that are tariffed but excluded from price caps. The list should identify the tariff section containing each service. Rate element details must be provided for the following categories: Special Construction/ Individual Case Basis; End User Charges (e.g., USF charges, LNP); Government Services (e.g., FTS); Miscellaneous/Other (e.g., engineering services); and services that were in price caps but have been removed (e.g., interexchange services). Price cap incumbent LECs do not have to include in this list services not subject to price cap regulation pursuant to the *USF/ICC Transformation Order* or *Price Cap Business Data Services Order*.[[69]](#footnote-71) For the remaining services included in the list, price cap incumbent LECs must identify the service, indicate whether the rate is recurring or non-recurring, and the authority relied on to remove the service, where applicable. Price cap incumbent LECs must also clearly state in their cover letter where this information can be found in their TRP.

## Miscellaneous

1. In addition to the above specifications, price cap incumbent LECs must include with their support materials a list of all currently applicable Part 69 waivers. The list should include the following information: (1) a citation to the Commission or Bureau order granting the waiver; (2) a brief description of the waiver, including whether any new rate elements were authorized; and (3) the basket and, if applicable, service category of each rate element affected by the waiver.

# RATE-OF-RETURN TARIFF REVIEW PLAN

## Modifications to the Rate-of-Return TRP

1. In the 2022 rate-of-return TRP, we adopt certain modifications to the 2020 rate-of-return TRP to continue to implement the *USF/ICC Transformation Order*, the *Rate-of-Return Reform Order*, *Rate-of-Return Business Data Services Order,* and the *8YY Access Charge Reform Order*. These modifications are reflected in the workbooks referenced below. In addition, we attach a TRP for rate-of-return incumbent LECs subject to incentive regulation for certain BDS offerings. These TRPs are contained in the Appendix.
2. For special access, common line, and CBOL services for section 61.38 carriers, there are two versions of the workbooks related to rate-of-return regulation.[[70]](#footnote-72) The “2022 Annual Filing RoR CAF-BLS” and “2022 Annual Filing RoR ACAM” workbooks apply to section 61.38 carriers that receive CAF-BLS and Alternative Connect America Cost Model (ACAM)- or Alaska Plan-based support, respectively.[[71]](#footnote-73)
3. For special access and CBOL services, section 61.39 carriers that receive CBOL support should submit the “2022 61.39 ILEC Special Access Reallocation” workbook.[[72]](#footnote-74) This workbook requires data and uses formulas to calculate the maximum permitted CBOL rate for 61.39 carriers that receive CAF BLS.
4. For switched access services, rate-of-return incumbent LECs regulated pursuant to sections 61.38 and 61.39 of the Commission’s rules should complete the ARC-related workbooks:[[73]](#footnote-75) (i) the “2022 Rate Ceiling CAF RoR ILEC” or the “2022 Rate Ceiling No CAF RoR ILEC;” and (ii) the “2022 Tariff Rate Comp CAF RoR ILEC” or the “2022 Tariff Rate Comp No CAF RoR ILEC” workbooks. These carriers also should complete the “2022 True Up RoR ILEC” and “2022 RoR ILEC ICC Data” workbooks.[[74]](#footnote-76) The “2022 RoR ILEC ICC Data” workbook contains worksheets for carriers to determine their intrastate, interstate, and reciprocal compensation rates and Eligible Recovery, pursuant to sections 51.909(b)-(i), 51.705(c)(4) and 51.917(d) of the Commission’s rules.[[75]](#footnote-77) These workbooks are modified to the extent necessary to implement the requirements of the *USF/ICC Transformation Order*.[[76]](#footnote-78) These workbooks are also modified to implement the toll free rate adjustment rules adopted in the *8YY Access Charge Reform Order*, as applicable.[[77]](#footnote-79) Carriers must also summarize the data from these workbooks in the “2022 RoR ILEC Summary” workbook.
5. NECA prepared TRPs for rate-of-return incumbent LECs that elected incentive regulation for BDS services pursuant to the *Rate-of-Return Business Data Services Order*. These TRPs are for rate-of-return incumbent LECs for which NECA files special access rates, and it or its equivalent should be used by carriers that file their own special access rates. The “TY 2022-23 Individual Study Area BDS Annual TRP” and “TY 2022-23 Holding Company BDS Annual TRP” workbooks are for carriers that establish PCIs, APIs, SBIs, and upper SBI limits at the study area and holding company levels, respectively, and have elected BDS incentive regulation. These workbooks calculate the price cap indices and exogenous cost adjustments pursuant to section 61.50, display the relevant rates and revenues, including the proposed rates for DS3 or lower TDM-based transport and end-user channel termination BDS services, other than end-user channel termination services in study areas deemed competitive, and demonstrate compliance of the proposed rates with section 61.50.[[78]](#footnote-80)
6. In the *2021 TRP Order*, the Bureau instructed rate-of-return incumbent LECs electing BDS incentive regulation to reflect exogenous cost adjustments for TRS, NANPA, and regulatory fees in a mid-September filing in rates to become effective October 1, 2021.[[79]](#footnote-81) Any exogenous cost adjustment for TRS reflected in rates in effect October 1, 2021 had to be “grossed up” to spread the entire adjustment over the remaining months in the tariff year.[[80]](#footnote-82) Absent an exogenous cost adjustment in this year’s annual filing to remove the effect of the gross-up reflected in the exogenous cost adjustment for TRS, these carriers will over-recover their TRS costs beginning July 1, 2022. Accordingly, any gross-up amount reflected in existing rates through the exogenous cost adjustment on October 1, 2021 must be removed in this year’s annual filing via an exogenous cost adjustment.[[81]](#footnote-83) Carriers that made an exogenous cost adjustment for TRS to the special access basket PCI and SBI upper limits in their mid-September 2021 TRPs[[82]](#footnote-84) must remove the effect of the gross-up from these indices, regardless of whether they changed their rates on October 1, 2021 or subsequently. The exogenous cost adjustment to remove the effect of the gross-up is reflected in the TRPs contained in the Appendix.
7. Rate-of-return incumbent LECs electing incentive regulation for BDS shall reflect exogenous cost adjustments for TRS, NANPA, and regulatory fees in a mid-September filing in rates to become effective October 1, 2022. Any exogenous cost adjustment for TRS reflected in rates in effect October 1, 2022 shall be “grossed up” to spread the entire adjustment over the remaining months in the tariff year. Rate-of-return incumbent LECs electing BDS incentive regulation have the option of not making an October 1, 2022 exogenous cost filing and rate adjustment if the total amount of such adjustments would either increase rates or meet a *de minimus* threshold of $930.00, which is the current standard tariff filing fee, applied on the same basis as the tariff filing for which the filing fee is paid.[[83]](#footnote-85)

