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# INTRODUCTION

1. One Ministries, Inc., (One Ministries or Petitioner), licensee of commercial television station KQSL(DT), Fort Bragg, California (Facility ID No. 8378) (KQSL or Station), filed the above-captioned Petition[[1]](#footnote-3) requesting modification of the Station’s television market to include the communities in Santa Rosa, California served by Comcast[[2]](#footnote-4) systems on which KQSL is not currently being carried on a mandatory basis (Communities or Santa Rosa Communities). The Petitioner states that Santa Rosa is located within the San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose Designated Market Area (DMA) and, therefore, is presumptively part of KQSL’s market.[[3]](#footnote-5) The Petition is opposed by Comcast.[[4]](#footnote-6) One Ministries filed a Reply.[[5]](#footnote-7) For the reasons stated below, the Petition is denied.

# background

## Market Modification Procedures

1. Pursuant to section 614 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (the Act), and implementing rules adopted by the Commission in its *Must Carry Order*, commercial broadcast television stations are entitled to assert mandatory carriage rights on cable systems located within the station’s market.[[6]](#footnote-8) A station’s default market for this purpose is its DMA as defined by Nielsen Media Research.[[7]](#footnote-9) A DMA is a geographic market designation that defines each television market exclusive of others, based on measured viewing patterns. Essentially, each county in the continental United States is allocated to a market based on which stations receive a preponderance of total viewing hours in the county.[[8]](#footnote-10)
2. Under the Act, however, the Commission also is directed to consider changes in a station’s local market. Section 614(h)(1)(C) provides that the Commission may:

with respect to a particular television broadcast station, include additional communities within its television market or exclude communities from such station’s television market to better effectuate the purposes of this section.[[9]](#footnote-11)

In considering such requests, the Act provides that:

the Commission shall afford particular attention to the value of localism by taking into account such factors as –

1. whether the station, or other stations located in the same area, have been historically carried on the cable system or systems within such community;
2. whether the television station provides coverage or other local service to such community;
3. whether modifying the market of the television station would promote consumers’ access to television broadcast station signals that originate in their State of residence;[[10]](#footnote-12)
4. whether any other television station that is eligible to be carried by a cable system in such community in fulfillment of the requirements of this section provides news coverage of issues of concern to such community or provides carriage or coverage of sporting and other events of interest to the community; and
5. evidence of viewing patterns in cable and noncable households within the areas served by the cable system or systems in such community.[[11]](#footnote-13)
6. In order to establish a station’s relationship to the community at issue as required by the Act, section 76.59(b) of the Commission’s rules requires requests for market modification to be supported by the following evidence:
7. A map or maps illustrating the relevant community locations and geographic features, station transmitter sites, cable system headend locations, terrain features that would affect station reception, mileage between the community and the television station transmitter site, transportation routes and any other evidence contributing to the scope of the market;
8. Noise-limited service contour maps (for full-power digital stations) or protected contour maps (for Class A and low power television stations) delineating the station’s technical service area and showing the location of the cable system headends or satellite carrier local receive facilities and communities in relation to the service areas;[[12]](#footnote-14)
9. Available data on shopping and labor patterns in the local market;
10. Television station programming information derived from station logs or the local edition of the television guide;
11. Cable system channel line-up cards or other exhibits establishing historic carriage, such as television guide listings;
12. Published audience data for the relevant station showing its average all day audience (*i.e.*, the reported audience averaged over Sunday-Saturday, 7 a.m.-1 a.m., or an equivalent time period) for both cable and noncable households or other specific audience indicia, such as station advertising and sales data or viewer contribution records; and
13. If applicable, a statement that the station is licensed to a community within the same state as the relevant community.[[13]](#footnote-15)

