

Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

| | | |
|------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| In the Matter of |) | |
| |) | |
| |) | |
| TSR PAGING, INC. and PAGING |) | File No. D043455, et al. |
| DIMENSIONS, INC. For Various |) | |
| Applications and Licenses |) | |
| |) | |

ORDER

Adopted: November 7, 1996

Released: November 7, 1996

By the Chief, Commercial Wireless Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau:

1. On October 8, 1996, TSR Paging Inc. ("TSR") filed four (4) petitions against Paging Dimensions, Inc. ("PDI") which included a "Motion to Strike", a "Consolidated Opposition to Petitions to Dismiss or Deny", an "Opposition to Reinstatement of Applications", and a "Petition for Revocation of Licenses." The petitions concerned applications for additional private carrier paging ("PCP") facilities and licenses for paging and radiotelephone service stations, PCP stations and business radio stations.

2. On October 17, 1996, PDI filed a "Consolidated Motion for Extension of Time" which was unopposed by TSR. PDI requested an extension of time until October 31, 1996 within which to file responses to the TSR filings. The extension was requested to allow PDI to investigate and coordinate a response to the filings. Additionally, PDI stated that settlement discussions are ongoing between the parties and that the requested extension could result in a resolution of these proceedings.

3. On October 28, 1996, PDI filed a "Consolidated Motion for an Additional Extension of Time until November 15, 1996. PDI stated that it had the concurrence of TSR in its request. The reason for this filing was the same as the first and also to allow counsel to meet unspecified long-standing commitments which would keep him away from the office for the first seven days of November.

4. On November 1, 1996, TSR filed an "Opposition to Second Consolidated Motion for Extension of Time." TSR admits that it did not oppose the request initially, but alleges that PDI has refused to conduct settlement discussions in good faith, causing TSR now to oppose the extension.

5. It appears that there was an initial agreement between the parties with respect to the second extension requested by PDI. Although the request was based in part on the parties' stated intent to conduct settlement discussions, we note that PDI also sought the extension in order to have adequate time to respond to TSR's multiple filings. We find that, in order to allow PDI to respond adequately to the filings of TSR, a brief extension of time is in the public interest. We therefore grant the request for an extension. Accordingly, it is ordered that, pursuant to Section 1.46 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.46, that the deadline for filing a response in this proceeding IS EXTENDED to November 15, 1996.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

David Furth
Chief, Commercial Wireless Division
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau