

**Before the  
Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
Review of the Section 251 Unbundling	)	
Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange	)	CC Docket No. 01-338
Carriers	)	
	)	
Implementation of the Local Competition	)	
Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of	)	CC Docket No. 96-98
1996	)	
	)	
Deployment of Wireline Services Offering	)	CC Docket No. 98-147
Advanced Telecommunications Capability	)	

**ERRATA**

**Adopted:** September 16, 2003

**Released:** September 17, 2003

By the Commission:

1. The *Report and Order and Order on Remand and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* in the above-captioned proceeding, released on August 21, 2003, FCC 03-36, is corrected as indicated in this Errata. The corrected version will be published in the FCC Record. In addition, the corrected version will be posted on the Commission's website.

2. In paragraph 7, in the bullet-point titled "Clarification of TELRIC Rules," in the first, second and third sentences, we capitalize the first letter in the word "order."

3. In paragraph 26, in the third sentence, we replace "LECS" with "LECs."

4. In paragraph 31, in the first sentence, we capitalize the first letter of the word "order."

5. In paragraph 70, in the last sentence, we italicize the name "UNE Remand Order."

6. In paragraph 160, in the fourth sentence, we capitalize the first letter of the word "order."

7. In footnote 620, we replace "*See infra* note 628" with "*See infra* note 638."

8. In footnote 692, we remove italics from the word "*Letter*."

9. In footnote 749, we capitalize the first letter of the word “orders.”
10. In footnote 751, we capitalize the first letter of the word “order.”
11. In footnote 794, in the first sentence, we capitalize the first letter of the word “order.”
12. In footnote 872, we replace “LECS” with “LECs.”
13. In footnote 910, replace the last citation “*See id.*, para. 384 . . .” with “*See id.*, para. 385 . . . .”
14. In footnote 1091, in the first sentence, we delete the third instance of the word “in.” The sentence should read, “. . . may use an alternative method of interconnection as provided for in section 51.231 of the rules, 47 C.F.R. § 51.231.”
15. In footnote 1126, we replace “however” with “moreover” in the last sentence.
16. In footnote 1375, we add “, the vote” before “on February 20th” in the last sentence of the footnote. The sentence should read, “Today’s item is fully consistent with the positions taken in the negotiations leading to the vote, the vote on February 20th, and the majority’s views today.”
17. In paragraph 469, in the third sentence, we delete the second instance of the word “identified.” The sentence now reads, “Moreover, we find that the issue is not how well the process works currently with limited hot cut volumes, rather the issue identified by the record is an inherent limitation in the number of . . . .”
18. In footnote 1488, we remove italics from both instances of the word “*Letter.*”
19. In footnote 1510, we remove italics from the word “*Letter.*”
20. In footnote 1511, we remove italics from the word “*Letter.*”
21. In paragraph 499, we move the ninth sentence and combine it with the sixth sentence to form a new sixth sentence that reads “Identified carriers providing *wholesale* service should be actively providing voice service used to serve the mass market and be operationally ready and willing to provide wholesale service to all competitive providers in the designated market.” We add the following after footnote 1552: “As we stated above, a party aggrieved by a state commission determination, including a decision on the appropriate market definition, may seek a declaratory ruling from this Commission. *See supra* para. 426 (discussing declaratory ruling determinations). Accordingly, this Commission will exercise its authority as necessary to ensure that state market determinations are reasonable and comport with the guidance set forth herein.” We delete the seventh and eighth sentences.
22. At the end of footnote 1584, we italicize “Id” and add a period.
23. In paragraph 519, we delete the fifth sentence and delete footnote 1586.

24. In footnote 1623, we add a hyphen between the words “One” and “third.”
25. In footnote 1623, we capitalize the first letter of the word “order” where it appears, and we remove italics from the word “*Letter*.”
26. In paragraph 539, we change the second sentence to read: “In fact, the record shows that any disadvantages that competitive LECs may face in obtaining collocation space are likely outweighed by their advantage in relying solely on newer, more efficient technology.”
27. In paragraph 584, we change the first sentence to read: “As a final matter, we require that incumbent LECs permit commingling of UNEs and UNE combinations with other wholesale facilities and services, including any services offered for resale pursuant to section 251(c)(4) of the Act.”
28. In paragraph 593, in the third sentence, we capitalize the first letter of the word “order.”
29. In footnote 1936, in the second sentence, we add the word “it” between the words “that” and “will.” The sentence should read, “That is, Verizon states that it will provision . . . .”
30. In footnote 1977, we delete the last sentence.
31. In footnote 1990, we delete the last sentence.
32. In paragraph 661, in the first sentence, we add a space after the first hyphen.
33. In paragraph 661, in the fourth and fifth sentences, we replace the word “Orders” with “orders.”
34. In footnote 2072, in the citation date, we replace the comma after “Oct” with a period.
35. On page 6 of Appendix B listing the final rules, we replace paragraph 51.318(b) as follows: “An incumbent LEC need not provide access to (1) an unbundled DS1 loop in combination, or commingled, with a dedicated DS1 transport or dedicated DS3 transport facility or service, or to an unbundled DS3 loop in combination, or commingled, with a dedicated DS3 transport facility or service, or (2) an unbundled dedicated DS1 transport facility in combination, or commingled, with an unbundled DS1 loop or a DS1 channel termination service, or to an unbundled dedicated DS3 transport facility in combination, or commingled, with an unbundled DS1 loop or a DS1 channel termination service, or to an unbundled DS3 loop or a DS3 channel termination service, unless the requesting telecommunications carrier certifies that all of the following conditions are met:”
36. On pages 7 and 33-35 of Appendix B listing the final rules, we replace paragraph numbering to resolve errors resulting from computer format problems, which caused some paragraph numbering to be off by one number. On pages 7 and 33-35 of Appendix B, the paragraphs numbered 10 through 15 are replaced with numbering 11 through 16, respectively.

37. On page 13 of Appendix B listing the final rules, we delete the word “residential” in section 51.319(a)(3).

38. On page 13 of Appendix B listing the final rules, we replace “residential unit” with “end user’s customer premises” in section 51.319(a)(3)(i).

39. On page 21 of Appendix B listing the final rules, we delete the word “circuit” from rule sections 51.319(d)(2)(iii)(A)(1) and 51.319(d)(2)(iii)(A)(2).

40. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that the Report and Order and Order on Remand and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in the above-captioned proceeding IS AMENDED as set forth above.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Marlene H. Dortch  
Secretary