**Before the**

Federal Communications Commission

Washington, D.C. 20554

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| In the Matter of  Accessible Emergency Information, and Apparatus  Requirements for Emergency Information and  Video Description: Implementation of the Twenty-  First Century Communications and Video  Accessibility Act of 2010 | **)**  **)**  **)**  **)**  **)**  **)**  **)** | MB Docket No. 12-107 |

Memorandum opinion and order

**Adopted: May 26, 2023 Released: May 26, 2023**

By the Chief, Media Bureau:

# introduction

1. In this Memorandum Opinion and Order (Order), we address the National Association of Broadcasters (NAB) petition for an extension of the existing waiver of the requirement that television broadcasters aurally describe visual but non-textual emergency information, such as maps or other graphic displays.[[1]](#footnote-3) That requirement is based on section 79.2(b)(2)(ii) of the Commission’s rules, which requires that emergency information provided visually during non-newscast video programming be made audibly accessible to individuals who are blind or visually impaired through the use of a secondary audio stream (the Audible Crawl Rule).[[2]](#footnote-4) For the reasons discussed below, we grant the petition in part, subject to the conditions set forth herein.

# Background

1. Congress, through the Twenty-First Century Communications and Video Accessibility Act of 2010 (CVAA), directed the Commission to identify methods to convey emergency information in a manner accessible to individuals who are blind or visually impaired, and to promulgate rules requiring video programming providers, distributors, and program owners to convey emergency information in a manner accessible to individuals who are blind or visually impaired.[[3]](#footnote-5) Pursuant to that directive, on April 8, 2013, the Commission adopted a rule requiring that emergency information[[4]](#footnote-6) provided visually during non-newscast programming be made accessible to individuals who are blind or visually impaired through the use of a secondary audio stream that provides such information aurally.[[5]](#footnote-7) The rule states that a video programming provider or video programming distributor[[6]](#footnote-8) that creates visual emergency information content and adds it to a programming stream must provide an aural description of the information on a secondary audio stream, accompanied by an aural tone.[[7]](#footnote-9) The rule applies to visual emergency information content that is either textual, e.g., an on-screen crawl, or non-textual, e.g., maps or other graphic displays. If visual but non-textual emergency information is shown during non-newscast programming, the aural description of this information must accurately and effectively convey the critical details regarding the emergency and how to respond to the emergency.[[8]](#footnote-10)
2. The rule includes a compliance deadline of May 26, 2015.[[9]](#footnote-11) With respect to textual emergency information, the Bureau granted NAB’s request for a six-month waiver of this deadline.[[10]](#footnote-12) Thus, broadcasters had until November 30, 2015 to come into compliance with the requirement to provide an aural description on the secondary audio stream of textual emergency information presented during non-newscast programming. With respect to visual but non-textual emergency information, the Bureau granted NAB’s initial waiver request, finding that a waiver of the requirement to pass through non-textual visual information would not unduly disrupt the availability of emergency information while the industry developed a reliable technical solution, but it limited the waiver to 18 months as requested by the American Council of the Blind (ACB) and the American Foundation for the Blind (AFB).[[11]](#footnote-13) The Bureau subsequently granted a joint request of ACB, AFB, and NAB to extend the waiver for an additional 18 months,[[12]](#footnote-14) followed by a joint request of ACB, AFB, and NAB to extend the waiver for an additional five years.[[13]](#footnote-15) The current waiver is scheduled to expire on May 26, 2023.
3. In its Petition, NAB states that, despite its efforts to coordinate with entities potentially capable of developing a technical solution during the current waiver period, it has been unable to identify a workable solution based on existing technology.[[14]](#footnote-16) NAB explains that the software used to create non-textual graphics, such as dynamic radar maps, does not contain metadata text files that can be converted into text, and in turn, used to create aural descriptions.[[15]](#footnote-17) Moreover, NAB notes that once a solution is developed, it has yet to be determined how such solution could be integrated into the existing broadcast system.[[16]](#footnote-18) NAB requests a two-year extension of the waiver to explore alternative technical solutions, including possible solutions afforded by artificial intelligence (AI)-based systems or the ongoing adoption of ATSC 3.0 in more television markets.[[17]](#footnote-19) NAB also states that critical details of an emergency provided in a visual, non-textual graphic are usually duplicative of the information provided in accompanying textual crawls, which are already aurally described and accessible.[[18]](#footnote-20)
4. ACB and AFB indicate in their joint comments that while they supported NAB’s prior waiver requests, they do not support a further extension of the waiver at this time due to concerns about “the lack of proactive efforts being taken to procure or develop a solution to this known and longstanding issue.”[[19]](#footnote-21) The Society of Broadcast Engineers (SBE) filed comments in support of NAB’s waiver request.[[20]](#footnote-22) Reply comments in support of the waiver request were filed by NAB, CMG Media Corporation, Gray Television, Inc., and four television Affiliates Associations.[[21]](#footnote-23)
5. We evaluate the waiver request in the Petition pursuant to the general waiver authority in section 1.3 of the Commission’s rules.[[22]](#footnote-24) Waiver of the Commission’s rules is appropriate only if both (i) special circumstances warrant a deviation from the general rule, and (ii) such deviation will serve the public interest.[[23]](#footnote-25)

