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 In re: **NCE MX Group 223**

**Vanguard Association of Sunbelt Colleges Corporation**
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 Application File No. [0000167822](https://enterpriseefiling.fcc.gov/dataentry/views/public/nceDraftCopy?displayType=html&appKey=25076f917ce2e04b017d06ad3d1d7e60&id=25076f917ce2e04b017d06ad3d1d7e60&goBack=N)

 **WRVM, Inc.**
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 Facility ID No. 767351
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 New NCE (FM), Plymouth, WI

 Facility ID No. 762147

 Application File No. 0000166857

 **Immanuel Bible Church**

 New NCE (FM), Sheboygan, WI

 Facility ID No. 767365

 Application File No. 0000167658

 **Waupun Baptist Church**

 New NCE (FM), Waupun, WI

 Facility ID No. 767323

 Application File No. 0000167816

 **Petition to Deny**

 **Informal Objection**

Dear Applicants and Counsel:

We have before us eight mutually exclusive (MX) applications filed by Vanguard Association of Sunbelt Colleges Corporation (VASC), The Salvation Poem Foundation, Inc. (SPF), Call Communications Group, Inc. (Call Comm), Educational Media Foundation (EMF), State of Wisconsin - Educational Communications Board (State), Immanuel Bible Church (Immanuel), Waupun Baptist Church (Waupun), and WRVM, Inc. (WRVM) for construction permits for new noncommercial educational (NCE) FM stations in different communities in Wisconsin, which the Media Bureau (Bureau) designated as NCE MX Group 223.[[1]](#footnote-3) The Commission identified the VASC Application as the tentative selectee of the group.[[2]](#footnote-4) Also before us are: (1) an Informal Objection (Objection) filed on December 15, 2021, by Call Comm;[[3]](#footnote-5) and (2) a Petition to Deny (Petition) filed on January 11, 2022, by WRVM, and related pleadings.[[4]](#footnote-6) On April 25, 2023, the Bureau issued a Letter of Inquiry (LOI) to VASC,[[5]](#footnote-7) and we have before us VASC’s response to the LOI (LOI Response),[[6]](#footnote-8) and related responses filed by WRVM (WRVM LOI Reply) and Call Comm (Call Comm LOI Reply).[[7]](#footnote-9) For the reasons set forth below, we deny the Objection, deny the Petition, dismiss the WRVM and Call Comm Applications,[[8]](#footnote-10) and grant the VASC Application.

*Background.*  The subject applications were filed during the November 2021, NCE FM filing window.[[9]](#footnote-11) In the Third Comparative Order, the Commission compared the VASC Application and WRVM Application under the point system.[[10]](#footnote-12) In the point system analysis, VASC and WRVM each received two points for diversity of ownership and proceeded to the tie-breaker analysis.[[11]](#footnote-13) VASC prevailed in the first tie-breaker analysis.[[12]](#footnote-14) Accordingly, the Commission identified VASC as the tentative selectee of MX Group 223 and established a 30-day period for filing petitions to deny.[[13]](#footnote-15)

In the Objection, Call Comm questions the validity of VASC’s reasonable site assurance certification. Specifically, Call Comm states that it personally contacted the individuals listed in the VASC Application and states that “none of the listed contacts could positively affirm that reasonable assurance was provided to” VASC.[[14]](#footnote-16) Call Comm also claims that “attributable interests might exist between” VASC and Elijah Radio, Inc. (Elijah Radio), a full-power FM licensee, because David Beihl (Beihl), VASC’s president, and Thomas Beihl, Elijah Radio’s vice-president, are siblings.[[15]](#footnote-17) Call Comm argues that, because Eliah Radio previously filed 10 applications in the 2021 NCE FM filing window, the VASC Application exceeds the 10-application cap and must be dismissed.[[16]](#footnote-18)

In the Petition, WRVM argues that the VASC is ineligible to hold an NCE FM license because VASC is not registered as a nonprofit business entity in the State of Wisconsin,[[17]](#footnote-19) and is not a 501(c)(3) nonprofit organization under the Internal Revenue Code.[[18]](#footnote-20) WRVM also questions whether the VASC Application is part of “an application mill” designed by VASC’s engineering consultant, Luke Rogers, to circumvent the 10-application limit.[[19]](#footnote-21)

