

SANFORD D. BISHOP, JR.
SECOND DISTRICT, GEORGIA



COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

SUBCOMMITTEES:
RANKING MEMBER
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION/VA
AGRICULTURE
LEGISLATIVE BRANCH

WASHINGTON OFFICE:
2429 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20515-1002
PHONE: (202) 225-3631
FAX: (202) 225-2203

Congress of the United States
House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515-1002

April 5, 2011

DISTRICT OFFICES:

ALBANY
235 ROOSEVELT AVENUE
ALBANY TOWERS, SUITE 114
ALBANY, GA 31701
PHONE: (229) 439-8067
FAX: (229) 436-2099

COLUMBUS
18 NINTH STREET, SUITE 201
COLUMBUS, GA 31901
PHONE: (706) 320-9477
FAX: (706) 320-9479

THOMASVILLE
325 EAST JACKSON STREET
SUITE A
THOMASVILLE, GA 31792
PHONE: (229) 226-7789
FAX: (229) 226-7860

The Honorable Julius Genachowski,
Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Chairman Genachowski:

I am writing to you regarding the FCC's current efforts to reform the universal service (USF) program and intercarrier compensation system (ICC). I support making these programs more accountable and encourage the FCC to develop a smooth and predictable transition toward reform.

The USF and ICC are extremely important mechanisms for increasing broadband access for rural Georgians. The country has made significant progress in linking small communities, such as those in southwest Georgia, with our urban centers and global markets through communications. These investments have been made through loans, loan guarantees, grants, and significant private sector support to build infrastructure in sparsely populated and remote areas which would not otherwise be served.

As part of ongoing reform efforts, the FCC is reviewing a number of new and reformed program restraints, benchmarks, and formulas intended to make universal service more efficient. The FCC also is implementing a new intercarrier compensation system. The FCC should execute these reforms in a way that manages the providers' transition.

I would welcome your response to a few brief questions. In particular, could you describe how the FCC will specifically account for the impact any changes to USF and ICC may have on service providers who are also RUS borrowers? Will the FCC conduct a thorough analysis of USF and ICC changes and the potential impact of those changes on RUS borrowers' ability to repay loans? Furthermore, will you conduct such an analysis before making any changes to USF and ICC rules?

I would be grateful for your timely response to these questions. I look forward to working with you to ensure that the investments our nation makes in telecommunications infrastructure will connect rural America and grow our economy for the future.

With warmest regards, I remain

Sincerely yours,

Sanford D. Bishop, Jr.
Member of Congress

bishop.email@mail.house.gov • www.house.gov/bishop

*Web
ICC
USF
High
Cost
480*

12 APR 2011 RCD