

Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of

EXECULINE OF SACRAMENTO, INC.,
Complainant.

v.

NEVADA BELL and File No. E-90-255
PACIFIC BELL File No. E-90-256
TELEPHONE COMPANY,
Defendants.

ORDER

Adopted: January 7, 1992; Released: January 14, 1992

By the Deputy Chief, Enforcement Division, Common
Carrier Bureau:

1. On January 25, 1991, the Enforcement Division, Common Carrier Bureau, issued an Order holding the captioned cases in abeyance pending further Commission consideration of certain issues remanded by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit.¹ *Order*, 6 FCC Rcd 700 (1991).

2. On October 15, 1991, the Commission adopted a decision acting upon the Court's remand. *Decision on Remand*, CC Docket No. 86-497, FCC 91-324 (released October 31, 1991) (*Rate Base Decision*). In light of the Commission's *Rate Base Decision* there is no further need to hold the captioned cases in abeyance. Accordingly, the pleading and discovery schedules will recommence upon release of this Order. Dates for filing pleadings should be calculated, based on the timetable provided in the rules, from the release date.²

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Gregory A. Weiss
Deputy Chief (Operations)
Enforcement Division
Common Carrier Bureau

¹ *Illinois Bell Tel. Co. v. FCC*, 911 F.2d 776 (D.C. Cir. 1990).

² For example, in a proceeding where no answer has been filed, an answer would be due 30 days from release of this Order.