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By the Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau:

# introduction

1. In this Order, the Wireline Competition Bureau (Bureau) designates R.M. Greene, Inc. aka Beam (Beam) as an eligible telecommunications carrier (ETC) for purposes of receiving Rural Digital Opportunity Fund (RDOF) support, conditioned upon, and limited by, its authorization to receive this support, and effective only upon such authorization.[[1]](#footnote-3) In making this ETC designation, the Bureau finds that Beam meets the eligibility requirements to receive universal service support, as set forth in section 214(e)(6) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (Act), and related Federal Communications Commission (Commission) rules.[[2]](#footnote-4)

# Background

## Section 214 Transfer of Assets to Beam

1. The Bureau takes this action in conjunction with the Bureau’s approval of an application filed by Point Broadband Fiber Holding, LLC (Point Broadband) and Beam (collectively, Applicants), pursuant to section 214 of the Act, and sections 63.03-63.04 of the Commission’s rules, requesting consent for the partial transfer of Point Broadband’s domestic section 214 authorization, in connection with Point Broadband’s RDOF support for certain census blocks in Alabama in Study Area Code (259050) (Assigned Census Blocks) and related facilities in addition to all rights, obligations, and conditions associated with the receipt of the support.[[3]](#footnote-5) Pursuant to the terms of the approved transaction and conditioned upon Beam’s future authorization, Beam will acquire $892,797.21 in annual RDOF support in exchange for a commitment to serve 3,420 locations in the Assigned Census Blocks.[[4]](#footnote-6)
2. This support was originally part of a larger RDOF award that the Bureau authorized Point Broadband to receive, and accordingly, Point Broadband will, after the consummation of the transaction, retain all RDOF-and ETC-related obligations in all authorized areas not assigned to Beam.[[5]](#footnote-7) In its ETC petition, Point Broadband states that it will relinquish its ETC designation for the Assigned Census Blocks (but retain its ETC designation in Alabama for other supported areas) upon Beam’s assumption of RDOF obligations in the Assigned Census Blocks.[[6]](#footnote-8) In their 214 Transfer Application, Applicants state that after the consummation of the transaction, Beam will undertake deployment of the RDOF-supported network in the Assigned Census Blocks and integrate such activities and operations into its operations in eastern Alabama.[[7]](#footnote-9)

## Lifeline Requirements

1. The Lifeline program provides support to reimburse providers for offering supported services at discounted prices to qualifying low-income households, with more support available for households on Tribal lands.[[8]](#footnote-10) Eligible services include voice and broadband Internet access service meeting certain requirements.[[9]](#footnote-11) In a given geographic area, a carrier may be designated as an ETC and become eligible to receive funding from both the high-cost and low-income components of the Universal Service Fund (USF).[[10]](#footnote-12) All ETCs must offer Lifeline-supported services throughout their designated service areas.[[11]](#footnote-13)

