



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF  
THE CHAIRMAN

April 3, 2018

The Honorable Edward J. Markey  
United States Senate  
255 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Markey:

Thank you for your letter in response to the Wireline Competition Bureau's Public Notice regarding the E-Rate program's category two budgets. Your views are important and will be considered as the Bureau prepares its report for the Commission.


In 2014, the Commission adopted five-year budgets for schools and libraries purchasing category two services, including the services and equipment needed for Wi-Fi in classrooms. This rule replaced the old "two in five" rule, which made planning for category two services and equipment difficult for many schools and libraries. But this rule change was temporary—it went into effect in funding year 2015 and will sunset in funding year 2020. To help the Commission decide whether to renew this approach, the Commission directed the Bureau to monitor and provide a report on category two applicant budgets using data gathered in funding years 2015 through 2018. The Bureau's report to the Commission is due before the filing window for funding year 2019 opens next year.

In preparation for its report, the Bureau released the Public Notice referenced in your letter. The Public Notice sought comment from applicants, service providers, and other interested parties about how applicants have used their category two budgets, and the percentage of category two services purchased by applicants that were or will be covered by the budgets. The Bureau also sought data and feedback on how the budgets impact different types of E-Rate applicants, such as those in rural or remote geographies or those with unique student populations. Finally, the Bureau sought general comment on the administration of the budgets.

The comment cycle for the Public Notice has closed and Bureau staff is carefully reviewing the submissions. The Bureau will take into consideration the issues and concerns presented by all stakeholders.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Ajit V. Pai". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial "A".  
Ajit V. Pai



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF  
THE CHAIRMAN

April 3, 2018

The Honorable Al Franken  
United States Senate  
309 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Franken:

Thank you for your letter in response to the Wireline Competition Bureau's Public Notice regarding the E-Rate program's category two budgets. Your views are important and will be considered as the Bureau prepares its report for the Commission.


In 2014, the Commission adopted five-year budgets for schools and libraries purchasing category two services, including the services and equipment needed for Wi-Fi in classrooms. This rule replaced the old "two in five" rule, which made planning for category two services and equipment difficult for many schools and libraries. But this rule change was temporary—it went into effect in funding year 2015 and will sunset in funding year 2020. To help the Commission decide whether to renew this approach, the Commission directed the Bureau to monitor and provide a report on category two applicant budgets using data gathered in funding years 2015 through 2018. The Bureau's report to the Commission is due before the filing window for funding year 2019 opens next year.

In preparation for its report, the Bureau released the Public Notice referenced in your letter. The Public Notice sought comment from applicants, service providers, and other interested parties about how applicants have used their category two budgets, and the percentage of category two services purchased by applicants that were or will be covered by the budgets. The Bureau also sought data and feedback on how the budgets impact different types of E-Rate applicants, such as those in rural or remote geographies or those with unique student populations. Finally, the Bureau sought general comment on the administration of the budgets.

The comment cycle for the Public Notice has closed and Bureau staff is carefully reviewing the submissions. The Bureau will take into consideration the issues and concerns presented by all stakeholders.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

  
Ajit V. Pai



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF  
THE CHAIRMAN

April 3, 2018

The Honorable Maggie Hassan  
United States Senate  
B85 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Hassan:

Thank you for your letter in response to the Wireline Competition Bureau's Public Notice regarding the E-Rate program's category two budgets. Your views are important and will be considered as the Bureau prepares its report for the Commission.

In 2014, the Commission adopted five-year budgets for schools and libraries purchasing category two services, including the services and equipment needed for Wi-Fi in classrooms. This rule replaced the old "two in five" rule, which made planning for category two services and equipment difficult for many schools and libraries. But this rule change was temporary—it went into effect in funding year 2015 and will sunset in funding year 2020. To help the Commission decide whether to renew this approach, the Commission directed the Bureau to monitor and provide a report on category two applicant budgets using data gathered in funding years 2015 through 2018. The Bureau's report to the Commission is due before the filing window for funding year 2019 opens next year.

In preparation for its report, the Bureau released the Public Notice referenced in your letter. The Public Notice sought comment from applicants, service providers, and other interested parties about how applicants have used their category two budgets, and the percentage of category two services purchased by applicants that were or will be covered by the budgets. The Bureau also sought data and feedback on how the budgets impact different types of E-Rate applicants, such as those in rural or remote geographies or those with unique student populations. Finally, the Bureau sought general comment on the administration of the budgets.

