**DA 24-7**

**Released: January 3, 2024**

**DOMESTIC SECTION 214 APPLICATION GRANTED FOR THE TRANSFER OF CONTROL OF CABLESOUTH MEDIA III, LLC TO MIP VI OUTLIER, LLC**

**WC Docket No. 23-372**

By this Public Notice, the Wireline Competition Bureau (Bureau) grants an application filed by Hunt Group Holdings, LLC (Hunt Group), ITC Holdings, LLC (ITC Holdings), CableSouth Media III, LLC d/b/a Swyft Fiber (CableSouth), and MIP VI Outlier, LLC (MIP VI Outlier, collectively with Hunt Group, ITC, and CableSouth, Applicants), pursuant to section 214 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and sections 63.03-04 of the Commission’s rules,[[1]](#footnote-3) requesting consent to transfer control of CableSouth to MIP VI Outlier.[[2]](#footnote-4)

On November 16, 2023, the Bureau released a Public Notice seeking comment on the Application.[[3]](#footnote-5) The Bureau did not receive comments or petitions in opposition to the Application.

**Applicants and Description of Transaction**

CableSouth, a Tennessee limited liability company, provides video programming and other communications services to residential customers throughout the Southeastern United States and, under the brand names “SwyftConnect” and “Swyft Fiber,” CableSouth offers video, and digital voice services to residential, enterprise, and government customers in Arkansas, Louisiana, and Mississippi.[[4]](#footnote-6) CableSouth is an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier (ETC) in Arkansas, Louisiana, and Mississippi and, on March 15, 2022, the Bureau authorized CableSouth to receive $152.9 million in Rural Digital Opportunity Fund (RDOF) Auction 904 support to provide service to 57,387 locations to be distributed over ten years in Arkansas, Louisiana, and Mississippi.[[5]](#footnote-7) CableSouth is wholly owned by CableSouth Media, LLC, a Tennessee limited liability company, which, in turn, is wholly owned by Hunt Land Holdings, LLC (Hunt Land Holdings), a Louisiana limited liability company.[[6]](#footnote-8) Hunt Land Holdings, a Louisiana holding company, is wholly owned by CSM Intermediate, LLC, which, in turn, is wholly owned by CSM Holding Company, LLC (CSM Holding), both Delaware limited liability companies.[[7]](#footnote-9) Hunt Group, a Louisiana limited liability company, currently owns 65% of the equity in CSM Holding. ITC Holdings, a Delaware limited liability company, owns the remaining 35 percent.[[8]](#footnote-10) Following the consummation of the proposed transaction, Hunt Group will hold 50% indirect equity and voting of CSM Holding and, therefore, a 50% indirect interest in CableSouth.[[9]](#footnote-11)

MIP VI Outlier, a Delaware limited liability company, has been established to acquire an ownership interest in CSM Holding and is indirectly owned by investment vehicles managed by and/or affiliated with Macquarie Infrastructure Partners Inc. (MIP Inc.), a Delaware corporation, which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Macquarie Infrastructure and Real Assets Inc. (MIRA Inc.).[[10]](#footnote-12) MIRA Inc. is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Macquarie Holdings (U.S.A.) Inc., a Delaware corporation, which, in turn, is held by various intermediate Australian entities ultimately held by Macquarie Group Limited (MGL), a publicly traded company incorporated in Australia.[[11]](#footnote-13) Applicants state that MIP VI Outlier is affiliated with various providers of domestic communications and telecommunications services, including Bluebird Network, LLC (Bluebird)[[12]](#footnote-14) and Cincinnati Bell Inc. d/b/a altafiber (Cincinnati Bell).[[13]](#footnote-15) Applicants state that two of Cincinnati Bell’s subsidiaries receive RDOF Auction 904 support: CBT receives RDOF Auction 904 support in Indiana, Kentucky, and Ohio, and HTI receives RDOF Auction 904 support in Hawaii.[[14]](#footnote-16)

According the Applicants, following the consummation of the transaction, Hunt Group and MIP VI Outlier will each hold a 50% interest in CSM Holding, with MIP VI Outlier holding *de jure* and *de facto* control.[[15]](#footnote-17) Applicants assert that the proposed transaction would serve the public interest, convenience, and necessity.[[16]](#footnote-18)