## Requirements for FCC ETC Designation

1. Section 254(e) of the Act provides that “only an eligible telecommunications carrier designated under section 214(e) shall be eligible to receive specific federal universal service support.”[[12]](#footnote-14) Under section 214(e), an ETC is a “common carrier” that “offer[s] the services that are supported by the Federal universal service support mechanisms under section 254(c),”[[13]](#footnote-15) as established by the Commission.[[14]](#footnote-16) A petitioner offering voice service, regardless of the technology used to provision that service, may be treated as a “telecommunications carrier” under Title II of the Act (and so become eligible to receive an ETC designation) if it “voluntarily ‘holds itself out as a telecommunications carrier and complies with appropriate federal and state requirements.’”[[15]](#footnote-17)
2. Section 214 of the Act gives primary authority for ETC designations to state commissions.[[16]](#footnote-18) The Commission has authority to designate a carrier as an ETC only when “a common carrier [is] providing telephone exchange service and exchange access that is not subject to the jurisdiction of a State commission.”[[17]](#footnote-19) The petitioning carrier must demonstrate that the Commission has jurisdiction and may do so by submitting an “affirmative statement from the state commission or a court of competent jurisdiction that the carrier is not subject to the state commission’s jurisdiction.”[[18]](#footnote-20) However, “[i]f a state law expressly articulates that it does not have jurisdiction over a relevant type of technology, Commission staff [will] consider such a statute relevant” in determining Commission jurisdiction.[[19]](#footnote-21)
3. A petition for ETC designation by the Commission must include: (1) a certification that the petitioner offers or intends to offer all services designated for support by the Commission pursuant to section 254(c) of the Act (which service must be offered on a common carriage basis); (2) a certification that the petitioner offers or intends to offer the supported services either using its own facilities or a combination of its own facilities and resale of another carrier’s services;[[20]](#footnote-22) (3) a description of how the petitioner advertises the availability of the supported services and the charges therefor using media of general distribution;[[21]](#footnote-23) (4) a detailed description of the geographic service area for which the petitioner requests to be designated as an ETC;[[22]](#footnote-24) and (5) a certification that neither the petitioner, nor any party to the petitioner’s application for any new, modified, and/or renewed instrument of authorization from the Commission, is subject to a denial of federal benefits pursuant to the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988.[[23]](#footnote-25)
4. In addition, a petitioner must demonstrate its ability to meet certain service standards. A petitioner seeking an ETC designation for purposes of becoming eligible to receive RDOF support must: (1) certify that it will comply with the service requirements applicable to the support that it receives; (2) demonstrate its ability to remain functional in emergency situations; and (3) demonstrate its ability to satisfy applicable consumer protection and service quality standards.[[24]](#footnote-26)
5. Prior to designating a carrier as an ETC pursuant to section 214(e)(6) of the Act, the Commission must also determine whether such designation is in the public interest.[[25]](#footnote-27) When making a public interest determination, the Commission historically has considered the benefits of increased consumer choice and the unique advantages and disadvantages of the petitioner’s service offering.[[26]](#footnote-28)

## Petition for ETC Designation

1. We specify that Beam’s ETC designation will cover all eligible census blocks approved for assignment to Beam in the *214 Transfer Pubic Notice* and assigned to Beam upon consummation of the transaction, subject to and limited by its authorization to receive support (designated service area).[[27]](#footnote-29) On October 20, 2022, the Bureau released a Public Notice seeking comment on the 214 Transfer Application and the ETC Petition.[[28]](#footnote-30) The Bureau did not receive comments or petitions in opposition to the ETC Petition.

# DISCUSSION

1. After considering all arguments raised in its ETC Petition, we find that Beam has satisfied the statutory requirements of sections 254 and 214(e) of the Act for designation as an ETC, and the Commission’s requirements for ETC designation. We therefore designate Beam as an ETC in the designated service area. In this area, Beam must meet RDOF requirements, Lifeline requirements, and all other requirements attendant to its ETC designation, as specified in the Act and the Commission’s rules.[[29]](#footnote-31)

## Satisfaction of ETC Requirements

1. *Commission Authority*. Beam demonstrates that the Commission has the requisite authority to designate it as an ETC pursuant to section 214(e)(6) of the Act. It has submitted an affirmative statement from the Alabama Public Service Commission declining to assert jurisdiction over this ETC designation in the designated service area because state regulation specifically excludes from state jurisdiction the regulation of broadband and Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) services (the services that Beam will use to meet its public interest obligations).[[30]](#footnote-32) Accordingly, we find that the Bureau, acting under the Commission’s delegation of authority, may designate Beam as an ETC upon a finding that Beam meets all eligibility requirements for ETC designation.
2. *Offering the Service Supported by the Universal Service Support Mechanisms*. Beam establishes through the required certifications and related filings that it qualifies as a telecommunications provider for purposes of receiving universal service support for which it may become eligible pursuant to the requested designation and that it will offer the service supported by the federal universal service support mechanisms.[[31]](#footnote-33) Specifically, Beam has committed to offering voice service on a common carrier basis and has specified that its voice service meets the requirements of section 54.101(a) of the Commission’s rules.[[32]](#footnote-34) Consistent with Commission guidance to authorized RDOF support recipients, Beam must offer this service as of the first day of the month following the authorization.[[33]](#footnote-35)
3. *Compliance with the Service Requirements Applicable to RDOF Support Recipients*. Beam establishes its ability to comply with service requirements applicable to the support that it receives.[[34]](#footnote-36) This determination considers the Bureau’s findings in the *214 Transfer Public Notice* that Beam has demonstrated the technical, financial, and managerial capability to provide voice and broadband services meeting or exceeding RDOF standards, as well as its commitments in its ETC Petition to meeting relevant public interest obligations and complying with all statutory and regulatory requirements for receiving universal service support.[[35]](#footnote-37) As a condition of authorization, Beam must satisfy certain reporting obligations to ensure that the support received is being used efficiently and appropriately and that service requirements are being met.[[36]](#footnote-38)
4. *Compliance with Service Requirements Applicable to Lifeline Services*. Beam commits to offering Lifeline discounts to qualifying low-income consumers, consistent with the Commission’s rules, in all high-cost areas where it is authorized to receive support.[[37]](#footnote-39) We emphasize that all ETCs receiving Lifeline support must report certain information to the Commission, the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC), and the local regulatory authority, pursuant to section 54.422 of the Commission’s rules.[[38]](#footnote-40)
5. *Offering the Supported Services Using a Carrier’s Own Facilities*. Beam certifies that it will offer supported services using its own facilities or a combination of its own facilities and resale of another carrier’s services.[[39]](#footnote-41) Facilities are the ETC’s “own” if the ETC has an exclusive right to use the facilities to provide the supported services or when service is provided by any affiliate within the same holding company structure.[[40]](#footnote-42) An ETC may satisfy its obligation to “offer” supported voice service (or its functional equivalent, including interconnected VoIP) through a third-party vendor but cannot simply rely on the availability of over-the-top voice options.[[41]](#footnote-43) Instead, the ETC must remain legally responsible, through a managed service solution, for ensuring that the service meets consumer protection and service quality standards.[[42]](#footnote-44)
6. *Advertising Supported Services*. Beam commits to advertising the availability of the supported services and related charges using media of general distribution.[[43]](#footnote-45) We emphasize that, as part of this commitment, Beam must advertise the availability of its services and charges in a manner reasonably designed to reach Lifeline-eligible consumers.[[44]](#footnote-46)
7. *Ability to Remain Functional in Emergency Situations*. Beam states that it can remain functional in emergency situations.[[45]](#footnote-47) Beam states that it has sufficient back-up power to ensure functionality in the designated service area without an external power source, can re-route traffic around damaged facilities, and can manage traffic spikes resulting from emergency situations. [[46]](#footnote-48)
8. *Anti-Drug Abuse Act Certification*. Beam submits a certification that satisfies the requirements of the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988, as codified in sections 1.2001-1.2003 of the Commission’s rules.[[47]](#footnote-49)

## Public Interest Analysis

1. Based on the information, representations, and certifications in the ETC Petition and consistent with the Bureau’s findings in the *214 Transfer Public Notice*, we find that Beam has demonstrated that its designation as an ETC serves the public interest and would provide numerous benefits to consumers.[[48]](#footnote-50) Long-standing Commission precedent has explained that the public interest inquiry in the ETC context is a cost-benefit analysis focusing on the petitioner’s efficiencies, the benefits of competition, the public benefits of service, and the fundamental principles of USF, all of which we find are sufficiently addressed in the 214 Transfer Application and the ETC Petition.[[49]](#footnote-51) The Bureau has determined that service to the designated service area will bring social and economic benefits in terms of accelerated access to advanced services meeting specific performance requirements.[[50]](#footnote-52) In addition, Beam will provide service and meet program requirements, subject to close oversight,[[51]](#footnote-53) default consequences, and potentially, forfeiture and other penalties;[[52]](#footnote-54) this helps ensure that Beam will meet its obligation to provide ubiquitous service throughout the study area at rates and on terms that are reasonably comparable to those associated with comparable service offerings in urban areas.
2. In conclusion, and based on the information, representations, and certifications in its 214 Transfer Application and ETC Petition, we find that Beam has met all applicable conditions and prerequisites for ETC designation and that designation serves the public interest. Accordingly, we designate Beam as an ETC for purposes of becoming eligible to receive RDOF support associated with the designated service area.

