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Centro Cristiano de Vida Eterna San Antonio

c/o Dan J. Alpert, Esq.

2120 N. 21st Rd.

Arlington, VA 22201
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1000 Potomac Street, NW
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In re**:** **NCE MX Group 196**

**Centro Cristiano de Vida Eterna San Antonio**

New NCE FM Station, Shiner, Texas

Facility ID No. 768082

Application File No. 167134

**Texas Public Radio**

New NCE FM Station, Gonzales, Texas

Facility ID No. 766260

Application File No. 166683

**Centro Cristiano de Vida Eterna San Antonio**

New NCE FM Station, Runge, Texas

Facility ID No. 768090

Application File No. 167130

Dear Counsel:

We have before us the referenced application (Application) filed by Centro Cristiano de Vida Eterna San Antonio (CCVESA), which seeks authority to construct a new noncommercial educational FM station at Shiner, Texas,[[1]](#footnote-2) and has been designated the tentative selectee for NCE MX Group 196.[[2]](#footnote-3) Also before us is a Petition to Deny (Petition) the Application filed by Texas Public Radio (TPR),[[3]](#footnote-4) and CCVESA’s response to a Letter of Inquiry sent by the Bureau.[[4]](#footnote-5) For the reasons set forth below, we grant the Petition, rescind our tentative selection of the Application, dismiss the Application, and refer NCE MX Group 196 to the Commission to conduct a point system analysis.[[5]](#footnote-6)

**Background.** CCVESA filed the Application during the November 2021 filing window for new NCE FM applications.[[6]](#footnote-7) The Bureau determined that the Application was mutually exclusive with another application filed by CCVESA,[[7]](#footnote-8) and an application filed by TPR,[[8]](#footnote-9) and designated the three applications NCE MX Group 196.[[9]](#footnote-10) The Bureau later determined that the Application was entitled to a fair distribution preference, and designated it the tentative selectee of NCE MX Group 196.[[10]](#footnote-11)

TPR timely filed the Petition. Among other things, TPR asserts that Application should be dismissed because CCVESA failed to provide a proper site assurance exhibit.[[11]](#footnote-12) TPR notes that the Application lists a tower owned by American Tower Corporation (ATC) but includes contact information for an officer of Vertical Bridge REIT, LLC, a different tower company.[[12]](#footnote-13)

To date, CCVESA has not opposed the Petition or amended the CCVESA-Shiner Application to correct the contact information provided. However, it has responded to an LOI we sent, which requested information regarding whether, at the time it filed the Application, CCVESA had reasonable assurance that the site specified in the Application was available to it.[[13]](#footnote-14)In the LOI Response, CCVESA indicated that it had not contacted ATC directly but understood that Cody Peters at ATC had been contacted.[[14]](#footnote-15) While the LOI requested “all documents … in the possession of [CCVESA] and its agents related to the availability of the proposed site,” CCVESA submitted no documentation with its LOI Response.

**Discussion.** We conclude that the CCVESA-Shiner Application is defective. As TPR notes,[[15]](#footnote-16) because the Application specified a site owned by ATC, CCVESA was required to specify the name of the person contacted about the site, the person’s telephone number, and the person’s status (*i.e.* owner, agent or authorized representative). CCVESA submitted information regarding an individual employed by an unrelated tower company.[[16]](#footnote-17) To date, CCVESA has not amended the Application to correct this error.

We also find that, based on the record before us, CCVESA lacked reasonable assurance that the site specified in the Application was available at the time it filed the Application. CCVESA has not submitted any evidence that it obtained reasonable assurance of the availability of the site specified in the Application. This site availability defect is non-curable and renders the Application subject to dismissal without an opportunity to amend.[[17]](#footnote-18) Accordingly, we grant the Petition, rescind our initial tentative selection of the Application, and dismiss the Application.

In light of this finding, we conduct a new fair distribution analysis. The remaining two applications in NCE MX Group 196 propose service to different communities in Texas. Texas Public Radio proposes to serve Gonzales. CCVESA proposes to serve Runge (CCVESA-Runge). Each applicant claims eligibility for a fair distribution preference based on first NCE service population totals.[[18]](#footnote-19) TPR claims to provide new first NCE service to 4,175 people, and CCVESA-Runge claims to provide new first NCE service to 3,724 people. The proposals are comparable because neither exceeds the other by at least 5,000 people.[[19]](#footnote-20) Therefore, we consider combined first and second NCE service population totals. TPR would provide a first or second NCE service to 16,542 people, and CCVESA-Runge would provide a first or second NCE service to 18,675 people.[[20]](#footnote-21) Because these proposals are also comparable, the fair distribution analysis ends here. Accordingly, because we are unable to designate a tentative selectee under a section 307(b) fair distribution analysis, TPR and CCVESA-Runge will proceed to a point system analysis.

The point system analysis, which is conducted when section 307(b) is not determinative, must be conducted by the Commission, as this analysis is considered a simplified “hearing” for purposes of section 155(c)(1) of the Act.[[21]](#footnote-22) Accordingly we have referred the matter to the Commission to make a determination under a point system analysis.[[22]](#footnote-23)

**Ordering Clauses.** For the reasons discussed above, **IT IS ORDERED** that the Petition to Deny (Pleading File No. 204985) filed by Texas Public Radio on December 9, 2022, **IS GRANTED**.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED**, that the tentative selection of the application of Centro Cristiano de Vida Eterna San Antonio (File No. 167134) for authority to construct a new noncommercial educational FM station at Shineer, Texas, **IS RESCINDED** and the application **IS DISMISSED***.*

Sincerely,

Albert Shuldiner

Chief, Audio Division

Media Bureau
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