**DA 23-657**

**Released: August 3, 2023**

**DOMESTIC SECTION 214 APPLICATIONS GRANTED, SUBJECT TO CONDITION,**

**FOR THE TRANSFER OF CONTROL OF**

**GERMANTOWN TELEPHONE COMPANY, INC. AND VALSTAR, INC. TO**

**ARCHTOP FIBER LLC**

**WC Docket No. 22-421**

**and**

**HANCOCK TELEPHONE COMPANY, INC. TO ARCHTOP FIBER LLC**

**WC Docket No. 23-29**

By this Public Notice, the Wireline Competition Bureau (Bureau) grants, as conditioned, two applications related to the same transferee, Archtop Fiber LLC (Archtop), pursuant to section 214 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (Act), and sections 63.03-04 of the Commission’s rules.[[1]](#footnote-3) The first application, filed by Donald C. and Madeline S. Bohnsack Irrevocable Trust I, Catherine B. Bohnsack, Christina N. Bohnsack, Corey A. Bohnsack Smith, Laurel Jurkowski, and Leslie Tompkins (collectively, the GTel Transferors), Germantown Telephone Company, Inc. (GTel), Valstar, Inc. (Valstar) (together, the GTel Licensees), and Archtop (collectively, with the GTel Transferors and GTel Licensees, the GTel Applicants), requests consent to transfer control of the GTel Licensees from the GTel Transferors to Archtop.[[2]](#footnote-4)

The second application, filed by RCW Family, LLC, the Margaret J.B. Reese Credit Shelter Trust, Suzanne B. Feehan, Maureen L. Mackin, and June B. Nolan (collectively, the Hancock Transferors), the Hancock Telephone Company, Inc. (Hancock), Hancock Long Distance, Inc. (Hancock LD, together with Hancock, the Hancock Licensees), and Archtop (collectively, with the Hancock Transferors and the Hancock Licensees, the Hancock Applicants), requests consent to transfer control of the Hancock Licensees from the Hancock Transferors to Archtop.[[3]](#footnote-5)

On February 24, 2023, the Bureau released a public notice seeking comment on the GTel Application and the Hancock Application (together, the Applications).[[4]](#footnote-6) The Bureau did not receive any comments or petitions in opposition to the Applications.

**Transferee, Archtop Fiber LLC**

Archtop, a Delaware limited liability company, does not currently provide any telecommunications services.[[5]](#footnote-7) Archtop is indirectly owned (98.7% voting and equity) by Post Road Digital Infrastructure Fund I LLC, which, in turn, is wholly-owned by Post Road Digital Infrastructure Fund I, LP (Post Road), both Delaware entities.[[6]](#footnote-8) The voting interest in Post Road is held by Post Road DIF I GP LLC (Post Road DIF GP) (50.5%), a Delaware entity, and OPTrust Infrastructure Direct N.A. VII Inc. (OP Trust Infrastructure) (49.5%), a Canadian entity.[[7]](#footnote-9) Post Road DIF GP is indirectly owned (100% voting and 80% equity) by Post Road Group Holdings LP (PRG Holdings), a Delaware entity that is ultimately owned and controlled by Michael Bogdan and Kevin Davis, both U.S. citizens.[[8]](#footnote-10)

**GTel Application (WC Docket No. 22-421)**

GTel, a New York corporation, provides service as an incumbent local exchange carrier (LEC) in the towns of Germantown, Livingston, Clermont, Taghkanic, and Gallatin, New York.[[9]](#footnote-11) GTel directly wholly owns Valstar, also a New York corporation, which provides long distance telecommunications services in the same service area.[[10]](#footnote-12)

Pursuant to the terms of the proposed transaction, Archtop will acquire all of the equity interests in GTel and, as a result, Archtop will acquire all of the equity and voting interest in the GTel Licensees.[[11]](#footnote-13)

**Hancock Application (WC Docket No. 23-29)**

 Hancock, a New York corporation, provides service as an incumbent LEC in the towns of Hancock, Fish’s Eddy, East Branch, Kelsey, and French Woods, New York, and Starlight, Lake Como, Preston Park, Lakewood, and Shehawken, Pennsylvania.[[12]](#footnote-14) Hancock LD, also a New York corporation, provides long distance telecommunications services in Hancock’s service area.[[13]](#footnote-15)

 Pursuant to the terms of the proposed transaction, Archtop will acquire all of the equity interests in Hancock and, as a result, Archtop will acquire all of the equity and voting interest in the Hancock Licensees.[[14]](#footnote-16)

**Discussion**

The Applicants request approval to consummate transactions involving companies that receive high-cost universal service support under the different support mechanisms of fixed model-based support and cost-based support (a mixed support transaction). The Commission has found that these types of transactions could result in potential harm to its goal of ensuring that limited universal service funding is distributed efficiently and effectively.[[15]](#footnote-17) When a company receiving a fixed level of support acquires or is acquired by a company receiving support based on its costs, the combined companies could, and in some instances might, have an economic incentive to shift certain shared or common costs from the model-based support company to the cost-based support company.[[16]](#footnote-18) If cost shifting were to occur, the combined company, post-transaction, could obtain more high cost universal service support than the two companies did as separate entities, not because of any new investment, expense, or buildout, but rather solely because of the application of accounting procedures.[[17]](#footnote-19) Such an outcome is inconsistent with the Commission’s general expectation that transactions generate efficiencies that reduce the combined company’s costs.[[18]](#footnote-20) Moreover, providing additional universal service support to a company as a result of cost shifting solely because it acquired or merged with another company is not an efficient use of limited universal service resources.[[19]](#footnote-21)

