Fax-On-Demand 202 / 418-2830 Internet: http://www.fcc.gov ftp.fcc.gov



Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D. C. 20554

This is an unofficial announcement of Commission action. Release of the full text of a Commission order Constitutes official action. See MCI v. FCC. 515 F 2d 385 (D.C. Circ 1974).

April 12, 2002

FCC FILINGS

April 3

In the Matter of Cellular Service and Other Commercial Mobile Radio Services in the Gulf of Mexico (WT Docket No. 97-112)/Amendment of Part 22 of the Commission's Rules to Provide for Filing and Processing of Applications for Unserved Areas in the Cellular Service and to Modify Other Cellular Rules (CC Docket No. 90-6). Petition for Partial Reconsideration – Attorneys for Petroleum Communications, Inc.

In the Matter of Implementation of the Pay Telephone Reclassification and Compensation Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (CC Docket No. 96-128). Petition for Reconsideration – Attorneys for the American Public Communications Council, ITC^DeltaCom Communications, Inc., Petition for Reconsideration and Clarification of the 4th Order on Reconsideration and Order on Remand – RBOC Payphone Coalition.

In the Matter of the Establishment of Policies and Service Rules for the Non-Geostationary Satellite Orbit, Fixed Satellite Service in the Ka-band (IB Docket No. 02-19). Comments – Teledesic LLC, Skybridge L.L.C.

In re: CC Docket No. 96-45. Comments – Hancock Rural Telephone Corp. d/b/a Hancock Telecom.

In re: CC Docket Nos. 00-256, 96-45, 98-77 and 98-166. Comments – the Western Alliance.

April 4

In the Matter of Investigation of Tariffs Filed by ACS of Anchorage, inc. and the National Exchange Carrier Association (CC Docket No. 02-36)/December 17, 2001 MAG Access Charge Tariff Filings (CCB/CPD No. 01-23). Opposition – ACS of Anchorage, Inc.

In re: Implementation of the Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992, Development of Competition and Diversity in Video Programming Distribution: Section 628©(5) of the Communications Act: Sunset of Exclusive Contract Prohibition (CS **Docket No. 01-290).** Comments – AOL Time Warner, Inc.

In the Matter of Application of ALEE Cellular Communications For Authorization to Construct Nonwireline Cellular Systems in Texas RSA 21 Market 672A (WT Docket No. 02-28/File No. 11025-CL-P-672-A-89). Enforcement Bureau's Comments Regarding Petition to Intervene.

In the Matter of Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service Petition of Smith Bagley, Inc. for Definition of Service Area within the study area of Century Tel of the Southwest, Inc. in the State of New Mexico (CC Docket No. 96-45). Comments – The New Mexico Exchange Carrier Group,

In the Matter of Amendment of Section 1.17 of the Commission's Rules Concerning Truthful Statements to the Commission (GC Docket No. 02-37). Request an Extension of Time to File Comments – FCBA.

In the Matter of Revision of the Commission's Rules to Ensure Compatibility with Enhanced 911 Emergency Calling Systems/Wireless E911 Phase II Implementation Plan of Nextel Communications, Inc. (CC Docket No. 94-102). Nextel Partners, Inc. Phase II E911 Quarterly Report February 1, 2002.

April 5

In the Matter of Implementation of Pay Telephone Reclassification and Compensation Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (CC Docket No. 96-128). Comments – AT&T Corporation.

In the Matter of Establishing Rules and Policies for the Use of Spectrum for Mobile Satellite Services in the Upper and Lower-L-band (IB Docket No. 96-132). Response - Inmarsat Ventures plc.

In the Matter of Review of the Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers (CC Docket No. 01-338)/Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications of 1996 (CC Docket No. 96-98)/Deployment of Wireline Services Offering Advanced Telecommunications Capability (CC Docket No. 98-147). Comments – El Paso Networks, LLC, CTC Communications Corporation and Con Edison Communications, LLC (Collectively "Dark Fiber Commenters"), Affidavit – Michael P. Duke KMC Telecom, Inc., Conversent Communications, LLC, Advanced Tel., Inc., NewSouth Communications, General Services Administration, Affidavit – Leo A. Wrobel, The Rural Independent Competitive Alliance, NewSouth Communications; Comments – The Competitive Telecommunications Association, Qwest Communications International, Inc., NuVox, Inc., KMC Telecom, Inc., E.Spire Communications, Inc., TDS Metrocom, Inc., Metromedia Fiber Network Services, Inc., Snip LINK, LLC.

In the Matter of Procedures to Govern the Use of Satellite Earth Stations on Board Vessels in Bands Shared with Terrestrial Fixed Service (IB Docket No. 02-10). Motion for Extension of Time – Maritime Telecommunications Network, Inc.

In the Matter of Review of the Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers (CC Docket No. 01-338). Comments – Illuminet, Inc., Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.

In the Matter of Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism (CC Docket No. 02-6). Comments – the American Library Association, Telecommunications for the Deaf, Inc., The Education and Library Networks Coalition, The Cleveland Municipal School District, AT&T Wireless Services, Inc., AT&T, Charles R. McClure.

April 8

In the Matter of 2000 Biennial Regulatory Review – Comprehensive Review of the Accounting Requirements and ARMIS Reporting Requirements for Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers: Phase 2 (CC Docket No. 00-199)/Amendments to the Uniform System of Accounts for Interconnection (CC Docket No. 97-212)/Jurisdictional Separations Reform and Referral to the Federal-State Joint Board (CC Docket No. 80-286)/Local Competition and Broadband Reporting (CC Docket No. 99-301). Initial Comments – The National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners, AT&T Corporation.

In the Matter of 2000 Biennial Regulatory Review – Comprehensive Review of the Accounting Requirements and ARMIS Reporting Requirements for Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers: Phase 2 (CC Docket No. 00-199)/ Local Competition and Broadband Reporting (CC Docket No. 99-301). Comments – General Services Administration.

In the Matter of Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism (CC Docket No. 02-6). Comments – The Cleveland Municipal School District.

In the Matter of Application by Verizon New Jersey, Inc. et al., Pursuant to Section 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 to Provide In-Region, InterLATA Services in New Jersey (WC Docket No. 02-67). Comments – Metro Teleconnect Companies, Inc., Allegiance Telecom of New Jersey, Inc.

In the Matter of Review of the Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers (CC Docket No. 01-338)/Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications of 1996 (CC Docket No. 96-98)/Deployment of Wireline Services Offering Advanced Telecommunications Capability (CC Docket No. 98-147). Comments – Dynegy Global Communications, Inc.

In the Matter of Amendment of Section 1.17 of the Commission's Rules Concerning Truthful Statements to the Commission (GC Docket No. 02-37). Comments – James A. Kay, Jr.

April 9

In the Matter of Schools and Libraries Universal Service (CC Docket No. 02-6). Comments - The Los Angeles Unified School District.

In the Matter of Implementation of Pay Telephone Reclassification and Compensation Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (CC Docket No. 96-128). Comments – Sprint Corporation.

In the Matter of Reexamination of the Comparative Standards for Noncommercial Educational Applicants (MM Docket No. 95-31). Comments – Michael R. Birdsill.

April 10

In the Matter re: CC Docket No: 02-36; CCB/CPD No. 01-23. Comments – ACS of Anchorage, Inc.

In the Matter of Federal-State Joint Board On Universal Service (CC Docket No. 96-45). Comments – Qwest Communications International, Inc.; Declaration – Byron Watson, Hargray Wireless, LLC.

In re: In the Matter of Application by Verizon New England, Inc. for Authorization to Provide In-Region, InterLATA Services in Maine (CC Docket No. 02-61). Comments – WorldCom.

In the Matter of Jurisdictional Separations and Referral to the Federal State Joint Board (CC Docket No. 80-286). Reply – Roseville Telephone Company to Comments of Verizon on Roseville's Petition for Reconsideration – Roseville Telephone Company.