



OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

May 21, 2015

The Honorable Nydia M. Velazquez
U.S. House of Representatives
2302 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Velazquez:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Lifeline recertification process in New York. I appreciate your interest in this matter and am pleased to provide the enclosed letter on this issue from the Chief of the FCC's Wireline Competition Bureau and our Managing Director.

If you have any additional questions or need any further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Tom Wheeler".

Tom Wheeler



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

May 21, 2015

The Honorable Nydia M. Velazquez
U.S. House of Representatives
2302 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Velazquez:

Thank you for your letter expressing concerns regarding the Lifeline recertification process in New York. We share your concerns. The goal of the Lifeline program is to ensure that affordable communications services are available to low-income consumers, and our processes should support that goal. We take seriously the importance of the Lifeline program to those consumers, including those for whom English is not their first language. As explained below, we have already made changes to the recertification process so that consumers better understand the process, and we are also considering additional changes in response to these concerns.

The recertification process ensures that Lifeline support goes to qualifying consumers. By requiring providers to recertify that their subscribers remain eligible for the Lifeline benefit, we ensure that scarce resources are targeted to those consumers who need the service. In those cases where a state administrator does not perform recertification, the 2012 *Lifeline Reform Order* permits carriers either to recertify their customers directly or to utilize the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) to recertify customers on their behalf. USAC makes an effort to ensure that consumers understand their recertification obligations and the recertification process. If a provider elects for USAC to recertify its customers on its behalf, USAC sends a letter to each customer, in both English and Spanish, informing the customer of the obligation to recertify that he or she remains eligible for the Lifeline benefit. The letter explains the recertification process, how the consumer may confirm eligibility, and that the consumer has 30 days to complete the recertification, or he or she will no longer receive the Lifeline benefit. Consumers have three methods, in both English and Spanish, to respond to the recertification letter: (1) call a toll-free number and respond through an interactive voice response system; (2) recertify through a USAC-maintained recertification website; or (3) complete, sign, and return a recertification form via U.S. Mail. The consumer will also receive an automated call or text message in both English and Spanish during the 30-day period to encourage him or her to respond to the letter.

Despite USAC's efforts, we understand that some consumers may not have received or understood their recertification notices. This could be due to a variety of reasons, including that the consumers may have moved to a new address, English or Spanish (as you note) may not be accessible languages to them, or because they did not otherwise understand the notice. Consumers may also not recognize that a letter from USAC is related to their Lifeline benefit. Significantly, this is the first year that Verizon has elected to use USAC instead of performing recertification on its own. Therefore, the majority of Verizon's subscribers were unfamiliar with USAC's process, which may differ from Verizon's process from prior years or the process of other providers.

After hearing similar concerns in late March, FCC staff directed USAC to make changes to

the recertification process for the coming year to ensure that consumers receive their recertification notice and better understand both the consequences of not responding to the recertification letter and the process for re-enrolling in Lifeline if they are de-enrolled. If USAC determines that a subscriber was not able to recertify his or her eligibility within the 30-day period, for example, it will send an automated text or call to the subscriber informing the consumer that he or she will no longer receive the Lifeline benefit for failure to complete the recertification process and also explaining how the consumer can re-enroll in the program.

While we are confident that these changes to the recertification process will alleviate some of the issues raised in your letter, we are also considering additional changes to address your concerns. Specifically, we are examining ways that USAC can better identify itself to consumers, so consumers understand USAC's role in the recertification process. We are also examining whether USAC should provide recertification notices and opportunities to respond to the recertification attempt in languages other than English and Spanish. We will continue to look for ways to improve our recertification processes and believe that these efforts, along with the reforms described above, will improve the Lifeline program for consumers.

We appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let us know if we can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



Julie A. Veach
Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau



Jon Wilkins
Managing Director



OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

May 21, 2015

The Honorable Jerrold Nadler
U.S. House of Representatives
2110 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Nadler:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Lifeline recertification process in New York. I appreciate your interest in this matter and am pleased to provide the enclosed letter on this issue from the Chief of the FCC's Wireline Competition Bureau and our Managing Director.

If you have any additional questions or need any further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Tom Wheeler", with a horizontal line above it.

Tom Wheeler



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

May 21, 2015

The Honorable Jerrold Nadler
U.S. House of Representatives
2110 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Nadler:

Thank you for your letter expressing concerns regarding the Lifeline recertification process in New York. We share your concerns. The goal of the Lifeline program is to ensure that affordable communications services are available to low-income consumers, and our processes should support that goal. We take seriously the importance of the Lifeline program to those consumers, including those for whom English is not their first language. As explained below, we have already made changes to the recertification process so that consumers better understand the process, and we are also considering additional changes in response to these concerns.

The recertification process ensures that Lifeline support goes to qualifying consumers. By requiring providers to recertify that their subscribers remain eligible for the Lifeline benefit, we ensure that scarce resources are targeted to those consumers who need the service. In those cases where a state administrator does not perform recertification, the 2012 *Lifeline Reform Order* permits carriers either to recertify their customers directly or to utilize the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) to recertify customers on their behalf. USAC makes an effort to ensure that consumers understand their recertification obligations and the recertification process. If a provider elects for USAC to recertify its customers on its behalf, USAC sends a letter to each customer, in both English and Spanish, informing the customer of the obligation to recertify that he or she remains eligible for the Lifeline benefit. The letter explains the recertification process, how the consumer may confirm eligibility, and that the consumer has 30 days to complete the recertification, or he or she will no longer receive the Lifeline benefit. Consumers have three methods, in both English and Spanish, to respond to the recertification letter: (1) call a toll-free number and respond through an interactive voice response system; (2) recertify through a USAC-maintained recertification website; or (3) complete, sign, and return a recertification form via U.S. Mail. The consumer will also receive an automated call or text message in both English and Spanish during the 30-day period to encourage him or her to respond to the letter.

Despite USAC's efforts, we understand that some consumers may not have received or understood their recertification notices. This could be due to a variety of reasons, including that the consumers may have moved to a new address, English or Spanish (as you note) may not be accessible languages to them, or because they did not otherwise understand the notice. Consumers may also not recognize that a letter from USAC is related to their Lifeline benefit. Significantly, this is the first year that Verizon has elected to use USAC instead of performing recertification on its own. Therefore, the majority of Verizon's subscribers were unfamiliar with USAC's process, which may differ from Verizon's process from prior years or the process of other providers.


After hearing similar concerns in late March, FCC staff directed USAC to make changes to

the recertification process for the coming year to ensure that consumers receive their recertification notice and better understand both the consequences of not responding to the recertification letter and the process for re-enrolling in Lifeline if they are de-enrolled. If USAC determines that a subscriber was not able to recertify his or her eligibility within the 30-day period, for example, it will send an automated text or call to the subscriber informing the consumer that he or she will no longer receive the Lifeline benefit for failure to complete the recertification process and also explaining how the consumer can re-enroll in the program.

While we are confident that these changes to the recertification process will alleviate some of the issues raised in your letter, we are also considering additional changes to address your concerns. Specifically, we are examining ways that USAC can better identify itself to consumers, so consumers understand USAC's role in the recertification process. We are also examining whether USAC should provide recertification notices and opportunities to respond to the recertification attempt in languages other than English and Spanish. We will continue to look for ways to improve our recertification processes and believe that these efforts, along with the reforms described above, will improve the Lifeline program for consumers.

We appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let us know if we can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



Julie A. Veach
Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau



Jon Wilkins
Managing Director



OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

May 21, 2015

The Honorable Margaret S. Chin
New York City Council Member
Chatham Green 165 Park Row
Suite #11
New York, NY 10038

Dear Councilwoman Chin:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Lifeline recertification process in New York. I appreciate your interest in this matter and am pleased to provide the enclosed letter on this issue from the Chief of the FCC's Wireline Competition Bureau and our Managing Director.

If you have any additional questions or need any further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Tom Wheeler", with a horizontal line underneath.

Tom Wheeler



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

May 21, 2015

The Honorable Margaret S. Chin
New York City Council Member
Chatham Green 165 Park Row, Suite #11
New York, NY 10038

Dear Councilwoman Chin:

Thank you for your letter expressing concerns regarding the Lifeline recertification process in New York. We share your concerns. The goal of the Lifeline program is to ensure that affordable communications services are available to low-income consumers, and our processes should support that goal. We take seriously the importance of the Lifeline program to those consumers, including those for whom English is not their first language. As explained below, we have already made changes to the recertification process so that consumers better understand the process, and we are also considering additional changes in response to these concerns.

The recertification process ensures that Lifeline support goes to qualifying consumers. By requiring providers to recertify that their subscribers remain eligible for the Lifeline benefit, we ensure that scarce resources are targeted to those consumers who need the service. In those cases where a state administrator does not perform recertification, the 2012 *Lifeline Reform Order* permits carriers either to recertify their customers directly or to utilize the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) to recertify customers on their behalf. USAC makes an effort to ensure that consumers understand their recertification obligations and the recertification process. If a provider elects for USAC to recertify its customers on its behalf, USAC sends a letter to each customer, in both English and Spanish, informing the customer of the obligation to recertify that he or she remains eligible for the Lifeline benefit. The letter explains the recertification process, how the consumer may confirm eligibility, and that the consumer has 30 days to complete the recertification, or he or she will no longer receive the Lifeline benefit. Consumers have three methods, in both English and Spanish, to respond to the recertification letter: (1) call a toll-free number and respond through an interactive voice response system; (2) recertify through a USAC-maintained recertification website; or (3) complete, sign, and return a recertification form via U.S. Mail. The consumer will also receive an automated call or text message in both English and Spanish during the 30-day period to encourage him or her to respond to the letter.

