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Washington, D.C. 20554

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| In the Matter of  Garmin International, Inc.’s Request for Waiver of Section 95.1787(a)(3) | **)**  **)**  **)**  **)** | WT Docket No. 24-7 |

Order

**Adopted: February 7, 2024 Released: February 7, 2024**

By the Chief, Mobility Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau:

1. On January 27, 2024, North Shore Emergency Association (NSEA) filed a Motion for Extension of Time seeking an extension of the comment deadline in the above captioned proceeding by two months to April 12, 2024.[[1]](#footnote-3) By this Order, for the reasons stated below, the Mobility Division (Division) denies the request for extension of the comment deadline.
2. On October 5, 2023, Garmin International, Inc. (Garmin) filed an application requesting waiver of section 95.1787(a)(3) of the Federal Communications Commission’s (Commission) rules.[[2]](#footnote-4) On January 12, 2024, the Division released a *Public Notice* seeking comment on Garmin’s waiver request with comments and replies due on February 12, 2024 and February 27, 2024, respectively.[[3]](#footnote-5) On the same day it filed its Motion for Extension of Time, NSEA also filed a comment opposing Garmin’s waiver request.[[4]](#footnote-6) On February 2, 2024, Garmin filed in opposition to the extension request.[[5]](#footnote-7)
3. We deny NSEA’s requested two-month extension of time because NSEA has not shown that an extension is warranted. Section 1.46 of the Commission’s rules prescribes a high bar to justify an extension of time for filing comments and prohibits the Division from routinely granting such an extension.[[6]](#footnote-8) While NSEA argues that this proceeding “is not a normal or routine matter” given the specific relief sought by Garmin,[[7]](#footnote-9) this argument does not overcome the high bar required by section 1.46. All waivers, like the Garmin waiver, seek specific exceptions from requirements under the Commission’s rules. And as with other waiver requests, the Division here acted consistently with our typical practice, providing 30 days for comments on the Garmin waiver and 15 days for replies.[[8]](#footnote-10) NSEA has not articulated why the Garmin waiver warrants additional time for comment beyond the typical comment and reply periods provided by the Division for any waiver.
4. NSEA has not shown that an extension of the comment deadline is warranted, especially an extension of the extraordinary length requested—an additional period twice the length of the normal comment period. Although NSEA contends that it would use this additional time to notify others in the GMRS space about this proceeding and allow them to provide comments,[[9]](#footnote-11) we do not find that this justification warrants an exception from section 1.46’s direction that extensions not be routinely granted. As Garmin argues, NSEA is requesting a deadline extension on behalf of unknown third parties without articulating whether or why any of these unidentified entities are unable to file comments within the standard deadlines.[[10]](#footnote-12) We agree with Garmin that NSEA has not presented good cause for its requested extension.[[11]](#footnote-13) The circumstances identified by NSEA are not sufficiently unique or unusual to warrant deviation from the established deadline. As such, we decline to extend the comment deadline for this proceeding. We note, however, that this proceeding has been designed as a “permit-but-disclose” proceeding[[12]](#footnote-14) in accordance with section 1.1200(a) of the Commission’s rules,[[13]](#footnote-15) subject to the requirements under section 1.1206(b).[[14]](#footnote-16) Accordingly, any interested parties may file in the docket, **WT Docket 24-7**, consistent with these rules.
5. Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED** that, pursuant to section 4(i) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 154(i), and sections 0.131, 0.204, 0.331, and 1.46 of the Commission’s Rules, 47 CFR §§ 0.131, 0.204, 0.331, and 1.46, the Motion for Extension of Time filed by North Shore Emergency Association on January 27, 2024, **IS DENIED**.
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