Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

LETTER

Released: February 13, 1995

In the Matter of

Daniels Communications Partners, d/b/a Hawaiian Cablevision Company, et al., PA95-009

v.

GTE Hawaiian Telephone Company

Mr. David J. Gudino
Attorney
GTE Hawaiian Telephone
Company Incorporated
1850 M Street, N.W.
Suite 1200
Washington, D.C. 20036

Dear Mr. Gudino:

On February 10, 1995, you filed a request for an extension of time for GTE Hawaiian Telephone Company Incorporated (GTE) to file a response to the pole attachment complaint filed by Daniels Communications Partners d/b/a/ Hawaiian Cablevision Company, et al.(Daniels), PA 95-009.

You state that good cause exists for granting GTE an extension until February 21 in which to file its response. GTE and the parties to this complaint as well as the parties to the other pole attachment complaints pending against GTE will be discussing the possibility of a global settlement of all the complaints next week. Because of these settlement discussions GTE believes that an extension would promote administrative efficiency and would further the public interest. You also state that the complainants are not opposed to this extension request.

After careful consideration we believe that GTE has shown good cause to justify the grant of an extension of time. Therefore, GTE has until February 21, 1995 to file its response in the above referenced complaint.

Sincerely,

Kenneth P. Moran
Chief, Accounting and Audits Division

cc: All Parties