In the *Hargray/ComSouth Order*, in which the Commission approved a mixed support transaction, it sought to prevent cost shifting and to protect the finite resources of the high-cost universal service fund by imposing a limited condition that capped high-cost universal service support based on the operating expenses of the entity receiving cost-based support.[[20]](#footnote-22) The Commission also directed the Bureau to impose the same limited condition on future transactions between parties receiving different types of high-cost universal service support.[[21]](#footnote-23)

The proposed transactions in the Applications present several layers of mixed support covered by the *Hargray/ComSouth Order.* Because Archtop’s subsidiary, DTC, receives fixed A-CAM model support, while DTC’s subsidiary, Lavaca, receives cost-based CAF-BLS/HCLS support, these entities are already subject to the *Hargray/ComSouth Order*.[[22]](#footnote-24) In addition, because Hancock receives fixed A-CAM II support, the merger of Hancock with Lavaca would create another instance of a mixed-merger.[[23]](#footnote-25) Moreover, GTel receives cost based CAF-BLS/HCLS support while Valstar receives fixed Auction 903 support, therefore, the merger of GTel/Valstar with Archtop’s affiliates, DTC and Lavaca, would also create a mixed merger scenario.[[24]](#footnote-26) Finally, a mixed-merger would result from the merger of Hancock with GTel.[[25]](#footnote-27)

Accordingly, to mitigate the potential for cost shifting, we grant the Applications subject to the condition adopted in the *Hargray/ComSouth Order*.[[26]](#footnote-28) The combined operating expenses of each post-consummation company’s rate-of-return affiliates receiving cost-based support[[27]](#footnote-29) shall be capped at the averaged combined operating expenses of the three calendar years preceding the transactions’ closing date for which the operating expense data are available.[[28]](#footnote-30)

The cap will apply to cost recovery under both HCLS and CAF-BLS and will be applied proportionately to each affiliate’s accounts used to determine the affiliate’s eligible operating expenses for HCLS and CAF-BLS.[[29]](#footnote-31) For example, if the cap requires that a post-consummation company’s eligible operating expenses be reduced by 10%, then each account used to determine each rate-of-return affiliate’s eligible operating expenses shall be reduced by 10%.[[30]](#footnote-32) For purposes of this cap, operating expenses shall include maintenance, network support/network operations/general, benefits, rent expenses, and corporate operations, while depreciation, return on investment, and taxes shall be excluded.[[31]](#footnote-33)

For all covered entities, the new cap shall also include an annual adjustment for inflation based on the Gross Domestic Product-Channel Price Index (GDP-CPI) for the years in which the new cap remains in effect.[[32]](#footnote-34) This cap shall remain in effect for seven years from the consummation of the transactions.[[33]](#footnote-35) The condition will also sunset if all of a post-consummation company’s rate-of-return affiliates become model-based support companies at any point during the seven-year period.[[34]](#footnote-36)

**National Security, Law Enforcement, Foreign Policy and Trade Policy Concerns**

When analyzing a transfer of control or assignment application that includes foreign investment, we also consider public interest issues related to national security, law enforcement, foreign policy, or trade policy concerns.[[35]](#footnote-37) As part of the public interest analysis, the Commission coordinates with the relevant Executive Branch agencies that have expertise in these particular issues.[[36]](#footnote-38) The Commission accords deference to the expertise of these Executive Branch agencies in identifying issues related to national security, law enforcement, foreign policy, or trade policy concerns raised by the relevant Executive Branch agencies.[[37]](#footnote-39) The Commission, however, ultimately makes an independent decision on such applications based on the record in the proceedings.[[38]](#footnote-40)

Pursuant to Commission practice, the Applications, and their corresponding international transfer of control applications, ITC-T/C 20221202-00143 and ITC-T/C 20230113-00002, were referred to the relevant Executive Branch agencies for their review of any national security, law enforcement, foreign policy, or trade policy concerns related to the foreign ownership of the Applicants.[[39]](#footnote-41) On March 1, 2023, the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), on behalf of the Committee for the Assessment of Foreign Participation in the United States Telecommunications Services Sector (Committee), filed a notification with the Commission that the Committee was reviewing the Applications for any national security and law enforcement concerns that may be raised by foreign participation in the United States telecommunications services sector and requested that the Commission defer action on the Applications.[[40]](#footnote-42) We deferred action in response to these requests from the Committee. On April 26, 2023, DOJ notified the Commission that the Committee was “conducting [a 120-day] initial review to assess whether granting the Applications will pose a risk to national security or law enforcement interests of the United States.”[[41]](#footnote-43)

On August 1, 2023, the National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA) informed the Commission that “the Committee has reviewed the [Applications] and has no recommendation at this time to the Commission approving the [Applications] and no objection to the Commission granting it” and that the Committee “reserves the right to review any resulting authorization in the future to identify any additional or new risks to U.S. national security or law enforcement interests.”[[42]](#footnote-44)

**Grant of Application, Subject to Condition**

We find, upon consideration of the record, that grant of the Applications, listed above, subject to compliance with the condition, will serve the public interest, convenience, and necessity.[[43]](#footnote-45) Therefore, pursuant to section 214 of the Act, 47 U.S.C. § 214, and sections 0.91, 0.291, 63.03, and 63.04 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 0.91, 0.291, 63.03, and 63.04, the Bureau hereby grants the Applications discussed in this Public Notice subject to compliance with the condition described above.[[44]](#footnote-46)

Pursuant to section 1.103 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR § 1.103, the grant is effective upon release of this Public Notice. Petitions for reconsideration under section 1.106 or applications for review under section 1.115 of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR §§ 1.106, 1.115, may be filed within 30 days of the date of this Public Notice.

For further information, please contact Gregory Kwan, Wireline Competition Bureau, Competition Policy Division, (202) 418-1191.

**-FCC-**
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