Despite USAC's efforts, we understand that some consumers may not have received or understood their recertification notices. This could be due to a variety of reasons, including that the consumers may have moved to a new address, English or Spanish (as you note) may not be accessible languages to them, or because they did not otherwise understand the notice. Consumers may also not recognize that a letter from USAC is related to their Lifeline benefit. Significantly, this is the first year that Verizon has elected to use USAC instead of performing recertification on its own. Therefore, the majority of Verizon's subscribers were unfamiliar with USAC's process, which may differ from Verizon's process from prior years or the process of other providers.

After hearing similar concerns in late March, FCC staff directed USAC to make changes to

the recertification process for the coming year to ensure that consumers receive their recertification notice and better understand both the consequences of not responding to the recertification letter and the process for re-enrolling in Lifeline if they are de-enrolled. If USAC determines that a subscriber was not able to recertify his or her eligibility within the 30-day period, for example, it will send an automated text or call to the subscriber informing the consumer that he or she will no longer receive the Lifeline benefit for failure to complete the recertification process and also explaining how the consumer can re-enroll in the program.

While we are confident that these changes to the recertification process will alleviate some of the issues raised in your letter, we are also considering additional changes to address your concerns. Specifically, we are examining ways that USAC can better identify itself to consumers, so consumers understand USAC's role in the recertification process. We are also examining whether USAC should provide recertification notices and opportunities to respond to the recertification attempt in languages other than English and Spanish. We will continue to look for ways to improve our recertification processes and believe that these efforts, along with the reforms described above, will improve the Lifeline program for consumers.

We appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let us know if we can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



Julie A. Veach
Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau



Jon Wilkins
Managing Director



OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

May 21, 2015

The Honorable Nily Rozic
New York State Assemblywoman
159-16 Union Turnpike
Flushing, NY 11366

Dear Assemblywoman Rozic:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Lifeline recertification process in New York. I appreciate your interest in this matter and am pleased to provide the enclosed letter on this issue from the Chief of the FCC's Wireline Competition Bureau and our Managing Director.

If you have any additional questions or need any further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Tom Wheeler", written over a horizontal line.

Tom Wheeler



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

May 21, 2015

The Honorable Nily Rozic
New York State Assemblywoman
159-16 Union Turnpike
Flushing, NY 11366

Dear Assemblywoman Rozic:

Thank you for your letter expressing concerns regarding the Lifeline recertification process in New York. We share your concerns. The goal of the Lifeline program is to ensure that affordable communications services are available to low-income consumers, and our processes should support that goal. We take seriously the importance of the Lifeline program to those consumers, including those for whom English is not their first language. As explained below, we have already made changes to the recertification process so that consumers better understand the process, and we are also considering additional changes in response to these concerns.

The recertification process ensures that Lifeline support goes to qualifying consumers. By requiring providers to recertify that their subscribers remain eligible for the Lifeline benefit, we ensure that scarce resources are targeted to those consumers who need the service. In those cases where a state administrator does not perform recertification, the 2012 *Lifeline Reform Order* permits carriers either to recertify their customers directly or to utilize the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) to recertify customers on their behalf. USAC makes an effort to ensure that consumers understand their recertification obligations and the recertification process. If a provider elects for USAC to recertify its customers on its behalf, USAC sends a letter to each customer, in both English and Spanish, informing the customer of the obligation to recertify that he or she remains eligible for the Lifeline benefit. The letter explains the recertification process, how the consumer may confirm eligibility, and that the consumer has 30 days to complete the recertification, or he or she will no longer receive the Lifeline benefit. Consumers have three methods, in both English and Spanish, to respond to the recertification letter: (1) call a toll-free number and respond through an interactive voice response system; (2) recertify through a USAC-maintained recertification website; or (3) complete, sign, and return a recertification form via U.S. Mail. The consumer will also receive an automated call or text message in both English and Spanish during the 30-day period to encourage him or her to respond to the letter.

Despite USAC's efforts, we understand that some consumers may not have received or understood their recertification notices. This could be due to a variety of reasons, including that the consumers may have moved to a new address, English or Spanish (as you note) may not be accessible languages to them, or because they did not otherwise understand the notice. Consumers may also not recognize that a letter from USAC is related to their Lifeline benefit. Significantly, this is the first year that Verizon has elected to use USAC instead of performing recertification on its own. Therefore, the majority of Verizon's subscribers were unfamiliar with USAC's process, which may differ from Verizon's process from prior years or the process of other providers.

After hearing similar concerns in late March, FCC staff directed USAC to make changes to

the recertification process for the coming year to ensure that consumers receive their recertification notice and better understand both the consequences of not responding to the recertification letter and the process for re-enrolling in Lifeline if they are de-enrolled. If USAC determines that a subscriber was not able to recertify his or her eligibility within the 30-day period, for example, it will send an automated text or call to the subscriber informing the consumer that he or she will no longer receive the Lifeline benefit for failure to complete the recertification process and also explaining how the consumer can re-enroll in the program.

While we are confident that these changes to the recertification process will alleviate some of the issues raised in your letter, we are also considering additional changes to address your concerns. Specifically, we are examining ways that USAC can better identify itself to consumers, so consumers understand USAC's role in the recertification process. We are also examining whether USAC should provide recertification notices and opportunities to respond to the recertification attempt in languages other than English and Spanish. We will continue to look for ways to improve our recertification processes and believe that these efforts, along with the reforms described above, will improve the Lifeline program for consumers.

We appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let us know if we can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,


Julie A. Veach
Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau


Jon Wilkins
Managing Director



OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

May 21, 2015

The Honorable Daniel Squadron
New York State Senator
250 Broadway
Suite 2011
New York, NY 10007

Dear Senator Squadron:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Lifeline recertification process in New York. I appreciate your interest in this matter and am pleased to provide the enclosed letter on this issue from the Chief of the FCC's Wireline Competition Bureau and our Managing Director.

If you have any additional questions or need any further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Tom Wheeler", with a horizontal line above it.

Tom Wheeler



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

May 21, 2015

The Honorable Daniel Squadron
New York State Senator
250 Broadway Suite 2011
New York, NY 10007

Dear Senator Squadron:

Thank you for your letter expressing concerns regarding the Lifeline recertification process in New York. We share your concerns. The goal of the Lifeline program is to ensure that affordable communications services are available to low-income consumers, and our processes should support that goal. We take seriously the importance of the Lifeline program to those consumers, including those for whom English is not their first language. As explained below, we have already made changes to the recertification process so that consumers better understand the process, and we are also considering additional changes in response to these concerns.

The recertification process ensures that Lifeline support goes to qualifying consumers. By requiring providers to recertify that their subscribers remain eligible for the Lifeline benefit, we ensure that scarce resources are targeted to those consumers who need the service. In those cases where a state administrator does not perform recertification, the 2012 *Lifeline Reform Order* permits carriers either to recertify their customers directly or to utilize the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) to recertify customers on their behalf. USAC makes an effort to ensure that consumers understand their recertification obligations and the recertification process. If a provider elects for USAC to recertify its customers on its behalf, USAC sends a letter to each customer, in both English and Spanish, informing the customer of the obligation to recertify that he or she remains eligible for the Lifeline benefit. The letter explains the recertification process, how the consumer may confirm eligibility, and that the consumer has 30 days to complete the recertification, or he or she will no longer receive the Lifeline benefit. Consumers have three methods, in both English and Spanish, to respond to the recertification letter: (1) call a toll-free number and respond through an interactive voice response system; (2) recertify through a USAC-maintained recertification website; or (3) complete, sign, and return a recertification form via U.S. Mail. The consumer will also receive an automated call or text message in both English and Spanish during the 30-day period to encourage him or her to respond to the letter.

Despite USAC's efforts, we understand that some consumers may not have received or understood their recertification notices. This could be due to a variety of reasons, including that the consumers may have moved to a new address, English or Spanish (as you note) may not be accessible languages to them, or because they did not otherwise understand the notice. Consumers may also not recognize that a letter from USAC is related to their Lifeline benefit. Significantly, this is the first year that Verizon has elected to use USAC instead of performing recertification on its own. Therefore, the majority of Verizon's subscribers were unfamiliar with USAC's process, which may differ from Verizon's process from prior years or the process of other providers.

After hearing similar concerns in late March, FCC staff directed USAC to make changes to

the recertification process for the coming year to ensure that consumers receive their recertification notice and better understand both the consequences of not responding to the recertification letter and the process for re-enrolling in Lifeline if they are de-enrolled. If USAC determines that a subscriber was not able to recertify his or her eligibility within the 30-day period, for example, it will send an automated text or call to the subscriber informing the consumer that he or she will no longer receive the Lifeline benefit for failure to complete the recertification process and also explaining how the consumer can re-enroll in the program.

While we are confident that these changes to the recertification process will alleviate some of the issues raised in your letter, we are also considering additional changes to address your concerns. Specifically, we are examining ways that USAC can better identify itself to consumers, so consumers understand USAC's role in the recertification process. We are also examining whether USAC should provide recertification notices and opportunities to respond to the recertification attempt in languages other than English and Spanish. We will continue to look for ways to improve our recertification processes and believe that these efforts, along with the reforms described above, will improve the Lifeline program for consumers.

We appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let us know if we can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



Julie A. Veach
Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau



Jon Wilkins
Managing Director



OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

May 21, 2015

The Honorable Gale Brewer
Manhattan Borough President
1 Centre Street, 19th Floor
New York, NY 10007

Dear President Brewer:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Lifeline recertification process in New York. I appreciate your interest in this matter and am pleased to provide the enclosed letter on this issue from the Chief of the FCC's Wireline Competition Bureau and our Managing Director.

If you have any additional questions or need any further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Tom Wheeler", with a stylized flourish at the end.

Tom Wheeler



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

May 21, 2015

The Honorable Gale Brewer
Manhattan Borough President
1 Centre Street, 19th Floor
New York, NY 10007

Dear President Brewer:

Thank you for your letter expressing concerns regarding the Lifeline recertification process in New York. We share your concerns. The goal of the Lifeline program is to ensure that affordable communications services are available to low-income consumers, and our processes should support that goal. We take seriously the importance of the Lifeline program to those consumers, including those for whom English is not their first language. As explained below, we have already made changes to the recertification process so that consumers better understand the process, and we are also considering additional changes in response to these concerns.

The recertification process ensures that Lifeline support goes to qualifying consumers. By requiring providers to recertify that their subscribers remain eligible for the Lifeline benefit, we ensure that scarce resources are targeted to those consumers who need the service. In those cases where a state administrator does not perform recertification, the 2012 *Lifeline Reform Order* permits carriers either to recertify their customers directly or to utilize the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) to recertify customers on their behalf. USAC makes an effort to ensure that consumers understand their recertification obligations and the recertification process. If a provider elects for USAC to recertify its customers on its behalf, USAC sends a letter to each customer, in both English and Spanish, informing the customer of the obligation to recertify that he or she remains eligible for the Lifeline benefit. The letter explains the recertification process, how the consumer may confirm eligibility, and that the consumer has 30 days to complete the recertification, or he or she will no longer receive the Lifeline benefit. Consumers have three methods, in both English and Spanish, to respond to the recertification letter: (1) call a toll-free number and respond through an interactive voice response system; (2) recertify through a USAC-maintained recertification website; or (3) complete, sign, and return a recertification form via U.S. Mail. The consumer will also receive an automated call or text message in both English and Spanish during the 30-day period to encourage him or her to respond to the letter.

Despite USAC's efforts, we understand that some consumers may not have received or understood their recertification notices. This could be due to a variety of reasons, including that the consumers may have moved to a new address, English or Spanish (as you note) may not be accessible languages to them, or because they did not otherwise understand the notice. Consumers may also not recognize that a letter from USAC is related to their Lifeline benefit. Significantly, this is the first year that Verizon has elected to use USAC instead of performing recertification on its own. Therefore, the majority of Verizon's subscribers were unfamiliar with USAC's process, which may differ from Verizon's process from prior years or the process of other providers.

After hearing similar concerns in late March, FCC staff directed USAC to make changes to

the recertification process for the coming year to ensure that consumers receive their recertification notice and better understand both the consequences of not responding to the recertification letter and the process for re-enrolling in Lifeline if they are de-enrolled. If USAC determines that a subscriber was not able to recertify his or her eligibility within the 30-day period, for example, it will send an automated text or call to the subscriber informing the consumer that he or she will no longer receive the Lifeline benefit for failure to complete the recertification process and also explaining how the consumer can re-enroll in the program.


While we are confident that these changes to the recertification process will alleviate some of the issues raised in your letter, we are also considering additional changes to address your concerns. Specifically, we are examining ways that USAC can better identify itself to consumers, so consumers understand USAC's role in the recertification process. We are also examining whether USAC should provide recertification notices and opportunities to respond to the recertification attempt in languages other than English and Spanish. We will continue to look for ways to improve our recertification processes and believe that these efforts, along with the reforms described above, will improve the Lifeline program for consumers.

We appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let us know if we can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



Julie A. Veach
Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau



Jon Wilkins
Managing Director



OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

May 21, 2015

The Honorable Toby Ann Stavisky
New York State Senator
142-29 37th Avenue
Suite 1
Flushing, NY 11354

Dear Senator Stavisky:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Lifeline recertification process in New York. I appreciate your interest in this matter and am pleased to provide the enclosed letter on this issue from the Chief of the FCC's Wireline Competition Bureau and our Managing Director.

If you have any additional questions or need any further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Tom Wheeler".

Tom Wheeler



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

May 21, 2015

The Honorable Toby Ann Stavisky
New York State Senator
142-29 37th Ave Suite 1
Flushing, NY 11354

Dear Senator Stavisky:

Thank you for your letter expressing concerns regarding the Lifeline recertification process in New York. We share your concerns. The goal of the Lifeline program is to ensure that affordable communications services are available to low-income consumers, and our processes should support that goal. We take seriously the importance of the Lifeline program to those consumers, including those for whom English is not their first language. As explained below, we have already made changes to the recertification process so that consumers better understand the process, and we are also considering additional changes in response to these concerns.

The recertification process ensures that Lifeline support goes to qualifying consumers. By requiring providers to recertify that their subscribers remain eligible for the Lifeline benefit, we ensure that scarce resources are targeted to those consumers who need the service. In those cases where a state administrator does not perform recertification, the 2012 *Lifeline Reform Order* permits carriers either to recertify their customers directly or to utilize the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) to recertify customers on their behalf. USAC makes an effort to ensure that consumers understand their recertification obligations and the recertification process. If a provider elects for USAC to recertify its customers on its behalf, USAC sends a letter to each customer, in both English and Spanish, informing the customer of the obligation to recertify that he or she remains eligible for the Lifeline benefit. The letter explains the recertification process, how the consumer may confirm eligibility, and that the consumer has 30 days to complete the recertification, or he or she will no longer receive the Lifeline benefit. Consumers have three methods, in both English and Spanish, to respond to the recertification letter: (1) call a toll-free number and respond through an interactive voice response system; (2) recertify through a USAC-maintained recertification website; or (3) complete, sign, and return a recertification form via U.S. Mail. The consumer will also receive an automated call or text message in both English and Spanish during the 30-day period to encourage him or her to respond to the letter.

Despite USAC's efforts, we understand that some consumers may not have received or understood their recertification notices. This could be due to a variety of reasons, including that the consumers may have moved to a new address, English or Spanish (as you note) may not be accessible languages to them, or because they did not otherwise understand the notice. Consumers may also not recognize that a letter from USAC is related to their Lifeline benefit. Significantly, this is the first year that Verizon has elected to use USAC instead of performing recertification on its own. Therefore, the majority of Verizon's subscribers were unfamiliar with USAC's process, which may differ from Verizon's process from prior years or the process of other providers.

After hearing similar concerns in late March, FCC staff directed USAC to make changes to

the recertification process for the coming year to ensure that consumers receive their recertification notice and better understand both the consequences of not responding to the recertification letter and the process for re-enrolling in Lifeline if they are de-enrolled. If USAC determines that a subscriber was not able to recertify his or her eligibility within the 30-day period, for example, it will send an automated text or call to the subscriber informing the consumer that he or she will no longer receive the Lifeline benefit for failure to complete the recertification process and also explaining how the consumer can re-enroll in the program.

While we are confident that these changes to the recertification process will alleviate some of the issues raised in your letter, we are also considering additional changes to address your concerns. Specifically, we are examining ways that USAC can better identify itself to consumers, so consumers understand USAC's role in the recertification process. We are also examining whether USAC should provide recertification notices and opportunities to respond to the recertification attempt in languages other than English and Spanish. We will continue to look for ways to improve our recertification processes and believe that these efforts, along with the reforms described above, will improve the Lifeline program for consumers.

We appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let us know if we can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



Julie A. Veach
Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau



Jon Wilkins
Managing Director



OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

May 21, 2015

The Honorable Peter J. Abbate, Jr
New York State Assemblyman
6605 Fort Hamilton Parkway
Brooklyn, NY 11219

Dear Assemblyman Abbate:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Lifeline recertification process in New York. I appreciate your interest in this matter and am pleased to provide the enclosed letter on this issue from the Chief of the FCC's Wireline Competition Bureau and our Managing Director.

If you have any additional questions or need any further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Tom Wheeler", written over a horizontal line.

Tom Wheeler



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

May 21, 2015

The Honorable Peter J. Abbate, Jr
New York State Assemblyman
6605 Fort Hamilton Parkway
Brooklyn, NY 11219

Dear Assemblyman Abbate:

Thank you for your letter expressing concerns regarding the Lifeline recertification process in New York. We share your concerns. The goal of the Lifeline program is to ensure that affordable communications services are available to low-income consumers, and our processes should support that goal. We take seriously the importance of the Lifeline program to those consumers, including those for whom English is not their first language. As explained below, we have already made changes to the recertification process so that consumers better understand the process, and we are also considering additional changes in response to these concerns.

The recertification process ensures that Lifeline support goes to qualifying consumers. By requiring providers to recertify that their subscribers remain eligible for the Lifeline benefit, we ensure that scarce resources are targeted to those consumers who need the service. In those cases where a state administrator does not perform recertification, the 2012 *Lifeline Reform Order* permits carriers either to recertify their customers directly or to utilize the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) to recertify customers on their behalf. USAC makes an effort to ensure that consumers understand their recertification obligations and the recertification process. If a provider elects for USAC to recertify its customers on its behalf, USAC sends a letter to each customer, in both English and Spanish, informing the customer of the obligation to recertify that he or she remains eligible for the Lifeline benefit. The letter explains the recertification process, how the consumer may confirm eligibility, and that the consumer has 30 days to complete the recertification, or he or she will no longer receive the Lifeline benefit. Consumers have three methods, in both English and Spanish, to respond to the recertification letter: (1) call a toll-free number and respond through an interactive voice response system; (2) recertify through a USAC-maintained recertification website; or (3) complete, sign, and return a recertification form via U.S. Mail. The consumer will also receive an automated call or text message in both English and Spanish during the 30-day period to encourage him or her to respond to the letter.

Despite USAC's efforts, we understand that some consumers may not have received or understood their recertification notices. This could be due to a variety of reasons, including that the consumers may have moved to a new address, English or Spanish (as you note) may not be accessible languages to them, or because they did not otherwise understand the notice. Consumers may also not recognize that a letter from USAC is related to their Lifeline benefit. Significantly, this is the first year that Verizon has elected to use USAC instead of performing recertification on its own. Therefore, the majority of Verizon's subscribers were unfamiliar with USAC's process, which may differ from Verizon's process from prior years or the process of other providers.

After hearing similar concerns in late March, FCC staff directed USAC to make changes to


the recertification process for the coming year to ensure that consumers receive their recertification notice and better understand both the consequences of not responding to the recertification letter and the process for re-enrolling in Lifeline if they are de-enrolled. If USAC determines that a subscriber was not able to recertify his or her eligibility within the 30-day period, for example, it will send an automated text or call to the subscriber informing the consumer that he or she will no longer receive the Lifeline benefit for failure to complete the recertification process and also explaining how the consumer can re-enroll in the program.

While we are confident that these changes to the recertification process will alleviate some of the issues raised in your letter, we are also considering additional changes to address your concerns. Specifically, we are examining ways that USAC can better identify itself to consumers, so consumers understand USAC's role in the recertification process. We are also examining whether USAC should provide recertification notices and opportunities to respond to the recertification attempt in languages other than English and Spanish. We will continue to look for ways to improve our recertification processes and believe that these efforts, along with the reforms described above, will improve the Lifeline program for consumers.

We appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let us know if we can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,


Julie A. Veach
Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau


Jon Wilkins
Managing Director



OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

May 21, 2015

The Honorable Edward Braunstein
New York State Assemblyman
213-33 39th Avenue
Suite 238
Bayside, NY 11361

Dear Assemblyman Braunstein:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Lifeline recertification process in New York. I appreciate your interest in this matter and am pleased to provide the enclosed letter on this issue from the Chief of the FCC's Wireline Competition Bureau and our Managing Director.

If you have any additional questions or need any further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Tom Wheeler", with a horizontal line above it.

Tom Wheeler



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

May 21, 2015

The Honorable Edward C. Braunstein
New York State Assemblyman
213-33 39th Avenue Suite 238
Bayside, NY 11361

Dear Assemblyman Braunstein:

Thank you for your letter expressing concerns regarding the Lifeline recertification process in New York. We share your concerns. The goal of the Lifeline program is to ensure that affordable communications services are available to low-income consumers, and our processes should support that goal. We take seriously the importance of the Lifeline program to those consumers, including those for whom English is not their first language. As explained below, we have already made changes to the recertification process so that consumers better understand the process, and we are also considering additional changes in response to these concerns.

The recertification process ensures that Lifeline support goes to qualifying consumers. By requiring providers to recertify that their subscribers remain eligible for the Lifeline benefit, we ensure that scarce resources are targeted to those consumers who need the service. In those cases where a state administrator does not perform recertification, the 2012 *Lifeline Reform Order* permits carriers either to recertify their customers directly or to utilize the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) to recertify customers on their behalf. USAC makes an effort to ensure that consumers understand their recertification obligations and the recertification process. If a provider elects for USAC to recertify its customers on its behalf, USAC sends a letter to each customer, in both English and Spanish, informing the customer of the obligation to recertify that he or she remains eligible for the Lifeline benefit. The letter explains the recertification process, how the consumer may confirm eligibility, and that the consumer has 30 days to complete the recertification, or he or she will no longer receive the Lifeline benefit. Consumers have three methods, in both English and Spanish, to respond to the recertification letter: (1) call a toll-free number and respond through an interactive voice response system; (2) recertify through a USAC-maintained recertification website; or (3) complete, sign, and return a recertification form via U.S. Mail. The consumer will also receive an automated call or text message in both English and Spanish during the 30-day period to encourage him or her to respond to the letter.

Despite USAC's efforts, we understand that some consumers may not have received or understood their recertification notices. This could be due to a variety of reasons, including that the consumers may have moved to a new address, English or Spanish (as you note) may not be accessible languages to them, or because they did not otherwise understand the notice. Consumers may also not recognize that a letter from USAC is related to their Lifeline benefit. Significantly, this is the first year that Verizon has elected to use USAC instead of performing recertification on its own. Therefore, the majority of Verizon's subscribers were unfamiliar with USAC's process, which may differ from Verizon's process from prior years or the process of other providers.

After hearing similar concerns in late March, FCC staff directed USAC to make changes to

the recertification process for the coming year to ensure that consumers receive their recertification notice and better understand both the consequences of not responding to the recertification letter and the process for re-enrolling in Lifeline if they are de-enrolled. If USAC determines that a subscriber was not able to recertify his or her eligibility within the 30-day period, for example, it will send an automated text or call to the subscriber informing the consumer that he or she will no longer receive the Lifeline benefit for failure to complete the recertification process and also explaining how the consumer can re-enroll in the program.

While we are confident that these changes to the recertification process will alleviate some of the issues raised in your letter, we are also considering additional changes to address your concerns. Specifically, we are examining ways that USAC can better identify itself to consumers, so consumers understand USAC's role in the recertification process. We are also examining whether USAC should provide recertification notices and opportunities to respond to the recertification attempt in languages other than English and Spanish. We will continue to look for ways to improve our recertification processes and believe that these efforts, along with the reforms described above, will improve the Lifeline program for consumers.

We appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let us know if we can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



Julie A. Veach
Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau



Jon Wilkins
Managing Director



OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

May 21, 2015

The Honorable Sheldon Silver
New York State Assemblyman
250 Broadway
Suite 2234
New York, NY 10007

Dear Assemblyman Silver:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Lifeline recertification process in New York. I appreciate your interest in this matter and am pleased to provide the enclosed letter on this issue from the Chief of the FCC's Wireline Competition Bureau and our Managing Director.

If you have any additional questions or need any further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Tom Wheeler", written over a horizontal line.

Tom Wheeler



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

May 21, 2015

The Honorable Sheldon Silver
New York State Assemblyman
250 Broadway Suite 2234
New York, NY 10007

Dear Assemblyman Silver:

Thank you for your letter expressing concerns regarding the Lifeline recertification process in New York. We share your concerns. The goal of the Lifeline program is to ensure that affordable communications services are available to low-income consumers, and our processes should support that goal. We take seriously the importance of the Lifeline program to those consumers, including those for whom English is not their first language. As explained below, we have already made changes to the recertification process so that consumers better understand the process, and we are also considering additional changes in response to these concerns.

The recertification process ensures that Lifeline support goes to qualifying consumers. By requiring providers to recertify that their subscribers remain eligible for the Lifeline benefit, we ensure that scarce resources are targeted to those consumers who need the service. In those cases where a state administrator does not perform recertification, the 2012 *Lifeline Reform Order* permits carriers either to recertify their customers directly or to utilize the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) to recertify customers on their behalf. USAC makes an effort to ensure that consumers understand their recertification obligations and the recertification process. If a provider elects for USAC to recertify its customers on its behalf, USAC sends a letter to each customer, in both English and Spanish, informing the customer of the obligation to recertify that he or she remains eligible for the Lifeline benefit. The letter explains the recertification process, how the consumer may confirm eligibility, and that the consumer has 30 days to complete the recertification, or he or she will no longer receive the Lifeline benefit. Consumers have three methods, in both English and Spanish, to respond to the recertification letter: (1) call a toll-free number and respond through an interactive voice response system; (2) recertify through a USAC-maintained recertification website; or (3) complete, sign, and return a recertification form via U.S. Mail. The consumer will also receive an automated call or text message in both English and Spanish during the 30-day period to encourage him or her to respond to the letter.

Despite USAC's efforts, we understand that some consumers may not have received or understood their recertification notices. This could be due to a variety of reasons, including that the consumers may have moved to a new address, English or Spanish (as you note) may not be accessible languages to them, or because they did not otherwise understand the notice. Consumers may also not recognize that a letter from USAC is related to their Lifeline benefit. Significantly, this is the first year that Verizon has elected to use USAC instead of performing recertification on its own. Therefore, the majority of Verizon's subscribers were unfamiliar with USAC's process, which may differ from Verizon's process from prior years or the process of other providers.


After hearing similar concerns in late March, FCC staff directed USAC to make changes to

the recertification process for the coming year to ensure that consumers receive their recertification notice and better understand both the consequences of not responding to the recertification letter and the process for re-enrolling in Lifeline if they are de-enrolled. If USAC determines that a subscriber was not able to recertify his or her eligibility within the 30-day period, for example, it will send an automated text or call to the subscriber informing the consumer that he or she will no longer receive the Lifeline benefit for failure to complete the recertification process and also explaining how the consumer can re-enroll in the program.

While we are confident that these changes to the recertification process will alleviate some of the issues raised in your letter, we are also considering additional changes to address your concerns. Specifically, we are examining ways that USAC can better identify itself to consumers, so consumers understand USAC's role in the recertification process. We are also examining whether USAC should provide recertification notices and opportunities to respond to the recertification attempt in languages other than English and Spanish. We will continue to look for ways to improve our recertification processes and believe that these efforts, along with the reforms described above, will improve the Lifeline program for consumers.

We appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let us know if we can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,


Julie A. Veach
Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau


Jon Wilkins
Managing Director



OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

May 21, 2015

The Honorable Jimmy Van Bramer
New York City Council Member
47-01 Queens Boulevard
Suite 205
Sunnyside, New York 11104

Dear Councilman Van Bramer:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Lifeline recertification process in New York. I appreciate your interest in this matter and am pleased to provide the enclosed letter on this issue from the Chief of the FCC's Wireline Competition Bureau and our Managing Director.

If you have any additional questions or need any further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Tom Wheeler".

Tom Wheeler



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

May 21, 2015

The Honorable Jimmy Van Bramer
New York City Council Member
47-01 Queens Boulevard Suite 205
Sunnyside, NY 11104

Dear Councilman Van Bramer:

Thank you for your letter expressing concerns regarding the Lifeline recertification process in New York. We share your concerns. The goal of the Lifeline program is to ensure that affordable communications services are available to low-income consumers, and our processes should support that goal. We take seriously the importance of the Lifeline program to those consumers, including those for whom English is not their first language. As explained below, we have already made changes to the recertification process so that consumers better understand the process, and we are also considering additional changes in response to these concerns.

The recertification process ensures that Lifeline support goes to qualifying consumers. By requiring providers to recertify that their subscribers remain eligible for the Lifeline benefit, we ensure that scarce resources are targeted to those consumers who need the service. In those cases where a state administrator does not perform recertification, the 2012 *Lifeline Reform Order* permits carriers either to recertify their customers directly or to utilize the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) to recertify customers on their behalf. USAC makes an effort to ensure that consumers understand their recertification obligations and the recertification process. If a provider elects for USAC to recertify its customers on its behalf, USAC sends a letter to each customer, in both English and Spanish, informing the customer of the obligation to recertify that he or she remains eligible for the Lifeline benefit. The letter explains the recertification process, how the consumer may confirm eligibility, and that the consumer has 30 days to complete the recertification, or he or she will no longer receive the Lifeline benefit. Consumers have three methods, in both English and Spanish, to respond to the recertification letter: (1) call a toll-free number and respond through an interactive voice response system; (2) recertify through a USAC-maintained recertification website; or (3) complete, sign, and return a recertification form via U.S. Mail. The consumer will also receive an automated call or text message in both English and Spanish during the 30-day period to encourage him or her to respond to the letter.

Despite USAC's efforts, we understand that some consumers may not have received or understood their recertification notices. This could be due to a variety of reasons, including that the consumers may have moved to a new address, English or Spanish (as you note) may not be accessible languages to them, or because they did not otherwise understand the notice. Consumers may also not recognize that a letter from USAC is related to their Lifeline benefit. Significantly, this is the first year that Verizon has elected to use USAC instead of performing recertification on its own. Therefore, the majority of Verizon's subscribers were unfamiliar with USAC's process, which may differ from Verizon's process from prior years or the process of other providers.

After hearing similar concerns in late March, FCC staff directed USAC to make changes to

the recertification process for the coming year to ensure that consumers receive their recertification notice and better understand both the consequences of not responding to the recertification letter and the process for re-enrolling in Lifeline if they are de-enrolled. If USAC determines that a subscriber was not able to recertify his or her eligibility within the 30-day period, for example, it will send an automated text or call to the subscriber informing the consumer that he or she will no longer receive the Lifeline benefit for failure to complete the recertification process and also explaining how the consumer can re-enroll in the program.


While we are confident that these changes to the recertification process will alleviate some of the issues raised in your letter, we are also considering additional changes to address your concerns. Specifically, we are examining ways that USAC can better identify itself to consumers, so consumers understand USAC's role in the recertification process. We are also examining whether USAC should provide recertification notices and opportunities to respond to the recertification attempt in languages other than English and Spanish. We will continue to look for ways to improve our recertification processes and believe that these efforts, along with the reforms described above, will improve the Lifeline program for consumers.

We appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let us know if we can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



Julie A. Veach
Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau



Jon Wilkins
Managing Director



OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

May 21, 2015

The Honorable Daniel Dromm
New York City Council Member
37-32 75th St.
Jackson Heights, NY 11372

Dear Councilman Dromm:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Lifeline recertification process in New York. I appreciate your interest in this matter and am pleased to provide the enclosed letter on this issue from the Chief of the FCC's Wireline Competition Bureau and our Managing Director.

If you have any additional questions or need any further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Tom Wheeler", written in a cursive style.

Tom Wheeler



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

May 21, 2015

The Honorable Daniel Dromm
New York City Council Member
37-32 75th St.
Jackson Heights, NY 11372

Dear Councilman Dromm:

Thank you for your letter expressing concerns regarding the Lifeline recertification process in New York. We share your concerns. The goal of the Lifeline program is to ensure that affordable communications services are available to low-income consumers, and our processes should support that goal. We take seriously the importance of the Lifeline program to those consumers, including those for whom English is not their first language. As explained below, we have already made changes to the recertification process so that consumers better understand the process, and we are also considering additional changes in response to these concerns.

The recertification process ensures that Lifeline support goes to qualifying consumers. By requiring providers to recertify that their subscribers remain eligible for the Lifeline benefit, we ensure that scarce resources are targeted to those consumers who need the service. In those cases where a state administrator does not perform recertification, the 2012 *Lifeline Reform Order* permits carriers either to recertify their customers directly or to utilize the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) to recertify customers on their behalf. USAC makes an effort to ensure that consumers understand their recertification obligations and the recertification process. If a provider elects for USAC to recertify its customers on its behalf, USAC sends a letter to each customer, in both English and Spanish, informing the customer of the obligation to recertify that he or she remains eligible for the Lifeline benefit. The letter explains the recertification process, how the consumer may confirm eligibility, and that the consumer has 30 days to complete the recertification, or he or she will no longer receive the Lifeline benefit. Consumers have three methods, in both English and Spanish, to respond to the recertification letter: (1) call a toll-free number and respond through an interactive voice response system; (2) recertify through a USAC-maintained recertification website; or (3) complete, sign, and return a recertification form via U.S. Mail. The consumer will also receive an automated call or text message in both English and Spanish during the 30-day period to encourage him or her to respond to the letter.

Despite USAC's efforts, we understand that some consumers may not have received or understood their recertification notices. This could be due to a variety of reasons, including that the consumers may have moved to a new address, English or Spanish (as you note) may not be accessible languages to them, or because they did not otherwise understand the notice. Consumers may also not recognize that a letter from USAC is related to their Lifeline benefit. Significantly, this is the first year that Verizon has elected to use USAC instead of performing recertification on its own. Therefore, the majority of Verizon's subscribers were unfamiliar with USAC's process, which may differ from Verizon's process from prior years or the process of other providers.


After hearing similar concerns in late March, FCC staff directed USAC to make changes to

the recertification process for the coming year to ensure that consumers receive their recertification notice and better understand both the consequences of not responding to the recertification letter and the process for re-enrolling in Lifeline if they are de-enrolled. If USAC determines that a subscriber was not able to recertify his or her eligibility within the 30-day period, for example, it will send an automated text or call to the subscriber informing the consumer that he or she will no longer receive the Lifeline benefit for failure to complete the recertification process and also explaining how the consumer can re-enroll in the program.

While we are confident that these changes to the recertification process will alleviate some of the issues raised in your letter, we are also considering additional changes to address your concerns. Specifically, we are examining ways that USAC can better identify itself to consumers, so consumers understand USAC's role in the recertification process. We are also examining whether USAC should provide recertification notices and opportunities to respond to the recertification attempt in languages other than English and Spanish. We will continue to look for ways to improve our recertification processes and believe that these efforts, along with the reforms described above, will improve the Lifeline program for consumers.

We appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let us know if we can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,


Julie A. Veach
Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau


Jon Wilkins
Managing Director



OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

May 21, 2015

The Honorable Peter Koo
New York City Council Member
135-27 38 Ave
Suite 388
Flushing, NY 11354

Dear Councilman Koo:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Lifeline recertification process in New York. I appreciate your interest in this matter and am pleased to provide the enclosed letter on this issue from the Chief of the FCC's Wireline Competition Bureau and our Managing Director.

If you have any additional questions or need any further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Tom Wheeler", written over a horizontal line.

Tom Wheeler



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

May 21, 2015

The Honorable Peter Koo
New York City Council Member
135-27 38 Ave, Suite 388
Flushing, NY 11354

Dear Councilman Koo:

Thank you for your letter expressing concerns regarding the Lifeline recertification process in New York. We share your concerns. The goal of the Lifeline program is to ensure that affordable communications services are available to low-income consumers, and our processes should support that goal. We take seriously the importance of the Lifeline program to those consumers, including those for whom English is not their first language. As explained below, we have already made changes to the recertification process so that consumers better understand the process, and we are also considering additional changes in response to these concerns.

The recertification process ensures that Lifeline support goes to qualifying consumers. By requiring providers to recertify that their subscribers remain eligible for the Lifeline benefit, we ensure that scarce resources are targeted to those consumers who need the service. In those cases where a state administrator does not perform recertification, the 2012 *Lifeline Reform Order* permits carriers either to recertify their customers directly or to utilize the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) to recertify customers on their behalf. USAC makes an effort to ensure that consumers understand their recertification obligations and the recertification process. If a provider elects for USAC to recertify its customers on its behalf, USAC sends a letter to each customer, in both English and Spanish, informing the customer of the obligation to recertify that he or she remains eligible for the Lifeline benefit. The letter explains the recertification process, how the consumer may confirm eligibility, and that the consumer has 30 days to complete the recertification, or he or she will no longer receive the Lifeline benefit. Consumers have three methods, in both English and Spanish, to respond to the recertification letter: (1) call a toll-free number and respond through an interactive voice response system; (2) recertify through a USAC-maintained recertification website; or (3) complete, sign, and return a recertification form via U.S. Mail. The consumer will also receive an automated call or text message in both English and Spanish during the 30-day period to encourage him or her to respond to the letter.

Despite USAC's efforts, we understand that some consumers may not have received or understood their recertification notices. This could be due to a variety of reasons, including that the consumers may have moved to a new address, English or Spanish (as you note) may not be accessible languages to them, or because they did not otherwise understand the notice. Consumers may also not recognize that a letter from USAC is related to their Lifeline benefit. Significantly, this is the first year that Verizon has elected to use USAC instead of performing recertification on its own. Therefore, the majority of Verizon's subscribers were unfamiliar with USAC's process, which may differ from Verizon's process from prior years or the process of other providers.

After hearing similar concerns in late March, FCC staff directed USAC to make changes to

the recertification process for the coming year to ensure that consumers receive their recertification notice and better understand both the consequences of not responding to the recertification letter and the process for re-enrolling in Lifeline if they are de-enrolled. If USAC determines that a subscriber was not able to recertify his or her eligibility within the 30-day period, for example, it will send an automated text or call to the subscriber informing the consumer that he or she will no longer receive the Lifeline benefit for failure to complete the recertification process and also explaining how the consumer can re-enroll in the program.


While we are confident that these changes to the recertification process will alleviate some of the issues raised in your letter, we are also considering additional changes to address your concerns. Specifically, we are examining ways that USAC can better identify itself to consumers, so consumers understand USAC's role in the recertification process. We are also examining whether USAC should provide recertification notices and opportunities to respond to the recertification attempt in languages other than English and Spanish. We will continue to look for ways to improve our recertification processes and believe that these efforts, along with the reforms described above, will improve the Lifeline program for consumers.

We appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let us know if we can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



Julie A. Veach
Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau



Jon Wilkins
Managing Director



OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

May 21, 2015

The Honorable Rory Lancman
New York Council Member
78-40 164th Street
Hillcrest, NY 11366

Dear Councilman Lancman :

Thank you for your letter regarding the Lifeline recertification process in New York. I appreciate your interest in this matter and am pleased to provide the enclosed letter on this issue from the Chief of the FCC's Wireline Competition Bureau and our Managing Director.

If you have any additional questions or need any further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Tom Wheeler".

Tom Wheeler



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

May 21, 2015

The Honorable Rory Lancman
New York City Council Member
78-40 164th Street
Hillcrest, NY 11366

Dear Councilman Lancman:

Thank you for your letter expressing concerns regarding the Lifeline recertification process in New York. We share your concerns. The goal of the Lifeline program is to ensure that affordable communications services are available to low-income consumers, and our processes should support that goal. We take seriously the importance of the Lifeline program to those consumers, including those for whom English is not their first language. As explained below, we have already made changes to the recertification process so that consumers better understand the process, and we are also considering additional changes in response to these concerns.

The recertification process ensures that Lifeline support goes to qualifying consumers. By requiring providers to recertify that their subscribers remain eligible for the Lifeline benefit, we ensure that scarce resources are targeted to those consumers who need the service. In those cases where a state administrator does not perform recertification, the 2012 *Lifeline Reform Order* permits carriers either to recertify their customers directly or to utilize the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) to recertify customers on their behalf. USAC makes an effort to ensure that consumers understand their recertification obligations and the recertification process. If a provider elects for USAC to recertify its customers on its behalf, USAC sends a letter to each customer, in both English and Spanish, informing the customer of the obligation to recertify that he or she remains eligible for the Lifeline benefit. The letter explains the recertification process, how the consumer may confirm eligibility, and that the consumer has 30 days to complete the recertification, or he or she will no longer receive the Lifeline benefit. Consumers have three methods, in both English and Spanish, to respond to the recertification letter: (1) call a toll-free number and respond through an interactive voice response system; (2) recertify through a USAC-maintained recertification website; or (3) complete, sign, and return a recertification form via U.S. Mail. The consumer will also receive an automated call or text message in both English and Spanish during the 30-day period to encourage him or her to respond to the letter.

Despite USAC's efforts, we understand that some consumers may not have received or understood their recertification notices. This could be due to a variety of reasons, including that the consumers may have moved to a new address, English or Spanish (as you note) may not be accessible languages to them, or because they did not otherwise understand the notice. Consumers may also not recognize that a letter from USAC is related to their Lifeline benefit. Significantly, this is the first year that Verizon has elected to use USAC instead of performing recertification on its own. Therefore, the majority of Verizon's subscribers were unfamiliar with USAC's process, which may differ from Verizon's process from prior years or the process of other providers.

After hearing similar concerns in late March, FCC staff directed USAC to make changes to

the recertification process for the coming year to ensure that consumers receive their recertification notice and better understand both the consequences of not responding to the recertification letter and the process for re-enrolling in Lifeline if they are de-enrolled. If USAC determines that a subscriber was not able to recertify his or her eligibility within the 30-day period, for example, it will send an automated text or call to the subscriber informing the consumer that he or she will no longer receive the Lifeline benefit for failure to complete the recertification process and also explaining how the consumer can re-enroll in the program.

While we are confident that these changes to the recertification process will alleviate some of the issues raised in your letter, we are also considering additional changes to address your concerns. Specifically, we are examining ways that USAC can better identify itself to consumers, so consumers understand USAC's role in the recertification process. We are also examining whether USAC should provide recertification notices and opportunities to respond to the recertification attempt in languages other than English and Spanish. We will continue to look for ways to improve our recertification processes and believe that these efforts, along with the reforms described above, will improve the Lifeline program for consumers.

We appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let us know if we can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



Julie A. Veach
Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau



Jon Wilkins
Managing Director



OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

May 21, 2015

The Honorable Carlos Menchaca
New York City Council Member
4417 4th Avenue, Ground Floor
Brooklyn, NY 11220

Dear Councilman Menchaca:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Lifeline recertification process in New York. I appreciate your interest in this matter and am pleased to provide the enclosed letter on this issue from the Chief of the FCC's Wireline Competition Bureau and our Managing Director.

If you have any additional questions or need any further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Tom Wheeler", written over a horizontal line.

Tom Wheeler



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

May 21, 2015

The Honorable Carlos Menchaca
New York City Council Member
4417 4th Avenue, Ground Floor
Brooklyn, NY 11220

Dear Councilman Menchaca:

Thank you for your letter expressing concerns regarding the Lifeline recertification process in New York. We share your concerns. The goal of the Lifeline program is to ensure that affordable communications services are available to low-income consumers, and our processes should support that goal. We take seriously the importance of the Lifeline program to those consumers, including those for whom English is not their first language. As explained below, we have already made changes to the recertification process so that consumers better understand the process, and we are also considering additional changes in response to these concerns.

The recertification process ensures that Lifeline support goes to qualifying consumers. By requiring providers to recertify that their subscribers remain eligible for the Lifeline benefit, we ensure that scarce resources are targeted to those consumers who need the service. In those cases where a state administrator does not perform recertification, the 2012 *Lifeline Reform Order* permits carriers either to recertify their customers directly or to utilize the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) to recertify customers on their behalf. USAC makes an effort to ensure that consumers understand their recertification obligations and the recertification process. If a provider elects for USAC to recertify its customers on its behalf, USAC sends a letter to each customer, in both English and Spanish, informing the customer of the obligation to recertify that he or she remains eligible for the Lifeline benefit. The letter explains the recertification process, how the consumer may confirm eligibility, and that the consumer has 30 days to complete the recertification, or he or she will no longer receive the Lifeline benefit. Consumers have three methods, in both English and Spanish, to respond to the recertification letter: (1) call a toll-free number and respond through an interactive voice response system; (2) recertify through a USAC-maintained recertification website; or (3) complete, sign, and return a recertification form via U.S. Mail. The consumer will also receive an automated call or text message in both English and Spanish during the 30-day period to encourage him or her to respond to the letter.

Despite USAC's efforts, we understand that some consumers may not have received or understood their recertification notices. This could be due to a variety of reasons, including that the consumers may have moved to a new address, English or Spanish (as you note) may not be accessible languages to them, or because they did not otherwise understand the notice. Consumers may also not recognize that a letter from USAC is related to their Lifeline benefit. Significantly, this is the first year that Verizon has elected to use USAC instead of performing recertification on its own. Therefore, the majority of Verizon's subscribers were unfamiliar with USAC's process, which may differ from Verizon's process from prior years or the process of other providers.

After hearing similar concerns in late March, FCC staff directed USAC to make changes to

the recertification process for the coming year to ensure that consumers receive their recertification notice and better understand both the consequences of not responding to the recertification letter and the process for re-enrolling in Lifeline if they are de-enrolled. If USAC determines that a subscriber was not able to recertify his or her eligibility within the 30-day period, for example, it will send an automated text or call to the subscriber informing the consumer that he or she will no longer receive the Lifeline benefit for failure to complete the recertification process and also explaining how the consumer can re-enroll in the program.


While we are confident that these changes to the recertification process will alleviate some of the issues raised in your letter, we are also considering additional changes to address your concerns. Specifically, we are examining ways that USAC can better identify itself to consumers, so consumers understand USAC's role in the recertification process. We are also examining whether USAC should provide recertification notices and opportunities to respond to the recertification attempt in languages other than English and Spanish. We will continue to look for ways to improve our recertification processes and believe that these efforts, along with the reforms described above, will improve the Lifeline program for consumers.

We appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let us know if we can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



Julie A. Veach
Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau



Jon Wilkins
Managing Director



OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

May 21, 2015

The Honorable Rosie Mendez
New York City Council Member
173 Avenue B at 11th Street
New York, NY 10009

Dear Councilman Mendez:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Lifeline recertification process in New York. I appreciate your interest in this matter and am pleased to provide the enclosed letter on this issue from the Chief of the FCC's Wireline Competition Bureau and our Managing Director.

If you have any additional questions or need any further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Tom Wheeler", written over a horizontal line.

Tom Wheeler



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

May 21, 2015

The Honorable Rosie Mendez
New York City Council Member
173 Avenue B at 11th Street
New York, NY 10009

Dear Councilwoman Mendez:

Thank you for your letter expressing concerns regarding the Lifeline recertification process in New York. We share your concerns. The goal of the Lifeline program is to ensure that affordable communications services are available to low-income consumers, and our processes should support that goal. We take seriously the importance of the Lifeline program to those consumers, including those for whom English is not their first language. As explained below, we have already made changes to the recertification process so that consumers better understand the process, and we are also considering additional changes in response to these concerns.

The recertification process ensures that Lifeline support goes to qualifying consumers. By requiring providers to recertify that their subscribers remain eligible for the Lifeline benefit, we ensure that scarce resources are targeted to those consumers who need the service. In those cases where a state administrator does not perform recertification, the 2012 *Lifeline Reform Order* permits carriers either to recertify their customers directly or to utilize the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) to recertify customers on their behalf. USAC makes an effort to ensure that consumers understand their recertification obligations and the recertification process. If a provider elects for USAC to recertify its customers on its behalf, USAC sends a letter to each customer, in both English and Spanish, informing the customer of the obligation to recertify that he or she remains eligible for the Lifeline benefit. The letter explains the recertification process, how the consumer may confirm eligibility, and that the consumer has 30 days to complete the recertification, or he or she will no longer receive the Lifeline benefit. Consumers have three methods, in both English and Spanish, to respond to the recertification letter: (1) call a toll-free number and respond through an interactive voice response system; (2) recertify through a USAC-maintained recertification website; or (3) complete, sign, and return a recertification form via U.S. Mail. The consumer will also receive an automated call or text message in both English and Spanish during the 30-day period to encourage him or her to respond to the letter.

Despite USAC's efforts, we understand that some consumers may not have received or understood their recertification notices. This could be due to a variety of reasons, including that the consumers may have moved to a new address, English or Spanish (as you note) may not be accessible languages to them, or because they did not otherwise understand the notice. Consumers may also not recognize that a letter from USAC is related to their Lifeline benefit. Significantly, this is the first year that Verizon has elected to use USAC instead of performing recertification on its own. Therefore, the majority of Verizon's subscribers were unfamiliar with USAC's process, which may differ from Verizon's process from prior years or the process of other providers.

After hearing similar concerns in late March, FCC staff directed USAC to make changes to

the recertification process for the coming year to ensure that consumers receive their recertification notice and better understand both the consequences of not responding to the recertification letter and the process for re-enrolling in Lifeline if they are de-enrolled. If USAC determines that a subscriber was not able to recertify his or her eligibility within the 30-day period, for example, it will send an automated text or call to the subscriber informing the consumer that he or she will no longer receive the Lifeline benefit for failure to complete the recertification process and also explaining how the consumer can re-enroll in the program.

While we are confident that these changes to the recertification process will alleviate some of the issues raised in your letter, we are also considering additional changes to address your concerns. Specifically, we are examining ways that USAC can better identify itself to consumers, so consumers understand USAC's role in the recertification process. We are also examining whether USAC should provide recertification notices and opportunities to respond to the recertification attempt in languages other than English and Spanish. We will continue to look for ways to improve our recertification processes and believe that these efforts, along with the reforms described above, will improve the Lifeline program for consumers.

We appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let us know if we can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



Julie A. Veach
Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau



Jon Wilkins
Managing Director



OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

May 21, 2015

The Honorable Mark Treyger
New York City Council Member
445 Neptune Avenue
Community Room 2C
Brooklyn, New York 11224

Dear Councilman Treyger:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Lifeline recertification process in New York. I appreciate your interest in this matter and am pleased to provide the enclosed letter on this issue from the Chief of the FCC's Wireline Competition Bureau and our Managing Director.

If you have any additional questions or need any further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Tom Wheeler".

Tom Wheeler



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

May 21, 2015

The Honorable Mark Treyger
New York City Council Member
445 Neptune Avenue Community Room 2C
Brooklyn, NY 11224

Dear Councilman Treyger:

Thank you for your letter expressing concerns regarding the Lifeline recertification process in New York. We share your concerns. The goal of the Lifeline program is to ensure that affordable communications services are available to low-income consumers, and our processes should support that goal. We take seriously the importance of the Lifeline program to those consumers, including those for whom English is not their first language. As explained below, we have already made changes to the recertification process so that consumers better understand the process, and we are also considering additional changes in response to these concerns.

The recertification process ensures that Lifeline support goes to qualifying consumers. By requiring providers to recertify that their subscribers remain eligible for the Lifeline benefit, we ensure that scarce resources are targeted to those consumers who need the service. In those cases where a state administrator does not perform recertification, the 2012 *Lifeline Reform Order* permits carriers either to recertify their customers directly or to utilize the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) to recertify customers on their behalf. USAC makes an effort to ensure that consumers understand their recertification obligations and the recertification process. If a provider elects for USAC to recertify its customers on its behalf, USAC sends a letter to each customer, in both English and Spanish, informing the customer of the obligation to recertify that he or she remains eligible for the Lifeline benefit. The letter explains the recertification process, how the consumer may confirm eligibility, and that the consumer has 30 days to complete the recertification, or he or she will no longer receive the Lifeline benefit. Consumers have three methods, in both English and Spanish, to respond to the recertification letter: (1) call a toll-free number and respond through an interactive voice response system; (2) recertify through a USAC-maintained recertification website; or (3) complete, sign, and return a recertification form via U.S. Mail. The consumer will also receive an automated call or text message in both English and Spanish during the 30-day period to encourage him or her to respond to the letter.

Despite USAC's efforts, we understand that some consumers may not have received or understood their recertification notices. This could be due to a variety of reasons, including that the consumers may have moved to a new address, English or Spanish (as you note) may not be accessible languages to them, or because they did not otherwise understand the notice. Consumers may also not recognize that a letter from USAC is related to their Lifeline benefit. Significantly, this is the first year that Verizon has elected to use USAC instead of performing recertification on its own. Therefore, the majority of Verizon's subscribers were unfamiliar with USAC's process, which may differ from Verizon's process from prior years or the process of other providers.

After hearing similar concerns in late March, FCC staff directed USAC to make changes to


the recertification process for the coming year to ensure that consumers receive their recertification notice and better understand both the consequences of not responding to the recertification letter and the process for re-enrolling in Lifeline if they are de-enrolled. If USAC determines that a subscriber was not able to recertify his or her eligibility within the 30-day period, for example, it will send an automated text or call to the subscriber informing the consumer that he or she will no longer receive the Lifeline benefit for failure to complete the recertification process and also explaining how the consumer can re-enroll in the program.

While we are confident that these changes to the recertification process will alleviate some of the issues raised in your letter, we are also considering additional changes to address your concerns. Specifically, we are examining ways that USAC can better identify itself to consumers, so consumers understand USAC's role in the recertification process. We are also examining whether USAC should provide recertification notices and opportunities to respond to the recertification attempt in languages other than English and Spanish. We will continue to look for ways to improve our recertification processes and believe that these efforts, along with the reforms described above, will improve the Lifeline program for consumers.

We appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let us know if we can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,


Julie A. Veach
Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau


Jon Wilkins
Managing Director



OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

May 21, 2015

The Honorable Paul Vallone
New York City Council Member
42-40 Bell Blvd #301
Queens, NY 11361

Dear Councilman Vallone:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Lifeline recertification process in New York. I appreciate your interest in this matter and am pleased to provide the enclosed letter on this issue from the Chief of the FCC's Wireline Competition Bureau and our Managing Director.

If you have any additional questions or need any further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Tom Wheeler".

Tom Wheeler



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

May 21, 2015

The Honorable Paul Vallone
New York City Council Member
42-40 Bell Blvd #301
Queens, NY 11361

Dear Councilman Vallone:

Thank you for your letter expressing concerns regarding the Lifeline recertification process in New York. We share your concerns. The goal of the Lifeline program is to ensure that affordable communications services are available to low-income consumers, and our processes should support that goal. We take seriously the importance of the Lifeline program to those consumers, including those for whom English is not their first language. As explained below, we have already made changes to the recertification process so that consumers better understand the process, and we are also considering additional changes in response to these concerns.

The recertification process ensures that Lifeline support goes to qualifying consumers. By requiring providers to recertify that their subscribers remain eligible for the Lifeline benefit, we ensure that scarce resources are targeted to those consumers who need the service. In those cases where a state administrator does not perform recertification, the 2012 *Lifeline Reform Order* permits carriers either to recertify their customers directly or to utilize the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) to recertify customers on their behalf. USAC makes an effort to ensure that consumers understand their recertification obligations and the recertification process. If a provider elects for USAC to recertify its customers on its behalf, USAC sends a letter to each customer, in both English and Spanish, informing the customer of the obligation to recertify that he or she remains eligible for the Lifeline benefit. The letter explains the recertification process, how the consumer may confirm eligibility, and that the consumer has 30 days to complete the recertification, or he or she will no longer receive the Lifeline benefit. Consumers have three methods, in both English and Spanish, to respond to the recertification letter: (1) call a toll-free number and respond through an interactive voice response system; (2) recertify through a USAC-maintained recertification website; or (3) complete, sign, and return a recertification form via U.S. Mail. The consumer will also receive an automated call or text message in both English and Spanish during the 30-day period to encourage him or her to respond to the letter.

Despite USAC's efforts, we understand that some consumers may not have received or understood their recertification notices. This could be due to a variety of reasons, including that the consumers may have moved to a new address, English or Spanish (as you note) may not be accessible languages to them, or because they did not otherwise understand the notice. Consumers may also not recognize that a letter from USAC is related to their Lifeline benefit. Significantly, this is the first year that Verizon has elected to use USAC instead of performing recertification on its own. Therefore, the majority of Verizon's subscribers were unfamiliar with USAC's process, which may differ from Verizon's process from prior years or the process of other providers.


After hearing similar concerns in late March, FCC staff directed USAC to make changes to

the recertification process for the coming year to ensure that consumers receive their recertification notice and better understand both the consequences of not responding to the recertification letter and the process for re-enrolling in Lifeline if they are de-enrolled. If USAC determines that a subscriber was not able to recertify his or her eligibility within the 30-day period, for example, it will send an automated text or call to the subscriber informing the consumer that he or she will no longer receive the Lifeline benefit for failure to complete the recertification process and also explaining how the consumer can re-enroll in the program.


While we are confident that these changes to the recertification process will alleviate some of the issues raised in your letter, we are also considering additional changes to address your concerns. Specifically, we are examining ways that USAC can better identify itself to consumers, so consumers understand USAC's role in the recertification process. We are also examining whether USAC should provide recertification notices and opportunities to respond to the recertification attempt in languages other than English and Spanish. We will continue to look for ways to improve our recertification processes and believe that these efforts, along with the reforms described above, will improve the Lifeline program for consumers.

We appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let us know if we can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



Julie A. Veach
Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau



Jon Wilkins
Managing Director



OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

May 21, 2015

The Honorable Mark Weprin
New York City Council Member
73-03 Bell Boulevard
Oakland Gardens, NY 11364

Dear Councilman Weprin:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Lifeline recertification process in New York. I appreciate your interest in this matter and am pleased to provide the enclosed letter on this issue from the Chief of the FCC's Wireline Competition Bureau and our Managing Director.

If you have any additional questions or need any further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Tom Wheeler".

Tom Wheeler



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

May 21, 2015

The Honorable Mark Weprin
New York City Council Member
73-03 Bell Boulevard
Oakland Gardens, NY 11364

Dear Councilman Weprin:

Thank you for your letter expressing concerns regarding the Lifeline recertification process in New York. We share your concerns. The goal of the Lifeline program is to ensure that affordable communications services are available to low-income consumers, and our processes should support that goal. We take seriously the importance of the Lifeline program to those consumers, including those for whom English is not their first language. As explained below, we have already made changes to the recertification process so that consumers better understand the process, and we are also considering additional changes in response to these concerns.

The recertification process ensures that Lifeline support goes to qualifying consumers. By requiring providers to recertify that their subscribers remain eligible for the Lifeline benefit, we ensure that scarce resources are targeted to those consumers who need the service. In those cases where a state administrator does not perform recertification, the 2012 *Lifeline Reform Order* permits carriers either to recertify their customers directly or to utilize the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) to recertify customers on their behalf. USAC makes an effort to ensure that consumers understand their recertification obligations and the recertification process. If a provider elects for USAC to recertify its customers on its behalf, USAC sends a letter to each customer, in both English and Spanish, informing the customer of the obligation to recertify that he or she remains eligible for the Lifeline benefit. The letter explains the recertification process, how the consumer may confirm eligibility, and that the consumer has 30 days to complete the recertification, or he or she will no longer receive the Lifeline benefit. Consumers have three methods, in both English and Spanish, to respond to the recertification letter: (1) call a toll-free number and respond through an interactive voice response system; (2) recertify through a USAC-maintained recertification website; or (3) complete, sign, and return a recertification form via U.S. Mail. The consumer will also receive an automated call or text message in both English and Spanish during the 30-day period to encourage him or her to respond to the letter.

Despite USAC's efforts, we understand that some consumers may not have received or understood their recertification notices. This could be due to a variety of reasons, including that the consumers may have moved to a new address, English or Spanish (as you note) may not be accessible languages to them, or because they did not otherwise understand the notice. Consumers may also not recognize that a letter from USAC is related to their Lifeline benefit. Significantly, this is the first year that Verizon has elected to use USAC instead of performing recertification on its own. Therefore, the majority of Verizon's subscribers were unfamiliar with USAC's process, which may differ from Verizon's process from prior years or the process of other providers.

After hearing similar concerns in late March, FCC staff directed USAC to make changes to

the recertification process for the coming year to ensure that consumers receive their recertification notice and better understand both the consequences of not responding to the recertification letter and the process for re-enrolling in Lifeline if they are de-enrolled. If USAC determines that a subscriber was not able to recertify his or her eligibility within the 30-day period, for example, it will send an automated text or call to the subscriber informing the consumer that he or she will no longer receive the Lifeline benefit for failure to complete the recertification process and also explaining how the consumer can re-enroll in the program.


While we are confident that these changes to the recertification process will alleviate some of the issues raised in your letter, we are also considering additional changes to address your concerns. Specifically, we are examining ways that USAC can better identify itself to consumers, so consumers understand USAC's role in the recertification process. We are also examining whether USAC should provide recertification notices and opportunities to respond to the recertification attempt in languages other than English and Spanish. We will continue to look for ways to improve our recertification processes and believe that these efforts, along with the reforms described above, will improve the Lifeline program for consumers.

We appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let us know if we can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



Julie A. Veach
Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau



Jon Wilkins
Managing Director