

Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of

LDDS COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,
Complainant,

v.

BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF PENNSYLVANIA.	File No. E-90-214
THE CHESAPEAKE AND POTOMAC TELEPHONE COMPANIES.	File No. E-90-215
THE CHESAPEAKE AND POTOMAC TELEPHONE COMPANY OF MARYLAND.	File No. E-90-216
THE CHESAPEAKE AND POTOMAC TELEPHONE COMPANY OF VIRGINIA.	File No. E-90-217
THE CHESAPEAKE AND POTOMAC TELEPHONE COMPANY OF WEST VIRGINIA.	File No. E-90-218
THE DIAMOND STATE TELEPHONE COMPANY.	File No. E-90-219
NEW JERSEY BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY.	File No. E-90-220
INDIANA BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY.	File No. E-90-221
MICHIGAN BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY.	File No. E-90-222
OHIO BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY.	File No. E-90-223
NEW YORK TELEPHONE COMPANY.	File No. E-90-224
NEW ENGLAND TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY.	File No. E-90-225
PACIFIC BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY.	File No. E-90-229
SOUTHERN BELL TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY.	File No. E-90-230
SOUTH CENTRAL BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY.	File No. E-90-231
SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY.	File No. E-90-232
CINCINNATI BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY.	File No. E-90-233
CONTEL OF ARKANSAS, INC..	File No. E-90-236

CONTEL OF CALIFORNIA, INC.,	File No. E-90-237
CONTEL OF INDIANA, INC.,	File No. E-90-238
CONTEL OF IOWA, INC.,	File No. E-90-239
CONTEL OF KANSAS, INC.,	File No. E-90-240
CONTEL OF KENTUCKY, INC.,	File No. E-90-241
CONTEL OF MISSOURI, INC.,	File No. E-90-242
CONTEL OF NORTH CAROLINA, INC.,	File No. E-90-243
CONTEL OF SOUTH ALABAMA, INC.,	File No. E-90-244
CONTEL OF SOUTH GEORGIA, INC.,	File No. E-90-245
GTE NORTH, INC.,	File No. E-90-246
GTE SOUTHWEST, INC.,	File No. E-90-247
CAROLINA TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY, INC.,	File No. E-90-248
UNITED TELEPHONE OF THE CAROLINAS, INC.,	File No. E-90-249
UNITED TELEPHONE OF INDIANA, INC.,	File No. E-90-250
UNITED TELEPHONE OF NEW JERSEY, INC.,	File No. E-90-251
UNITED TELEPHONE OF OHIO, INC. and	File No. E-90-252
UNITED TELEPHONE OF TENNESSEE, INC.,	File No. E-90-253
Defendants.	

ORDER

Adopted: January 7, 1992; Released: January 14, 1992

By the Deputy Chief, Enforcement Division, Common Carrier Bureau:

1. On January 25, 1991, the Enforcement Division, Common Carrier Bureau, issued an Order holding the captioned cases in abeyance pending further Commission consideration of certain issues remanded by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit.¹ *Order*, 6 FCC Rcd 659 (1991).

2. On October 15, 1991, the Commission adopted a decision acting upon the Court's remand. *Decision on Remand*, CC Docket No. 86-497, FCC 91-324 (released October 31, 1991) (*Rate Base Decision*). In light of the Commission's *Rate Base Decision* there is no further need to hold the captioned cases in abeyance. Accordingly, the pleading and discovery schedules will recommence upon release of this Order. Dates for filing pleadings should be calculated, based on the timetable provided in the rules, from the release date.²

¹ *Illinois Bell Tel. Co. v. FCC*, 911 F.2d 776 (D.C. Cir. 1990).

² For example, in a proceeding where no answer has been filed, an answer would be due 30 days from release of this Order.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Gregory A. Weiss
Deputy Chief (Operations)
Enforcement Division
Common Carrier Bureau