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ORDER

**Adopted: March 31, 2023 Released: March 31, 2023**

By the Chief, Office of Economics and Analytics; Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau; and the Acting Chief, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau:

# introduction

1. In this Order, the Office of Economics and Analytics (OEA), the Wireline Competition Bureau, and the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau (the Bureaus), deny in part a request filed by PTI Pacifica, Inc. (PTI Pacifica), and amended several times, seeking waiver of the deadlines for the construction, drive testing, and reporting requirements associated with the receipt of final disbursements of Mobility Fund Phase I (MF-I) support.[[1]](#footnote-3) PTI Pacifica seeks waiver of those deadlines with respect to three census tracts (Rota, Marpi, and Tinian), all of which are located in the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (CNMI). [[2]](#footnote-4) We do not address here PTI Pacifica’s requests regarding the Rota and Marpi census tracts. For the Tinian census tract, we find that the request does not present special circumstances warranting extension of the applicable MF-I performance deadlines, and that granting it would not serve the public interest. Accordingly, we deny the request, assess a performance default payment, and order repayment of the MF-I support that PTI Pacifica has already received for the Tinian tract.[[3]](#footnote-5)

# background

## Mobility Fund Phase I

1. In the *USF/ICC Transformation Order*, the Commission comprehensively reformed and modernized the high-cost component of the Universal Service Fund by, among other things, establishing the Mobility Fund, a universal service support mechanism dedicated expressly to mobile services.[[4]](#footnote-6) For MF-I, the Commission designated a fund of up to $300 million in one-time universal service support, to be allocated through a reverse auction (Auction 901), for the deployment of mobile voice and broadband services.[[5]](#footnote-7) Under these rules, winning bidders in Auction 901 committed to extend the availability of mobile voice and broadband services on networks that provide third-generation (3G) or better performance or to accelerate the deployment of fourth-generation (4G) wireless networks in areas that lacked such services.[[6]](#footnote-8)
2. Before the start of Auction 901, each potential bidder was reminded that it was responsible “for investigating and evaluating all technical and marketplace factors that may have a bearing on the level of Mobility Fund Phase I support it submits as a bid in Auction 901.”[[7]](#footnote-9) Bidders were further advised that “[e]ach bidder is responsible for assuring that, if it wins the support, it will be able to build and operate facilities in accordance with the Mobility Fund obligations and the Commission’s rules generally.”[[8]](#footnote-10) The MF-I rules require winning bidders to provide service to at least 75% of the designated eligible road miles within the relevant area covered by their winning bids.[[9]](#footnote-11) Winning bidders committing to provide service over a 3G network were required to meet their performance requirements within two years of being authorized to receive support,[[10]](#footnote-12) while winning bidders committing to provide service over a 4G network were required to meet their performance requirements within three years of authorization.[[11]](#footnote-13)
3. The rules further provide that MF-I support be disbursed to authorized winning bidders in up to three stages: the first disbursement of one-third of the total winning bid amount is made when the winning bidder is authorized to receive support;[[12]](#footnote-14) a recipient becomes eligible to receive a second disbursement when it submits a report demonstrating coverage of 50% of the applicable coverage requirements;[[13]](#footnote-15) and it becomes eligible to receive the final disbursement when it meets the final construction, testing, and reporting requirements by the applicable deadline.[[14]](#footnote-16)