## KQSL

1. KQSL is licensed to Fort Bragg, California, a community located in Mendocino County, California.[[14]](#footnote-16) Its primary broadcast location is a site on top of Cahto Peak, near Laytonville, California using a directional antenna at 26 kW ERP that transmits the Station’s signal north toward Eureka, east toward Red Bluff, and south over a portion of Sonoma County.[[15]](#footnote-17) In 2011, Comcast successfully petitioned to modify KQSL’s market to exclude the Communities, as well as others outside the scope of the instant proceeding.[[16]](#footnote-18) In granting Comcast’s petition, the Media Bureau (Bureau) found that KQSL had “no history of carriage and no discernible viewership in the communities at issue.”[[17]](#footnote-19) It also found that the Station’s noise-limited service contour did not cover the communities, that it was geographically distant from the communities at an average distance of 140 miles, and that it was separated from those communities by mountainous terrain.[[18]](#footnote-20) Finally, although KQSL stated that it “intended” to “provide Asian language programming targeted to Bay Area residents,” the Bureau did not consider this expressed intention a sufficient basis for treating KQSL as a specialty station.[[19]](#footnote-21)

## The Petition

1. One Ministries asserts that the factors that previously caused the deletion of the Communities from KQSL’s market no longer apply.[[20]](#footnote-22) KQSL has constructed a distributed transmission system (DTS) that supplements KQSL’s original transmitter atop Cahto Peak with a separate transmitter in Cloverdale.[[21]](#footnote-23) The Petitioner explains that the DTS construction supported the Bureau’s grant of an earlier KQSL Petition to add Cloverdale to KQSL’s television market in 2021.[[22]](#footnote-24) According to the Petitioner, KQSL further modified its DTS by moving its second DTS site to Geyserville, which resulted in improved coverage in portions of KQSL’s contour that were terrain shielded from the main KQSL transmitter.[[23]](#footnote-25) As a result, the Petitioner asserts that KQSL has extended its signal further south, allowing broader coverage across Sonoma County.[[24]](#footnote-26) In addition, the Petitioner states that the DTS transmitter site is located 23 miles from Santa Rosa, thus supporting its inclusion in KQSL’s market.[[25]](#footnote-27) One Ministries describes KQSL as an independent, Christian television station that has served the San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose DMA for decades.[[26]](#footnote-28) The Petitioner asserts that, in addition to improved signal coverage, KQSL has invested in delivering programming about Santa Rosa and is originating from the Station’s main studio located in Santa Rosa to better serve viewers in the Communities who are not well served by other stations in the DMA.[[27]](#footnote-29) It further contends that this is reflected in KQSL’s viewership in Sonoma County, which demonstrates the strong connection between KQSL and the Communities.[[28]](#footnote-30) One Ministries argues that the Communities are now properly within the Station’s market and therefore the market should be modified accordingly.[[29]](#footnote-31)
2. The Petitioner notes that the Bureau has previously considered the effect of deploying a DTS on a station’s market. Like KQSL, California stations KVMD and KILM had been the subject of market modifications that resulted in the deletion of a number of communities from their markets.[[30]](#footnote-32) Both later built DTS and successfully petitioned to return the deleted communities to their markets.[[31]](#footnote-33)
3. As noted above, Comcast opposes the Petition to add the Communities back into KQSL’s market.[[32]](#footnote-34) Comcast argues that KQSL’s new DTS does not warrant or justify a change in its market to cover the Santa Rosa Communities.[[33]](#footnote-35) Comcast contends that the decisions cited by the Petitioner undermine, rather than support, its Petition, because in each case the analysis centered on new DTS operations that allowed the stations to deliver a good signal to all – or almost all – of the communities at issue.[[34]](#footnote-36) Here, Comcast notes, KQSL’s second DTS transmitter fails to cover any partof Santa Rosa.[[35]](#footnote-37) According to Comcast, although the Petitioner claims that modified DTS signal “now extends further south,” it admits that the signal is now only “closer to Santa Rosa.”[[36]](#footnote-38) Comcast asserts that because KQSL’s over-the-air coverage fails to reach any part of the Santa Rosa Communities, its modified signal is a negative and decisive factor that warrants denial of the Petition under relevant Commission precedent.[[37]](#footnote-39)

# Discussion

1. After evaluation of the five market modification factors enumerated below, we do not find sufficient support for modification of the Station’s market to include the addition of the Santa Rosa Communities. Accordingly, the Petition is denied.

## Statutory Factors

### Historical Carriage

1. The first statutory factor we must consider is “whether the station, or other stations located in the same area, have been historically carried on the cable system or systems within such community.”[[38]](#footnote-40) When analyzing a station’s historic carriage, consideration is given not only to carriage by the operator that is the subject of the request, but also to whether the station is carried by competitors in the relevant communities.[[39]](#footnote-41)
2. The Petitioner asserts that KQSL is currently and has previously been carried within the Santa Rosa Communities by a number of MVPDs.[[40]](#footnote-42) Today, the Station is carried in the Communities on systems operated by AT&T U-verse, DirecTV, and DISH Network, and is also carried on a locally-focused streaming network called BayAreaBTV. Petitioner acknowledges that KQSL has been carried for a period of twelve years or less on each system.[[41]](#footnote-43) Additionally, the Petitioner states that although Comcast does not carry KQSL in Santa Rosa, it does carry the Station on its Healdsburg system in Windsor, California, which is adjacent to Santa Rosa, and in the nearby communities of Cloverdale, Geyserville, and Healdsburg, all of which are in the same county (Sonoma) as Santa Rosa.[[42]](#footnote-44)
3. In opposition, Comcast argues that KQSL lacked any historic carriage in the Santa Rosa Communities until just over a decade ago, notwithstanding more than 30 years of operation.[[43]](#footnote-45) It argues that carriage in the Communities by DISH and DIRECTV fails to support the Petition, citing the *KQSL 2021 Order*’s observation that “‘DMA-wide DBS carriage is of limited relevance to the question of historic carriage in communities.’’’[[44]](#footnote-46) Comcast also contends that the Commission should give minimal weight to KQSL’s carriage in Sonoma by AT&T’s U-Verse, arguing that carriage by competitors pursuant to a retransmission consent agreement does not constitute meaningful evidence of historic carriage sufficient to compel mandatory carriage by a competing MVPD.[[45]](#footnote-47) Moreover, Comcast disputes that KQSL should be given historic carriage credit in Santa Rosa merely because Comcast recently began carrying the Station on its adjacent Healdsburg system pursuant to the *KQSL 2021 Order*.[[46]](#footnote-48) Finally, Comcast disputes the relevance of the Station’s carriage on the BayAreaBTV streaming service in the Communities, arguing that a broadcast station’s availability on the Internet has no bearing on a market analysis pursuant to section 614 of the Communications Act.[[47]](#footnote-49)
4. In reply, One Ministries notes that in the *KVMD 2020 Order* the Bureau found that the first factor weighed in favor of the petitioner where it was determined that the station had carriage by other MVPDs within and immediately adjacent to the communities.[[48]](#footnote-50) One Ministries argues that its Petition is even stronger because of the length of carriage of KQSL in Santa Rosa by the other named MVPDs.[[49]](#footnote-51) Moreover, as in KVMD, the Petitioner asserts that “‘[Comcast] itself carries [KQSL] on one or more adjacent systems.’’’[[50]](#footnote-52) Comcast does not dispute that KQSL is already carried on its Healdsburg system, which serves part of Sonoma County.[[51]](#footnote-53) The Petitioner argues that this demonstrates a nexus exists between KQSL and communities in Sonoma County, such as Santa Rosa.[[52]](#footnote-54) Moreover, the Petitioner argues that while Comcast suggests that such carriage should be discounted because it results from the *KQSL 2021 Order*, no authority is cited for this distinction.[[53]](#footnote-55) The Petitioner contends that “[e]ven if this carriage in adjacent communities is not dispositive, it provides additional evidence of historical carriage.”[[54]](#footnote-56)
5. The Petitioner further argues that the fact that Comcast has not previously carried KQSL in Santa Rosa itself is irrelevant given the Station’s recent change in circumstances.[[55]](#footnote-57) In particular, the Bureau considered in the *KQSL 2011 Order* that, under a prior licensee, KQSL was broadcasting Retro Television Network, which was available on another San Francisco Station and the Station’s sole transmitter was located northeast of Fort Bragg.[[56]](#footnote-58) Since the acquisition of the Station by One Ministries, the Petitioner argues that it has completely changed KQSL’s programming, which includes adding a significant amount of programming about and originating in Santa Rosa.[[57]](#footnote-59) This is in addition to the construction and refinement of an additional transmitter near Cloverdale.[[58]](#footnote-60) As such, the Petitioner asserts that “[t]hese changed circumstances directly contribute to the nexus between KQSL and Santa Rosa and render Comcast’s prior carriage decisions inapposite.”[[59]](#footnote-61)
6. Our rules and precedent make no distinction between mandatory and voluntary historic carriage, and we conclude that both types of carriage can evidence historic carriage. In this regard, we note that KQSL has been carried in Santa Rosa by one MVPD, U-Verse, for slightly over a decade. KQSL is also now being carried on Comcast’s adjacent cable system in Healdsburg, but that carriage has been ongoing for fewer than two years.[[60]](#footnote-62) Furthermore, Comcast correctly observes that DMA-wide DBS carriage is of limited relevance, and carriage by non-MVPDs, such as BayAreaBTV, is of no relevance, under this first factor. We therefore find that this first statutory factor weighs only slightly in favor of the requested modification.

