ATTACHMENT

```
Inquiry 3: sought {
                                                                                           }¹ but did not actually
answer the question asked and, oddly, omitted any mention of when it in fact discovered the existence of a
potential breach or a security vulnerability.<sup>2</sup>
Inquiry 5(a): sought information "in detail" regarding {[
                                                                                               ]}, the March 31 LOI
Response provided a terse and woefully inadequate response that failed to provide the specifically requested
information.3
Inquiry 5(d): sought a {[
                   ]}, Q Link merely asserted that it [
                                                                       and Q Link's answer completely failed to
address the rest of the question, which asked for {[
Inquiry 5(e): sought information "in detail" about {
                           ]}<sup>5</sup> This response failed to describe {[
       ]}
Inquiry 5(k): sought detailed information about {
               ]} Q Link merely responded that Apple and Google approved the app for distribution on their
app stores.6
Inquiry 5(l): sought information about {
         }7
Inquiry 5(n): sought "in detail" information about {[
Q Link responded only that it uses a crash-reporting tool that "does not monitor App security per se."8 In
response to a sub-question asking for specific information that Q Link {[
                                          ]}, Q Link responded only that it was {[
                                                                                1}
Inquiry 6: sought "in detail" information about {[
<sup>1</sup> Material set off by double brackets {[ ]} is confidential and is redacted from the public version of this document.
<sup>2</sup> See March 31 LOI Response at 3-4, Response to Inquiry 3. Documents provided with the LOI Response suggest
that consumers may have communicated with Q Link regarding the potential vulnerability prior to publication of the
Ars Technica article.
<sup>3</sup> See id. at 3-4, Response to Inquiry No. 5(a).
<sup>4</sup> See id. at 4, Response to Inquiry No. 5(d).
<sup>5</sup> Id., Response to Inquiry No. 5(e).
<sup>6</sup> See id. at 7, Response to Inquiry No. 5(k).
<sup>7</sup> See https://firebase.google.com/docs/crashlytics/get-started.
<sup>8</sup> March 31 LOI Response at 7, Response to Inquiry 5(n).
<sup>9</sup> Id. at 8, Response to Inquiry No. 5(n)(ii).
```

```
]} Q Link responded with the brief conclusory
statement that they "designed the App to satisfy the standards that Apple and Google impose as
prerequisites for distribution."10
Inquiry 8: sought an explanation of the basis for {
                               ]} Q Link's responses again lacked the requisite level of detail. Q Link
answered that {
                                                                                                   {}^{11}
This response did not confirm how Q Link {[
                                                                       In response to a sub-question
asking {
                                                                                                 } 12
Inquiry 11: asked whether Q Link {
                                                                                                   }] This
response was incomplete because it failed to identify {[
Document Request 16: requested {[
Document Request 18: requested {[
                                                             }15 {[
                                                              1}
Document Request 19: requested documents {
                                                                          ]} It seems unlikely at best that
Q Link could have engaged in these activities—and responded to the news report— without {[
                                                                           ]}
```

¹⁰ Id., Response to Inquiry No. 6.

¹¹ *Id.* at 9, Response to Inquiry No. 8.

¹² Id., Response to Inquiry No. 8(c).

¹³ See id. at 10, Response to Inquiry No. 11.

¹⁴ See id. at 11, Response to Request for Documents No. 16.

¹⁵ Id. at 12, Response to Request for Documents No. 18.