## General Guidelines Applicable to NECA

1. We have not adopted a TRP for NECA for services other than BDS, although NECA should refer to the 2022 rate-of-return TRPs for guidance on the level of support materials to provide in its annual filing.

# GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

1. The following general instructions apply to all incumbent LECs. These instructions pertain to the TRP and other documentation filed in support of access charges. Carriers should submit their data and formulas in Excel rather than PDF files.

## Revised TRPs

1. If an incumbent LEC files to revise its TRP after June 16, 2022, the TRP should be refiled in its entirety, rather than just the parts of the TRP that are changed. The latest TRP filed becomes the TRP of record. Other parts of the original filing, e.g., portions of the explanations, Description and Justification, and workpapers, may be omitted if unchanged by the revision.

## Certification

1. The filing of inaccurate or incomplete data may seriously detract from the ability of the Commission and interested parties to evaluate the revised rates. All incumbent LECs must certify that their historical and forecast data are accurate by including a signed statement that the support data are true, correct, and complete to the best of the carrier’s knowledge. This certification will apply to all data submitted in support of revised rates, including the data that are filed in the TRP. Moreover, incumbent LECs are required to make several additional certifications pursuant to the *USF/ICC Transformation Order*.[[84]](#footnote-86) These certifications should be displayed as the last pages in each company’s filing containing its TRP. Incumbent LECs are also under a continuing legal obligation to correct any inaccurate or incomplete data subsequently discovered in the TRP or other support data.

## Compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act

1. As is the case with each year’s TRPs, the 2022 TRPs contained in this Order contain modified information collection requirements subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA).[[85]](#footnote-87) The TRP collections were approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the PRA.[[86]](#footnote-88) In addition, we note that pursuant to the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of 2002,[[87]](#footnote-89) we previously sought specific comment on how the Commission might further reduce the information collection burden for small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees.
2. In this Order, we have assessed the effects on incumbent LECs of filing the TRP and have minimized the burden to the extent possible. We minimize the regulatory burden on the incumbent LECs by deleting obsolete sections of the TRP that have not proven to be useful.

# ORDERING CLAUSES

1. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to sections 1, 4(i) and (j), 5, and 201-209 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151, 154(i)-(j), 155, 201-209, and sections 0.91 and 0.291 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 0.91, 0.291, this Order IS ADOPTED.
2. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to sections 0.91, 0.291, and 1.3 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 0.91, 0.291, 1.3, section 69.3(a) of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR § 69.3(a), IS WAIVED to the extent specified herein.
3. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to sections 0.91, 0.291, and 1.3 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 0.91, 0.291, 1.3, sections 51.907(i)(2)(i)-(ii) and 51.909(m)(2)(i)-(ii) of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 51.907(i)(2)(i)-(ii), 51.909(m)(2)(i)-(ii), ARE WAIVED to the extent specified herein.

 FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

 Gil M. Strobel

 Chief, Pricing Policy Division

 Wireline Competition Bureau

**Appendix**

**Tariff Review Plans**

[**https://www.fcc.gov/2022-tariff-review-plans**](https://www.fcc.gov/2022-tariff-review-plans)

