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order

**Adopted: December 20, 2023 Released: December 20, 2023**

By the Chief, Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau:

# introduction

1. The Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau (CGB or Bureau) of the Federal Communications Commission (FCC or Commission) extends the current compensation formula for Internet Protocol Captioned Telephone Service (IP CTS). In a series of Commission and Bureau orders, the expiration of the current IP CTS compensation formula, originally scheduled for June 30, 2022, has been extended through December 31, 2023.[[1]](#footnote-3) On our own motion, to ensure uninterrupted service to consumers, we grant a temporary waiver to further extend the expiration date through June 30, 2024—or the effective date of Commission action revising the compensation formula, if earlier.

# background

1. IP CTS, a telecommunications relay service (TRS)[[2]](#footnote-4) supported by the TRS Fund,[[3]](#footnote-5) “permits an individual who can speak but who has difficulty hearing over the telephone to use a telephone and an [IP]-enabled device via the Internet to simultaneously listen to the other party and read captions of what the other party is saying.”[[4]](#footnote-6) IP CTS providers receive monthly payments from the TRS Fund to compensate them for the reasonable cost of providing the service, in accordance with a per-minute compensation formula approved by the Commission.[[5]](#footnote-7)
2. In 2018, the Commission authorized, for the first time, the provision of IP CTS on a fully automatic basis, using only automatic speech recognition (ASR) technology to generate captions, without the participation of a communications assistant (CA).[[6]](#footnote-8) Pursuant to certification by the Bureau, every IP CTS provider is now authorized to use the ASR-only mode to generate captions—either as an alternative to CA-assisted captioning or as its sole mode of providing IP CTS.[[7]](#footnote-9)
3. In December 2022, the Commission adopted the *2022 IP CTS Compensation Notice*, in which it proposed to establish separate compensation rates for CA-assisted and ASR-only modes of providing IP CTS, and asked for comment on how to set revised compensation formulas for this service.[[8]](#footnote-10)
4. The current compensation formula for IP CTS, $1.30 per minute, was originally set to expire June 30, 2022.[[9]](#footnote-11) On June 30, 2022, the Bureau waived the expiration of that compensation plan, extending it through June 30, 2023.[[10]](#footnote-12) Subsequently, the Bureau extended the current compensation formula through November 30, 2023,[[11]](#footnote-13) and later extended it further, through December 31, 2023.[[12]](#footnote-14)

# discussion

1. A Commission rule may be waived for good cause shown.[[13]](#footnote-15) In particular, waiver of a rule is appropriate where the particular facts make strict enforcement of a rule inconsistent with the public interest.[[14]](#footnote-16) In addition, we may take into account considerations of hardship, equity, or more effective implementation of overall policy on an individual basis.[[15]](#footnote-17) Waiver of a rule is appropriate if special circumstances warrant a deviation from the general rule and such deviation will serve the public interest and will not undermine the policy underlying the rule.[[16]](#footnote-18)
2. We find good cause to waive the expiration date of the current compensation formula for IP CTS, extending it through June 30, 2024, or the effective date of Commission action revising the compensation formula, whichever is earlier. Establishing a methodology and compensation level for this service is inherently complex.[[17]](#footnote-19) While the Commission has made significant progress, it has not yet completed consideration and adoption of a revised plan. Extending the current compensation plan for IP CTS will provide certainty and stability to providers pending final Commission action. If we were to let the current compensation plans expire without providing for interim payments, these services would cease to be available to consumers with disabilities who rely on them for functionally equivalent communication. Under such circumstances, it is administratively efficient and consistent with prior practice to extend the current compensation formulas, pending resolution of Commission action.[[18]](#footnote-20)
3. In addition, multiple parties have requested that the Commission await cost and demand data to be submitted in February 2024[[19]](#footnote-21) before adopting a revised compensation plan.[[20]](#footnote-22) Noting that the current record includes historical cost and demand for 2021 and 2022 and projected cost and demand for 2023 and 2024,[[21]](#footnote-23) providers argue, among other things, that the cost data are stale[[22]](#footnote-24) and of limited use in allocating costs between ASR-only and CA-assisted service, given that some providers only recently began offering ASR-only IP CTS.[[23]](#footnote-25)
4. While we do not address here commenters’ assertions regarding the adequacy of the current record, we do recognize that the updated annual cost data to be filed in February 2024 could provide useful additional information relevant to determining the reasonable cost of IP CTS, including ASR-only service. For example, the data submitted in February 2024 will provide the Commission with a second full year (2023) of historical data from most IP CTS providers that currently use ASR-only captioning (and a first full year of cost data from one such provider), as well as updated cost and demand projections reflecting recent changes in each provider’s mix of CA-assisted and ASR-only minutes.
5. However, we remind IP CTS providers that they are required to submit, on the date specified by the Fund administrator in February 2024, *all* information reasonably requested by the Fund administrator.[[24]](#footnote-26) In addition to identifying allowable expenses for ASR-only and CA-assisted service,[[25]](#footnote-27) providers must report *non*-allowable expenses actually incurred or projected to be incurred in certain cost categories. As indicated in the *2022 IP CTS Compensation Notice,* the Commission is considering modifications to certain allowable cost criteria for this service.[[26]](#footnote-28) The administrator’s instructions specifically direct providers to submit data on currently non-allowable expenses in these categories.[[27]](#footnote-29)
6. Further, IP CTS providers that contract for the supply of services used in the provision of TRS must report information on payment under such contracts, classified according to the substantive cost categories specified by the administrator.[[28]](#footnote-30) The administrator’s instructions for annual reports state that providers are responsible for “reporting and allocating subcontractor costs to the appropriate categories and subcategories,” and that “[s]ubcontractor costs not properly allocated shall be treated as non-allowable costs.”[[29]](#footnote-31)
7. To the extent that providers have any questions about how to allocate costs, whether for subcontractor costs or for CA-assisted versus ASR-only costs, they should ask the Fund administrator for guidance well before the February 2024 due date for submission of data. Meeting the submission deadline and providing the requested data in a complete and detailed manner will ensure that a provider’s cost and demand data can be given due consideration.
8. *People with Disabilities.* To request materials in accessible formats for people with disabilities (Braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), send an e-mail to fcc504@fcc.gov or call the Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau at 202-418-0530.
9. *Additional Information.* For further information regarding this item, please contact Michael Scott, Disability Rights Office, Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau, 202-418-1264, or Michael.Scott@fcc.gov.

# ordering clauses

1. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to sections 4(i), 4(j), and 225 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), 154(j), 225, and sections 0.141, 0.361, and 1.3 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 0.141, 0.361, 1.3, this Order IS ADOPTED.
2. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the TRS Fund administrator shall compensate eligible providers of IP CTS through June 30, 2024 (or the effective date of Commission action revising the compensation formula, if earlier), in accordance with the formulas applicable on June 30, 2022.
3. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to section 1.102(b)(1) of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR § 1.102(b)(1), this Order SHALL BE EFFECTIVE upon release.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Alejandro Roark, Chief Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau
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