### Local Service

1. Second, we consider “whether the television station provides coverage or other local service to such community.”[[61]](#footnote-63) This statutory factor can be satisfied by demonstrating the Station’s signal coverage, its geographic proximity to the Communities, shopping and labor patterns between the Station’s community of license and the Communities, as well as locally-focused programming broadcast by the Station.
2. *Signal Coverage*. Petitioner states that in 2021 KQSL began broadcasting using a DTS from a second transmitter site located in Sonoma County.[[62]](#footnote-64) In 2022, KQSL modified its Sonoma County DTS site to expand its signal coverage further south, closer to Santa Rosa.[[63]](#footnote-65) The Petitioner acknowledges that KQSL’s 41 dBu noise limited service contour does not reach Santa Rosa,[[64]](#footnote-66) but notes that it supplements its over-the-air coverage with a number of translator stations, including KDAS-LD (Facility ID No. 129433) and KUKR-LD (Facility ID No. 182689), which are licensed to Santa Rosa, and KZHD-LD (Facility ID No. 126508), which is licensed to nearby Rohnert Park.[[65]](#footnote-67) One Ministries states that KUKR-LD, KDAS-LD, and KZHD-LD place a digital contour over the Comcast headends serving Santa Rosa and surrounding areas.[[66]](#footnote-68)
3. In Opposition, Comcast argues that Commission precedent does not support relying on translator coverage to compensate for lack of over-the-air coverage by the primary signal.[[67]](#footnote-69) Specifically, Comcast cites the *KQSL 2011 Order* stating that “translator ‘coverage does not lessen the relevance of the parent’s failure to place a Grade B contour over the subject cable communities as Grade B coverage is indicative of the station’s natural market.”’[[68]](#footnote-70)
4. *Geographic Proximity*. Petitioner contends that the Commission will consider the distance between the DTS transmitter site and the relevant communities to demonstrate geographic proximity.[[69]](#footnote-71) One Ministries notes that the DTS transmitter is located 23 miles from Santa Rosa,[[70]](#footnote-72) and that the DTS transmitter site is connected to Santa Rosa via major transportation routes, including U.S. Highway 101.[[71]](#footnote-73)
5. In response, Comcast argues that One Ministries erroneously emphasizes the distance between Santa Rosa and its secondary DTS in Geyserville, rather than the distance between Santa Rosa and its primary transmitter or KQSL’s community of license, Fort Bragg.[[72]](#footnote-74) Comcast argues that the Commission’s DTS rules are intended to improve service to a station’s “local” community, not to the community in which it locates a DTS.[[73]](#footnote-75) Comcast notes that the distance between Fort Bragg and Santa Rosa is at least 110 miles by car, and 90.4 miles as the crow flies.[[74]](#footnote-76) Comcast asserts that the location of KQSL’s new DTS does nothing to minimize the mountainous terrain and travel distance that creates a natural geographic barrier separating Fort Bragg and Santa Rosa.[[75]](#footnote-77) For instance, the minimum driving distance on major transportation routes between Santa Rosa and Fort Bragg is two hours and twenty minutes and Comcast asserts that is not considered a realistic commuting option.[[76]](#footnote-78)
6. *Shopping and Labor Patterns*. Petitioner claims that KQSL’s community of license, Fort Bragg, and Santa Rosa share strong economic connections because Santa Rosa is the closest mid-sized city to Fort Bragg, a tourist destination.[[77]](#footnote-79) It also asserts that Fort Bragg and the Communities share many of the same demographic characteristics and service offerings[[78]](#footnote-80) that result in frequent travel between the two communities.[[79]](#footnote-81) Additionally, the Petitioner argues that labor patterns also connect Fort Bragg to the Santa Rosa Communities, but provides only circumstantial evidence in favor of this theory.[[80]](#footnote-82)
7. Comcast argues that Petitioner’s assertions regarding Fort Bragg’s status as a tourist destination and its demographic makeup are irrelevant,[[81]](#footnote-83) and that no Census data or other data is presented that supports the Petitioner’s assertions of economic or labor connections.[[82]](#footnote-84) Comcast asserts that these arguments were reviewed by the Commission in the *KQSL 2021 Order*, which concluded that “‘One Ministries has failed to demonstrate meaningful economic connections between Fort Bragg and any of the Communities.”’[[83]](#footnote-85) Comcast argues that no evidence is presented to show that residents of the Santa Rosa Communities regularly commute to Fort Bragg, shop there, or are connected to Fort Bragg in any meaningful way.[[84]](#footnote-86) In reply, the Petitioner states that “[b]ecause the factors regarding shopping and labor patterns remain unchanged from the 2021 Petition [it] does not address them any further . . . except to note that unlike many of the communities in the 2021 Petition, Santa Rosa is on the same side of the Bay as Fort Bragg and there is daily bus service between the communities.”[[85]](#footnote-87)
8. *Locally-Focused Programming*. Petitioner states that “a significant part of KQSL’s broadcast schedule includes programming that originates in Santa Rosa and/or specifically targets viewers in Santa Rosa with information about Santa Rosa.”[[86]](#footnote-88) In that regard, the Petitioner states that the Station broadcasts six hours of programming per week, including the Santa Rosa News aired Monday through Friday at an early morning hour and a show airing twice weekly called Everything Santa Rosa.[[87]](#footnote-89) The Petitioner further states that KQSL serves religious communities in Santa Rosa through its weekly broadcast of the service at Promise Center Church in Santa Rosa on Sunday mornings.[[88]](#footnote-90) In addition, the Petitioner notes local program Everyday Revival also reaches religious communities in Santa Rosa and is recorded and produced at the One Ministries studios in Santa Rosa.[[89]](#footnote-91) To further support Santa Rosa and surrounding communities, the Petitioner states that KQSL airs programs produced by other local churches and ministries located within the region.[[90]](#footnote-92) Lastly, the Petitioner states that KQSL airs Total Living Network (TLN) which is produced in the region and airs general interest programming, as well as Mornings on the Dove, which provides weather forecasts and some local and regional news and public interest stories.[[91]](#footnote-93) It also argues that a number of third party comments filed in this proceeding support the connection between KQSL’s programming and Santa Rosa.[[92]](#footnote-94)
9. Comcast argues that a station does not demonstrate that it is ‘“local’” for purposes of must carry rights “‘solely by airing some occasional programming associated with some of the communities in question.’”[[93]](#footnote-95) Comcast points out that in the *KQSL 2021 Order*, the Bureau found One Ministries’ evidence of local programming unpersuasive.[[94]](#footnote-96) It asserts that of the six hours of local programming detailed by the Petitioner, much appears to be general interest programming of religious or multicultural content, and much of the remainder provides little information of local interest.[[95]](#footnote-97) Comcast asserts that programming tailored to religious interests or specific ethnic groups, without more, is general interest programming deserving of no special credit as local programming with a market nexus.[[96]](#footnote-98)
10. We find that the second statutory factor weighs firmly against KQSL’s Petition. As acknowledged by the Petitioner, the Santa Rosa Communities are not covered by the Station’s NLSC, and relying on translator coverage does not compensate for a lack of over-the-air coverage by the primary signal. With regard to geographic proximity, we agree with Comcast that the Petitioner incorrectly emphasizes the distances between the Santa Rosa Communities and its secondary DTS in Geyserville, rather than the greater distance between Santa Rosa and its primary transmitter or KQSL’s Community of License, Fort Bragg. Additionally, One Ministries has failed to demonstrate meaningful economic connections between Fort Bragg and the Santa Rosa Communities. Finally, although Petitioner demonstrates that KQSL airs more locally-produced and locally-focused programming than it did in 2021, we find this amount of programming, standing alone, insufficient to demonstrate that the Station provides “coverage or other local service” to the Santa Rosa Communities. Taking all of these considerations into account, and in particular KQSL’s failure to place a good quality signal over the Communities even after the expansion of its distributed transmission system, we conclude that the second statutory factor weighs firmly against modification in this case.

