

February 27, 2015

The Honorable Tammy Baldwin United States Senate 717 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Baldwin:

Thank you for your letter regarding pending petitions for declaratory ruling on the applicability of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) and the Commission's related rules. Your views are very important and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

As you note, Congress enacted the TCPA in 1991 to protect consumers from unwanted autodialed or prerecorded telemarketing calls. The statute and the Commission's implementing rules prohibit the use of automatic telephone dialing systems and artificial or prerecorded voice messages to make non-emergency calls without prior express consent in certain instances.

The Commission has received several petitions regarding the applicability of the TCPA and the Commission's related rules. Among the various issues, a few major questions arise. One question is whether to consider certain calling equipment "autodialers" under the TCPA, thereby making users of that equipment subject to the law's consumer protections. Another issue is how the law might apply if a caller dials a wireless number that was once assigned to a subscriber who consented to such calls but now is re-assigned to another subscriber who does not. A third question is whether the TCPA applies with equal force to non-telemarketing calls to wireless numbers.

As we do with all TCPA petitions, we have sought public comment, and most of those comment cycles have been completed. The Commission staff is comprehensively reviewing the record established in each proceeding. The staff has also had numerous informative meetings with consumer groups, trade associations, small business owners, and state attorneys general. We have also regularly reviewed the FCC complaint database to make certain that we understand the full impact of robocalls on individual consumers.

Page 2—The Honorable Tammy Baldwin

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



February 27, 2015

The Honorable Richard Blumenthal United States Senate 702 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Blumenthal:

Thank you for your letter regarding pending petitions for declaratory ruling on the applicability of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) and the Commission's related rules. Your views are very important and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

As you note, Congress enacted the TCPA in 1991 to protect consumers from unwanted autodialed or prerecorded telemarketing calls. The statute and the Commission's implementing rules prohibit the use of automatic telephone dialing systems and artificial or prerecorded voice messages to make non-emergency calls without prior express consent in certain instances.

The Commission has received several petitions regarding the applicability of the TCPA and the Commission's related rules. Among the various issues, a few major questions arise. One question is whether to consider certain calling equipment "autodialers" under the TCPA, thereby making users of that equipment subject to the law's consumer protections. Another issue is how the law might apply if a caller dials a wireless number that was once assigned to a subscriber who consented to such calls but now is re-assigned to another subscriber who does not. A third question is whether the TCPA applies with equal force to non-telemarketing calls to wireless numbers.

As we do with all TCPA petitions, we have sought public comment, and most of those comment cycles have been completed. The Commission staff is comprehensively reviewing the record established in each proceeding. The staff has also had numerous informative meetings with consumer groups, trade associations, small business owners, and state attorneys general. We have also regularly reviewed the FCC complaint database to make certain that we understand the full impact of robocalls on individual consumers.

Page 2—The Honorable Richard Blumenthal

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



February 27, 2015

The Honorable Barbara Boxer United States Senate 112 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Boxer:

Thank you for your letter regarding pending petitions for declaratory ruling on the applicability of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) and the Commission's related rules. Your views are very important and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

As you note, Congress enacted the TCPA in 1991 to protect consumers from unwanted autodialed or prerecorded telemarketing calls. The statute and the Commission's implementing rules prohibit the use of automatic telephone dialing systems and artificial or prerecorded voice messages to make non-emergency calls without prior express consent in certain instances.

The Commission has received several petitions regarding the applicability of the TCPA and the Commission's related rules. Among the various issues, a few major questions arise. One question is whether to consider certain calling equipment "autodialers" under the TCPA, thereby making users of that equipment subject to the law's consumer protections. Another issue is how the law might apply if a caller dials a wireless number that was once assigned to a subscriber who consented to such calls but now is re-assigned to another subscriber who does not. A third question is whether the TCPA applies with equal force to non-telemarketing calls to wireless numbers.

As we do with all TCPA petitions, we have sought public comment, and most of those comment cycles have been completed. The Commission staff is comprehensively reviewing the record established in each proceeding. The staff has also had numerous informative meetings with consumer groups, trade associations, small business owners, and state attorneys general. We have also regularly reviewed the FCC complaint database to make certain that we understand the full impact of robocalls on individual consumers.

Page 2—The Honorable Barbara Boxer

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



February 27, 2015

The Honorable Al Franken United States Senate 309 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Franken:

Thank you for your letter regarding pending petitions for declaratory ruling on the applicability of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) and the Commission's related rules. Your views are very important and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

As you note, Congress enacted the TCPA in 1991 to protect consumers from unwanted autodialed or prerecorded telemarketing calls. The statute and the Commission's implementing rules prohibit the use of automatic telephone dialing systems and artificial or prerecorded voice messages to make non-emergency calls without prior express consent in certain instances.

The Commission has received several petitions regarding the applicability of the TCPA and the Commission's related rules. Among the various issues, a few major questions arise. One question is whether to consider certain calling equipment "autodialers" under the TCPA, thereby making users of that equipment subject to the law's consumer protections. Another issue is how the law might apply if a caller dials a wireless number that was once assigned to a subscriber who consented to such calls but now is re-assigned to another subscriber who does not. A third question is whether the TCPA applies with equal force to non-telemarketing calls to wireless numbers.

As we do with all TCPA petitions, we have sought public comment, and most of those comment cycles have been completed. The Commission staff is comprehensively reviewing the record established in each proceeding. The staff has also had numerous informative meetings with consumer groups, trade associations, small business owners, and state attorneys general. We have also regularly reviewed the FCC complaint database to make certain that we understand the full impact of robocalls on individual consumers.

Page 2—The Honorable Al Franken

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



February 27, 2015

The Honorable Kirsten Gillibrand United States Senate 478 Russell Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Gillibrand:

Thank you for your letter regarding pending petitions for declaratory ruling on the applicability of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) and the Commission's related rules. Your views are very important and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

As you note, Congress enacted the TCPA in 1991 to protect consumers from unwanted autodialed or prerecorded telemarketing calls. The statute and the Commission's implementing rules prohibit the use of automatic telephone dialing systems and artificial or prerecorded voice messages to make non-emergency calls without prior express consent in certain instances.

The Commission has received several petitions regarding the applicability of the TCPA and the Commission's related rules. Among the various issues, a few major questions arise. One question is whether to consider certain calling equipment "autodialers" under the TCPA, thereby making users of that equipment subject to the law's consumer protections. Another issue is how the law might apply if a caller dials a wireless number that was once assigned to a subscriber who consented to such calls but now is re-assigned to another subscriber who does not. A third question is whether the TCPA applies with equal force to non-telemarketing calls to wireless numbers.

As we do with all TCPA petitions, we have sought public comment, and most of those comment cycles have been completed. The Commission staff is comprehensively reviewing the record established in each proceeding. The staff has also had numerous informative meetings with consumer groups, trade associations, small business owners, and state attorneys general. We have also regularly reviewed the FCC complaint database to make certain that we understand the full impact of robocalls on individual consumers.

Page 2—The Honorable Kirsten Gillibrand

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



February 27, 2015

The Honorable Amy Klobuchar United States Senate 302 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Klobuchar:

Thank you for your letter regarding pending petitions for declaratory ruling on the applicability of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) and the Commission's related rules. Your views are very important and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

As you note, Congress enacted the TCPA in 1991 to protect consumers from unwanted autodialed or prerecorded telemarketing calls. The statute and the Commission's implementing rules prohibit the use of automatic telephone dialing systems and artificial or prerecorded voice messages to make non-emergency calls without prior express consent in certain instances.

The Commission has received several petitions regarding the applicability of the TCPA and the Commission's related rules. Among the various issues, a few major questions arise. One question is whether to consider certain calling equipment "autodialers" under the TCPA, thereby making users of that equipment subject to the law's consumer protections. Another issue is how the law might apply if a caller dials a wireless number that was once assigned to a subscriber who consented to such calls but now is re-assigned to another subscriber who does not. A third question is whether the TCPA applies with equal force to non-telemarketing calls to wireless numbers.

As we do with all TCPA petitions, we have sought public comment, and most of those comment cycles have been completed. The Commission staff is comprehensively reviewing the record established in each proceeding. The staff has also had numerous informative meetings with consumer groups, trade associations, small business owners, and state attorneys general. We have also regularly reviewed the FCC complaint database to make certain that we understand the full impact of robocalls on individual consumers.

Page 2—The Honorable Amy Klobuchar

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



February 27, 2015

The Honorable Edward J. Markey United States Senate 218 Russell Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Markey:

Thank you for your letter regarding pending petitions for declaratory ruling on the applicability of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) and the Commission's related rules. Your views are very important and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

As you note, Congress enacted the TCPA in 1991 to protect consumers from unwanted autodialed or prerecorded telemarketing calls. The statute and the Commission's implementing rules prohibit the use of automatic telephone dialing systems and artificial or prerecorded voice messages to make non-emergency calls without prior express consent in certain instances.

The Commission has received several petitions regarding the applicability of the TCPA and the Commission's related rules. Among the various issues, a few major questions arise. One question is whether to consider certain calling equipment "autodialers" under the TCPA, thereby making users of that equipment subject to the law's consumer protections. Another issue is how the law might apply if a caller dials a wireless number that was once assigned to a subscriber who consented to such calls but now is re-assigned to another subscriber who does not. A third question is whether the TCPA applies with equal force to non-telemarketing calls to wireless numbers.

As we do with all TCPA petitions, we have sought public comment, and most of those comment cycles have been completed. The Commission staff is comprehensively reviewing the record established in each proceeding. The staff has also had numerous informative meetings with consumer groups, trade associations, small business owners, and state attorneys general. We have also regularly reviewed the FCC complaint database to make certain that we understand the full impact of robocalls on individual consumers.

Page 2—The Honorable Edward Markey

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



February 27, 2015

The Honorable Claire McCaskill United States Senate SH-506 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator McCaskill:

Thank you for your letter regarding pending petitions for declaratory ruling on the applicability of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) and the Commission's related rules. Your views are very important and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

As you note, Congress enacted the TCPA in 1991 to protect consumers from unwanted autodialed or prerecorded telemarketing calls. The statute and the Commission's implementing rules prohibit the use of automatic telephone dialing systems and artificial or prerecorded voice messages to make non-emergency calls without prior express consent in certain instances.

The Commission has received several petitions regarding the applicability of the TCPA and the Commission's related rules. Among the various issues, a few major questions arise. One question is whether to consider certain calling equipment "autodialers" under the TCPA, thereby making users of that equipment subject to the law's consumer protections. Another issue is how the law might apply if a caller dials a wireless number that was once assigned to a subscriber who consented to such calls but now is re-assigned to another subscriber who does not. A third question is whether the TCPA applies with equal force to non-telemarketing calls to wireless numbers.

As we do with all TCPA petitions, we have sought public comment, and most of those comment cycles have been completed. The Commission staff is comprehensively reviewing the record established in each proceeding. The staff has also had numerous informative meetings with consumer groups, trade associations, small business owners, and state attorneys general. We have also regularly reviewed the FCC complaint database to make certain that we understand the full impact of robocalls on individual consumers.

Page 2—The Honorable Claire McCaskill

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



February 27, 2015

The Honorable Jeff Merkley United States Senate 107 Russell Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Merkley:

Thank you for your letter regarding pending petitions for declaratory ruling on the applicability of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) and the Commission's related rules. Your views are very important and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

As you note, Congress enacted the TCPA in 1991 to protect consumers from unwanted autodialed or prerecorded telemarketing calls. The statute and the Commission's implementing rules prohibit the use of automatic telephone dialing systems and artificial or prerecorded voice messages to make non-emergency calls without prior express consent in certain instances.

The Commission has received several petitions regarding the applicability of the TCPA and the Commission's related rules. Among the various issues, a few major questions arise. One question is whether to consider certain calling equipment "autodialers" under the TCPA, thereby making users of that equipment subject to the law's consumer protections. Another issue is how the law might apply if a caller dials a wireless number that was once assigned to a subscriber who consented to such calls but now is re-assigned to another subscriber who does not. A third question is whether the TCPA applies with equal force to non-telemarketing calls to wireless numbers.

As we do with all TCPA petitions, we have sought public comment, and most of those comment cycles have been completed. The Commission staff is comprehensively reviewing the record established in each proceeding. The staff has also had numerous informative meetings with consumer groups, trade associations, small business owners, and state attorneys general. We have also regularly reviewed the FCC complaint database to make certain that we understand the full impact of robocalls on individual consumers.

Page 2—The Honorable Jeff Merkley

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



February 27, 2015

The Honorable Bernard Sanders United States Senate 332 Dirksen Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Sanders:

Thank you for your letter regarding pending petitions for declaratory ruling on the applicability of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) and the Commission's related rules. Your views are very important and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

As you note, Congress enacted the TCPA in 1991 to protect consumers from unwanted autodialed or prerecorded telemarketing calls. The statute and the Commission's implementing rules prohibit the use of automatic telephone dialing systems and artificial or prerecorded voice messages to make non-emergency calls without prior express consent in certain instances.

The Commission has received several petitions regarding the applicability of the TCPA and the Commission's related rules. Among the various issues, a few major questions arise. One question is whether to consider certain calling equipment "autodialers" under the TCPA, thereby making users of that equipment subject to the law's consumer protections. Another issue is how the law might apply if a caller dials a wireless number that was once assigned to a subscriber who consented to such calls but now is re-assigned to another subscriber who does not. A third question is whether the TCPA applies with equal force to non-telemarketing calls to wireless numbers.

As we do with all TCPA petitions, we have sought public comment, and most of those comment cycles have been completed. The Commission staff is comprehensively reviewing the record established in each proceeding. The staff has also had numerous informative meetings with consumer groups, trade associations, small business owners, and state attorneys general. We have also regularly reviewed the FCC complaint database to make certain that we understand the full impact of robocalls on individual consumers.

Page 2—The Honorable Bernard Sanders

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



February 27, 2015

The Honorable Charles E. Schumer United States Senate 322 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Schumer:

Thank you for your letter regarding pending petitions for declaratory ruling on the applicability of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) and the Commission's related rules. Your views are very important and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

As you note, Congress enacted the TCPA in 1991 to protect consumers from unwanted autodialed or prerecorded telemarketing calls. The statute and the Commission's implementing rules prohibit the use of automatic telephone dialing systems and artificial or prerecorded voice messages to make non-emergency calls without prior express consent in certain instances.

The Commission has received several petitions regarding the applicability of the TCPA and the Commission's related rules. Among the various issues, a few major questions arise. One question is whether to consider certain calling equipment "autodialers" under the TCPA, thereby making users of that equipment subject to the law's consumer protections. Another issue is how the law might apply if a caller dials a wireless number that was once assigned to a subscriber who consented to such calls but now is re-assigned to another subscriber who does not. A third question is whether the TCPA applies with equal force to non-telemarketing calls to wireless numbers.

As we do with all TCPA petitions, we have sought public comment, and most of those comment cycles have been completed. The Commission staff is comprehensively reviewing the record established in each proceeding. The staff has also had numerous informative meetings with consumer groups, trade associations, small business owners, and state attorneys general. We have also regularly reviewed the FCC complaint database to make certain that we understand the full impact of robocalls on individual consumers.

Page 2—The Honorable Charles Schumer

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



February 27, 2015

The Honorable Elizabeth Warren United States Senate C2 Russell Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Warren:

Thank you for your letter regarding pending petitions for declaratory ruling on the applicability of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) and the Commission's related rules. Your views are very important and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

As you note, Congress enacted the TCPA in 1991 to protect consumers from unwanted autodialed or prerecorded telemarketing calls. The statute and the Commission's implementing rules prohibit the use of automatic telephone dialing systems and artificial or prerecorded voice messages to make non-emergency calls without prior express consent in certain instances.

The Commission has received several petitions regarding the applicability of the TCPA and the Commission's related rules. Among the various issues, a few major questions arise. One question is whether to consider certain calling equipment "autodialers" under the TCPA, thereby making users of that equipment subject to the law's consumer protections. Another issue is how the law might apply if a caller dials a wireless number that was once assigned to a subscriber who consented to such calls but now is re-assigned to another subscriber who does not. A third question is whether the TCPA applies with equal force to non-telemarketing calls to wireless numbers.

As we do with all TCPA petitions, we have sought public comment, and most of those comment cycles have been completed. The Commission staff is comprehensively reviewing the record established in each proceeding. The staff has also had numerous informative meetings with consumer groups, trade associations, small business owners, and state attorneys general. We have also regularly reviewed the FCC complaint database to make certain that we understand the full impact of robocalls on individual consumers.

Page 2—The Honorable Elizabeth Warren

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



February 27, 2015

The Honorable Sheldon Whitehouse United States Senate 502 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Whitehouse:

Thank you for your letter regarding pending petitions for declaratory ruling on the applicability of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) and the Commission's related rules. Your views are very important and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

As you note, Congress enacted the TCPA in 1991 to protect consumers from unwanted autodialed or prerecorded telemarketing calls. The statute and the Commission's implementing rules prohibit the use of automatic telephone dialing systems and artificial or prerecorded voice messages to make non-emergency calls without prior express consent in certain instances.

The Commission has received several petitions regarding the applicability of the TCPA and the Commission's related rules. Among the various issues, a few major questions arise. One question is whether to consider certain calling equipment "autodialers" under the TCPA, thereby making users of that equipment subject to the law's consumer protections. Another issue is how the law might apply if a caller dials a wireless number that was once assigned to a subscriber who consented to such calls but now is re-assigned to another subscriber who does not. A third question is whether the TCPA applies with equal force to non-telemarketing calls to wireless numbers.

As we do with all TCPA petitions, we have sought public comment, and most of those comment cycles have been completed. The Commission staff is comprehensively reviewing the record established in each proceeding. The staff has also had numerous informative meetings with consumer groups, trade associations, small business owners, and state attorneys general. We have also regularly reviewed the FCC complaint database to make certain that we understand the full impact of robocalls on individual consumers.

Page 2—The Honorable Sheldon Whitehouse

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



February 27, 2015

The Honorable Ron Wyden United States Senate 223 Dirksen Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Wyden:

Thank you for your letter regarding pending petitions for declaratory ruling on the applicability of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) and the Commission's related rules. Your views are very important and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

As you note, Congress enacted the TCPA in 1991 to protect consumers from unwanted autodialed or prerecorded telemarketing calls. The statute and the Commission's implementing rules prohibit the use of automatic telephone dialing systems and artificial or prerecorded voice messages to make non-emergency calls without prior express consent in certain instances.

The Commission has received several petitions regarding the applicability of the TCPA and the Commission's related rules. Among the various issues, a few major questions arise. One question is whether to consider certain calling equipment "autodialers" under the TCPA, thereby making users of that equipment subject to the law's consumer protections. Another issue is how the law might apply if a caller dials a wireless number that was once assigned to a subscriber who consented to such calls but now is re-assigned to another subscriber who does not. A third question is whether the TCPA applies with equal force to non-telemarketing calls to wireless numbers.

As we do with all TCPA petitions, we have sought public comment, and most of those comment cycles have been completed. The Commission staff is comprehensively reviewing the record established in each proceeding. The staff has also had numerous informative meetings with consumer groups, trade associations, small business owners, and state attorneys general. We have also regularly reviewed the FCC complaint database to make certain that we understand the full impact of robocalls on individual consumers.

Page 2— Ron Wyden

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,