# discussion

1. We recognize the critical importance of making emergency information accessible to individuals who are blind or visually impaired. With the attachment of certain conditions, we find good cause to waive the requirement that broadcasters aurally describe visual but non-textual emergency information for an additional 18 month period ending November 26, 2024. It is undisputed that the broadcast industry still has not been able to identify an automated technical solution to ensure timely, accurate, and reliable descriptions of images and dynamic video conveying visual emergency information over existing broadcast systems.[[24]](#footnote-26) While ACB and AFB question whether the broadcast industry has made a good faith effort to achieve the accessible emergency information requirements,[[25]](#footnote-27) according to NAB, it has engaged with stakeholders both inside and outside the broadcast industry over the course of the current five-year waiver period in an effort to find a viable technical solution for automated creation and delivery of aural descriptions for visual non-textual emergency information.[[26]](#footnote-28) NAB indicates that it has surveyed the largest television groups about their practices for ensuring the accessibility of emergency information and their awareness of any potential approaches to complying with the Audible Crawl Rule and has had discussions with NAB’s Technology Committee, which includes chief technology officers and senior engineers from television groups.[[27]](#footnote-29) Outside the broadcast industry, NAB has investigated options with weather software and graphics providers because the most common use of automated technical solution would be to aurally describe radar maps of hurricanes, high winds, and other shifting weather emergencies.[[28]](#footnote-30) Although NAB has identified new AI-based systems and apps that can convert static images into text and voice, to date, there is currently no such system or app that can automatically convert dynamic, live video into text that can then be aurally described, and do so in the timely, accurate, and reliable manner needed to ensure access during an emergency.[[29]](#footnote-31) While there is disagreement in the record over the extent of outreach conducted, the record demonstrates that a viable technical solution for automated descriptions of emergency information presented in graphic form does not currently exist.[[30]](#footnote-32)
2. Moreover, we note that the record indicates that the critical details of an emergency provided in graphic form are in most instances duplicative of information conveyed in textual crawls, which are already aurally described and accessible to individuals who are blind or visually impaired. NAB explains that to its knowledge “broadcasters usually display a radar map or similar graphic to complement or reinforce the emergency information in an accessible accompanying crawl, or verbalized by a reporter” and that “it is extremely rare that a graphic image would convey any distinct or additional information.”[[31]](#footnote-33) Other commenters confirm that broadcasters make the majority of emergency content provided in graphic form accessible to consumers who are blind or visually impaired.[[32]](#footnote-34) The Commission found in the *Emergency Information Order* that if visual but non-textual emergency information is shown during non-newscast programming, the aural description of this information must accurately and effectively convey the critical details of the emergency and how to respond.[[33]](#footnote-35) Thus, as we previously have stated, broadcasters do not need to take further steps to be in compliance with the Audible Crawl Rule if the critical information conveyed in graphic images is duplicative of emergency information provided in a crawl and transmitted aurally on a secondary audio programming stream.[[34]](#footnote-36) It appears therefore that in at least some instances television broadcasters do not in fact need to invoke the waiver. Accordingly, based on the record in this proceeding, we conclude that special circumstances warrant a further temporary waiver from this aspect of the Audible Crawl Rule and that affording interested parties additional time to develop a technical means to ensure compliance in the future will serve the public interest. Yet, given the number of waivers already granted, we decline to grant the waiver for the full two years requested, and instead find that 18 months is appropriate.