The comment cycle for the Public Notice has closed and Bureau staff is carefully reviewing the submissions. The Bureau will take into consideration the issues and concerns presented by all stakeholders.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Ajit V. Pai".

Ajit V. Pai



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF  
THE CHAIRMAN

April 3, 2018

The Honorable Ron Wyden  
United States Senate  
221 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Wyden:

Thank you for your letter in response to the Wireline Competition Bureau's Public Notice regarding the E-Rate program's category two budgets. Your views are important and will be considered as the Bureau prepares its report for the Commission.


In 2014, the Commission adopted five-year budgets for schools and libraries purchasing category two services, including the services and equipment needed for Wi-Fi in classrooms. This rule replaced the old "two in five" rule, which made planning for category two services and equipment difficult for many schools and libraries. But this rule change was temporary—it went into effect in funding year 2015 and will sunset in funding year 2020. To help the Commission decide whether to renew this approach, the Commission directed the Bureau to monitor and provide a report on category two applicant budgets using data gathered in funding years 2015 through 2018. The Bureau's report to the Commission is due before the filing window for funding year 2019 opens next year.

In preparation for its report, the Bureau released the Public Notice referenced in your letter. The Public Notice sought comment from applicants, service providers, and other interested parties about how applicants have used their category two budgets, and the percentage of category two services purchased by applicants that were or will be covered by the budgets. The Bureau also sought data and feedback on how the budgets impact different types of E-Rate applicants, such as those in rural or remote geographies or those with unique student populations. Finally, the Bureau sought general comment on the administration of the budgets.

The comment cycle for the Public Notice has closed and Bureau staff is carefully reviewing the submissions. The Bureau will take into consideration the issues and concerns presented by all stakeholders.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Ajit V. Pai". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial "A".  
Ajit V. Pai



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF  
THE CHAIRMAN

April 3, 2018

The Honorable Maria Cantwell  
United States Senate  
511 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Cantwell:

Thank you for your letter in response to the Wireline Competition Bureau's Public Notice regarding the E-Rate program's category two budgets. Your views are important and will be considered as the Bureau prepares its report for the Commission.


In 2014, the Commission adopted five-year budgets for schools and libraries purchasing category two services, including the services and equipment needed for Wi-Fi in classrooms. This rule replaced the old "two in five" rule, which made planning for category two services and equipment difficult for many schools and libraries. But this rule change was temporary—it went into effect in funding year 2015 and will sunset in funding year 2020. To help the Commission decide whether to renew this approach, the Commission directed the Bureau to monitor and provide a report on category two applicant budgets using data gathered in funding years 2015 through 2018. The Bureau's report to the Commission is due before the filing window for funding year 2019 opens next year.

In preparation for its report, the Bureau released the Public Notice referenced in your letter. The Public Notice sought comment from applicants, service providers, and other interested parties about how applicants have used their category two budgets, and the percentage of category two services purchased by applicants that were or will be covered by the budgets. The Bureau also sought data and feedback on how the budgets impact different types of E-Rate applicants, such as those in rural or remote geographies or those with unique student populations. Finally, the Bureau sought general comment on the administration of the budgets.

The comment cycle for the Public Notice has closed and Bureau staff is carefully reviewing the submissions. The Bureau will take into consideration the issues and concerns presented by all stakeholders.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Ajit V. Pai". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Ajit" being the most prominent.

Ajit V. Pai



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF  
THE CHAIRMAN

April 3, 2018

The Honorable Catherine Cortez Masto  
United States Senate  
B40A Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Cortez Masto:

Thank you for your letter in response to the Wireline Competition Bureau's Public Notice regarding the E-Rate program's category two budgets. Your views are important and will be considered as the Bureau prepares its report for the Commission.

In 2014, the Commission adopted five-year budgets for schools and libraries purchasing category two services, including the services and equipment needed for Wi-Fi in classrooms. This rule replaced the old "two in five" rule, which made planning for category two services and equipment difficult for many schools and libraries. But this rule change was temporary—it went into effect in funding year 2015 and will sunset in funding year 2020. To help the Commission decide whether to renew this approach, the Commission directed the Bureau to monitor and provide a report on category two applicant budgets using data gathered in funding years 2015 through 2018. The Bureau's report to the Commission is due before the filing window for funding year 2019 opens next year.

In preparation for its report, the Bureau released the Public Notice referenced in your letter. The Public Notice sought comment from applicants, service providers, and other interested parties about how applicants have used their category two budgets, and the percentage of category two services purchased by applicants that were or will be covered by the budgets. The Bureau also sought data and feedback on how the budgets impact different types of E-Rate applicants, such as those in rural or remote geographies or those with unique student populations. Finally, the Bureau sought general comment on the administration of the budgets.

The comment cycle for the Public Notice has closed and Bureau staff is carefully reviewing the submissions. The Bureau will take into consideration the issues and concerns presented by all stakeholders.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Ajit V. Pai".

Ajit V. Pai



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF  
THE CHAIRMAN

April 3, 2018

The Honorable Patty Murray  
United States Senate  
154 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Murray:

Thank you for your letter in response to the Wireline Competition Bureau's Public Notice regarding the E-Rate program's category two budgets. Your views are important and will be considered as the Bureau prepares its report for the Commission.


In 2014, the Commission adopted five-year budgets for schools and libraries purchasing category two services, including the services and equipment needed for Wi-Fi in classrooms. This rule replaced the old "two in five" rule, which made planning for category two services and equipment difficult for many schools and libraries. But this rule change was temporary—it went into effect in funding year 2015 and will sunset in funding year 2020. To help the Commission decide whether to renew this approach, the Commission directed the Bureau to monitor and provide a report on category two applicant budgets using data gathered in funding years 2015 through 2018. The Bureau's report to the Commission is due before the filing window for funding year 2019 opens next year.

In preparation for its report, the Bureau released the Public Notice referenced in your letter. The Public Notice sought comment from applicants, service providers, and other interested parties about how applicants have used their category two budgets, and the percentage of category two services purchased by applicants that were or will be covered by the budgets. The Bureau also sought data and feedback on how the budgets impact different types of E-Rate applicants, such as those in rural or remote geographies or those with unique student populations. Finally, the Bureau sought general comment on the administration of the budgets.

The comment cycle for the Public Notice has closed and Bureau staff is carefully reviewing the submissions. The Bureau will take into consideration the issues and concerns presented by all stakeholders.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Ajit V. Pai". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial "A".  
Ajit V. Pai



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF  
THE CHAIRMAN

April 3, 2018

The Honorable Tammy Baldwin  
United States Senate  
717 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Baldwin:

Thank you for your letter in response to the Wireline Competition Bureau's Public Notice regarding the E-Rate program's category two budgets. Your views are important and will be considered as the Bureau prepares its report for the Commission.


In 2014, the Commission adopted five-year budgets for schools and libraries purchasing category two services, including the services and equipment needed for Wi-Fi in classrooms. This rule replaced the old "two in five" rule, which made planning for category two services and equipment difficult for many schools and libraries. But this rule change was temporary—it went into effect in funding year 2015 and will sunset in funding year 2020. To help the Commission decide whether to renew this approach, the Commission directed the Bureau to monitor and provide a report on category two applicant budgets using data gathered in funding years 2015 through 2018. The Bureau's report to the Commission is due before the filing window for funding year 2019 opens next year.

In preparation for its report, the Bureau released the Public Notice referenced in your letter. The Public Notice sought comment from applicants, service providers, and other interested parties about how applicants have used their category two budgets, and the percentage of category two services purchased by applicants that were or will be covered by the budgets. The Bureau also sought data and feedback on how the budgets impact different types of E-Rate applicants, such as those in rural or remote geographies or those with unique student populations. Finally, the Bureau sought general comment on the administration of the budgets.

The comment cycle for the Public Notice has closed and Bureau staff is carefully reviewing the submissions. The Bureau will take into consideration the issues and concerns presented by all stakeholders.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Ajit V. Pai". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Ajit" being the most prominent.

Ajit V. Pai



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF  
THE CHAIRMAN

April 3, 2018

The Honorable Richard Blumenthal  
United States Senate  
706 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Blumenthal:

Thank you for your letter in response to the Wireline Competition Bureau's Public Notice regarding the E-Rate program's category two budgets. Your views are important and will be considered as the Bureau prepares its report for the Commission.


