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| --- | --- | --- |
| In the Matter ofAmendment of Section 73.622(j),Table of Allotments, Television BroadcastStations (Greenville, South Carolina) | **)****)****)****)****)** | MB Docket No. 23-406RM-11969 |

report and order

**(Proceeding Terminated)**

**Adopted: March 4, 2024 Released: March 4, 2024**

By the Chief, Video Division, Media Bureau:

1. The Video Division, Media Bureau (Bureau), has before it a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking[[1]](#footnote-3) issued in response to a Petition for Rulemaking filed by Carolina Christian Broadcasting, Inc. (Petitioner), the licensee of WGGS-TV (Station or WGGS), channel 2, Greenville, South Carolina (Greenville).[[2]](#footnote-4) The Petitioner requests the substitution of channel 29 for channel 2 at Greenville in the Table of TV Allotments,[[3]](#footnote-5) and filed comments in support of the Petition, as required by the Commission’s rules (rules),[[4]](#footnote-6) reaffirming its interest in the proposed channel substitution and that it will promptly file an application to construct the facility and operate on channel 29 at Greenville if allotted.[[5]](#footnote-7) No other comments were received.[[6]](#footnote-8)
2. We conclude that the public interest would be served by substituting channel 29 for channel 2 at Greenville. Petitioner states that its proposed channel substitution would serve the public interest by resolving reception challenges currently experienced by viewers in the WGGS service area, and substantially improving access to the Station’s programming.[[7]](#footnote-9) The Petitioner notes that the Commission has recognized that VHF channels have certain characteristics that pose challenges for their use in providing digital television service, including a large variability in the performance of indoor antennas available to viewers, with most antennas performing very poorly on VHF channels.[[8]](#footnote-10) The Petitioner proposes to operate the Station on channel 29 with a 3-node Distributed Transmission System (DTS) facility, and all viewers within the Station’s community of license will continue to be served by the Station.[[9]](#footnote-11) An analysis using the Commission’s *TVStudy* software indicates that the Station’s move to channel 29 would result in a loss of service to 946,964 persons, mostly located around the edge of the channel 2 noise limited service contour (NLSC).[[10]](#footnote-12) All but 417 persons of those persons, however, would remain well-served by continuing to receive at least five full power or Class A stations,[[11]](#footnote-13) and those 417 persons would continue to receive service from at least four such stations, a number of persons that the Commission has found to be *de minimis*.[[12]](#footnote-14)
3. As proposed, channel 29 can be substituted for channel 2 at Greenville in compliance with the principal community coverage requirements of section 73.625(a) of the rules,[[13]](#footnote-15) at coordinates 34° 56' 26.4" N. and 82° 24' 40.4" W. Although the Petitioner’s proposal would result in a loss of programming to a number of viewers on the fringes of the Station’s NLSC, all but a *de minimis* number of viewers will remain well-served and we conclude that the overall benefits of the proposed channel change in resolving reception issues outweighs any possible harm to the public interest.[[14]](#footnote-16) In addition, we find that this channel change meets the technical requirements set forth in sections 73.616 and 73.623 of the rules with the following specifications:[[15]](#footnote-17)

City and State Channel Power (kW) Antenna HAAT (m) Service Pop.

Greenville, South Carolina 29 125 354 1,802,843

1. We also conclude that good cause exists to make this channel change effective immediately upon publication in the Federal Register, pursuant to section 553(d)(3) of the Administrative Procedure Act.[[16]](#footnote-18) An expedited effective date is necessary in this case to ensure that WGGS-TV can operate with improved service to its viewers as quickly as possible.
2. Accordingly, pursuant to the authority contained in sections 4(i), 5(c)(1), 303(g), (r) and 307(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), 155(c)(1), 303(g), (r), and 307(b), and sections 0.61, 0.204(b), and 0.283 of the rules, 47 CFR §§ 0.61, 0.204(b), and 0.283, **IT IS ORDERED**, That effective immediately upon the date of publication in the Federal Register, the Table of TV Allotments, section 73.622(j) of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR § 73.622(j), **IS AMENDED**, with respect to the community listed below, to read as follows:

City and State Channel No.

Greenville, South Carolina \*8, 17, 29, 30

1. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED**, That within 10 days of the effective date of this R*eport and Order*, Carolina Christian Broadcasting, Inc. shall submit to the Commission a minor change application for a construction permit (Form 2100, Schedule A) specifying channel 29.
2. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED**, That pursuant to section 801(a)(1)(A) of the Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. § 801(a)(1)(A), the Commission **SHALL SEND** a copy of the *Report and Order* to Congress and to the Government Accountability Office.
3. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED,** Thatshould no petitions for reconsideration or petitions for judicial review be timely filed,MB Docket No. 23-406 and RM-11969 **SHALL BE TERMINATED** and its docket closed.
4. For further information concerning the proceeding listed above, contact Joyce L. Bernstein, Video Division, Media Bureau, Joyce.Bernstein@fcc.gov.
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