## PTI Pacifica’s Winning Bids and Post-Auction Performance Efforts

1. On August 16, 2013, the Bureaus authorized PTI Pacifica to receive up to approximately $1.26 million in MF-I support for its Auction 901 winning bids for seven census tracts in the CNMI.[[15]](#footnote-17) The deadline for PTI Pacifica to complete construction of 4G networks, drive testing, and submission of reports demonstrating coverage was August 16, 2016.[[16]](#footnote-18) PTI Pacifica timely completed the performance requirements for four of the seven census tracts covered by its winning bids.[[17]](#footnote-19) For the other three census tracts—Tinian, Rota, and Marpi—PTI Pacifica states that it encountered unforeseen and unexpected delays; this order addresses only the Tinian census tract.[[18]](#footnote-20)
2. PTI Pacifica at first requested a one-year extension of the deadline to complete its wireless network, drive testing and data submission for the Tinian census tract.[[19]](#footnote-21) Due to various delays that are described in detail below, PTI Pacifica has made six subsequent requests for further one-year extensions; its most recent submission seeks an extension to August 16, 2023, seven years after the original deadline.[[20]](#footnote-22)
3. Initially, PTI Pacifica determined that it needed to provide additional network coverage to the northern and southern portions of the Tinian tract in order to meet its 75% coverage obligation. PTI Pacifica was constructing pole-mounted repeaters to cover the southern portion of the tract.[[21]](#footnote-23) To cover the northern portion, PTI Pacifica negotiated with the United States Department of Defense (DoD) over a potential cell site in the North Field, a DoD-administered area.[[22]](#footnote-24) Because DoD had not yet acted, PTI Pacifica also sought alternative solutions at other sites.[[23]](#footnote-25) Negotiations were still ongoing as of May 2016, when PTI Pacifica requested a one-year extension of its performance deadline, to August 16, 2017.[[24]](#footnote-26) In that initial request, PTI Pacifica further stated that additional circumstances beyond its control caused unforeseen delays to its construction of the networks. A typhoon severed the lone undersea fiber optic cable connecting CNMI to Guam in July 2015.[[25]](#footnote-27) PTI Pacifica owns the cable and submitted that it had to devote “all of its available resources” to repair it.[[26]](#footnote-28) Another typhoon soon followed, and that storm knocked out power on the island of Saipan, which is home to the Marpi census tract.[[27]](#footnote-29) Power was not completely restored for four months, and PTI Pacifica stated that during this period it had to focus its resources on preserving communications without interruption.[[28]](#footnote-30)
4. PTI Pacifica found an alternative potential northern site on Mount Lasso while it awaited DoD’s approval of the North Field location. The Mount Lasso area is also subject to DoD administration, and the CNMI Historic Preservation Office there further required site assessment surveys before it would issue any construction approvals. Accordingly, PTI Pacifica asked for an extension to August 16, 2018.[[29]](#footnote-31)
5. DoD ultimately did not approve the North Field site, and two prospective sites on Mount Lasso were found to be unsuitable because of their historical significance.[[30]](#footnote-32) PTI Pacifica then asked for an additional year—until August 16, 2019—to complete historic surveys of a third potential Mount Lasso location, citing the unpredictability of the approval process timeline.[[31]](#footnote-33)
6. A year later, surveys of the third location were complete, but DoD had yet to issue the long-term lease that PTI Pacifica needed to construct its cell site, so it asked for another extension to August 16, 2020.[[32]](#footnote-34) However, by July 2020, PTI Pacifica still had not received final DoD approval. In seeking a further extension of the deadline to August 16, 2021, PTI Pacifica explained that the “process has been mired by additional requests for information, multi-step reviews by various divisions and offices within DoD, and other unexpected delays.”[[33]](#footnote-35)
7. Although a necessary electromagnetic radiation study of the location was completed by mid-February 2021, DoD later informed PTI Pacifica that the Naval Facilities Engineering Systems Command would need to inspect the site and issue an Environmental Condition of Property (ECP) report before DoD would issue a long-term lease and construction could begin.[[34]](#footnote-36) The ECP process requires an inspector to walk the site.[[35]](#footnote-37) PTI Pacifica stated that the COVID-19 pandemic delayed that step, and that it had not received an estimate of when the inspection would be scheduled. DoD represented that PTI Pacifica could sign the lease after the ECP report was complete.[[36]](#footnote-38) Because it could not be certain of the government’s timeline to complete these final steps, PTI Pacifica requested a sixth extension of the deadline to August 16, 2022.[[37]](#footnote-39)
8. The ECP report was completed in April 2022. But DoD later identified a road that was absent from a local land authority map, so a revised map was required to finalize the lease, and according to PTI Pacifica, that map was not submitted until July 28, 2022.[[38]](#footnote-40) PTI Pacifica now “hopes to finalize the lease expeditiously” but requests a further extension of the deadline to do so.[[39]](#footnote-41) PTI Pacifica states that it expects construction of the final site to be complete “within months of receiving the necessary Government approvals.”[[40]](#footnote-42) Because it remains uncertain as to the timeline of the lease’s finalization, PTI Pacifica requests a seventh extension, to August 16, 2023.[[41]](#footnote-43)

