

**Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of)
)
Odyssey Robot LLC) ET Docket No. 26-186

ORDER OF REVOCATION

Adopted: August 11, 2026

Released: August 11, 2026

By the Chiefs, Office of Engineering and Technology and Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. By this Order of Revocation, the Office of Engineering and Technology (OET) and the Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau (PSHSB) revoke the equipment authorizations held by Odyssey Robot LLC (Odyssey) for FCC ID 2BSYT-FMAWZOD (Uncrewed Aircraft System or UAS) and FCC ID 2BSYT-YMAWZOD (Remote Controller) (Odyssey UAS Equipment) based on Odyssey's false statements and representations in applications and supporting application materials that the Odyssey UAS Equipment was not covered communications equipment prohibited from receiving an equipment authorization under section 2.903 of the Federal Communications Commission's (FCC or Commission) rules.¹

II. BACKGROUND

2. Pursuant to sections 2(a) and (d) of the Secure and Trusted Communications Networks Act of 2019 and sections 1.50002 and 1.50003 of the Commission's rules, the FCC publishes a list (the Covered List) of communications equipment and services that have been determined by one of the sources specified in that statute to pose an unacceptable risk to the national security of the United States or the security and safety of United States persons (covered equipment).²

3. On December 22, 2025, the FCC added to the Covered List uncrewed aircraft systems (UAS) and UAS critical components produced in foreign countries and equipment and services listed in section 1709 of the Servicemember Quality of Life Improvement and National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2025 (FY2025 NDAA) (collectively, Covered UAS and UAS Critical Components).³ Following further specific determinations from the Department of War (DoW), that Covered List addition was updated to remove from the Covered List certain categories of UAS and UAS critical components.⁴

¹ 47 CFR § 2.903.

² Secure and Trusted Communications Networks Act of 2019, Pub. L. No. 116-124, 133 Stat. 158 (2020) (codified as amended at 47 U.S.C. §§ 1601-1609 (Secure Networks Act)); 47 CFR §§ 1.50002, 1.50003.

³ *Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau Announces Addition of Uncrewed Aircraft Systems (UAS) and UAS Critical Components Produced Abroad, and Equipment and Services Listed In Section 1709 of the FY2025 NDAA, to FCC Covered List*, WC Docket No. 18-89, Public Notice, DA 25-1086 (Dec. 22, 2025) (*UAS Public Notice*).

⁴ *Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau Announces Exemption of Certain Uncrewed Aircraft Systems (UAS) and UAS Critical Components from FCC Covered List*, WC Docket No. 18-89, Public Notice, DA 26-22 (Jan. 7, 2026); *FCC's Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau Announces that "Toy Drones" and "Toy Drones that Contain Foreign-Produced Components" are Removed from the FCC Covered List*, WC Docket 18-89, Public

4. Commission rules prohibit all equipment identified on the Covered List from obtaining equipment authorization.⁵ The rules require that each applicant for equipment authorization pursuant to the certification process must attest in its application (in the form of a written and signed certification) that the particular equipment for which it seeks authorization is not covered equipment prohibited from authorization.⁶ Specifically, the applicant must provide a written and signed certification that, as of the date of filing the application, the equipment is not prohibited from receiving an equipment authorization pursuant to section 2.903 of the Commission's rules,⁷ and a statement as to whether the applicant is identified on the Covered List as an entity producing covered communications equipment.⁸ The Commission's rules contain streamlined procedures for revoking authorizations of covered equipment if the applicant made any false statement or representation in its attestation(s) or supporting materials that the equipment was not "covered" equipment.⁹ There is also a lengthier process to revoke on the grounds of other false statements in the application or related materials.¹⁰

5. *Odyssey UAS Equipment.* Odyssey Robot LLC was incorporated in the State of Delaware on January 7, 2025.¹¹ Odyssey registered with the State of California as a foreign corporation on December 11, 2025.¹² Odyssey does not appear to maintain a corporate website.

