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By the Chief, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau:

# Introduction

1. In this Memorandum Opinion and Order, the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau (WTB or Bureau) denies Mongoose Works, Ltd.’s (Mongoose) appeal[[1]](#footnote-3) of the 3.7-4.2 GHz band (C-band) Relocation Payment Clearinghouse’s (RPC) decision which adjusted downward part of Mongoose’s reimbursement claim based upon its August 12, 2020 lump sum election.[[2]](#footnote-4) We find that Mongoose failed to meet its burden of proof to demonstrate that the RPC made an incorrect determination in its June 1, 2022 decisional memorandum[[3]](#footnote-5) (RPC Decisional Memorandum) regarding Mongoose’s reimbursement claim. Based on the record before the Bureau, we separately and independently find that the RPC both correctly interpreted relevant Commission rules, guidance, and policies and applied them to the reimbursement claim in question. We further find that Mongoose’s procedural arguments are unavailing.

# background

1. *3.7-4.2 GHz Proceeding*. In the *3.7 GHz Report and Order*, the Commission adopted rules to make 280 megahertz of mid-band spectrum available for flexible use throughout the contiguous United States by transitioning existing services out of the lower portion of the band and into the upper 200 megahertz of the C-band.[[4]](#footnote-6) The Commission required new 3.7 GHz Service licensees to reimburse the reasonable relocation costs of eligible Fixed Satellite Service (FSS) space station operators, incumbent FSS earth station operators, and incumbent Fixed Service licensees (collectively, incumbents) to transition out of the band.[[5]](#footnote-7) The *3.7 GHz Report and Order* also specified that incumbent FSS earth station operators may accept either: (1) reimbursement for their actual, reasonable relocation costs; or (2) a lump sum reimbursement “based on the average, estimated costs of relocating all of their incumbent earth stations” to the upper 200 megahertz of the C-band.[[6]](#footnote-8)
2. The *3.7 GHz Report and Order* further provided for the creation of an independent clearinghouse to administer, subject to the Commission’s rules and oversight, the cost-related aspects of the transition in a fair and transparent manner, “to mitigate financial disputes among stakeholders, and to collect and distribute payments in a timely manner.”[[7]](#footnote-9) To provide the clearinghouse, incumbents, and new 3.7 GHz Service licensees with a range of reasonable transition costs, the *3.7 GHz Report and Order* directed the Bureau to establish a cost catalog of the types of expenses that incumbents are likely to incur.[[8]](#footnote-10) The Commission directed the clearinghouse to presume as reasonable all actual cost reimbursement submissions that fall within the estimated range of costs in the *Final Cost Catalog Public Notice* produced by the Bureau, which also specified the lump sum amount available per incumbent earth station, as well the process for electing lump sum payments.[[9]](#footnote-11) Incumbents seeking reimbursement for their actual costs are not precluded from including costs that exceed the amounts in the *Final Cost Catalog Public Notice*, so long as those costs are reasonably necessary to the transition, and incumbents provide justification to the clearinghouse.[[10]](#footnote-12) By contrast, incumbent FSS earth station operators opting to receive a lump sum amount were required to make an irrevocable election and accompanying certification with the Commission by September 14, 2020[[11]](#footnote-13) with the proviso that the information contained in such election would be “subject to verification as part of the [c]learinghouse’s role to prevent waste, fraud, and abuse” through its claims review process.[[12]](#footnote-14)
3. All reimbursement claims – whether for actual costs or lump sum amounts – must be submitted to the clearinghouse for review pursuant to section 27.1416 of the Commission’s rules.[[13]](#footnote-15) The clearinghouse “will determine in the first instance whether costs submitted for reimbursement are reasonable” and whether they comply with the requirements adopted in the *3.7 GHz Report and Order*.[[14]](#footnote-16) To the extent a claimant or one or more responsible 3.7 GHz Service licensees wish to dispute the clearinghouse’s determination with respect to a submitted claim, they must file a notice of objection as required by section 27.1421(a) of the Commission’s rules.[[15]](#footnote-17) The clearinghouse may in the first instance mediate any disputes or refer the disputant parties to alternative dispute resolution fora.[[16]](#footnote-18) Subsequent appeals to the Bureau may be submitted pursuant to the procedures set forth in the *RPC* *Appeals Procedures Public Notice*.[[17]](#footnote-19)
4. *Mongoose’s Lump Sum Election and RPC Claim*. Mongoose filed a lump sum election with the Commission on August 12, 2020[[18]](#footnote-20) for eight incumbent earth station antennas, and on August 16, 2021, filed a claim with the RPC seeking $356,052 as its lump sum payment.[[19]](#footnote-21) Specifically, Mongoose requested a lump sum payment for six Small Multi-beam (2-4 beams) Earth Station Antennas (SMBEAs) at $42,062 each and two Large Multi-beam (5+ beams) Earth Station Antennas (LMBEAs) at $51,840 each.[[20]](#footnote-22) After review of Mongoose’s claim and supplemental information provided by the claimant, the RPC Decisional Memorandum was issued on June 1, 2022, fully approving Mongoose’s claim for six SMBEAs.[[21]](#footnote-23) The RPC classified the two remaining antennas as Receive-Only ES Multi-feed Antennas rather than LMBEAs and adjusted Mongoose’s claim amount for those antennas from $103,680 down to $33,994.[[22]](#footnote-24) Mongoose filed a notice of objection with the RPC for the downward adjusted portion of its claim on June 25, 2022, seeking restoration of the full amount.[[23]](#footnote-25) On July 7, 2022, Mongoose received payment for the undisputed amount of $286,366.[[24]](#footnote-26)
5. *Mongoose Appeal.* Mongoose filed a single-party appeal on July 31, 2022, asking the Bureau to order the RPC to restore the disallowed amount of $69,686.[[25]](#footnote-27) Mongoose believes that the two antennas at issue (IDs 2.6A and 2.6B) should be classified as LMBEAs instead of Receive-Only ES Multi-feed Antennas.[[26]](#footnote-28) In support of its claim, Mongoose argues that the *Final Cost Catalog Public Notice* did not specify that only C-band feeds should be counted in assessing whether or not an antenna should be classified as a LMBEA, and contends that only one feed per antenna must receive C-band signals for the antenna to qualify for a particular lump sum category.[[27]](#footnote-29) Mongoose asserts that there are two potential approaches for determining the appropriate lump sum categories for its earth station antennas and, under either approach, it is entitled to the full requested reimbursement amount because the two antennas at issue would be classified as LMBEAs.[[28]](#footnote-30) Under its “Innate Antenna Approach” Mongoose claims that to be classified as a LMBEA an antenna need only have an innate ability to receive five or more feeds, at least one of which is receiving in the C-band, even if the antenna is not configured to have the active capability of receiving more than one C-band signal.[[29]](#footnote-31) Alternatively, under its “Beam Counting Approach,” Mongoose asserts that all types of feeds mounted on an antenna must be counted towards the antenna classification regardless of whether those feeds receive C-band signals.[[30]](#footnote-32)
6. To the extent the Bureau finds neither of these approaches valid, Mongoose requests in the alternative a ruling that it is entitled to set its own “benchmark date” as the date on which the status of its antennas should be evaluated.[[31]](#footnote-33) While Mongoose disputes use of this concept in the RPC Decisional Memorandum, and notes that the Commission did not itself establish a benchmark date, Mongoose contends in any event that April 19, 2018 (the date for determining incumbent earth station status for the C-band transition) is the appropriate date, rather than August 12, 2020 (the date of Mongoose’s lump sum election as used in the RPC Decisional Memorandum).[[32]](#footnote-34)
7. *RPC Response*. The RPC filed its response, including a copy of the RPC Decisional Memorandum, on August 22, 2022,[[33]](#footnote-35) stating that there is no factual dispute between the parties that the two relevant antennas each had only one C-band feed, and as such, Mongoose is not eligible for the lump sum payment for LMBEAs.[[34]](#footnote-36) The RPC specifically rebuts Mongoose’s “Innate Antenna Approach,” stating that it is not enough that the antennas *could* be modified to add more C-Band feeds as reimbursing “innate abilities” would have an “indeterminate impact on both the length and costs of the C-band relocation program.”[[35]](#footnote-37) The RPC further rejects Mongoose’s “Beam Counting Approach” by noting that non-C-band feeds “are not directly used to transition incumbent earth stations to the upper 200 MHz of the C-Band,” and the Commission made clear that lump sum payments are limited to C-band equipment and services.[[36]](#footnote-38) The RPC also asserts that it properly selected a “benchmark date” corresponding to the date of Mongoose’s lump sum election.[[37]](#footnote-39) Finally, the RPC notes that when Mongoose chose to file an irrevocable lump sum election, it assumed the risk that the resulting payment might not cover all relocation costs, and it is therefore irrelevant whether Ku-band equipment is reimbursable.[[38]](#footnote-40)
8. *Further Responsive Pleadings.* Mongoose filed its reply on August 29, 2022, reiterating the primary points raised in its appeal and rebutting the RPC’s response.[[39]](#footnote-41) The RPC supplemented its response with an attestation on September 2, 2022.[[40]](#footnote-42)