1. TRP formats for the annual filings are developed for the specific circumstances of the tariff year in which the revised rates will become effective. The filing date and comment periods for this year’s annual access charge filings were released earlier in a separate order. *July 1, 2022 Annual Access Charge Tariff Filings*, WC Docket No. 22-108, Order, DA 22-407 (WCB Apr. 15, 2022) (*Procedures Order*). We refer to the TRPs developed for this year’s annual filings as the 2022 TRPs. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
2. 47 CFR §§ 69.3(a), 51.907(i)(2)(i)-(ii), 51.909(m)(2)(i)-(ii). [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
3. *See id*. §§ 51.917(f), 69.104(n)(1)(ii), (o)(1)(i). [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
4. *Connect America Fund et al.*, WC Docket No. 10-90 et al., Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 26 FCC Rcd 17663, 17934-35, para. 801 (2011) (*USF/ICC Transformation Order*), *pets. for review denied sub nom. In re: FCC 11-161*, 753 F.3d 1015 (10th Cir. 2014) (stating that although many of the switched access rate elements are subject to the transition adopted, other rates were not being specifically reduced at that time); *8YY Access Charge Reform*, WC Docket No. 18-156, Report and Order, 35 FCC Rcd 11594, FCC 20-143, at 10, para. 25 (Oct. 9, 2020) (*8YY Access Charge Reform Order*); 85 Fed. Reg. 75894 (Nov. 27, 2020). [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
5. *Connect America Fund et al.*, WC Docket No. 10-90 et al., Report and Order, Order and Order on Reconsideration and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 31 FCC Rcd 3089 (2016) (*Rate-of-Return Reform Order*); *Regulation of Business Data Services for Rate-of-Return Local Exchange Carriers et al.*, WC Docket No. 17-144 et al., Report and Order, Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, and Second Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 33 FCC Rcd 10403 (2018) (*Rate-of-Return Business Data Services Order*). [↑](#footnote-ref-7)
6. 47 CFR §§ 51.700-51.715, 51.901-51.919, 61.38-61.39, 61.41-61.50, 69.3. [↑](#footnote-ref-8)
7. *USF/ICC Transformation Order*, 26 FCC Rcd at 17934-35, para. 801. [↑](#footnote-ref-9)
8. Price cap incumbent LECs were required to transition certain tariffed switched access rates effective July 1 on each of those years to zero over a six-year period that ended in 2018. Rate-of-return incumbent LECs were required to transition certain tariffed switched access rates effective July 1 on each of those years to zero over a nine-year period that ended in 2020. As part of this transition, price cap and rate-of-return incumbent LECs were required to refile and remove these switched access rates from their federal and state tariffs. *See USF/ICC Transformation Order*, 26 FCC Rcd at 17934-96, para. 801, fig. 9; 47 CFR §§ 51.907, 51.909. [↑](#footnote-ref-10)
9. *USF/ICC Transformation Order*, 26 FCC Rcd at 17677, para. 36. [↑](#footnote-ref-11)
10. 47 CFR §§ 51.915(e), 51.917(e); *USF/ICC Transformation Order*, 26 FCC Rcd at 17958, para. 852. [↑](#footnote-ref-12)
11. 47 CFR §§ 51.915(f), 51.917(f); *USF/ICC Transformation Order*, 26 FCC Rcd at 17957, para. 850. Beginning July 1, 2019, price cap incumbent LECs are no longer eligible to receive CAF ICC support. 47 CFR § 51.915(f)(5). [↑](#footnote-ref-13)
12. *USF/ICC Transformation Order*, 26 FCC Rcd at 17957-58, paras. 850-51. [↑](#footnote-ref-14)
13. *Rate-of-Return Reform Order*, 31 FCC Rcd at 3089, para. 1. [↑](#footnote-ref-15)
14. *Id*. at 3094-117, paras. 17-79. [↑](#footnote-ref-16)
15. *Id.* at 3117-57, paras. 80-187. [↑](#footnote-ref-17)
16. 47 CFR § 54.901. [↑](#footnote-ref-18)
17. *Rate-of-Return Reform Order*, 31 FCC Rcd at 3157-62, paras. 188-204. [↑](#footnote-ref-19)
18. *Id*. at 3158-59, paras. 190-91. [↑](#footnote-ref-20)
19. *See Connect America Fund et al*., WC Docket No. 10-90 et al, Second Order on Reconsideration and Clarification, 33 FCC Rcd 2399, 2402-03, paras. 10-13 (2018) (*Rate-of-Return Reform Reconsideration Order*); 47 CFR §§ 69.311(b), 69.416. [↑](#footnote-ref-21)
20. *Rate-of-Return Reform Order*, 31 FCC Rcd at 3459-60, paras. 194, 197-98; 47 CFR §§ 69.132(c)-(d). [↑](#footnote-ref-22)
21. *Rate-of-Return Reform Order*, 31 FCC Rcd at 3160, paras. 195-96. [↑](#footnote-ref-23)
22. *Id*. at 3161-62, para. 203. [↑](#footnote-ref-24)
23. *Rate-of-Return Reform Reconsideration Order*, 33 FCC Rcd at 2404, paras. 16-17. [↑](#footnote-ref-25)
24. *Id.* at 2404, paras. 16-17; *see* 47 CFR § 51.917(f). [↑](#footnote-ref-26)
25. *Rate-of-Return Business Data Services Order*, 33 FCC Rcd at 10454-57, para. 3; *see Business Data Services in an Internet Protocol Environment et al*., WC Docket No. 16-143, et al., Report and Order, 32 FCC Rcd 3459 (2017) (*Price Cap* *Business Data Services Order*), *remanded in part sub nom*. *Citizens Telecomms. Co. of Minn., LLC v. FCC*, 901 F.3d 991 (8th Cir. 2018). [↑](#footnote-ref-27)
26. 47 CFR §§ 51.907(i)-(k), 51.909(l)-(o); *8YY Access Charge Reform Order* at 10, para. 25. The bill-and-keep methodology is defined in 47 CFR § 51.713. [↑](#footnote-ref-28)
27. 47 CFR § 51.909(l). Price cap incumbent LEC’s interstate and intrastate originating access service were capped beginning in 2011. *USF/ICC Transformation Order*, 26 FCC Rcd at 17942, para. 818. [↑](#footnote-ref-29)
28. 47 CFR §§ 51.907(i)(1), 51.909(m)(1); *8YY Access Charge Reform Order* at 12-13, 22, paras. 28, 49. [↑](#footnote-ref-30)
29. 47 CFR §§ 51.907(i)(3), 51.909(m)(3); *see id*. § 51.713 (defining bill-and-keep arrangements). [↑](#footnote-ref-31)
30. *Id*. §§ 51.907(i)(5), 51.909(m)(5). [↑](#footnote-ref-32)
31. *Id*. §§ 51.907(i)(6), 51.909(m)(6). Incumbent LECs charging database query charges lower than $0.004248 per query, however, were not allowed to increase their rates. *Id*.; *Material to be Filed in Support of 2021 Annual Access Tariff Filings*, WC Docket No. 21-148, Order, 36 FCC Rcd 8452, DA 21-568, at \*4, para. 9 (WCB May 14, 2021). [↑](#footnote-ref-33)
32. 47 CFR § 69.3(a). [↑](#footnote-ref-34)
33. *See, e.g.*, *Material to be Filed in Support of 2020 Annual Access Tariff Filings*, WC Docket No. 20-55, Order, 35 FCC Rcd 4802, 4807, para. 16 (WCB 2020) (*2020 TRP Order*); *see also* 47 CFR § 1.3 (“Any provision of the rules may be waived by the Commission on its own motion or on petition if good cause therefore is shown.”); *Northeast Cellular Telephone Co. v. FCC*, 897 F.2d 1164, 1166 (D.C. Cir. 1969) (*Northeast Cellular*) (citing *WAIT Radio v. FCC*, 418 F.2d 1153, 1159 (D.C. Cir. 1969) (*WAIT Radio*)) (explaining that waivers must show special circumstances warranting a deviation from the general rule, and show such a deviation will serve the public interest). [↑](#footnote-ref-35)
34. 47 CFR §§ 51.907(i)(1), 51.909(m)(1); *8YY Access Charge Reform Order* at 12-13, para. 28. [↑](#footnote-ref-36)
35. 47 CFR §§ 51.907(j)(1), 51.909(n)(1). [↑](#footnote-ref-37)
36. 47 CFR §§ 51.907(j)(2), 51.909(n)(2). [↑](#footnote-ref-38)
37. *USF/ICC Transformation Order,* 26 FCC Rcd at 17934, para. 801; 47 CFR § 51.909(a). [↑](#footnote-ref-39)
38. *See Material to be Filed in Support of 2012 Annual Access Tariff Filings*, WCB/Pricing File No. 12-08, 27 FCC Rcd 3960, 3962, para. 6 (WCB-PPD 2012) (*2012 TRP Order*). [↑](#footnote-ref-40)
39. *See Rate-of-Return Reform Order*, 31 FCC Rcd at 3118, para. 82 & n. 159 (“[i]nterstate common line costs are recovered through a combination of the federal subscriber line charge (SLC) and ICLS” and a “small amount of interstate common line revenues are recovered from end users for line port costs in excess of basic analog service and special access surcharges for loops that bypass the central switch”). [↑](#footnote-ref-41)
40. *2012 TRP Order*, 27 FCC Rcd at 3962-63, paras. 6-7. [↑](#footnote-ref-42)
