**DA 23-76**

**Released: January 27, 2023**

**WIRELINE COMPETITION BUREAU releases Results of TESTS REQUIRED BY SECTIONS 61.50(j) AND 69.803(c) OF the cOMMISSION’S RULES**

**WC Docket Nos. 21-17 and 17-144**

By this Public Notice, the Wireline Competition Bureau (Bureau) complies with the obligations imposed by the Commission’s rules and publicly releases the updated results of the tests the Bureau is required to perform every three years, pursuant to sections 61.50(j) and 69.803(c) of the Commission’s rules.[[1]](#footnote-3)These results are based on the Commission’s most recent, publicly-available, Form 477 data and further supplement the previous lists the Bureau has released in compliance with the above-referenced rules. The results of the Bureau’s analysis are attached to this Public Notice as Appendices A and B. The second supplemental lists, as well as the previous lists from 2017, 2018 and 2020, are available at: <https://www.fcc.gov/general/bds-competitive-and-noncompetitive-lists>.[[2]](#footnote-4)

On May 15, 2017, the Bureau announced the public release of a list of counties served in part or in whole by price cap carriers (price-cap counties) that were deemed competitive, non-competitive, or grandfathered pursuant to the initial competitive market test adopted in the *Price Cap BDS Order*.[[3]](#footnote-5) The initial price-cap test results were based on data from the 2015 special access data collection and Form 477 data, as of December 31, 2016.[[4]](#footnote-6)

On October 25, 2018, the Bureau released a list of study areas served by rate-of-return carriers (rate-of-return study areas) receiving fixed universal service support that were deemed competitive pursuant to the competitive market test adopted in the *Rate-of-Return BDS Order*.[[5]](#footnote-7) The initial rate-of-return test results were based on Form 477 data, as of June 30, 2017.[[6]](#footnote-8) Rate-of-return carriers electing incentive regulation for their business data service (BDS) offerings serving competitive study areas and price cap carriers serving competitive counties were granted relief from ex ante pricing regulation and tariffing obligations for time division multiplexing (TDM)-based end-user channel terminations with capacity at or below a DS3.[[7]](#footnote-9)

The Commission directed the Bureau to update the results of both tests every three years, based on the most recent Form 477 broadband availability data submitted by cable operators.[[8]](#footnote-10) For the price-cap update, the Commission directed the Bureau to use a modified version of the initial price-cap test based on updated Form 477 data. Under the subsequent price-cap test, a county is deemed competitive if a cable operator reports that 75% of the census blocks within the county have broadband availability.[[9]](#footnote-11) The Commission also directed the Bureau to re-run the rate-of-return test using updated Form 477 data.[[10]](#footnote-12) Under the rate-of-return test, a study area is deemed competitive if 75% of the census blocks within the study area are served by a cable operator offering a minimum of 10 Mbps downstream/1 Mbps upstream broadband service.[[11]](#footnote-13)

The Commission directed the Bureau to update the price-cap and rate-of-return test results every three years, following the 2020 price cap test, using Form 477 data as of the date of the most recent collection.[[12]](#footnote-14) The Bureau now updates the results of both tests using Form 477 data reflecting the availability of broadband service from cable operators as of December 31, 2021.[[13]](#footnote-15) Based on these data, the Bureau, in coordination with the Office of Economics and Analytics, tested price-cap counties that were previously deemed to be non-competitive and retested rate-of-return study areas receiving fixed universal service support that were previously deemed not to be competitive.[[14]](#footnote-16) The Bureau found that 15 price-cap counties that were previously deemed non-competitive now meet the competitive threshold established by the Commission’s rules. The price cap-served areas within those counties are therefore deemed competitive, effective immediately.[[15]](#footnote-17) The Bureau also found that five additional rate-of-return study areas receiving fixed universal service support meet the applicable threshold and are therefore deemed competitive, also effective immediately.[[16]](#footnote-18)

The lists of price-cap counties and rate-of-return study areas newly deemed competitive pursuant to the updated test results are attached as Appendices A and B, respectively. Parties wishing to challenge these updated test results may file a petition for reconsideration with the Bureau or an application for review with the Commission.[[17]](#footnote-19) Pending any challenges to these results, the newly deemed-competitive price-cap counties and rate-of-return study areas will not be retested in subsequent three-year updates.[[18]](#footnote-20) These lists supplement the lists of price-cap counties and rate-of-return study areas deemed competitive pursuant to the initial and first supplemental competitive market tests which are also available on the Commission’s website.[[19]](#footnote-21)

For further information, please contact Erik Raven-Hansen, Pricing Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau, at (202) 418-1532 or via email at [Erik.Raven-Hansen@fcc.gov](mailto:Erik.Raven-Hansen@fcc.gov).

**– FCC –**

**APPENDIX A**

**Price Cap Counties Deemed Newly Competitive Effective January 27, 2023**

**FIPS State County or County-Equivalent**

09011 CT New London County

12061 FL Indian River County

13105 GA Elbert County

21173 KY Montgomery County

22007 LA Assumption Parish

24001 MD Allegany County

24013 MD Carroll County

37011 NC Avery County

40033 OK Cotton County

42051 PA Fayette County

42103 PA Pike County

42107 PA Schuylkill County

51595 VA Emporia city

51730 VA Petersburg city

54029 WV Hancock County

**APPENDIX B**

**Rate-of-Return Study Areas Deemed Newly Competitive Effective January 27, 2023**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **SAC** | **State** | **Holding Company Name** | **Fund Type** |
| 110737 | MA | X5 RTC LLC | ACAM I |
| 170145 | PA | BENTLEYVILLE TEL CO | ACAM I |
| 220354 | GA | CHICKAMAUGA TEL CORP | ACAM I |
| 300604 | OH | COLUMBUS GROVE TEL | ACAM I |
| 300649 | OH | ORWELL TEL CO | ACAM I |
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