**DA 23-87**

**Released: January 30, 2023**

**GRANT OF DOMESTIC AND INTERNATIONAL SECTION 214 APPLICATIONS**

**FOR THE TRANSFER OF CONTROL OF**

**Everstream Solutions LLC, AND ITS AFFILIATES**

**TO DB Puma GIF II GP HoldCo, LLC**

**WC Docket No. 22-283**

**ITC-T/C-20220725-00086**

**ITC-T/C-20220725-00087  
ITC-T/C-20220725-00088**

**SECTION 310(b)(4) PETITION FOR DECLARATORY RULING GRANTED**

**IB File No.** **ISP-PDR-20220725-00007**

By this Public Notice, the Wireline Competition Bureau (WCB) and the International Bureau (IB) grant, as conditioned below, applications and a petition for declaratory ruling regarding the transfer of control of Everstream Solutions LLC (Everstream Solutions) and its affiliates from AMP Capital Investors International Holdings Ltd. (AMP Holdings) to DB Puma GIF II GP HoldCo, LLC (DB Puma) (the Transaction).

WCB grants an Application filed by AMP Holdings (Transferor), Everstream Solutions, Everstream GLC Holding Company LLC (Everstream GLC), HRS Internet LLC (HRS Internet), Lynx Network Group, Inc. (Lynx), and DB Puma (Transferee) (collectively, Applicants), pursuant to section 214 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (Act), and sections 63.03-04 of the Commission’s rules,[[1]](#footnote-3) requesting consent to transfer control of Everstream Solutions, Everstream GLC, HRS Internet, and Lynx (the Licensees) from AMP Holdings to DB Puma.[[2]](#footnote-4)

IB grants three applications for transfer of control of international section 214 authorizations (International 214 Applications) held by Everstream Solutions,[[3]](#footnote-5) Everstream GLC,[[4]](#footnote-6) and HRS Internet,[[5]](#footnote-7) pursuant to section 214 of the Act and sections 63.18 and 63.24 of the Commission’s rules.[[6]](#footnote-8)

In addition, IB grants a petition for declaratory ruling (Petition)[[7]](#footnote-9) filed by Everstream Solutions (Petitioner), to permit foreign equity and voting interests in Everstream Solutions’ controlling U.S. parent, Midwest Fiber Acquisition LLC (Midwest) above the 25% benchmarks in section 310(b)(4) of the Act,[[8]](#footnote-10) and section 1.5000(a)(1) of the Commission’s rules.[[9]](#footnote-11)

On December 2, 2022, WCB and IB released separate public notices seeking comment on the Application, International 214 Applications, and Petition.[[10]](#footnote-12) No comments or petitions were filed in opposition to the Application, International 214 Applications, or Petition.

**The Licensees**

The Licensees are direct and indirect subsidiaries of Midwest, a Delaware limited liability company.[[11]](#footnote-13) Midwest is an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Midwest Fiber Holdings LP, a Delaware limited partnership.[[12]](#footnote-14) The Licensees provide fiber-based voice and data services in the Midwest and Mid-Atlantic.[[13]](#footnote-15) Everstream Solutions, an Ohio limited liability company, is authorized to provide competitive telecommunications services in Delaware, Kentucky, Maryland, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia.[[14]](#footnote-16)  Everstream Solutions holds 336 common carrier fixed point-to-point microwave licenses.[[15]](#footnote-17) GLC, a Delaware limited liability company, is authorized to provide competitive telecommunications services in Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, and Wisconsin.[[16]](#footnote-18) HRS Internet, an Indiana limited liability company, is authorized to provide competitive telecommunications services in Indiana.[[17]](#footnote-19) Lynx, a Michigan corporation, is authorized to provide competitive telecommunications services in Michigan.[[18]](#footnote-20)

**The Transaction**

Midwest Fiber Holdings LP is indirectly owned by four limited partnerships organized in Luxembourg: AMP Capital Global Infrastructure Fund II A LP SCSp; AMP Capital Global Infrastructure Fund II B LP SCSp; AMP Capital Global Infrastructure Fund II C LP SCSp; and AMP Capital Global Infrastructure Fund II E LP SCSp (collectively referred to as GIF II), with a 1.5% ownership interest held by a management-owned vehicle, Midwest Fiber Management LP, a Delaware limited partnership (the Management-Owned Vehicle).[[19]](#footnote-21) AMP Capital Investors (GIF II GP) S.à.r.l., a Luxembourg company, is the general partner of Midwest Fiber Holdings LP, the Management-Owned Vehicle, and the four Luxembourg limited partnerships.[[20]](#footnote-22)

DB Puma is one of three Delaware limited liability companies that DigitalBridge Operating Company, LLC (DBOC), also a Delaware limited liability company, formed as its subsidiaries for the purposes of the proposed Transaction.[[21]](#footnote-23) DBOC is a subsidiary of DigitalBridge Group, Inc. (DigitalBridge) (92% ownership), a publicly traded Maryland corporation.[[22]](#footnote-24) DigitalBridge is affiliated with Zayo Group, LLC (Zayo), which is authorized to provide competitive telecommunications services in the District of Columbia and every state except Alaska.[[23]](#footnote-25)

Pursuant to terms of the proposed Transaction, DigitalBridge, through DBOC and DigitalBridge Investment Holdco, LLC (DBIH), a Delaware limited liability company and a wholly-owned subsidiary of DBOC, entered into an Equity Purchase Agreement (Agreement) with AMP Group Holdings Limited and AMP Holdings whereby DBOC will acquire “certain interests comprising [AMP Holdings’] global infrastructure equity investment management business.”[[24]](#footnote-26) Prior to completion of the proposed Transaction, DBIH will assign its right to acquire a 100% ownership interest in AMP Capital Investors (GIF II GP) S.à.r.l. to DB Puma, and, accordingly, pursuant to this Agreement, DB Puma will acquire from Transferor a 100% ownership interest in AMP Capital Investors (GIF II GP) S.à.r.l.[[25]](#footnote-27) Applicants state that “upon completion, [DB Puma] will have ownership and control of AMP Capital Investors (GIF II GP) S.à.r.l. and will therefore have voting control of Licensees. Following the Transaction, Licensees will remain under the indirect voting control of AMP Capital Investors (GIF II GP) S.à.r.l., the general partner of Midwest Fiber Holdings LP, the Management-Owned Vehicle, and GIF II, and will continue to be indirect, wholly-owned subsidiaries of Midwest Fiber Holdings LP which has its equity interests 100% owned by GIF II and the Management-Owned Vehicle.”[[26]](#footnote-28)

**Foreign Ownership Ruling**

In 2021, the Commission issued a foreign ownership ruling to Everstream Solutions,[[27]](#footnote-29) finding that the public interest would not be served by prohibiting direct and indirect foreign ownership of Midwest in excess of the 25% benchmarks in section 310(b)(4) of the Act.[[28]](#footnote-30) The 2021 Ruling authorized 100% aggregate indirect foreign ownership of Midwest, as the controlling U.S. parent of Everstream Solutions.[[29]](#footnote-31) It also granted specific approval for indirect foreign equity and/or voting interests in Midwest by five Luxemburg entities: (1) AMP Capital Global Infrastructure Funds II A LP (GIF II A Fund) (7.26% equity and voting) (Luxembourg), (2) AMP Capital Global Infrastructure Funds II B LP (GIF II B Fund) (45.12% equity and voting) (Luxembourg), (3) AMP Capital Global Infrastructure Funds II C LP (GIF II C Fund) (26.12% equity and voting) (Luxembourg), and (4) AMP Capital Global Infrastructure Funds II E LP (GIF II E Fund) (13.33% equity and voting) (Luxembourg) (collectively, “GIF II”); and (5) AMP Capital Investors (GIF II GP) S.à.r.l (0% equity; 100% voting) (Luxembourg).[[30]](#footnote-32) The 2021 Ruling also granted specific approval for indirect foreign equity and/or voting interests by five Australian entities: (1) AMP Capital Investors International Holdings Ltd. (0% equity; 100% voting) (Australia), (2) AMP Capital Holdings Ltd. (0% equity; 100% voting) (Australia),[[31]](#footnote-33) (3) AMP Holdings Ltd. (0% equity; 100% voting) (Australia), (4) AMP Group Holdings Ltd. (0% equity; 100% voting) (Australia), and (5) AMP Limited (0% equity; 100% voting) (Australia).[[32]](#footnote-34)

According to the 1.5004(f) letter, while preparing the Petition, Everstream Solutions uncovered an inadvertent calculation error which resulted in it no longer being in compliance with the 2021 Ruling.[[33]](#footnote-35) Specifically, Petitioner states that the equity interests held in GIF II US Aggregator LP, a Delaware limited partnership and indirect owner of Midwest, were divided among the four Luxembourg entities referred to as GIF II.[[34]](#footnote-36) But, the equity interest in GIF II US Aggregator LP should have been divided among only three of the Luxembourg entities in GIF II, and should have not included GIF II A Fund which holds a 7.36% equity interest in Midwest Fiber Intermediate US LP, a Delaware limited partner, and not in GIF II US Aggregator LP.[[35]](#footnote-37) According to the Restated Petition, the correct ownership in GIF II US Aggregator LP is: (1) GIF II B Fund (53.36% equity and voting); (2) GIF II C Fund (30.88% equity and voting); and (3) GIF II E Fund (15.76% equity and voting).[[36]](#footnote-38) As a result, the indirect foreign equity and voting interests held by each of the three Luxembourg entities in Midwest are slightly higher than approved in the 2021 Ruling: (1) GIF II B Fund - 48.69% (rather than 45.12%); (2) GIF II C Fund - 28.18% (rather than 26.12%); and (3) GIF II E Fund - 14.38% (rather than 13.33%).[[37]](#footnote-39)

According to the Restated Petition, AMP Holdings is selling its 100% ownership interest in GIF II GP to DP Puma, resulting in a change in the voting control of Everstream Solutions.[[38]](#footnote-40) As a result, Everstream Solutions will continue to be an indirectly, wholly-owned subsidiary of Midwest and Midwest Fiber Holdings LP, which will remain indirectly owned by GIF II, the four limited partnerships organized in Luxembourg, with a 1.5% ownership interest held by Midwest Fiber Management LP. Petitioner states that as a result of the Transaction, the previously approved Australian entities will transfer their interests to DigitalBridge Group, Inc. (DigitalBridge), a U.S. corporation, through four U.S. subsidiaries formed in Delaware: DB Puma GIF II GP HoldCo, LLC, DB Puma GP Holdings, LLC, DB Puma Master Holdco, LLC, and DigitalBridge Operating Company, LLC.[[39]](#footnote-41) Petitioner states that DigitalBridge has only a single 5% or greater non-U.S. investor, Wafra Strategic Holdings LP (Wafra).[[40]](#footnote-42) Wafra is beneficially owned by the Public Institution for Social Security (Public Institution), which is an autonomous agency controlled by the State of Kuwait.[[41]](#footnote-43)

Petitioner requests approval of up to an aggregate 100% indirect foreign equity and voting interest in Everstream Solutions' controlling U.S. parent, Midwest.[[42]](#footnote-44) Pursuant to section 1.5001(i) of the Commission’s rules, Petitioner requests that the Commission specifically approve foreign equity and/or voting interests that, upon completion of the Transaction, would be indirectly held in Midwest by foreign-organized entities as follows:[[43]](#footnote-45)

AMP Capital Global Infrastructure Fund II A LP SCSp (7.25% equity and 7.25% voting) (Luxemburg);

AMP Capital Global Infrastructure Fund II B LP SCSp (48.69% equity and 48.69% voting) (Luxemburg);

AMP Capital Global Infrastructure Fund II C LP SCSp (28.18% equity and 28.18% voting) (Luxemburg);

AMP Capital Global Infrastructure Fund II E LP SCSp (14.38% equity and 14.38% voting) (Luxemburg); and

AMP Capital Investors (GIF II GP) S.à.r.l (100% voting and 0% equity) (Luxemburg).

Petitioner contends that Wafra and Public Institution should be treated as institutional investors under section 1.5001(i)(3)(ii)(A) of the Commission’s rules, and therefore, should not require specific approval.[[44]](#footnote-46) According to the Restated Petition, Wafra indirectly holds 8.5% voting interest and 0.22% equity interest into Midwest, and Public Institution also indirectly holds 8.5% voting and 0.22% equity interest into Midwest.[[45]](#footnote-47)

Pursuant to section 310(b)(4) of the Act, the Commission requires common carrier licensees to identify and request specific approval for any foreign individual, entity, or group of foreign individuals or entities that holds or would hold directly, or indirectly though one or more intervening U.S. or foreign organized entities, more than 5% of the U.S. parent’s total outstanding voting or equity interest, or a controlling interest in the U.S. parent.[[46]](#footnote-48) In certain limited circumstances, the Commission requires specific approval of a foreign individual’s or entity’s non-controlling interest only when it would exceed, directly or indirectly, 10% of the equity and/or voting interest in a U.S. parent.[[47]](#footnote-49) With respect to the exemption, Section 1.5001(i)(3)(ii)(A) of the Commission’s rules states that the Commission will presume such criterion is satisfied “[w]here the petitioning applicant or licensee, controlling U.S. parent, or entity holding a direct or indirect equity and/or voting interest in the applicant/licensee or U.S. parent is a ‘public company’ as defined in § 1.5000(d)(9), provided that the foreign holder is an institutional investor that is eligible to report its beneficial ownership interests in the company’s voting, equity securities in excess of 5 percent (not to exceed 10 percent) pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13d-1(b), 17 CFR 240.13d-1(b), or a substantially comparable foreign law or regulation.”[[48]](#footnote-50)

Petitioner provided information and materials regarding DigitalBridge, a publicly traded company,[[49]](#footnote-51) in support of the argument that the investments of Wafra and Public Institution should be considered exempt under 1.5001(i)(3)(ii)(A) of the Commission’s rules.[[50]](#footnote-52) Specifically, Petitioner filed multiple supplements to demonstrate the passive nature of the investments. The DigitalBridge Class A Common Stock Purchase Warrant states that the “[w]arrant does not entitle the Registered Holder to (a) receive dividends or other distributions, (b) consent to any action of the stockholders of the Company, (c) receive notice of or vote at any meeting of the stockholders, (d) receive notice of any other proceedings of the Company or (e) exercise any other rights whatsoever, in any such case, as a stockholder of the Company.”[[51]](#footnote-53) Petitioner states that both Wafra and Public Institution reported their beneficial ownership interest to the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on Schedule 13G pursuant to SEC’s rules.[[52]](#footnote-54) In general, the SEC rules require that beneficial ownership interests be reported by filing a Schedule 13D with the SEC.[[53]](#footnote-55) However, the SEC permits certain beneficial ownership interests to be filed under a Schedule 13G, a short-form statement, in lieu of a Schedule 13D filing.[[54]](#footnote-56) To be eligible to file a Schedule 13G, the SEC requires that a person “has not acquired the securities with any purpose, or with the effect, of changing or influencing the control of the issuer, or in connection with or as a participant in any transaction having that purpose or effect” along with certain other requirements specific to each rule.[[55]](#footnote-57) While the Commission presumes that criterion for an exemption from specific approval requirements is satisfied when, among other things, the foreign holder is eligible to report its beneficial ownership interests pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13d-1(b), 17 CFR 240.13d-1(b), Wafra reported its beneficial ownership interests pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13d-1(c), 17 CFR 240.13d-1(c). Petitioner contends that Wafra’s “Rule 13d-1(c) attestation of passive intent is consistent with the plain text and intent of the second prong of the exemption from specific approval in Section 1.5001(i)(3) of the Commission's Rules, as well as closely tracking similar ‘passive intent’ language under SEC Rule 13d-1(b).”[[56]](#footnote-58)

In addition, Petitioner submitted supplements in support of the argument that Wafra and Public Institution are institutional in nature even though both reported their beneficial ownership filings pursuant to section 13d-1(c) of the SEC rules. Wafra has confirmed that it is “the type of SEC-registered investment advisory eligible to use paragraph (b) under SEC Rule 13d-1.”[[57]](#footnote-59) However, Petitioner asserts that Wafra did not file a Schedule 13G pursuant to SEC Rule 13d-1(b) because, “certain of its affiliates who are also deemed to beneficially own the shares of DigitalBridge Group, Inc., Class A common stock” are not such regulated entities.[[58]](#footnote-60) Furthermore, Petitioner states that “[a]lthough the [Public] Institution is not a type of institutional investor that falls within one of the categories enumerated in clause (ii) of Rule 13d-1(b), the [Public] Institution bears important similarities to these organizations.”[[59]](#footnote-61) Specifically, Petitioner states that Public Institution “was established to be the competent authority to provide sustainable insurance and social services, ensuring a decent living for citizens working in the country and abroad after retirement or work stoppage,”[[60]](#footnote-62) and further explains that Public Institution “has a professional investment team at Wafra and maintains a broadly diversified multi-asset portfolio designed to deliver optimized risk-adjusted returns to meet the Institution’s long-term funding obligations.”[[61]](#footnote-63)

We find that Wafra and Public Institution have made a sufficient showing that both should be treated in a similar manner to passive institutional investors pursuant to section 1.5001(i)(3)(ii)(A) of the Commission’s rules.[[62]](#footnote-64) Since both Wafra and Public Institution’s equity and voting interests fall below 10%, we find, based on Petitioner’s showing, both entities to be exempt from specific approval under the 5% rule.[[63]](#footnote-65) Although we have found that Wafra and Public Institution should be treated in a similar manner to passive institutional investors with respect to the exemption set forth in section 1.5001(i)(3)(ii)(A) of the Commission’s rules, we note that a petition for declaratory ruling must be filed and specific approval must be sought and granted in accordance with the section 310(b)(4) of the Act and section 1.5001(i) of the Commission’s rules prior to Wafra and/or Public Institution’s equity and/or voting interests into Midwest rising above 10%.[[64]](#footnote-66)

We find that public interest would not be served by prohibiting foreign ownership of Midwest in excess of the 25% benchmarks in section 310(b)(4) of the Act. We, therefore, grant the Petition subject to the conditions set out herein. This ruling authorizes 100% aggregate foreign ownership of Midwest, as the controlling U.S. parent of Everstream Solutions, subject to the terms and conditions set forth in section 1.5004 of the Commission’s rules including the requirement to obtain Commission approval before foreign ownership of Midwest exceeds the terms and conditions of this ruling.[[65]](#footnote-67) Specifically, pursuant to section 1.5001(i) of the rules,[[66]](#footnote-68) we grant Petitioner’s request to permit the above listed foreign-organized entities to hold, directly and/or indirectly, equity and/or voting interests in Everstream Solutions’ controlling U.S. parent, Midwest. Pursuant to section 1.5001(k) of the Commission's rules, this ruling also grants advance approval for AMP Capital Global Infrastructure Fund II A LP SCSp, AMP Capital Global Infrastructure Fund II B LP SCSp, AMP Capital Global Infrastructure Fund II C LP SCSp, and AMP Capital Global Infrastructure Fund II E LP SCSp to acquire up to a non-controlling 49.99% indirect equity and/or voting interest in Midwest and for AMP Capital Investors (GIF II GP) S.à.r.l to acquire up to a 100% voting interest and 49.99% equity interest in Midwest.

Midwest has an affirmative duty to monitor its foreign equity and voting interests, calculate these interests consistent with the principles enunciated by the Commission, including the standards and criteria set forth in sections 1.5002 through 1.5003 of the Commission's rules, and otherwise ensure continuing compliance with the provisions of section 310(b) of the Act.[[67]](#footnote-69)

**Executive Branch Review**

Under our rules and procedures, the Commission will generally refer applications regarding an international section 214 authorization and petitions for section 310(b) foreign ownership rulings for common carrier wireless applicants and licensees and all the associated applications to the Executive Branch agencies (Agencies) for their views on any national security, law enforcement, foreign policy, or trade policy concerns related to the foreign ownership of the Applicants/Petitioner.[[68]](#footnote-70) We have exercised our discretion and did not formally refer either the domestic and international section 214 transfer of control applications or the Petition to the Agencies because the only reportable foreign ownership in Everstream Solutions and its affiliates will be through wholly-owned intermediate holding companies and the ultimate ownership and control is held by U.S. citizens or entities.[[69]](#footnote-71) Although we did not formally refer the Application, International 214 Applications, and Petition, per standard practice we provided a courtesy copy of the public notices to the Agencies.[[70]](#footnote-72)

**Grant of Applications and Petitions for Declaratory Ruling**

We find, upon consideration of the record, that granting the domestic and international section 214 applications for the proposed transfer of control will serve the public interest, convenience, and necessity.[[71]](#footnote-73) We also find that the public interest would not be served by prohibiting the foreign ownership of Midwest, the controlling U.S. parent of Everstream Solutions in excess of the 25% benchmarks in section 310(b)(4) of the Act. The Application, International 214 Applications, and Petition are granted as set out in this Public Notice. Further, grant of the Application, International 214 Applications, and Petition are without prejudice to any enforcement action by the Commission for non-compliance with the Act or the Commission’s rules and without prejudice to Commission action on other related, pending applications or petitions.

Pursuant to sections 4(i)-(j), 214(a), 214(c), 303(r) and 310(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i)-(j), 214(a), 214(c), 303(r), 310(b), and sections 1.5001-04, and 63.03-04, 63.18, 63.24 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 1.5001-04, 63.03-04, 63.18, 63.24, and pursuant to the authority delegated under sections 0.51, 0.91, 0.261, and 0.291 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 0.51, 0.91, 0.261, and 0.291, we grant the Application, International 214 Applications, and Petition as conditioned in this Public Notice. Grant of the applications and the ruling is without prejudice to the Commission's action on any other related pending application(s) or petition(s).

Pursuant to section 1.103 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR § 1.103, the consent granted herein is effective upon the release of this Public Notice. Petitions for reconsideration under section 1.106 or applications for review under section 1.115 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 1.106, 1.115, may be filed within 30 days of the date of this Public Notice.

For further information, please contact Dennis Johnson, Wireline Competition Bureau, (202) 418-0809 or Fara Mohsenikolour, International Bureau, (202) 418-1429.
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