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# Introduction

1. Palm Television, L.P. (Palm), licensee of television station WATM-TV (ABC), Altoona, Pennsylvania (Facility ID 20287), and Johnstown (WWCP-TV) Licensee, Inc. (WWCP Licensee), licensee of WWCP-TV, Johnstown, Pennsylvania (Facility ID 20295) (collectively Petitioners or Palm/WWCP Licensee) filed the above-captioned petition[[1]](#footnote-3) seeking a waiver of the significantly viewed exception to the network non-duplication and syndicated exclusivity rules.[[2]](#footnote-4) The Petitioners seek this waiver in order for WATM-TV to exercise its network non-duplication and syndicated exclusivity rights against WTAE-TV (ABC), Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania (Facility ID 65681) in the following Pennsylvania cable communities: Johnstown, Ebensburg, and Mineral Point in Cambria County, and Boswell, Friedens, Rockwood, and Stoystown in Somerset County (the Communities).[[3]](#footnote-5) The Petitioners state that the Communities are served by two cable providers, Cogeco US (Penn), LLC, d/b/a Breezeline and Comcast of Colorado/Pennsylvania/West Virgina, LLC.[[4]](#footnote-6) The Petition is unopposed. For the reasons discussed below, we grant in part and deny in part Palm/WWCP Licensee’s Petition. The Petition is granted as to the Communities of Johnstown, Ebensburg, Boswell, and Friedens and denied as to Mineral Point, Rockwood, and Stoystown.

# Background

1. If a local television station has exclusive rights to distribute a network or syndicated program under the Commission’s rules, cable operators generally are precluded from carrying a duplicating program broadcast by a distant station.[[5]](#footnote-7) However, the Commission’s rules also provide that a signal that otherwise would be considered “distant” is exempt from application of both the network non-duplication and syndicated exclusivity rules, and therefore may be carried by cable operators, if it is “significantly viewed” in the relevant community.[[6]](#footnote-8) The significantly viewed exception to the exclusivity rules is based on a demonstration that an otherwise distant station receives a “significant” level of over-the-air viewership in a subject community. If the requisite viewership level is met, the station is no longer considered distant for purposes of the exclusivity rules because it has established that it is viewed over-the-air in the subject community.[[7]](#footnote-9)
2. Stations may seek to reinstate their exclusivity rights vis-à-vis a significantly viewed station by seeking a waiver of the significantly viewed exception.[[8]](#footnote-10) In order to obtain a waiver, a petitioner must submit viewership surveys showing that an otherwise distant station does not meet the definition of “significantly viewed” under our rules. A network station qualifies as significantly viewed if over-the-air viewership surveys demonstrate that it exceeds a three percent share of total viewing hours and a net weekly circulation of 25 percent, by at least one standard error.[[9]](#footnote-11) In *KCST-TV, Inc.*,[[10]](#footnote-12) the Commission held that waiver applicants would be required to demonstrate for two consecutive years that a station was no longer significantly viewed, based either on community-specific or system-specific over-the-air viewing data, following the methodology set forth in the rules.[[11]](#footnote-13)
3. In pertinent part, the rules state that significant viewing “may be demonstrated by an independent professional audience survey of [over-the-air] television homes that covers at least two weekly periods separated by at least thirty (30) days but no more than one of which shall be a week between the months of April and September.”[[12]](#footnote-14) Nielsen Company (Nielsen) is a primary organization selling television viewership surveys. Historically, Nielsen, which routinely surveys television markets to obtain television stations’ viewership, conducted four-week audience surveys four times a year (*i.e.,* February, May, July, and November “sweep periods”). In applying the requirements articulated in *KCST-TV, Inc,* it has been acceptable to replacing each week required in the analysis with a sweep period.[[13]](#footnote-15) The replacement of a sweep period could even add to the accuracy of the audience statistics because of the increased sample size.[[14]](#footnote-16) Accordingly, a petitioner seeking to show that a station is no longer significantly viewed could rely on Nielsen data reflecting the results from two sweep periods in two years and survey data could be prepared on either a community-specific or system-specific basis.[[15]](#footnote-17) In order to produce the data required for exclusivity waivers, Nielsen re-tabulated the over-the-air data that it collects for its routine audience sweep periods, selecting in-tab diaries from its database from the area served by a cable system or an individual cable community.[[16]](#footnote-18)
4. In 2019, Nielsen changed the way it measures television viewing in its 210 designated market areas (DMAs), replacing the paper diaries that Nielsen families used to record what they watched on television in the smallest 140 DMAs entirely with electronic measurement.[[17]](#footnote-19) Now Nielsen uses a combination of people meters, set meters, code readers, and return path data (RPD) from cable and satellite set-top boxes to measure television viewing.[[18]](#footnote-20) Additionally, Nielsen now provides electronic measurements every month of the year instead of only four times per year during sweep months.[[19]](#footnote-21)
5. *The Palm/WWCP Licensee Petition.* In this case Palm/WWCP Licensee seeks to reinstate their exclusivity rights vis-à-vis significantly viewed station WATM-TV by seeking a waiver of the significantly viewed exception. In their waiver request, the Petitioners state that ABC affiliate WATM-TV, which is in the Johnstown-Altoona-State College Nielsen DMA (Johnstown DMA), acquired network nonduplication and syndicated exclusivity rights by contract with the ABC Network and certain syndicators.[[20]](#footnote-22) As the Petitioners assert, licensees are generally entitled to exercise contractually acquired network non-duplication rights[[21]](#footnote-23) and syndicated exclusivity rights within their geographic zone of protection.[[22]](#footnote-24) According to the Petitioners, ABC affiliate WTAE-TV, which is in the Pittsburgh DMA, is carried on cable systems serving the Communities and it airs network and syndicated programming that duplicates programming locally broadcast by WATM-TV and carried on those systems.[[23]](#footnote-25) The Petitioners assert that each of the Communities is located in the Johnstown DMA and is within WATM-TV’s 35-mile geographic zone of exclusivity protection.[[24]](#footnote-26) Specifically, the Petitioners state that WATM-TV’s market designation for application of the geographic zone,[[25]](#footnote-27) is Johnstown-Altoona, Pennsylvania.[[26]](#footnote-28) Accordingly, the Petitioners contend that WATM-TV is “entitled to exclusivity within the 35-mile zone of each of Johnstown and Altoona.”[[27]](#footnote-29) The Petitioners note that as a named community in the hyphenated market, Johnstown is, on its face, within the zone of exclusivity protection.[[28]](#footnote-30) Further, the Petitioners assert that each of the other Communities is also within the zone of protection because the coordinates for each Community’s U.S. Post Office are within 35 miles of the reference coordinates as listed in section 76.53 of the Commission’s Rules for Johnstown and Altoona.[[29]](#footnote-31)
6. As noted above, the significantly viewed exception permits otherwise “distant” stations with duplicative network and/or syndicated programming to be carried on cable systems within a station’s protected zone if certain criteria are met.[[30]](#footnote-32) As the Petitioners observe, to be significantly viewed in a particular community, a station must have been included on the Commission’s 1972 list or demonstrate that it meets certain minimum viewing standards.[[31]](#footnote-33) In that regard, the Petitioners state that according to the Commission’s original 1972 designation, WTAE-TV is currently considered significantly viewed in the Communities.[[32]](#footnote-34) Petitioners argue, however, that the Bureau should waive the station’s significantly viewed exception to the network non-duplication and syndicated exclusivity rules because the data submitted demonstrates that this station that was once considered significantly viewed no longer meets these minimum viewing requirements.[[33]](#footnote-35) That data is considered below.

# DISCUSSION

1. We find that Palm/WWCP Licensee have demonstrated that WTAE-TV no longer meets the minimum viewing requirements by having no measurable viewing during the survey periods presented and the station no longer satisfies the significantly viewed standard in the Communities of Johnstown, Ebensburg, Boswell, and Friedens. However, we cannot conclude the same for the Communities of Mineral Point, Rockwood, and Stoystown. Accordingly, we grant WATM-TV’s request for a waiver of the significantly viewed exception to the network nonduplication and syndicated exclusivity rules regarding WTAE-TV in the Communities of Johnstown, Ebensburg, Boswell, and Friedens, and we deny the request with regard to the Communities of Mineral Point, Rockwood, and Stoystown.
2. As determined in *KSCT-TV, Inc.*, waivers of the significantly viewed exception may be granted if petitioner can show that, in each of two consecutive years, a station no longer meets the threshold significantly viewed requirements in a cable community or communities.[[34]](#footnote-36) The Petitioners state that in markets such as the Johnstown DMA, Nielsen uses the RPD+ methodology which incorporates set-top box data that captures cable and satellite viewing and code readers that capture over-the-air viewing.[[35]](#footnote-37) Consistent with this practice, the Petitioners submit data that represents recent over-the-air only RPD+ data for two consecutive years.[[36]](#footnote-38) Specifically, the Petitioners requested that Nielsen tabulate over-the-air RPD+ data from the periods November 2021 and February 2022 (combined) and November 2022 and February 2023 (combined) for the Communities’ zip codes.[[37]](#footnote-39) Table 1 and Table 2, below, present Nielsen’s report for Year 1 (November 2021 and February 2022) and Year 2 (November 2022 and February 2023).[[38]](#footnote-40) As the Tables indicate, Nielsen reports that the shares of viewing hours and the average weekly circulation are both zero for all the Communities and for the years represented. Additionally, Nielsen reports that that the standard errors for these measures are also zero. We note, however, that the number of households (Number in Tab) is small for these geographic Communities. For three of the Communities and the zip codes represented the Number in Tab is 1, including Mineral Point (15942), Rockwood (15557), and Stoystown (15563). It has previously been determined that one household is insufficient to estimate a viewing average for a community in a community-based survey.[[39]](#footnote-41) Additionally, we note that the formulas that Nielsen uses to compute standard errors require a number of households greater than 1.[[40]](#footnote-42)

**Table 1: November 2021/ February 2022**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Community and Zip Codes** | **Number in Tab** | **Average Share Viewing Hours** | **Average Share Standard Error** | **Average View Shares w/1 Standard Error** | **Average Net Weekly Standard Circ.** | **Average Net Weekly Standard Error** | **Average Net Weekly Circ. w/1 Standard Error** |
| Johnstown, PA15901, 15902, 15904, 15905,15906, 15909 | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Ebensburg, PA15931 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Mineral Point, PA15942 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Boswell, PA15531 | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Friedens, PA15541 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rockwood, PA15557 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Stoystown, PA15563 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

**Table 2: November 2022/ February 2023**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Community and Zip Codes** | **Number in Tab** | **Average Share Viewing Hours** | **Average Share Standard Error** | **Average View Shares w/1 Standard Error** | **Average Net Weekly Standard Circ.** | **Average Net Weekly Standard Error** | **Average Net Weekly Circ. w/1 Standard Error** |
| Johnstown, PA15901, 15902, 15904, 15905, 15906, 15909 | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Ebensburg, PA15931 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Mineral Point, PA15942 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Boswell, PA15531 | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Friedens, PA15541 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rockwood, PA15557 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Stoystown, PA15563 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

1. When standard errors are added to the reported audience shares, WTAE-TV does not meet the criteria for significantly viewed status for a network affiliate in Johnstown, Ebensburg, Boswell, and Friedens. In each case, the reported audience statistic plus one standard error is less than the required audience share to establish significantly viewed status for a network affiliate (*i.e*., a 3 share of total weekly viewing hours and a 25 net weekly circulation share) set forth in section 76.5(i).[[41]](#footnote-43) Indeed, in these Communities the reported statistics indicate that WTAE-TV had no measurable viewing and is therefore no longer significantly viewed.
2. We cannot conclude based on the data provided that WTAE-TV is not significantly viewed in Mineral Point, Rockwood, and Stoystown. Because the reported data for the survey years was based on only one in-tab household that data is insufficient to estimate a viewing average for a community in a community-based survey and does not allow us to make the determination that WTAE-TV is no longer significantly viewed in these Communities.
3. Accordingly, we conclude that the Petitioners have met their burden and demonstrate that WTAE-TV fails to meet the requirements to maintain its status as significantly viewed in the Communities of Johnstown, Ebensburg, Boswell, and Friedens. The Petitioners, however, have failed to meet that burden with regard to the Communities of Mineral Point, Rockwood, and Stoystown.

# Ordering Clauses

1. Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED**, pursuant to sections 76.92(f) and 76.106(a) of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 76.92(f) and 76.106(a), that the captioned Petition for Special Relief (MB Docket No. 23-183, CSR 9010-N) filed by Palm Television, L.P. and Johnstown (WWCP-TV) Licensee, Inc. **IS GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART** as discussed herein.
2. This action is taken pursuant to authority delegated under section 0.283 of the Commission’s Rules.[[42]](#footnote-44)
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1. *See Palm Television, L.P. and Johnstown (WWCP-TV) Licensee, Inc. for Waiver of Sections 76.92(f) and 76.106(a) of the Commission’s Rules for WATM-TV, Altoona, PA*, Petition for Special Relief, MB Docket No. 23-183 (filed May 31, 2023) (Petition). The Media Bureau placed the Petition on public notice and sought comment. *Special Relief and Show Cause Petitions*, Pubic Notice, Report No. 0507 (MB June 2, 2023) (*Public Notice*).  [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
2. 47 CFR §§ 76.92(f), 76.106(a) (significantly viewed exception to the cable network non-duplication and syndicated exclusivity rules). Palm and WWCP Licensee are parties to a grandfathered Time Brokerage Agreement whereby WWCP Licensee brokers time on WATM-TV. Petition at 2. Therefore, Palm and WWCP Licensee argue that they both have an interest in WATM-TV’s ability to exercise its network nonduplication and syndicated exclusivity rights against out of market stations. *Id*. [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
3. Petition at 1-2. [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
4. *Id*. at n.1. [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
5. *See* 47 CFR §§ 76.92, 76.101. [↑](#footnote-ref-7)
6. 47 CFR §§ 76.92(f), 76.122(j) (network non-duplication exception for cable and satellite carriers); *see* 47 CFR §§ 76.5(i), 76.54. [↑](#footnote-ref-8)
7. 47 CFR §§ 76.106(a), 76.123(k) (significantly viewed exception for cable and satellite carriers). [↑](#footnote-ref-9)
8. The Commission has found that the definition of “significantly viewed” in section 76.5(i) is equally applicable for waivers of the syndicated exclusivity exemption.*See Chambers Cable of Oregon, Inc.*, 5 FCC Rcd 5640, 5640-41 at para. 6 (1990). [↑](#footnote-ref-10)
9. 47 CFR § 76.5(i). [↑](#footnote-ref-11)
10. *KCST-TV, Inc.*, 103 FCC 2d 407, 412 at para. 10 & n.9 (1986). [↑](#footnote-ref-12)
11. 47 CFR § 76.54(b). [↑](#footnote-ref-13)
12. *Id*. The criteria set forth in *KCST-TV, Inc.* require that two separate surveys be performed pursuant to section 76.54(b) in consecutive years. The provisions of section 76.54(b) therefore apply to each year’s survey. Because we require independent surveys, it should be noted that these surveys cannot be performed by the affected television station, cable system, or satellite operator. *See In the Matter of Gulf-California Broadcast Company*, 23 FCC Rcd 7400, 7401 at para. 4, n.11 (2008). [↑](#footnote-ref-14)
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24. *Id*. [↑](#footnote-ref-26)
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32. Petition at 4. [↑](#footnote-ref-34)
33. *Id*., citing 47 CFR §§ 76.5(i) and 76.54. [↑](#footnote-ref-35)
34. *See KCST-TV, Inc.*, 103 FCC 2d at 412, 413, paras. 10-11. While the Commission considers changes in methodologies for significantly viewed cases in its *Significantly Viewed Notice*, the standards established in *KCST-TV, Inc.* remain in effect. [↑](#footnote-ref-36)
35. Petition at 6. [↑](#footnote-ref-37)
36. *Id*. at 7 and Exhibit A (Nielsen Significant Viewing Study). [↑](#footnote-ref-38)
37. *Id*. and Exhibit B. [↑](#footnote-ref-39)
38. *See* Exhibit A. These Tables include the number of in-tab households, the average share viewing hours, the standard error of the average share viewing hours, the average share viewing hours with one standard error, the average net weekly circulation, the average net weekly circulation standard error, and the average net weekly circulation with one standard error. [↑](#footnote-ref-40)
39. *See United Communications Corporation WWNY-TV, Carthage NY*, 33 FCC Rcd 8048, para. 13 (2018); *Virginia Broadcasting Corporation*, 22 FCC Rcd 18109, 18117-18, paras. 12-13 (2007) (denying a request for a waiver of the significantly viewed exception to the network nonduplication and syndicated exclusivity rules in communities for which the reported data for one survey year was based on one in-tab household, and thus could not be the average of the reported audience for two survey periods). [↑](#footnote-ref-41)
40. For example, the formula that Nielsen uses to compute the Share Standard Error (shown in Exhibit A) includes the term $n/(n-1)$, where *n* equals the number of households. To avoid 0 in the denominator of this expression, *n* must be greater than 1. [↑](#footnote-ref-42)
41. 47 CFR § 76.5(i). [↑](#footnote-ref-43)
42. 47 CFR § 0.283. [↑](#footnote-ref-44)