

May 8, 2018

The Honorable Amy Klobuchar United States Senate 302 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Klobuchar:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA). Unwanted robocalls are consumers' top complaint to the FCC, and we have accordingly made combating illegal robocalls our top consumer protection priority. We have aggressively enforced the TCPA as well as the Truth in Caller ID—leveling over \$200 million in proposed fines against illegal robocallers. In November we authorized carriers to stop certain robocalls at the source, while we pursue the creation of a reassigned numbers database and a robust call-authentication framework. And we have been working with our colleagues at the Federal Trade Commission as well—hosting a policy forum in March and a tech expo of robocall-fighting apps in April.

In your letter, you note the recent decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia in *ACA International v. FCC*, which struck down much of the agency's 2015 *TCPA Declaratory Ruling and Order*—including "an expansive interpretation of 'capacity' having the apparent effect of embracing any and all smartphones." Thankfully, the court upheld a consumer's right to revoke consent should they no longer wish to receive robocalls and robotexts—and the ruling does not impact the Commission's current efforts to address illegal robocalls and spoofing.

I expect the court will issue its mandate soon. Once it does, I agree that the Commission must address the definition of an "automatic telephone dialing system," reassigned number protections, and the scope of a consumer's right to revocation—along with other pending questions such as whether federal contractors are "persons" and the long-stalled Budget Act rules. I look forward to working with my colleagues on addressing these issues while continuing our many other efforts to combat illegal robocalls.

Sincerely, Y it V. Pai Par



May 8, 2018

The Honorable Catherine Cortez Masto United States Senate B40A Dirksen Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Cortez Masto:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA). Unwanted robocalls are consumers' top complaint to the FCC, and we have accordingly made combating illegal robocalls our top consumer protection priority. We have aggressively enforced the TCPA as well as the Truth in Caller ID—leveling over \$200 million in proposed fines against illegal robocallers. In November we authorized carriers to stop certain robocalls at the source, while we pursue the creation of a reassigned numbers database and a robust call-authentication framework. And we have been working with our colleagues at the Federal Trade Commission as well—hosting a policy forum in March and a tech expo of robocall-fighting apps in April.

In your letter, you note the recent decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia in *ACA International v. FCC*, which struck down much of the agency's 2015 *TCPA Declaratory Ruling and Order*—including "an expansive interpretation of 'capacity' having the apparent effect of embracing any and all smartphones." Thankfully, the court upheld a consumer's right to revoke consent should they no longer wish to receive robocalls and robotexts—and the ruling does not impact the Commission's current efforts to address illegal robocalls and spoofing.

I expect the court will issue its mandate soon. Once it does, I agree that the Commission must address the definition of an "automatic telephone dialing system," reassigned number protections, and the scope of a consumer's right to revocation—along with other pending questions such as whether federal contractors are "persons" and the long-stalled Budget Act rules. I look forward to working with my colleagues on addressing these issues while continuing our many other efforts to combat illegal robocalls.

Sincerely, Jit V. Pai



May 8, 2018

The Honorable Chris Van Hollen United States Senate B40C Dirksen Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Van Hollen:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA). Unwanted robocalls are consumers' top complaint to the FCC, and we have accordingly made combating illegal robocalls our top consumer protection priority. We have aggressively enforced the TCPA as well as the Truth in Caller ID—leveling over \$200 million in proposed fines against illegal robocallers. In November we authorized carriers to stop certain robocalls at the source, while we pursue the creation of a reassigned numbers database and a robust call-authentication framework. And we have been working with our colleagues at the Federal Trade Commission as well—hosting a policy forum in March and a tech expo of robocall-fighting apps in April.

In your letter, you note the recent decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia in ACA International v. FCC, which struck down much of the agency's 2015 TCPA Declaratory Ruling and Order—including "an expansive interpretation of 'capacity' having the apparent effect of embracing any and all smartphones." Thankfully, the court upheld a consumer's right to revoke consent should they no longer wish to receive robocalls and robotexts—and the ruling does not impact the Commission's current efforts to address illegal robocalls and spoofing.

I expect the court will issue its mandate soon. Once it does, I agree that the Commission must address the definition of an "automatic telephone dialing system," reassigned number protections, and the scope of a consumer's right to revocation—along with other pending questions such as whether federal contractors are "persons" and the long-stalled Budget Act rules. I look forward to working with my colleagues on addressing these issues while continuing our many other efforts to combat illegal robocalls.