In 2014, the Commission adopted five-year budgets for schools and libraries purchasing category two services, including the services and equipment needed for Wi-Fi in classrooms. This rule replaced the old "two in five" rule, which made planning for category two services and equipment difficult for many schools and libraries. But this rule change was temporary—it went into effect in funding year 2015 and will sunset in funding year 2020. To help the Commission decide whether to renew this approach, the Commission directed the Bureau to monitor and provide a report on category two applicant budgets using data gathered in funding years 2015 through 2018. The Bureau's report to the Commission is due before the filing window for funding year 2019 opens next year.

In preparation for its report, the Bureau released the Public Notice referenced in your letter. The Public Notice sought comment from applicants, service providers, and other interested parties about how applicants have used their category two budgets, and the percentage of category two services purchased by applicants that were or will be covered by the budgets. The Bureau also sought data and feedback on how the budgets impact different types of E-Rate applicants, such as those in rural or remote geographies or those with unique student populations. Finally, the Bureau sought general comment on the administration of the budgets.

The comment cycle for the Public Notice has closed and Bureau staff is carefully reviewing the submissions. The Bureau will take into consideration the issues and concerns presented by all stakeholders.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

  
Ajit V. Pai



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF  
THE CHAIRMAN

April 3, 2018

The Honorable Amy Klobuchar  
United States Senate  
302 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Klobuchar:

Thank you for your letter in response to the Wireline Competition Bureau's Public Notice regarding the E-Rate program's category two budgets. Your views are important and will be considered as the Bureau prepares its report for the Commission.


In 2014, the Commission adopted five-year budgets for schools and libraries purchasing category two services, including the services and equipment needed for Wi-Fi in classrooms. This rule replaced the old "two in five" rule, which made planning for category two services and equipment difficult for many schools and libraries. But this rule change was temporary—it went into effect in funding year 2015 and will sunset in funding year 2020. To help the Commission decide whether to renew this approach, the Commission directed the Bureau to monitor and provide a report on category two applicant budgets using data gathered in funding years 2015 through 2018. The Bureau's report to the Commission is due before the filing window for funding year 2019 opens next year.

In preparation for its report, the Bureau released the Public Notice referenced in your letter. The Public Notice sought comment from applicants, service providers, and other interested parties about how applicants have used their category two budgets, and the percentage of category two services purchased by applicants that were or will be covered by the budgets. The Bureau also sought data and feedback on how the budgets impact different types of E-Rate applicants, such as those in rural or remote geographies or those with unique student populations. Finally, the Bureau sought general comment on the administration of the budgets.

The comment cycle for the Public Notice has closed and Bureau staff is carefully reviewing the submissions. The Bureau will take into consideration the issues and concerns presented by all stakeholders.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Ajit V. Pai". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial "A".  
Ajit V. Pai



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF  
THE CHAIRMAN

April 3, 2018

The Honorable Gary Peters  
United States Senate  
724 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Peters:

Thank you for your letter in response to the Wireline Competition Bureau's Public Notice regarding the E-Rate program's category two budgets. Your views are important and will be considered as the Bureau prepares its report for the Commission.


In 2014, the Commission adopted five-year budgets for schools and libraries purchasing category two services, including the services and equipment needed for Wi-Fi in classrooms. This rule replaced the old "two in five" rule, which made planning for category two services and equipment difficult for many schools and libraries. But this rule change was temporary—it went into effect in funding year 2015 and will sunset in funding year 2020. To help the Commission decide whether to renew this approach, the Commission directed the Bureau to monitor and provide a report on category two applicant budgets using data gathered in funding years 2015 through 2018. The Bureau's report to the Commission is due before the filing window for funding year 2019 opens next year.

In preparation for its report, the Bureau released the Public Notice referenced in your letter. The Public Notice sought comment from applicants, service providers, and other interested parties about how applicants have used their category two budgets, and the percentage of category two services purchased by applicants that were or will be covered by the budgets. The Bureau also sought data and feedback on how the budgets impact different types of E-Rate applicants, such as those in rural or remote geographies or those with unique student populations. Finally, the Bureau sought general comment on the administration of the budgets.

The comment cycle for the Public Notice has closed and Bureau staff is carefully reviewing the submissions. The Bureau will take into consideration the issues and concerns presented by all stakeholders.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Ajit V. Pai". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial "A".  
Ajit V. Pai