41. *Id*. [↑](#footnote-ref-43)
42. *Rate-of-Return Reform Order*, 31 FCC Rcd at 3120, para. 86 (“we change the name of ICLS to CAF BLS”); *id*. at 3160, para. 195 (“Model-based support replaces the high-cost support (i.e., HCLS, ICLS) amounts a carrier would receive, as well as any CAF BLS associated with consumer broadband-only loops it would have been eligible to receive if it had not elected model-based support.”); 47 CFR § 54.901-54.904 (Interstate Common Line Support Mechanism for Rate-of-Return Carriers). [↑](#footnote-ref-44)
43. *See* 47 CFR § 69.104(s)(1)-(2) (capping model-based rate-of-return carriers’ SLCs); *id*. § 69.115(f) (capping model-based rate-of-return carriers’ special access surcharges); *id*. § 69.130(b) (capping model-based rate-of-return carriers’ line port charges). [↑](#footnote-ref-45)
44. *USF/ICC Transformation Order*, 26 FCC Rcd at 18149, para. 1404. [↑](#footnote-ref-46)
45. *Rate-of-Return Reform Order*, 31 FCC Rcd at 3156, para. 185 n.413. [↑](#footnote-ref-47)
46. *See* 47 CFR §§ 69.104(s), 69.115(f), 69.130(b). [↑](#footnote-ref-48)
47. *2012 TRP Order*, 32 FCC Rcd at 3962-63, paras. 6-7. [↑](#footnote-ref-49)
48. *See* 47 CFR §§ 69.104(s), 69.115(f), 69.130(b). [↑](#footnote-ref-50)
49. *See* 47 CFR § 51.917(e), (f). [↑](#footnote-ref-51)
50. *See Rate-of-Return Reform Order*, 31 FCC Rcd at 3118, para. 82 & n. 159. [↑](#footnote-ref-52)
51. *USF/ICC Transformation Order*, 26 FCC Rcd at 17956-57, paras. 847-849. [↑](#footnote-ref-53)
52. *See* 47 CFR § 51.917(d)(vii) (requiring rate-of-return carriers to reduce Eligible Recovery to the extent it receives duplicative recovery for any costs or revenues from Eligible Recovery and another source). [↑](#footnote-ref-54)
53. 47 CFR §§ 61.38-61.39, 69.3(f)(1), (2).  [↑](#footnote-ref-55)
54. 47 CFR §§ 51.909(i), 51.917(d)(iv), (e). [↑](#footnote-ref-56)
55. 47 CFR § 51.909(n). [↑](#footnote-ref-57)
56. *Rate-of-Return Reform Reconsideration Order*, 33 FCC Rcd at 2403, para. 13. [↑](#footnote-ref-58)
57. *Id*. at 2402-03, paras. 9-13. [↑](#footnote-ref-59)
58. *Id*. [↑](#footnote-ref-60)
59. 47 CFR § 69.132(c) (“[T]he single-line rate or charge shall be computed by dividing one-twelfth of the projected annual revenue requirement for the Consumer Broadband-Only Loop category (net of the projected annual Connect America Fund Broadband Loop Support attributable to consumer broadband-only loops) by the projected average number of consumer broadband-only service lines in use during such annual period.”). [↑](#footnote-ref-61)
60. *Rate-of-Return Reform Order*, 31 FCC Rcd at 3161-62, para. 203. [↑](#footnote-ref-62)
61. 47 CFR § 51.915(f)(4); *see Rate-of-Return Reform Order*, 31 FCC Rcd at 3161-62, para. 203. [↑](#footnote-ref-63)
62. *Rate-of-Return Reform Reconsideration Order*, 33 FCC Rcd at 2404, paras. 16-17; *see* 47 CFR § 51.917(f). [↑](#footnote-ref-64)
63. *Policy and Rules Concerning Rates for Dominant Carriers*, Second Report and Order, 5 FCC Rcd 6786 (1990) (*LEC Price Cap Order*), *aff’d sub nom.* *National Rural Telecom Ass’n v. FCC*, 988 F.2d 174 (D.C. Cir. 1993). [↑](#footnote-ref-65)
64. 47 CFR § 51.915(e)-(f). [↑](#footnote-ref-66)
65. *Id.* § 51.915(d). [↑](#footnote-ref-67)
66. *Id.* § 51.915(d)(viii). [↑](#footnote-ref-68)
67. The X-Factor is set pursuant to section 61.45 of the Commission’s rules. 47 CFR § 61.45. [↑](#footnote-ref-69)
68. 47 CFR § 1.1105. Effective December 15, 2021, the Commission revised its tariff filing fee structure. *See Wireline Competition Bureau Reminds Applicants of the Effective Date of New Application Processing Fees*, Public Notice, DA 21-1578 (WCB Dec. 15, 2021); FCC, Schedule of Application Fees of Commission’s Rules, 86 Fed. Reg. 70125-01 (Dec. 9, 2021). [↑](#footnote-ref-70)
69. *See USF/ICC Transformation Order*, 26 FCC Rcd 17663; *Price Cap BDS Order*, 32 FCC Rcd 3459. [↑](#footnote-ref-71)
70. *See*47 CFR § 61.38. [↑](#footnote-ref-72)
