PAUL A. GOSAR, D.D.S.

FIRST DISTRICT, ARIZONA

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND GOVERNMENT REFORM

SUBCOMMITTEES

VICE CHAIRMAN, HEALTH CARE, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, CENSUS AND THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES

NATIONAL SECURITY, HOMELAND DEFENSE AND FOREIGN OPERATIONS

GOVERNMENT ORGANIZATION, EFFICIENCY AND FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

COMMITTEE ON **NATURAL RESOURCES**

SUBCOMMITTEES

ENERGY AND MINERALS

INDIAN AND ALASKA NATIVE AFFAIRS

WATER AND POWER



Congress of the United States House of Representatives

Washington, DC 20515-0301

July 8, 2011

The Honorable Julius Genachowski Chairman Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

WASHINGTON D.C. 504 CANNON HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING Washington, D.C. 20515

(202) 225-2315 WWW.GOSAR.HOUSE.GOV

CASA GRANDE

211 NORTH FLORENCE STREET, #3 CASA GRANDE, AZ 85122 (520) 836-5289

FLAGSTAFE

1515 East Cedar Avenue, #A6 FLAGSTAFF, AZ 86004 (928) 214-6055

PRESCOTT

240 SOUTH MONTEZUMA STREET, #101 PRESCOTT, AZ 86303 (928) 445-1683

Wife gro

Dear Chairman Genachowski:

We represent Northern Arizona in the House of Representatives, and Accipiter Communications serves many of our constituents, doing business as Zona Communications. We write to you today, concerning Accipiter Communication Inc.'s Application for Review of the September 2010 decision of the Wireline Competition Bureau, CC Docket No. 96-45. As you know, the Wireline Competition Bureau denied the joint petition for waiver of the Commission's rule establishing a study area boundary freeze for federal universal service purposes.

It is our understanding that the Wireline Competition Bureau's September order denying the waiver asserted that the petitioners failed to show that a grant of the waiver would serve the public interest. However, Accipiter had agreed to forgo a subsidy from the Universal Service Fund (USF) for the area in question and therefore had eliminated the only public interest concern expressed during the four years that the petition was before the Bureau. The remainder of the record before the Bureau provided multiple public interest benefits including competition, the presence of a carrier-of-last-resort in the area, and better economies of scale which help Accipiter to support its existing rural customer base.

The comments filed by the Arizona Corporation Commission (ACC) on May 26, 2011 revisit the public interest benefits noted above and clearly demonstrate the ACC's finding that modification of service area boundaries is in the public interest. In view of the state's strong explicit interest and the fact that the sole purpose of the Commission's rule is to control growth of the Universal Service Fund, we cannot understand why the Bureau would deny the waiver in contradiction to the public interest findings of a state agency.

Accipiter has expressed concern to us about the company's ability to operate in the unprecedented regulatory situation created by the Wireline Competition Bureau's denial order. We are particularly concerned to learn that the FCC has told senior management at Accipiter that it does not have the resources at this time to process the application, given the Commission's focus on Universal Service Fund (USF) and Intercarrier Compensation (ICC) reform. We are extremely concerned about the potential for this delay to strangle Accipiter's ability to provide telecommunications services to our rural constituents. We request your immediate attention in addressing this situation and encourage a favorable solution for Accipiter. We appreciate your fair and thoughtful analysis of the facts associated with this issue and look forward to your response.

Sincerely,

Paul A. Gosar, DDS Member of Congress

Front Franks

Member of Congress