# Discussion

1. At the outset, we reiterate that the Commission designated an independent clearinghouse to manage, pursuant to Commission rules and oversight, the cost-related aspects of the C-band transition and charged it with conducting the initial review and processing of cost reimbursement claims. This remit affords the RPC with flexibility to request information from claimants and to assess the unique facts and circumstances associated with each claim before it, including the verification of any information contained in an underlying lump sum election. The RPC remains subject to both the Commission’s rules and oversight, and eligible parties may dispute the RPC’s decisions and, subject to certain procedural requirements, appeal such decisions to the Bureau.
2. Here, we find that Mongoose satisfied the necessary procedural requirements by timely filing both a notice of objection with the RPC and a single-party dispute appeal with the Bureau.[[41]](#footnote-43) In the *RPC* *Appeals Procedures Public Notice*, the Bureau established that the burden of proof for a single-party dispute appeal lies with the appealing party to demonstrate that the RPC decision was incorrect.[[42]](#footnote-44) As further discussed below, we deny Mongoose’s appeal because it has not met its burden of proof in demonstrating that the RPC erred in its classification of, and reimbursement for, the two antennas at issue. Based on the record before the Bureau, we separately and independently find that the RPC both correctly interpreted the relevant Commission rules, guidance, and policies and applied them to the antennas in question. Thus, Mongoose’s appeal is without merit. We further find that Mongoose’s procedural arguments are unavailing.

## Mongoose Has Failed to Demonstrate that the RPC Decision is in Error

1. The key question presented in Mongoose’s appeal is what is the correct lump sum categorization for the two earth station antennas in question—LMBEAs or Receive-Only ES Multi-feed Antennas. Both Mongoose and the RPC agree that the antennas in question have one C-band feed each and multiple Ku-band feeds.[[43]](#footnote-45) While Mongoose’s lump sum election claimed these antennas as LMBEAs,[[44]](#footnote-46) upon review of Mongoose’s reimbursement claim, lump sum election, and additional supporting materials provided by the claimant, the RPC determined as part of its claims review and lump sum verification process that the latter category was the correct one.[[45]](#footnote-47) In making this determination, the RPC stated that it “considers C-band feeds receiving signals from C-band orbital slots for the purpose of determining which antenna category from the Cost Catalog applies to a claim” and it “does not consider equipment that is not directly used to transition incumbent earth stations to the upper 200 MHz of the C-band.”[[46]](#footnote-48) In support of its view, the RPC cited multiple instances of Commission guidance that reimbursement should only be sought for reasonable costs necessary to effectuate the C-band transition.[[47]](#footnote-49)
2. In its appeal, Mongoose challenges the RPC’s determination by suggesting two alternative rationales, neither of which is supported by Commission rules, guidance, or policies. First, Mongoose asserts that pursuant to its “Innate Antenna Approach,” the appropriate test for whether the antennas at issue should be classified as LMBEAs is whether they have the ability to receive five feeds or more, at least one of which is in the C-band, even if the antenna was not configured to have the active ability to receive more than one C-band signal.[[48]](#footnote-50) We disagree. Nothing in the Commission’s rules or guidance supports the position that the fact that the antennas at issue *could* be modified to add more C-band feeds justifies classification as LMBEAs, and in fact such position would directly conflict with the Commission’s stated goal of tailoring reimbursements to those reasonable costs necessary to transition *existing* C-band operations.[[49]](#footnote-51) Mongoose’s proposed interpretation of the Commission’s rules and guidance would also substantially increase the costs associated with the C-band transition, and runs counter to the Commission’s stated intent to avoid gold plating in the reimbursement process.[[50]](#footnote-52) As an alternative, Mongoose argues that the antennas at issue could be categorized as LMBEAs utilizing a “Beam Counting Approach” whereby every type of feed mounted on an antenna would be counted regardless of whether they receive C-band signals.[[51]](#footnote-53) This approach likewise conflicts with the Commission’s goals for this transition as it would allow for reimbursement of relocation costs associated *not only* with C-band equipment and services *but also* Ku-band equipment and services—the latter of which are not necessary for the transition of existing operations out of the lower 300 megahertz of the C-band.[[52]](#footnote-54) These alternative rationales leading to Mongoose’s preferred outcome—which are unsupported and run counter to the Commission’s rules, guidance, and stated policy goals for the C-band transition—represent insufficient grounds to demonstrate error in the RPC Decisional Memorandum.[[53]](#footnote-55)
3. In its Reply, Mongoose further suggests in support of its “Innate Antenna Approach” that the RPC’s determination was faulty in misunderstanding the unique configuration of Mongoose’s antennas that allows Mongoose to add, move, or remove a feed’s orbital slot in a short period of time.[[54]](#footnote-56) Mongoose specifies that it “has configured each [antenna] to simultaneously receive up to seven C-band orbital slots, thirteen Ku-band orbital slots, and a wide variety of combinations of the bands.”[[55]](#footnote-57) Based on the record before the Bureau, we find these assertions to be both unsupported and irrelevant as both Mongoose and the RPC are in agreement on the specific configuration of the antennas at the time of Mongoose’s lump sum election, which only included one C-band feed on each antenna.[[56]](#footnote-58) To the extent that Mongoose believed that unique considerations existed involving its incumbent earth stations antennas that might impact its eventual reimbursement claim, it had the option to pursue reimbursement of its actual costs in lieu of a lump sum amount.[[57]](#footnote-59) The Commission repeatedly cautioned incumbent earth station operators that electing for lump sum payments was an irrevocable choice, and that if chosen, the incumbent “takes on the risk that the lump sum will be insufficient to cover all its relocation costs.”[[58]](#footnote-60) The Commission also reminded incumbents that if their transitions are more complex or the “average, estimated costs” are not reflective of their particular transition, the incumbent could chooseto seek reimbursement for their actual relocation costs rather than electing the lump sum.[[59]](#footnote-61) Mongoose thus had the choice to determine the best way to seek reimbursement for its C-band transition costs, a point it concedes in its appeal.[[60]](#footnote-62) Mongoose opted to make an irrevocable lump sum election, which is subject to validation by the RPC, and in doing so it assumed the risk that some or all of its potential transition costs may not be covered by the reimbursement claim amount approved by the RPC. In sum, Mongoose has neither demonstrated a rationale nor a factual error in the RPC Decisional Memorandum, and thus failed to meet the applicable burden of proof standard in its appeal.

