

## Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

August 24, 2011

Via First Class Mail and E-mail

Richard L. Rosen, Esquire Arnold & Porter, LLP 12th Street, NW Washington, DC 20004

Re: Applications of AT&T Inc. and Deutsche Telekom AG for Consent to Assign or Transfer Control of License and Authorizations, WTB Docket No. 11-65

Dear Mr. Rosen:

Thank you for your August 8<sup>th</sup> letter providing AT&T's summary of our August 4<sup>th</sup> meeting on AT&T's LTE deployment plans as they relate to the above-referenced transaction. I write to request further information regarding two issues discussed at that meeting.

First, your letter states that [Begin Highly Confidential Information]

## [End Highly Confidential Information]

Second, your letter reiterates AT&T's statement that "the transaction changes the calculus for LTE deployment in important respects." Specifically, we understand that AT&T's senior management concluded the transaction would improve the likely return on the additional LTE deployment to create a business case for this deployment where one would not exist absent the transaction. At our August 4<sup>th</sup> meeting, Commission staff requested any documents related to this statement, including any estimates of transaction-related changes in cost, revenue, and/or profitability associated with additional LTE deployment. Although AT&T has stated that it has not quantified the transaction-related changes in the business case for extending its LTE footprint, we ask that you supplement your filing with any documents or analyses explaining why the changes in cost, revenue, and/or profitability are likely to be large enough to change the overall business case for the additional deployment.

Since this letter requests narrow categories of documents, our request is not limited to any designated custodians or to documents that reached a certain level in the company's hierarchy. Your responses should otherwise comply with the instructions accompanying the Information Requests dated May 27, 2011. To the extent your response identifies previously submitted documents, please identify them by Bates number. To the extent your response includes documents not previously submitted to the Commission, please identify them in metadata as responsive to 'Letter of Aug. 24' rather than a numbered request. We thank you for your timely cooperation. Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any questions regarding these requests.

Sincerely,

Renata Hesse

Senior Counsel to the Chairman for Transactions

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> AT&T Letter at 4.