# Before the <br> Federal Communications Commission <br> Washington, D.C. 20554 

In the Matter of: )
PARAGON CABLE MANHATTAN - )

## ORDER

Adopted: July 29, 1996
Released: August 7, 1996
By the Chief, Consumer Protection and Competition Division, Cable Services Bureau:

## INTRODUCTION

1. On October 31, 1994, Paragon Cable of New York City ("Paragon") filed with the Commission a petition to appeal a local rate order adopted on September 30, 1994, by the City of New York (the "City"). The City filed its opposition to the appeal on November 15, 1994. The rate order established Paragon's rates for basic cable service, equipment, installations and hourly service charges, as allowed by the Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992. ${ }^{1}$
2. On July 19, 1996, Paragon filed with the Commission a motion to dismiss its earlier-filed appeal. No opposition to the motion has been filed. Therefore, Paragon's motion to dismiss its appeal is granted.

## II Ordering Clause

3. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED THAT Paragon's motion to dismiss its appeal of the rate order issued by the City of New York IS GRANTED and the appeal IS DISMISSED.

[^0]4. This action is taken by the Chief, Consumer Protection and Competition Division, Cable Services Bureau, pursuant to authority delegated by section 0.321 of the Commission's rules. 47.C.F.R. § 0.321 (1993).

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION 

Gary Laden
Chief, Consumer Protection and Competition Division Cable Services Bureau


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Under the Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992, Pub. L. No. 102-385, 106 Stat. 1460 (1992) ("1992 Cable Act"), Communications Act of 1934, § 623(b), 47 U.S.C. § 543(b), and the Commission's implementing regulations, local franchising authorities may regulate rates for basic cable service and associated equipment.