# discussion

1. We do not here address PTI Pacifica’s requests with respect to the Rota and Marpi census tracts. Despite the typhoons that struck the area in the summer of 2015, the network on the Rota census tract has been operational since October 2016, roughly two months after the initial deadline.[[42]](#footnote-44) The temporary network on Marpi was operational before the deadline passed, and PTI Pacifica states that it will eventually transition to a permanent network with no interruption to service on the tract.[[43]](#footnote-45) PTI Pacifica has submitted its drive test data and awaits the Universal Service Administrative Company’s (USAC) verification of PTI Pacifica’s coverage in these two tracts. We will withhold our decisions regarding the Rota and Marpi tracts until after USAC has verified that PTI Pacifica’s coverage in those tracts meets the coverage requirements associated with its MF-I support.
2. Generally, the Commission’s rules may be waived for good cause shown.[[44]](#footnote-46) Good cause is shown, and thus a waiver is appropriate, only if special circumstances warrant a deviation from the general rule, and such deviation will serve the public interest.[[45]](#footnote-47)
3. The MF-I rules, including the performance deadlines applicable here, were designed to make 3G or better wireless networks available in areas where additional investment could make as substantial a difference as possible in a transparent, simple, speedy, and effective way.[[46]](#footnote-48) Prospective bidders were advised before Auction 901 to perform due diligence and confirm the availability of prospective transmitter sites and assure themselves that, if they became winning bidders, they would be able to build and operate facilities that comply with all applicable technical and legal requirements and Mobility Fund obligations, which include performance deadlines.[[47]](#footnote-49) Furthermore, we have consistently warned that requests to waive or extend construction obligations will not be routinely granted.[[48]](#footnote-50) When considering waiver requests involving MF-I construction, drive testing, and reporting deadlines, we therefore have made clear that justifications based on impediments that could reasonably have been foreseen, such as employee issues, vendor problems, inclement weather, and last-minute delays, would not in themselves warrant waiver of a performance deadline.[[49]](#footnote-51)
4. PTI Pacifica argues that it faced multiple obstacles as it sought a suitable location for a cell site to fulfill its coverage obligation on the Tinian tract, and encountered further delays in obtaining necessary government approvals to construct its final tower.[[50]](#footnote-52) Although some previous petitioners who faced delays in permitting, regulatory processes, lease negotiations, or other governmental approvals were granted waivers of the MF-I performance deadlines,[[51]](#footnote-53) each was granted a waiver in part because it sought a reasonable extension in light of the delays it encountered, and no such request was for more than 18 months past the initial deadline.[[52]](#footnote-54) Moreover, all those petitioners completed their construction, drive testing, and reporting requirements within the additional time requested.[[53]](#footnote-55) Accordingly, in those cases, we determined that the requests demonstrated special circumstances that warranted deviation from the general rule, and thereby satisfied the first prong of the waiver standard.
5. However, we find that there are no such special circumstances in PTI Pacifica’s case. The circumstances here make this case distinguishable from others in which we granted a waiver to allow a brief delay in meeting the performance deadlines. PTI Pacifica’s delay in meeting its MF-I performance requirements in the Tinian tract far exceeds any for which we have previously granted an MF-I recipient a waiver. As such, the totality of the circumstances here are not of the type that warrant a waiver of the MF-I deadlines and the resulting disbursement of USF funds. While our decision is not intended to disregard PTI Pacifica’s effort to complete the required construction of the Tinian network, we cannot look merely at the circumstances leading to its delay in obtaining a tower site while ignoring the other circumstances present here, which include the extreme length of its delay in providing 4G service that meets the MF-I requirements. PTI Pacifica requests to extend its MF-I performance deadlines for seven years beyond its original deadline.[[54]](#footnote-56) If permitted to do so, PTI Pacifica’s deadline would be ten years after the Bureaus initially authorized its support, a result that, regardless of the cause, would be squarely at odds with the express purpose for which the Commission awarded MF-I support to provide “one-time support to immediately accelerate deployment of networks for mobile voice and broadband services in unserved areas.”[[55]](#footnote-57) We find that a delay of this magnitude outweighs any other circumstances, regardless of whether they could not reasonably have been foreseen, controlled, or avoided.[[56]](#footnote-58) The Commission adopted MF-I performance default payment obligations in part to prevent situations like this one because long delays before the establishment of the networks burden the program and undermine its goals.[[57]](#footnote-59) We therefore conclude that deviation from the general rule in light of PTI Pacifica’s circumstances is not warranted.
6. We are also not persuaded by PTI Pacifica’s claims that the two typhoons, which severed an undersea fiber optic cable and knocked out power on Saipan, support waiver of the performance deadlines for the Tinian tract. We do not here opine on whether inclement weather on the scale of these storms might have been anticipated as part of the normal course of PTI Pacifica’s business in the CNMI.[[58]](#footnote-60) To the extent that they were not foreseeable, both storms occurred in the summer of 2015, just over a year before the initial deadline, and eight years before the most recently requested extension date.[[59]](#footnote-61) PTI Pacifica has not attributed to the typhoons the additional delays beyond its first request; none of its supplemental filings refer to the storms. Any delays associated with the 2015 typhoons do not support the grant of a waiver and extension of the Commission’s MF-I performance requirements on the Tinian census tract to August 16, 2023.[[60]](#footnote-62)
7. We further find that PTI Pacifica’s request does not satisfy the second portion of the waiver standard. PTI Pacifica contends that deviation from the general rule will serve the public interest because it constructed a network that covers more than half of the Tinian tract within a few months of the original deadline; it has endeavored in good faith to fulfill its 75% coverage obligation; it lacked control over governmental delays; and it continues to make progress in its efforts to build a network serving a remote island territory.[[61]](#footnote-63) We disagree. Granting a waiver that would allow MF-I support to be disbursed despite the performance requirement not having been met for over six years would be contrary to the purpose of the Mobility Fund and therefore not serve the public interest. In establishing the Mobility Fund, the Commission expressly rejected a suggestion to permit a level of service that falls short of the required percentage of coverage for which the recipient could offset its liability for repayment because it would be inconsistent with MF-I’s purpose “to aggressively extend coverage.”[[62]](#footnote-64) As noted above, none of the MF-I and Tribal Mobility Fund applicants for whom we found waiver and extension of the deadline to be in the public interest sought more than 18 months of additional time, and all of them completed the performance requirements within the time frame they requested.[[63]](#footnote-65)
8. By contrast, granting a waiver that extends the deadline for PTI Pacifica to meet its performance obligations for an additional seven years beyond the initial three years would be wholly inconsistent with the MF-I objectives and undermine the Commission’s policy of requiring adherence to the performance requirements in a timely manner.[[64]](#footnote-66) As the Commission explained in the *USF/ICC Transformation Order*, MF-I support funds were made available “[t]o spur *immediate* broadband buildout” in unserved areas.[[65]](#footnote-67) To that end, the Bureaus authorized PTI Pacifica’s MF-I support not simply so that it would one day establish a 4G network on this underserved census tract, but that it would do so expeditiously, even in the face of whatever challenges its due diligence may have revealed.[[66]](#footnote-68) The extraordinary length of PTI Pacifica’s requested extension is at odds with MF-I’s foundational purpose to expeditiously extend coverage to unserved areas, and granting it would therefore not serve the public interest in achieving such coverage.[[67]](#footnote-69) Moreover, granting an extension of this length would undermine our ability to enforce the performance obligations of future recipients of USF high-cost support.[[68]](#footnote-70) We therefore conclude that granting a waiver and extension request of this duration is not in the public interest.
9. Accordingly, we deny PTI Pacifica’s requests for waiver and extension of performance deadlines for the Tinian tract. The Commission’s rules provide that a winning bidder authorized to receive MF-I support that fails to meet its performance obligations will be subject to repayment of the support already dispersed, as well as an additional performance default payment.[[69]](#footnote-71) In the *Auction 901 Procedures Public Notice*, the Bureaus adopted a performance default payment of 10% of the total level of support for which a winning bidder is eligible.[[70]](#footnote-72) The total level of MF-I support for which PTI Pacifica was eligible for the Tinian tract was $399,970.34, consequently it now owes a 10% performance default payment obligation of $39,997.03.[[71]](#footnote-73) To date, PTI Pacifica has received $133,323.45 in support for the tract, and it must also repay that amount.
10. **Ordering clauseS**
11. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that, under the authority contained in sections 1, 4(i), 4(j), 5(c), 201, 254, and 303(r), of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151, 154(i), 154(j), 155(c), 201, 254, and 303(r), and sections 0.21, 0.91, 0.131, 0.271, 0.291, 0.331, and 1.3 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 0.21, 0.91, 0.131, 0.271, 0.291, 0.331, and 1.3, PTI Pacifica, Inc.’s Request for Waiver and Extension of Time of Mobility Fund Phase I Construction Deadline for Three Census Tracts, together with its supplements, is DENIED only as to census tract T69120950200 (SAC 658007).
12. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to sections 4(i), 214, and 303(r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), 214, and 303(r), and section 54.1006(f) of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR § 54.1006(f), PTI Pacifica, Inc. is ASSESSED a performance default payment in the amount of $39,997.03 for census tract T69120950200 (SAC 658007), for which it was the winning bidder in Auction 901.
13. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to sections 4(i), 214, and 303(r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), 214, and 303(r), and section 54.1006(f) of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR § 54.1006(f), PTI Pacifica, Inc. repay the support it has received to date for census tract T69120950200 (SAC 658007), in the amount of $133,323.45.
14. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this Order SHALL BE transmitted to the Universal Service Administrative Company.
15. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, under section 1.102(b)(1) of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR § 1.102(b)(1), this Order SHALL BE effective upon release.
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