### Promoting Consumer Access to Local Stations

1. The third statutory factor we consider is “whether modifying the market of the television station would promote consumers’ access to television broadcast station signals that originate in their State of residence.”[[97]](#footnote-99) This factor is intended to ensure that MVPD subscribers are “receiving news, politics, sports, emergency information, and other television programing relevant to their home state” and “relevant to their everyday lives.”[[98]](#footnote-100) A petitioner is considered to satisfy this factor if the involved station is licensed to a community within the same state as the new community.[[99]](#footnote-101) This factor may be given increased weight if the station provides programming specifically related to the subscribers’ state of residence, and may be given even more weight if subscribers in the existing market have little or no access to such in-state programming.[[100]](#footnote-102)
2. In support of this factor, the Petitioner states that KQSL is licensed to Fort Bragg, California and broadcasts from its original transmitter supplemented by a second DTS all located in California, which is the state in which the Communities are located.[[101]](#footnote-103) Comcast argues that KQSL does not merit special credit for its in-state location because this factor was intended to promote access to some in-state broadcasting to subscribers in ‘“border’” areas (i.e., ‘“Orphan Counties’”).[[102]](#footnote-104) Comcast is incorrect. KQSL is a licensed California station that broadcasts from transmitters in California, which is where the Communities at issue are located. We therefore find that this factor weighs in favor of the modification, but do not find sufficient evidence in the record to give this factor increased weight.

### Carriage of Other Eligible Stations

1. Fourth, we consider “whether any other television station that is eligible to be carried by a cable system in such community in fulfillment of the requirements of this section provides news coverage of issues of concern to such community or provides carriage or coverage of sporting and other events of interest to the community.”[[103]](#footnote-105) In general, this factor is interpreted as enhancing a station’s market modification petition if other stations do not sufficiently serve the communities at issue; however, other stations’ service to the communities rarely has counted against a petition.[[104]](#footnote-106)
2. The Petitioner states that “[u]pon information and belief, KQSL is the only television broadcast station that provides dedicated daily news coverage of issues of concern to residents in Santa Rosa.”[[105]](#footnote-107) The Petitioner asserts that “[t]he only full power or Class A station licensed to Santa Rosa, KDTV-CD, is a translator whose local coverage is limited to broadcasting Santa Rosa City Council meetings.”[[106]](#footnote-108) The Petitioner does not dispute that Comcast systems serving the Santa Rosa Communities carry other television stations, but contends “that [while they] provide coverage of news, sports, and other issues of interest to San Francisco and the DMA as a whole, none are focused on Santa Rosa.”[[107]](#footnote-109)
3. In response, Comcast states that its system serving Santa Rosa carries as many as 21 broadcast stations licensed to communities in and around the San Francisco Bay area with as many as 45 different programming streams including multicast signals, many of which provide extensive coverage of local news and sporting events, as well as “Asian- and Spanish language/targeted programming.” [[108]](#footnote-110) Comcast argues that “[e]ven if KQSL were to provide significant or unique programming specifically targeting Santa Rosa, which it does not, the coverage provided by theses other stations would undermine any special benefits associated with KQSL.”[[109]](#footnote-111)
4. One Ministries replies that Comcast attempts to minimize its local interest programming as including “‘general interest”’ religious programs.[[110]](#footnote-112) However, as noted above, the Petitioner points to Santa Rosa News and Everything Santa Rosa as broadcasting news stories of specific interest to residents and adds that even KQSL’s religious programming has a local focus.[[111]](#footnote-113) The Petitioner argues that “[i]n an attempt to blunt the effect of KQSL’s significant local programming,” Comcast points to the many stations that it carries in the DMA and the amount of overall news coverage these stations provide.”[[112]](#footnote-114) The Petitioner states that a review of the “Issues and Programs Report” for the stations Comcast references in its Opposition confirms that “their coverage of Santa Rosa and issues of concern to Santa Rosa residents is sporadic at best.”[[113]](#footnote-115)
5. Given the large number of stations that Comcast carries on its system that serve the Santa Rosa Communities that provide coverage of news, sports, and other programming, we cannot agree with Petitioner that absolutely none serve the interests of the residents at issue and KQSL is the only station uniquely qualified to serve the Communities. Accordingly, consistent with our precedent, we assign no weight to this factor.

### Viewing Patterns

1. The fifth statutory factor focuses on “evidence of viewing patterns” in cable and noncable households “within the areas served by” the cable system or systems in such community.[[114]](#footnote-116) The Bureau has explained that, with respect to a specialty station like KQSL, this factor is generally given less weight.[[115]](#footnote-117) The Petitioner states that KQSL does not have a full subscription to Nielsen or a Nielsen encoder.[[116]](#footnote-118) However, the Petitioner states that “KQSL obtained relevant information through a trial subscription that Nielsen confirmed can be used for the instant petition.”[[117]](#footnote-119)
2. The Petitioner provides Nielsen data showing KQSL’s viewership in Sonoma County is higher than that in the rest of the San Francisco market.[[118]](#footnote-120) One Ministries argues that “KQSL’s strong ratings in Sonoma County reflect its ‘invest[ment] to improve its over-the-air signal coverage through its implementation of a . . . DTS transmission facility.”’[[119]](#footnote-121) As such, the Petitioner asserts that this demonstrates a ‘“sincere desire’” to serve Santa Rosa.[[120]](#footnote-122)
3. In opposition, Comcast argues that One Ministries provides extremely limited evidence of meaningful viewing patterns and ultimately does nothing to demonstrate significant viewership specifically in the Santa Rosa Communities, as opposed to Sonoma County more broadly.[[121]](#footnote-123) They argue that even the viewership that is demonstrated (2.6% of households, 2.5% of viewers) is “miniscule,” fails to show significant viewership in the Communities, and should be weighed against One Ministries’ Petition.[[122]](#footnote-124) In addition, Comcast asserts that because KQSL is not carried on the Comcast system serving the Communities, the continued exclusion of Santa Rosa from KQSL’s television market would neither disrupt established viewing patterns nor deprive the Station of any existing audience.[[123]](#footnote-125)
4. The Petitioner replies that it has presented the best available viewing patterns in the Communities in the form of viewership data.[[124]](#footnote-126) One Ministries argues that Comcast fails to account for its status as a specialty station and KQSL’s limited carriage on Comcast systems serving Sonoma County.[[125]](#footnote-127) In that light, the Petitioner argues that its demonstrated reach in a market with as many stations in San Francisco, including the 21 carried by Comcast, “is impressive and demonstrates the significant appeal of KQSL’s programming in Sonoma County.”[[126]](#footnote-128)
5. With regard to our evidentiary requirements, section 76.59(b)(6) of our rules requires published audience data for the relevant station or other specific audience indicia, such as station advertising and sales data or viewership contribution records.[[127]](#footnote-129) In this case, while the Petitioner did not provide published audience data for KQSL, it did present limited data obtained through a Nielsen trial subscription. This evidentiary submission is not indicative of significant viewership. While this factor is given less weight with respect to a specialty station like KQSL, we nonetheless find that it weighs against modification of KQSL’s market.

### Conclusion

1. We conclude that the facts do not support the grant of the Petition to modify the market of KQSL, Fort Bragg, California, to include the Santa Rosa Communities served by Comcast. Taken together, the first and third statutory factors weigh only somewhat in favor of the modification. We give no weight to the fourth statutory factor, and the second and fifth statutory factors weigh against the request. Based upon our overall evaluation of the five statutory factors and for the reasons discussed herein, we deny the Petition with regard to the Santa Rosa Communities.

# ORDERING CLAUSES

1. Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED,** pursuant to section 614(h) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 534, and section 76.59 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR § 76.59, that the captioned Petition for Special Relief (MB Docket No. 23-4, CSR 9006-A) filed by One Ministries, Inc., LLC **IS DENIED**.
2. This action is taken pursuant to authority delegated by section 0.283 of the Commission’s rules.[[128]](#footnote-130)

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
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