## Regulatory Oversight

1. Under section 254(e) of the Act, an ETC must use universal service support “only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended.”[[53]](#footnote-55) Under section 214(e) of the Act, an ETC must provide supported services throughout the designated service area for which it receives an ETC designation.[[54]](#footnote-56) When and if the ETC is authorized to receive high-cost support, it must file an annual certification for each state in which it receives support that all federal high-cost support received was used in the preceding calendar year and will be used in the coming calendar year only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended.[[55]](#footnote-57) The Commission conditions future support awarded through the high-cost program on the filing of such certification.[[56]](#footnote-58)
2. An ETC receiving Lifeline support uses that support as intended when it reduces the price of its qualifying voice or broadband services by the amount of the support for the eligible consumer.[[57]](#footnote-59) In addition, it must file annual reports that include, among other things, a certification of compliance with applicable minimum service standards, service quality standards, and consumer protection rules.[[58]](#footnote-60)
3. We find that reliance on Beam’s commitments to meet these and other regulatory requirements in its 214 Transfer Application and in its ETC Petition is reasonable and consistent with the public interest and the Act. We conclude that fulfillment of these additional reporting requirements will further the Commission’s goal of ensuring that Beam and will commit to satisfying its obligation under section 214(e) of the Act to provide supported services throughout its designated service area.
4. The Commission may initiate an inquiry on its own motion to examine any ETC’s records and documentation to ensure that the universal service support received is being used “only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services” in the areas for which the relevant ETC(s) have been designated.[[59]](#footnote-61) The ETC must provide such records and documentation to the Commission and USAC upon request.[[60]](#footnote-62) If Beam fails to fulfill the requirements of the Act, the Commission’s rules, or the terms of this Order after it begins receiving universal service support, the Commission has authority to revoke its ETC designation.[[61]](#footnote-63) The Commission also may assess forfeitures for violations of Commission rules and orders.[[62]](#footnote-64) We note that the Commission has recently announced a new program, the Rural Broadband Accountability Plan (RBAP), that will increase audits, verifications, and transparency for USF high-cost programs, including RDOF.[[63]](#footnote-65) With the establishment of RBAP, RDOF recipients, like other high-cost recipients, will be subject to a high level of accountability as they work to meet applicable performance requirements and benchmarks.
5. As the Bureau has previously explained, a carrier that cannot appropriately use universal service support must relinquish its ETC designation pursuant to section 214(e)(4) of the Act and section 54.205 of the Commission’s rules.[[64]](#footnote-66) For ETCs designated by the Commission, the ETCmust file a notice of relinquishment in WC Docket No. 09-197, Telecommunications Carriers Eligible for Universal Service Support, using the Commission’s Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS). The Bureau will release an order approving the relinquishment if the relinquishing ETC demonstrates that the affected area will continue to be served by at least one ETC.[[65]](#footnote-67) The ETC must then send a copy of its relinquishment notice and a copy of the relinquishment order (within one week of its release) to USAC at [hcorders@usac.org](mailto:hcorders@usac.org). A carrier that intends to discontinue service must first seek authorization to discontinue service under section 63.71 of the Commission’s rules.[[66]](#footnote-68) In addition, ETCs seeking to transfer control of their domestic authorizations to operate pursuant to section 214 of the Act or to engage in the sale of assets under section 214 (including any authorization to receive RDOF support) must first receive approval from the Commission in accordance with sections 63.03 and 63.04 of the Commission’s rules governing the procedures for domestic transfer of control/asset applications.[[67]](#footnote-69) Transfers of control and assignments of international section 214 authorizations are separately subject to section 63.24 of the Commission’s rules.[[68]](#footnote-70) Except where the Commission has forborne from the application of section 214, this requirement applies to all transfers of control or asset acquisitions involving ETCs.

# ORDERING CLAUSES

1. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to the authority contained in section 214(e)(6) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(6), and the authority delegated in sections 0.91 and 0.291 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 0.91, 0.291, R.M. GREENE, INC. AKA BEAM IS DESIGNATED an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in its designated service area.
2. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this Order SHALL BE TRANSMITTED to the Universal Service Administrative Company and to the Alabama Public Service Commission.
3. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to section 1.102(b)(1) of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR § 1.102(b)(1), this order SHALL BE EFFECTIVE upon release.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Trent B. Harkrader

Chief

Wireline Competition Bureau
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