In the Matter of

Universal Service Contribution Methodology
Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service
Telecommunications Services for Individuals with Hearing and Speech Disabilities, and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990
Administration of the North American Numbering Plan and North American Numbering Plan Cost Recovery Contribution Factor and Fund Size
Number Resource Optimization
Telephone Number Portability
Truth-in-Billing and Billing Format
IP-Enabled Services

ERRATUM

Released: July 10, 2006

By the Acting Chief, Telecommunications Access Policy Division:

On June 27, 2006, the Commission released a Report and Order and Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (FCC 06-94) in the above-captioned proceedings. This Erratum corrects that document as indicated below:

1. In paragraph 21, last sentence, we replace “of 1996 Act” with “of the 1996 Act”.

2. In paragraph 26, sixth sentence, we replace “Telecoms” with “Telecoms”.
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3. In paragraph 53, eleventh sentence, we replace “In fact” with “In fact,”.

4. In paragraph 55, last sentence, we replace “interconnection VoIP” with “interconnected VoIP”.

5. In footnote 185, we replace “See Vonage June 14, 2006 Ex Parte Comments at 6.” with “See id. at 6.”.

6. In footnote 190, we replace “See supra n.116” with “See supra n.115”.

7. In footnote 217, we replace “See supra para. 26” with “See supra paras. 25-27”.

8. In Appendix C on page 21 of the instructions, we replace “Line 309, Line 409, and Line 410:” with “Line 309, Line 404.3 and 404.4, Line 409, and Line 410:”.

9. In Appendix C on page 24 of the instructions, we replace “Interconnected VoIP providers must make a similar allocation” with “Interconnected VoIP providers not reporting based on the safe harbor must make a similar allocation.”

10. In Appendix C on page 26 of the instructions, we replace “Interconnected VoIP long distance service includes: separately stated toll charges provided via interconnected VoIP; an allocated share of any interconnected VoIP service plan revenues where the plan includes local and long distance service; and any other interconnected VoIP revenues for providing long distance service” with “Interconnected VoIP long distance service includes separately stated toll charges provided via interconnected VoIP.”

11. In Appendix C on page 26 of the instructions, we replace “Where customers are charged a single rate for a combined VoIP local and long distance service, the portion of revenues corresponding to local service should be reported on Line 404.2 and the portion of revenues corresponding to long distance service should be reported on line 414.2” with “Where customers are charged a single rate for a combined VoIP local and long distance service, and the reporting entity is not reporting based on the safe harbor, the portion of revenues corresponding to local service should be reported on Line 404.3 or 404.4 and the portion of revenues corresponding to long distance service should be reported on line 414.2.”

12. In Appendix D on page 16 of the instructions, we replace “28.5” with “37.1.”

13. In Appendix D on page 16 of the instructions, we replace “Traffic studies should include, at a minimum: (1) an explanation of the sampling and estimation methods employed; (2) an explanation as to why the study results in an unbiased estimate with the accuracy specified above; (3) all of the underlying data in machine readable form formatted for EXCEL, ACCESS or SAS; and (4) any documentation necessary to facilitate an audit of the study data” with “Traffic studies should include, at a minimum: (1) an explanation of the sampling and estimation methods employed and (2) an explanation as to why the study results in an unbiased estimate with the accuracy specified above. Mobile wireless providers should retain all data underlying their traffic studies as well as all documentation necessary to facilitate an audit of the study data and be prepared to make this data and documentation available to the Commission upon request.”

14. In Appendix E, we renumber the paragraphs to begin with paragraph 87.

15. In Appendix F, we renumber the paragraphs to begin with paragraph 150.
16. In Appendix F, footnote 409, we replace “See NPRM, supra, para. 69” with “See NPRM, supra, paras. 66, 69”.
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