3. We decline at this time to require that broadcasters implement an interim manual solution at this time, as suggested by ACB and AFB.[[35]](#footnote-37) As discussed above, NAB alleges that in most instances emergency content provided in graphic displays is duplicative of emergency information provided in textual crawls and transmitted aurally on a secondary audio programming stream.[[36]](#footnote-38) We recognize concerns raised by commenters that mandating a manual solution could lead some broadcasters—particularly, broadcasters that serve smaller communities and may not have adequate staffing or resources—to forego the use of non-textual graphic displays altogether rather than risk a possible FCC penalty.[[37]](#footnote-39) Therefore, a requirement that broadcasters implement a manual solution could effectively result in the provision of less emergency information to the public.[[38]](#footnote-40) Nevertheless, we strongly encourage broadcasters to provide the critical details of graphically displayed emergency information through non-automated means whenever possible during the pendency of this waiver.
4. *Conditions:* To better assist us in monitoring the continued need for a waiver and the broadcast industry’s efforts and progress in developing a technical solution, we require NAB to submit quarterly status reports to the Media Bureau and the Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau.[[39]](#footnote-41) These reports shall, at a minimum, include the following elements: (1) Information detailing the extent to which broadcasters need to invoke the waiver. NAB may assess broadcasters’ continued need for the waiver, for example, by monitoring an appropriate sample size of broadcasters and determining the number or percentage of instances among this sample where a radar map or other graphic image used during the presentation of emergency information conveys critical details that are notprovided in an accompanying crawl and transmitted aurally on a secondary audio programming stream. (2) Description of NAB’s outreach to the disability community and its efforts, in consultation with the disability community, to develop standards or best practices to be used by broadcasters in ensuring that the critical details of non-textual images displaying emergency information are accurately provided to viewers who are blind or visually impaired and to train broadcasters on the use of such standards or best practices. (3) Description of NAB’s efforts, in consultation with broadcast industry experts and relevant non-broadcast technical experts, to develop automated solutions for complying with the requirement to aurally describe visual, non-textual emergency information, such as solutions afforded by AI-based systems or the ongoing adoption of ATSC 3.0 in more television markets, and any progress made toward the development of such solutions. (4) Description of training and best practices for broadcasters to offer effective communication of critical emergency information conveyed in graphic images in textual crawls and aural transmissions on secondary audio programming streams even if the critical emergency information is in a different form than the description of visual material, as well as tangible efforts by NAB to conduct or encourage such training and best practices for specific broadcasters. (5) If at any time during the course of the waiver period, the industry, in consultation with the disability community, determines that there is a preferred and effective alternative to an automated technical solution, any subsequent reports should explain what steps are being or will be taken, using such alternative solution, to ensure that viewers who are blind or visually impaired will have access to the same critical details that are provided in a non-textual manner.

# ORDERING CLAUSES

1. Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED** that, pursuant to the authority found in sections 4(i), 4(j), and 713 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), 154(j), and 613, and sections 0.61, 0.283, and 1.3 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 0.61, 0.283, and 1.3, this Memorandum Opinion and Order **IS ADOPTED**.
2. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the petition for waiver of section 79.2(b)(2)(ii) of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR § 79.2(b)(2)(ii), filed by the National Association of Broadcasters **IS GRANTED IN PART**, subject to the conditions described herein.
3. To request materials in accessible formats for people with disabilities (braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), send an e-mail to fcc504@fcc.gov or call the Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau at 202-418-0530.
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