71. *See generally* *Rate-of-Return Reform Order*, 31 FCC Rcd at 3094-3117, paras. 17-79 (adopting a voluntary path for rate-of-return incumbent LECs to elect model-based support for a term of 10 years in exchange for meeting defined build-out obligations); *Connect America Fund et al*., Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 31 FCC Rcd 10139 (2016) (providing a one-time opportunity for Alaskan rate-of-return incumbent LECs to elect to receive support frozen at adjusted 2011 levels for a 10-year term in exchange for meeting individualized performance obligations). [↑](#footnote-ref-73)
72. *See* 47 CFR § 61.39. [↑](#footnote-ref-74)
73. Carriers that calculate a weighted average business ARC rate rather than using separate single line business and multiline business ARC rates as part of the imputed ARC calculation must explain this methodology in detail and submit the data and the calculations used to determine this weighted average rate. [↑](#footnote-ref-75)
74. *See* 47 CFR §§ 61.38, 61.39. [↑](#footnote-ref-76)
75. *See* 47 CFR §§ 51.909(b)-(i), 51.705(c)(4), 51.917(d). [↑](#footnote-ref-77)
76. *See USF/ICC Transformation Order*, 26 FCC Rcd at 17934-35, para. 801. [↑](#footnote-ref-78)
77. *See* 47 CFR § 51.909(n). [↑](#footnote-ref-79)
78. 47 CFR § 61.50. [↑](#footnote-ref-80)
79. *Material to be Filed in Support of 2021 Annual Access Tariff Filings*, WC Docket No. 21-148, Order, 36 FCC Rcd 8452, 8462, paras. 40-41 (PPD 2021) (*2021 TRP Order*). [↑](#footnote-ref-81)
80. *Id*. [↑](#footnote-ref-82)
81. *See id.*  [↑](#footnote-ref-83)
82. *See Wireline Competition Bureau Announces Posting of 2021 Tariff Review Plan for Exogenous Cost Filings by Rate-of-Return Carriers That Elected Incentive Regulation for Business Data Services*, WC Docket No, 21-148, Public Notice, DA 21-1091 (WCB Sept. 2, 2021). [↑](#footnote-ref-84)
83. *See* 47 CFR § 1.1105. Effective December 15, 2021, the Commission revised its tariff filing fee structure. *See Wireline Competition Bureau Reminds Applicants of the Effective Date of New Application Processing Fees*, Public Notice, DA 21-1578 (WCB Dec. 15, 2021); FCC, Schedule of Application Fees of Commission’s Rules, 86 Fed. Reg. 70125-01 (Dec. 9, 2021). [↑](#footnote-ref-85)
84. *USF/ICC Transformation Order*, 26 FCC Rcd at 17987, para. 905 (“Carriers recovering eligible recovery will be required to certify annually that they are entitled to receive the recovery they are claiming and that they are complying with all rules pertaining to such recovery.”); *see also id*. at 17964-65, para. 862 & n.1664 (explaining that incumbent LECs receiving Eligible Recovery must certify as part of their tariff filings, to both the Commission and any state commission exercising jurisdiction over the incumbent LEC’s intrastate costs, that they are not seeking duplicative recovery in the state jurisdiction for any Eligible Recovery subject to the recovery mechanism). [↑](#footnote-ref-86)
85. Pub. L. No. 104-13; *see* 44 U.S.C. § 3507. [↑](#footnote-ref-87)
86. *See* OMB, Notice of OMB Action, OMB Control No. 3060-0400 (dated July 28, 2020), [https://www.reginfo.gov/
public/do/PRAViewICR?ref\_nbr=202005-3060-013](https://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAViewICR?ref_nbr=202005-3060-013). In the submission to OMB made pursuant to the PRA to revise the existing TRP approval, the Commission noted that it “updates the price cap and rate-of-return TRP every year to eliminate respondents’ requirements to file cost and demand data that may be more than two years old and to bring the TRP data into conformance with current Commission policies.” FCC, OMB Control No. 3060-0400, Supporting Statement at 3 (dated June 2020), <https://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAViewDocument?ref_nbr=202005-3060-013>; 44 U.S.C. § 3507. [↑](#footnote-ref-88)
87. Pub. L. No. 107-198; *see* 44 U.S.C. § 3506(c)(4). [↑](#footnote-ref-89)