6. Odyssey received equipment certification grants for the Odyssey UAS Equipment on April 20, 2026. For both applications, Odyssey certified on January 12, 2026 that "the equipment for which authorization is sought is not 'covered' equipment prohibited from receiving an equipment authorization pursuant to section § 2.903 of the FCC rules."¹³ The attestations were provided in accordance with section 2.911(d)(5)(i) of the Commission's rules.¹⁴

7. On February 6, 2026, Odyssey provided a declaration in the materials supporting the application for the Remote Controller (FCC ID 2BSYT-YMAWZOD) representing that the product was developed, designed, and manufactured by Odyssey in California, and assembled by eTak Worldwide Corporation (eTak) in Texas.¹⁵ Odyssey affirmed that the information in the declaration "is accurate and true to the best of our knowledge."¹⁶ The test reports submitted with Odyssey's equipment authorizations showed testing activities for FCC ID 2BSYT-FMAWZOD (UAS) and FCC ID 2BSYT-YMAWZOD

(Continued from previous page) _____
Notice, DA 26-588 (June 15, 2026); Federal Communications Commission, *Conditional Approvals: List of Equipment and Services Covered by Section 2 of The Secure Networks Act*, <https://www.fcc.gov/supplychain/coveredlist#Conditional-Approvals> (last visited August 7, 2026).

⁵ 47 CFR § 2.903.

⁶ 47 CFR §§ 2.903, 2.911(d)(5)(i)-(ii). Commission rules also require similar attestations for applications or requests to modify already certified equipment. 47 CFR § 2.932.

⁷ 47 CFR § 2.911(d)(5)(i).

⁸ 47 CFR § 2.911(d)(5)(ii).

⁹ 47 CFR § 2.939(d).

¹⁰ 47 CFR § 2.939(a)(1).

¹¹ Exhibit 1 – Delaware Incorporation.

¹² Exhibit 2 – California Registration.

¹³ Exhibit 3 – UAS Attestation; Exhibit 4 – Remote Controller Attestation.

¹⁴ 47 CFR § 2.911(d)(5)(i).

¹⁵ Exhibit 5 – Odyssey Declaration.

¹⁶ *Id.*

(Remote Controller) were conducted in a foreign country by TÜV Rheinland (Shenzhen) Co. Ltd.¹⁷

8. *FCC Investigation.* On June 5, 2026, security researcher Konrad Iturbe published allegations that Odyssey provided materially false attestations to the FCC that the Odyssey UAS Equipment was not covered equipment.¹⁸ On June 10, 2026, the FCC's Enforcement Bureau (EB) sent a Letter of Inquiry (LOI) to Odyssey through its designated U.S. agent for service of process with the contact information filed by Odyssey with the Commission via certified mail, with return receipt requested.¹⁹ The LOI directed Odyssey to provide vouchers²⁰ for the Odyssey UAS Equipment to be obtained from the marketplace.²¹ The LOI also directed Odyssey to provide information on its business structure, operations, subsidiaries and affiliates, associations with Covered List entities, and compliance practices and procedures.²² The LOI further directed Odyssey to provide certain information on the Odyssey UAS Equipment, to include trade names, model numbers, manufacturers, and manufacturing locations.²³ Finally, the LOI directed Odyssey to provide supporting documentation, along with an affidavit or declaration under penalty of perjury, signed and dated by an authorized officer of the company.²⁴ EB provided Odyssey with 14 calendar days to provide the requested equipment, information, and documentation.²⁵ However, Odyssey failed to respond to the LOI.

9. On June 25, 2026, EB sent a Deficiency Letter to Odyssey through its designated U.S. agent for service of process with the contact information filed by Odyssey with the Commission via certified mail, with return receipt requested.²⁶ The Deficiency Letter directed Odyssey to respond to the LOI within 7 calendar days.²⁷ However, Odyssey failed to respond to the Deficiency Letter.

10. On June 29, EB sent a LOI to eTak (the Texas company Odyssey identified as assembling its devices) via certified mail, with return receipt requested.²⁸ The LOI directed eTak to provide information and documentation with respect to its business relationship with Odyssey, device assembly services, and payments between eTak and Odyssey for device assembly activities, to include supporting documentation.²⁹ EB provided eTak with 14 calendar days to provide the requested information and documentation.³⁰

¹⁷ Exhibit 6 – UAS Testing; Exhibit 7 – Remote Controller Testing.

¹⁸ KonradIT Github.com, dji-front-companies, <https://github.com/KonradIT/dji-front-companies/blob/main/odyssey-covered-list-evasion.md> (last accessed July 17, 2026).

¹⁹ Letter of Inquiry from Spectrum Enforcement Division, FCC Enforcement Bureau, to Odyssey Robot LLC, c/o Randolph Howard Eason, Agent for Service of Process (on file in EB-SED-26-00040950) (Odyssey LOI).

²⁰ 47 CFR § 2.945(b)(1) provides that the Commission may request vouchers for equipment to be obtained from the marketplace to determine compliance.

²¹ Odyssey LOI at 2.

²² *Id.* at 5-7.

²³ *Id.* at 6-7.

²⁴ *Id.* at 8.

²⁵ *Id.* at 2.

²⁶ Letter from Spectrum Enforcement Division, FCC Enforcement Bureau, to Odyssey Robot LLC, c/o Randolph Howard Eason, Agent for Service of Process (on file in EB-SED-26-00040950) (Deficiency Letter).

²⁷ *Id.* at 2.

²⁸ Letter of Inquiry from Spectrum Enforcement Division, FCC Enforcement Bureau, to eTak Worldwide Corporation (on file in EB-SED-26-00041019) (eTak LOI).

²⁹ *Id.* at 4.

³⁰ *Id.* at 2.

11. On July 13, 2026, eTak responded to the LOI.³¹ eTak denied any business or financial relationship with Odyssey, and eTak denied that it had performed any device assembly activities for Odyssey. As eTak wrote:

Based on eTak's investigation to date, eTak has no affiliation, business relationship, contractual relationship, agency relationship, ownership interest, or other connection with Odyssey Robot LLC. . . .

During the Inquiry Period, eTak performed general device refurbishing & recycling services at its Grand Prairie, Texas facility. eTak has not performed any assembly, and/or other services for Odyssey Robot LLC. Following a reasonable investigation of its records, eTak has identified no information indicating that any devices processed by eTak were owned by, supplied by, manufactured for, or contracted through Odyssey Robot LLC.³²

12. On July 21, 2026, OET and PSHSB issued an Order to Show Cause directing Odyssey to show cause why the FCC should not revoke equipment authorizations held by Odyssey for the Odyssey UAS Equipment.³³ The Order to Show Cause provided Odyssey with 10 calendar days to respond.³⁴ Odyssey failed to file (or otherwise provide) a response to the Order to Show Cause.

III. DISCUSSION

13. OET and PSHSB have determined that the Odyssey UAS Equipment are covered communications equipment. OET and PSHSB have determined that the applications and supporting application materials for the Odyssey UAS Equipment contain false statements or representations that the equipment was not covered equipment and was not produced in a foreign country.

14. *Equipment authorizations subject to this revocation action.* OET and PSHSB determine that the Odyssey UAS Equipment are covered equipment that was improperly authorized. OET and PSHSB determine that such equipment are Covered UAS and UAS Critical Components because they were produced in a foreign country and do not fall within any of the categories of UAS or UAS critical components removed from the Covered List by subsequent DoW determinations. Odyssey's false statements and representations regarding its U.S.-based assembler that Odyssey used to substantiate the fact that its devices were not "covered," the lack of any record of Odyssey's domestic production, the fact that testing occurred in a foreign country, and Odyssey's failure to respond to any Commission inquiries on the matter all support this conclusion.

IV. ORDERING CLAUSES

15. Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED** that, pursuant to section 302 of the Act and section 2.939(d) of the Commission's rules,³⁵ the equipment authorizations FCC ID 2BSYT-FMAWZOD (UAS) and FCC ID 2BSYT-YMAWZOD (Remote Controller) held by Odyssey Robot LLC **ARE REVOKED**, effective as of the date of this Order.

³¹ Response to Letter of Inquiry from eTak World Corporation, to FCC Enforcement Bureau (July 13, 2026) (on file in EB-SED-26-00041019).

³² *Id.* at 2.

³³ *In the Matter of Odyssey Robot LLC*, ET Docket No. 26-186, Order to Show Cause, DA 26-746 (July 21, 2026), para. 16.

³⁴ *Id.*

³⁵ 47 U.S.C. § 302a; 47 CFR § 2.939(d).

16. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that a copy of the Order shall be sent by email to contact@flyondrone.com on the release date of this Order and that a copy shall be sent by first class mail and certified mail, return receipt requested, to Randolph Howard Eason, Odyssey Robot LLC, 21 Miller Alley, Suite 210, Pasadena, California 91103.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Andrew C. Hendrickson
Chief
Office of Engineering and Technology

Zenji Nakazawa
Chief
Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau