**DA 22-633**

**Released: June 14, 2022**

*In Reply Refer to:*1800B-IB

Amy Meredith

Powell Meredith Communications Co.

7884 Peacock Lane

Frisco, TX 75034

(via email to: [amymeredithradiolane@gmail.com](mailto:amymeredithradiolane@gmail.com))

In re: W270CS, Gulfport, MS

Facility ID No. 142760

File Nos. BLSTA-20211123AAJ,

[BNPFT-20150518AFL](https://apps2int.fcc.gov/admin/secure/applicationDetails.html?id=245fbb0d805845f4b262c1b6063ebeb7),

0000153439

Dear Licensee:

The Media Bureau (Bureau) has before it the Application of Powell Meredith Communications Co. (PMC) seeking authority for its FM Translator, W270CS, Gulfport, MS (Station) to remain silent,[[1]](#footnote-3) and PMC’s Response[[2]](#footnote-4) to a related letter of inquiry (LOI).[[3]](#footnote-5) We find that PMC neither built the Station as authorized nor satisfied a condition on its license. Accordingly, we rescind the license grant, find that the underlying permit to construct the Station was forfeited, and dismiss the Application as moot.

**Background.** PMC filed the License Application on July 20, 2021, asserting that it had completed construction at the location authorized in the construction permit, and referencing the antenna structure registration number and coordinates of a tower owned by Pinnacle, LLC (Pinnacle).[[4]](#footnote-6) The Bureau granted the Station’s License on September 1, 2021. The License included a standard condition requiring continuous operation for the first year[[5]](#footnote-7) and establishing a rebuttable presumption that station silence within that period evidenced unlicensable, temporary construction.[[6]](#footnote-8) Less than three months later, on November 23, 2021, *i.e.,* within the Station’s first year, PMC requested special temporary authority (STA) to remain silent, thereby triggering the rebuttable presumption of temporary construction. PMC stated that the reason for the Station’s silence was “technical” without providing details, dates, or any explanation that would rebut the presumption that it had engaged in temporary construction.[[7]](#footnote-9) In January Correspondence, responding to an informal staff request for more information,[[8]](#footnote-10) PMC expounded that flooding from Hurricane Ida damaged the transmitter on August 30, 2021, that the Station returned to the air within a few days, but that it stopped broadcasting on November 23, 2021, following additional unspecified technical problems.[[9]](#footnote-11)  That explanation was insufficient, however, to establish whether the Station’s post-licensure silence actually stemmed from the hurricane or from other causes. In particular, the hurricane occurred while the License Application was still pending, PMC did not timely notify the Bureau of any initial or continuing effects of the hurricane,[[10]](#footnote-12) and the January Correspondence indicated that the Station restored operations within a few days of the hurricane. The nature of any subsequent technical problems was vague. Accordingly, the Bureau issued the LOI on February 17, 2022.

PMC’s Response raises several procedural challenges to the LOI and License Condition. First, PMC argues that the Bureau has singled it out for disparate treatment by issuing an LOI. Second, PMC contends that only courts of equity may use rebuttable presumptions and that the Bureau thus had no authority to place such a presumption on the License. Finally, PMC argues that presumptions are outdated, speculative, draconian, and contrary to the concept of “innocent until proven guilty.”

In the Response, PMC also addresses the LOI’s questions about the Station’s construction. PMC acknowledges for the first time that it did not construct in accordance with its construction permit or at the site listed in its license. Rather, PMC admits that it did not use the authorized transmission tower owned by Pinnacle but built, instead, approximately 30 yards away on an amateur (ham) radio tower in a nearby recreational vehicle (RV) park (RV Site). PMC attempts to show that the construction was not temporary by stating that the ham tower was mounted on a shed that was affixed to the ground, and received power through a permanent electric outlet shared with an RV. PMC explains that it paid the owner of the RV $300 to use the location for three months and intended to find another location after hurricane season.[[11]](#footnote-13) PMC does not purport to have filed any application with the Commission for authority to construct at the RV Site or to move to another site.

PMC reports that the Station operated from the RV Site between July 19, 2021 and August 30, 2021 while its License Application was pending but went silent on August 30, 2021, *i.e.,* before grant of the License Application, because Hurricane Ida damaged its transmitter, the ham tower, and the RV. PMC could not, as requested in the LOI, provide any photographs, documents, or invoices associated with the construction. It states that the RV and its owner have moved away and that the engineer who constructed did not accept payment because he was acting only “as a friend.”[[12]](#footnote-14) PMC does, however, support its claim to have operated during the pendency of the License Application by providing internet links to two short July 2021 videos showing a car stereo receiving music while tuned to the Station’s frequency.

The Response also clarifies that the Station did not return to the air in the Fall of 2021 as previously stated in the January Correspondence. Rather, the Station ceased broadcasting on August 30, 2021, and did not broadcast thereafter except to conduct a six-hour test on March 4, 2022 using an antenna mounted on a pole at an unidentified location (2022 Location). PMC contends it had no intent to deceive the Bureau by providing the incorrect report of Fall 2021 operations in the January Correspondence and attributes the error to its principal’s confusion after illness with the coronavirus. PMC further states that it determined during the March 2022 test that the Station cannot operate without causing prohibited interference to a co-channel full service station, WLMG(FM), New Orleans, LA, [[13]](#footnote-15) and that the Station therefore remains off air.[[14]](#footnote-16)

**Discussion.** We reject each of PMC’s procedural challenges. There is nothing about the License Condition or LOI that is disparate, discriminatory, or beyond the Bureau’s authority.[[15]](#footnote-17) The Bureau places the same standard License Condition on all broadcast licenses consistent with the Bureau’s delegated authority to act on broadcast applications and, thus, did not single out PMC or exceed its authority.[[16]](#footnote-18) Under the Commission’s rules, PMC’s deadline for seeking to reject the License Condition was 30 days after the grant of the License.[[17]](#footnote-19) The Bureau issued the LOI simply because PMC stopped broadcasting within the first year of operation and the Bureau was giving PMC the opportunity to rebut the presumption of temporary construction. PMC cites no precedent for its argument that government agencies cannot use rebuttable presumptions. The Commission has long used such presumptions.[[18]](#footnote-20)

Based on PMC’s Response to the LOI’s substantive questions, we conclude that the constructed facilities were not licensable because PMC provided false information in the License Application by stating that it had completed construction at the authorized location. As PMC now admits, its construction at the RV Site differed from the location authorized in its permit. Because the false information obscured the fact that the permit had automatically expired and was material to our grant of the License, we hereby rescind the grant. Although PMC emphasizes that the RV Site was only 30 yards from the authorized site, the Commission’s rules require Bureau approval prior to making any changes in an FM translator’s location, with limited exceptions not applicable in the instant case, *i.e.*, except for moves within the same building or upon the same pole or tower.[[19]](#footnote-21) Based on PMC’s unauthorized construction alone, the facilities were not licensable. Construction permits expire automatically and are forfeited if the facilities authorized therein are not completed by the established deadline; use of an alternate site or construction of temporary facilities does not prevent such forfeiture.[[20]](#footnote-22) Had PMC timely disclosed its use of the RV Site in its License Application, the Bureau would have dismissed that application.[[21]](#footnote-23) For those same reasons, we find that the Bureau’s grant of the License Application was based on misinformation therein and that the License must be rescinded.[[22]](#footnote-24)

As a separate factor in our action here, we note that PMC failed to rebut the presumption (and, in fact, confirmed) that the facilities were of a temporary nature. PMC used facilities associated with an RV. RV’s are inherently mobile and, indeed, PMC indicates that the RV and its associated connections are no longer at the original location. We acknowledge PMC’s statement that there were, during the RV owner’s occupancy, a shed attached to the ground and an electric hook-up rather than a generator. However, without photographs as requested, there is no basis to conclude that these facilities, which were in any event unauthorized, were durable and non-movable. The temporary nature of the construction is also reflected in the absence of a written lease with the RV owner, the short three-month duration of the oral agreement, lack of any indication of how long the RV owner was entitled to remain at the property, and absence of any agreement with the landowner of the RV park. The temporary nature of the facilities is, thus, a separate and independent basis for action that would be the functional equivalent to rescission of the License, namely forfeiture of the License based on PMC’s failure to satisfy the License Condition.

Accordingly IT IS ORDERED that grant of the license for W270CS, Gulfport, MS, File No. 0000153439, IS RESCINDED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that associated construction permit for W270CS, Gulfport, MS, File No. [BNPFT-20150518AFL](https://apps2int.fcc.gov/admin/secure/applicationDetails.html?id=245fbb0d805845f4b262c1b6063ebeb7), IS FORFEITED for failure to construct permanent facilities at an authorized location prior to expiration.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the application for special temporary authority to remain silent, File No. BLSTA-20211123AAJ, IS DISMISSED AS MOOT.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that call sign W270CS IS DELETED.

Sincerely,

Albert Shuldiner

Albert Shuldiner

Chief, Audio Division

Media Bureau
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