## The RPC Properly Classified Mongoose’s Antennas Based on Commission Guidance

1. We separately and independently find that the RPC acted in accordance with Commission rules, guidance, and policies in the RPC Decisional Memorandum with respect to Mongoose’s claim for the two antennas in question. Specifically, the RPC correctly interpreted Commission statements evidencing its intent that reimbursements be limited to those reasonable costs necessary to transition existing C-band operations.[[61]](#footnote-63) In an effort to combat fraud, waste, and abuse, the Commission “defined clearly the migration in this context as the costs of transitioning C-band services to the upper 200 megahertz of the band (e.g., reporting, retuning, and replacing antennas, and installing filters and compression hardware).”[[62]](#footnote-64) Further, the *Final Cost Catalog Public Notice* specified that “lump sum payment amounts are based on the average, estimated costs of transitioning incumbent earth stations to the upper 200 megahertz of the C-band.”[[63]](#footnote-65) This rationale necessarily extends to selection and verification of the appropriate lump sum category for specific incumbent earth station equipment, otherwise it would lead to unintended results by effectively allowing reimbursement for non-C-band equipment, such as that used by Mongoose to receive Ku-band services. We therefore find that the RPC correctly interpreted this precedent by only considering the active C-band feeds on the two antennas in question for lump sum classification purposes, and categorizing them as Receive-Only ES Multi-feed Antennas.
2. We further find that use of a “benchmark date” in the RPC Decisional Memorandum was an appropriate best practice to prevent waste, fraud, and abuse as part of its claims review and lump sum verification process.[[64]](#footnote-66) Specifically, the RPC utilized as the reference point for its review of Mongoose’s reimbursement claim and lump sum election the date when the lump sum election was submitted to the Commission—August 12, 2020—at which time Mongoose certified that its election was true and accurate.[[65]](#footnote-67) As part of its review of lump sum elections and related reimbursement claims, the RPC was charged with verifying that Mongoose’s certification was true and accurate, that its lump sum election complied with all of the Commission requirements at the time it was submitted to the Commission, and that the corresponding reimbursement claim for such equipment was reasonable and necessary to the C-band transition.[[66]](#footnote-68)
3. Mongoose contends that the RPC made an arbitrary, *ex post facto* decision beyond its authority by establishing a benchmark date, suggesting that the RPC invented this concept because it interpreted the *Final Cost Catalog Public Notice* to require that an incumbent earth station antenna’s lump sum category be determined by its active feed configuration on a particular date.[[67]](#footnote-69) In the alternative, Mongoose proposes that April 19, 2018 (the date the Commission set for determining incumbent earth station status for the C-band transition) is the more appropriate benchmark date.[[68]](#footnote-70) We disagree and find Mongoose’s attempt to point to past configurations of its equipment, which it suggests incorporated multiple C-band feeds, as both unpersuasive and irrelevant, and in no way demonstrates an error in the RPC Decisional Memorandum. The configuration of Mongoose’s equipment on the date of its lump sum election is not in dispute, and Mongoose certified to this point both in terms of the accuracy of its election and the truthfulness of the information contained in its appeal.[[69]](#footnote-71) As such, we find that the RPC’s use of Mongoose’s lump sum election date as a benchmark was a reasonable and appropriate best practice in verifying Mongoose’s lump sum election and processing the related reimbursement claim, as part of the RPC’s designated role and remit to prevent waste, fraud, and abuse in the C-band transition reimbursement process.[[70]](#footnote-72)

## Mongoose’s Procedural Issues are Unavailing

1. *Pre-decisional Communications.* Mongoose points to pre-decisional communications between itself and the RPC to suggest confusion and error in the RPC’s decision making processes.[[71]](#footnote-73) We find that any pre-decisional communications between the RPC and Mongoose, which are an expected part of the claims review process,[[72]](#footnote-74) are ultimately not dispositive as to the resolution of Mongoose’s reimbursement claim. We further find that the RPC Decisional Memorandum reflects the RPC’s formal determination in this matter. In any event, for the reasons articulated above, Mongoose has failed to demonstrate that the RPC Decisional Memorandum was in error.
2. *Attestation.* In its reply, Mongoose points out that the RPC failed to adhere to the *RPC* *Appeals Procedures Public Notice* when the RPC submitted its response without an attestation.[[73]](#footnote-75) We find that the RPC did not act in bad faith and promptly cured what Mongoose itself acknowledges was likely an “unintentional[] omi[ssion]”[[74]](#footnote-76) by filing a supplement to the RPC’s response.[[75]](#footnote-77)

# ordering clauses

1. ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED, that, pursuant to sections 1, 4(i), 4(j), 5, and 303(r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151, 154(i), 154(j), 155, 303(r), and the authority delegated pursuant to sections 0.131 and 0.331 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 0.131, 0.331, and the *3.7 GHz Report and Order*, the Appeal submitted by Mongoose Works, Ltd. is DENIED.
2. This Memorandum Opinion and Order is effective upon release.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Joel Taubenblatt

Chief, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
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