In the Opposition to the Petition, VASC argues that, pursuant to section 74.7004(a) of the Commission’s rules, the Petition is premature and should dismissed.[[20]](#footnote-22) VASC also states that the Commission neither requires an NCE FM applicant to be incorporated under the laws of the state where the proposed station is located, nor requires such applicant to possess federal tax-exempt status.[[21]](#footnote-23) VASC states that it is a bona fide nonprofit corporation in the State of Arizona.[[22]](#footnote-24) VASC also denies that it has engaged in any attempt to circumvent the 10-application cap, and states that there is no evidence to establish that it “shares any facilities or has any untoward relationship with any other application for which [Luke Rogers] prepared applications.”[[23]](#footnote-25).

In the LOI Response, to support its reasonable site assurance certification, VASC provided email correspondence between Luke Rogers and Heather Saxton, a representative of the tower owner’s agent.[[24]](#footnote-26) In the *Saxton Correspondence*, (1) Luke Rogers informs Ms. Saxton that “a client” would be submitting an application for a new NCE FM station and is interested in using space on a tower owned by US Cellular,[[25]](#footnote-27) (2) Ms. Saxton confirms the availability of space on the Tower and the specific heights available, and (3) Luke Rogers informs Ms. Saxton of the antenna height his client intends to use. After VASC filed the VASC Application, Luke Rogers informed Ms. Saxton that VASC was the previously unnamed client and of VASC’s inclusion of the Tower in the VASC Application.[[26]](#footnote-28)

In the Call Comm LOI Reply, Call Comm states that VASC’s reasonable site assurance documentation is insufficient because VASC’s name was not disclosed to the tower owner until after the VASC Application was filed.[[27]](#footnote-29) Call Comm also questions whether Elijah Radio or Gary Beihl has exercised *de facto* control over the VASC Application and whether either is the real party-in-interest behind the VASC Application.[[28]](#footnote-30)

*Discussion*. Pursuant to section 309(d) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended,[[29]](#footnote-31) petitions to deny and informal objections must provide properly supported allegations of fact that, if true, would establish a substantial and material question of fact that grant of the application would be *prima facie* inconsistent with the public interest.[[30]](#footnote-32)

Procedural Matters. At the outset, we agree with VASC that the Petition was filed prematurely. Pursuant to section 73.7004(a) of the Rules, petitions to deny will only be accepted against the tentative selectee.[[31]](#footnote-33) WRVM filed the Petition against the VASC Application prior to the Commission’s selection of VASC as the tentative selectee in this MX Group.[[32]](#footnote-34) However, given that the VASC Application was ultimately identified as the tentative selectee for MX Group 223, and in the interest of having a complete record, we consider the Petition.[[33]](#footnote-35)

Reasonable Site Assurance. An NCE FM applicant must have reasonable assurance that its specified site will be available for the construction and operation of its proposed facilities at the time it files its application.[[34]](#footnote-36) While the Commission affords some latitude regarding reasonable assurance, there must, at a minimum, be a “meeting of the minds resulting in some firm understanding as to the site's availability.”[[35]](#footnote-37) A mere possibility that the site will be available is not sufficient.[[36]](#footnote-38) Schedule 340 requires an applicant to certify that it has such reasonable site assurance and instructs the applicant to specify the name of the person contacted to verify the site's availability, the person's telephone number, and whether the contact is the tower owner, agent, or authorized representative.[[37]](#footnote-39)

We find that VASC has sufficiently demonstrated that it had reasonable assurance of site availability at the time it filed the VASC Application. The *Saxton Correspondence* demonstrates a “meeting of the minds” between Luke Rogers and Ms. Saxton regarding VASC’s intent to use the Tower in its application. Call Comm cites no authority that supports invalidating VASC’s reasonable assurance documentation based on the fact that VASC’s name was not disclosed to Ms. Saxton until after the VASC Application was filed, and, therefore, we reject this argument.

De Facto Control. We also reject the argument that Elijah Radio or Luke Rogers has exercised *de facto* control over VASC, and that either is the real party-in-interest behind the VASC Application, as unsupported.[[38]](#footnote-40) The pleadings posit that the VASC Application was designed by Luke Rogers, president of Elijah Radio, to circumvent the 10-application cap because Eliah Radio previously filed 10 applications in the 2021 NCE FM filing window.[[39]](#footnote-41) The Commission analyzes *de facto* control issues on a case-by-case basis.[[40]](#footnote-42) In determining whether an entity has *de facto* control of an applicant or a licensee, we examine the policies governing station programming, personnel, and finances.[[41]](#footnote-43) A broadcast entity's surrender of control over any one of these indicia to another is sufficient to find that the other entity has *de facto* control.[[42]](#footnote-44)

The Objection and Petition base this allegation of *de facto* control on the fact that (1) a familial relationship exists between Beihl and Elijah Radio’s vice-president, Thomas Beihl, and (2) Luke Rogers, VASC’s consulting engineer, is listed as a contact representative on the VASC Application and numerous other applications filed in this window. However, the Commission has long recognized that familial and business relationships, standing alone, are insufficient to create real-party-in-interest or unauthorized transfer of control problems.[[43]](#footnote-45) Similarly, the Commission has been clear that similarities in applications prepared by a third-party, such as using the same consultant, do not demonstrate common control of applications.[[44]](#footnote-46) We have previously noted that it is common for multiple applications to have the same consultants.[[45]](#footnote-47) Moreover, Luke Rogers submitted a declaration to the Bureau, under penalty of perjury, stating that neither he nor Elijah Radio has any ownership interest in VASC, nor has he or Elijah Radio exercised “any de jure or de facto control over” VASC.[[46]](#footnote-48) Accordingly, we find that this allegation, absent any concrete indicia of common control or influence, is insufficient to establish that VASC has abdicated control to another person or entity, or that the VASC Application is an attempt to circumvent the 10-application rule.[[47]](#footnote-49)

Corporate Status. Finally, we reject WRVM’s remaining argument that VASC is ineligible to hold an NCE FM license for the proposed Station due to the fact that VASC is registered as a nonprofit corporation in Arizona, not Wisconsin. The Commission’s rules require that NCE FM applicants be, *inter alia*, nonprofit entities with a demonstrated educational purpose.[[48]](#footnote-50) We do not require applicants to be incorporated, registered, or otherwise organized as nonprofit entities in the state of the proposed station.[[49]](#footnote-51) Similarly, contrary to WRVM’s contention, our rules do not require NCE FM applicants to be qualified as section 501(c)(3) nonprofit entities under the Internal Revenue Code in order to establish their eligibility.[[50]](#footnote-52)

 *Conclusion/Actions.*For the reasons set forth above, **IT IS ORDERED** that the Petition to Deny filed by WRVM, Inc. on January 11, 2022 (Pleading File No. 0000179670), **IS DENIED**.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Informal Objection filed by Call Communications Group, Inc. on December 15, 2021 (Pleading File No. [0000177311](https://enterpriseefiling.fcc.gov/dataentry/public/tv/pleadingDetails.html?pleadingFileNumber=0000204282)) **IS DENIED**.

 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the application filed by Vanguard Association of Sunbelt Colleges Corporation (Application File No. 0000167734) **IS** **GRANTED** **CONDITIONED UPON** that selectee’s compliance with section 73.7005 of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR § 73.7005, which sets forth a four-year period in which an applicant, that is awarded a permit by use of the point system, must maintain the comparative qualifications for which it received points, and must comply with the restrictions on station modifications and acquisition, and also provides that an applicant receiving a Section 307(b) preference that is decisive over another applicant must operate technical facilities substantially as proposed for a period of four years of on-air operations.

 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the mutually exclusive applications of WRVM, Inc. (Application File No. [0000166135](https://enterpriseefiling.fcc.gov/dataentry/views/public/nceDraftCopy?displayType=html&appKey=25076ff37ca4ed29017cc2ff2c69143b&id=25076ff37ca4ed29017cc2ff2c69143b&goBack=N)), Salvation Poem Foundation, Inc. (Application File No. 0000167767), Call Communications Group, Inc. (Application File No. 0000167822), Educational Media Foundation (Application File No. 0000166014), State of Wisconsin – Educational Communications Board (Application File No. 0000166857), Immanuel Bible Church (Application File No. 0000167658), and Waupun Baptist Church (Application File No. 0000167816) **ARE DISMISSED**.

Sincerely,

 Albert Shuldiner

 Chief, Audio Division

 Media Bureau
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