**Discussion**

We find, upon consideration of the record, that a grant of the Application will serve the public interest, convenience, and necessity. To make this determination under Commission precedent, we consider whether the proposed transaction could result in public interest harms by substantially frustrating or impairing the objectives or implementation of the Act or related statutes.[[17]](#footnote-19) We then employ a balancing test weighing any potential public interest harms of the proposed transaction against any potential public interest benefits.[[18]](#footnote-20) The Applicants bear the burden of proving, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the proposed transaction, on balance, serves the public interest.[[19]](#footnote-21)

We further find that there are no potential public interest harms identified in the record. First, the proposed transaction will not result in a reduction of competition. Applicants have no overlapping service areas for any facilities-based telecommunications services.[[20]](#footnote-22) Applicants state that because the change in ownership will occur at the parent-company level, the proposed transaction will be seamless and not result in any harms or impact to CableSouth’s existing customers.[[21]](#footnote-23) Applicants further state that CableSouth will continue to provide high-quality broadband services to its customers under the same rates, terms, and conditions without any interruption of service,[[22]](#footnote-24) and we thus expect no potential harm to existing customers to result from the transaction.

Second, Applicants state that they will meet all relevant RDOF support obligations,[[23]](#footnote-25) and we expect that the proposed transaction will not negatively impact these obligations. Applicants state that the proposed transaction does not entail any increase to the debt load of CSM Holding or CableSouth or their affiliates, nor will there be a new authorization holder or the assignment of any support or assets.[[24]](#footnote-26) Applicants confirm that all support received through RDOF, and the network assets built in connection with the RDOF program, will remain with CableSouth.[[25]](#footnote-27) Overall, we conclude that the record in this proceeding does not support a finding of a public interest harm.

We next consider whether the proposed transaction is likely to generate verifiable, transaction-specific public interest benefits.[[26]](#footnote-28) Applicants must provide evidence of a claimed benefit to allow the Commission to verify its likelihood and magnitude.[[27]](#footnote-29) Where potential harms appear unlikely, as is the case with the Application before us here, the Commission accepts a lesser degree of magnitude and likelihood than when harms are present.[[28]](#footnote-30)

Applicants state that the proposed transaction will enhance CableSouth’s ability to deliver on the RDOF-funded network and fulfill its obligations under the program.[[29]](#footnote-31) Because CableSouth will have access to capital, Applicants claim CableSouth will be well positioned to continue the network construction and deployment of FTTH and VoIP services that CableSouth has described in its long-form RDOF application, and also to accelerate deployment of the company’s fiber network and services beyond the RDOF locations in Arkansas, Louisiana, and Mississippi.[[30]](#footnote-32)

The Commission has specified that ensuring consumers receive new or additional services is an important public interest factor,[[31]](#footnote-33) and accelerating private sector deployment of advanced services is one of the aims of the Act.[[32]](#footnote-34) In light of the Applicants’ commitments to meet all of CableSouth’s federal high-cost funding obligations[[33]](#footnote-35) and the fact that Applicants are prepared to devote additional capital to accelerate facilities-based service offerings,[[34]](#footnote-36) we find it likely that the proposed transaction would result in some public interest benefits. Absent any potential harms, and considering that the proposed transaction is likely to yield some benefits, we find, on balance, that the proposed transaction serves the public interest.

Therefore, pursuant to section 214 of the Act, 47 U.S.C. § 214, and sections 0.91, 0.291, 63.03, and 63.04 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 0.91, 0.291, 63.03, and 63.04, the Bureau hereby grants the Application discussed in this Public Notice, subject to Applicants’ compliance with all applicable obligations.[[35]](#footnote-37)

Pursuant to section 1.103 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR § 1.103, the grant is effective upon release of this Public Notice.[[36]](#footnote-38) Petitions for reconsideration under section 1.106 or applications for review under section 1.115 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR §§ 1.106, 1.115, may be filed within 30 days of the date of this Public Notice.

For further information regarding the transfer of control application, please contact Gregory Kwan at gregogry.kwan@fcc.gov, Wireline Competition Bureau.

**-FCC-**
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