Sincerely, Fit V. Pai



May 8, 2018

The Honorable Cory Booker United States Senate 359 Dirksen Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Booker:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA). Unwanted robocalls are consumers' top complaint to the FCC, and we have accordingly made combating illegal robocalls our top consumer protection priority. We have aggressively enforced the TCPA as well as the Truth in Caller ID—leveling over \$200 million in proposed fines against illegal robocallers. In November we authorized carriers to stop certain robocalls at the source, while we pursue the creation of a reassigned numbers database and a robust call-authentication framework. And we have been working with our colleagues at the Federal Trade Commission as well—hosting a policy forum in March and a tech expo of robocall-fighting apps in April.

In your letter, you note the recent decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia in ACA International v. FCC, which struck down much of the agency's 2015 TCPA Declaratory Ruling and Order—including "an expansive interpretation of 'capacity' having the apparent effect of embracing any and all smartphones." Thankfully, the court upheld a consumer's right to revoke consent should they no longer wish to receive robocalls and robotexts—and the ruling does not impact the Commission's current efforts to address illegal robocalls and spoofing.

I expect the court will issue its mandate soon. Once it does, I agree that the Commission must address the definition of an "automatic telephone dialing system," reassigned number protections, and the scope of a consumer's right to revocation—along with other pending questions such as whether federal contractors are "persons" and the long-stalled Budget Act rules. I look forward to working with my colleagues on addressing these issues while continuing our many other efforts to combat illegal robocalls.

Sincerely, Zit V. Pai Par



May 8, 2018

The Honorable Edward J. Markey United States Senate 255 Dirksen Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Markey:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA). Unwanted robocalls are consumers' top complaint to the FCC, and we have accordingly made combating illegal robocalls our top consumer protection priority. We have aggressively enforced the TCPA as well as the Truth in Caller ID—leveling over \$200 million in proposed fines against illegal robocallers. In November we authorized carriers to stop certain robocalls at the source, while we pursue the creation of a reassigned numbers database and a robust call-authentication framework. And we have been working with our colleagues at the Federal Trade Commission as well—hosting a policy forum in March and a tech expo of robocall-fighting apps in April.

In your letter, you note the recent decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia in *ACA International v. FCC*, which struck down much of the agency's 2015 *TCPA Declaratory Ruling and Order*—including "an expansive interpretation of 'capacity' having the apparent effect of embracing any and all smartphones." Thankfully, the court upheld a consumer's right to revoke consent should they no longer wish to receive robocalls and robotexts—and the ruling does not impact the Commission's current efforts to address illegal robocalls and spoofing.

I expect the court will issue its mandate soon. Once it does, I agree that the Commission must address the definition of an "automatic telephone dialing system," reassigned number protections, and the scope of a consumer's right to revocation—along with other pending questions such as whether federal contractors are "persons" and the long-stalled Budget Act rules. I look forward to working with my colleagues on addressing these issues while continuing our many other efforts to combat illegal robocalls.

Sincerely, Fit V. Pai



May 8, 2018

The Honorable Elizabeth Warren United States Senate 317 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Warren:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA). Unwanted robocalls are consumers' top complaint to the FCC, and we have accordingly made combating illegal robocalls our top consumer protection priority. We have aggressively enforced the TCPA as well as the Truth in Caller ID—leveling over \$200 million in proposed fines against illegal robocallers. In November we authorized carriers to stop certain robocalls at the source, while we pursue the creation of a reassigned numbers database and a robust call-authentication framework. And we have been working with our colleagues at the Federal Trade Commission as well—hosting a policy forum in March and a tech expo of robocall-fighting apps in April.

In your letter, you note the recent decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia in ACA International v. FCC, which struck down much of the agency's 2015 TCPA Declaratory Ruling and Order—including "an expansive interpretation of 'capacity' having the apparent effect of embracing any and all smartphones." Thankfully, the court upheld a consumer's right to revoke consent should they no longer wish to receive robocalls and robotexts—and the ruling does not impact the Commission's current efforts to address illegal robocalls and spoofing.

I expect the court will issue its mandate soon. Once it does, I agree that the Commission must address the definition of an "automatic telephone dialing system," reassigned number protections, and the scope of a consumer's right to revocation—along with other pending questions such as whether federal contractors are "persons" and the long-stalled Budget Act rules. I look forward to working with my colleagues on addressing these issues while continuing our many other efforts to combat illegal robocalls.

Zit V. Pai



OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN

May 8, 2018

The Honorable Gary Peters United States Senate 724 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Peters:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA). Unwanted robocalls are consumers' top complaint to the FCC, and we have accordingly made combating illegal robocalls our top consumer protection priority. We have aggressively enforced the TCPA as well as the Truth in Caller ID—leveling over \$200 million in proposed fines against illegal robocallers. In November we authorized carriers to stop certain robocalls at the source, while we pursue the creation of a reassigned numbers database and a robust call-authentication framework. And we have been working with our colleagues at the Federal Trade Commission as well—hosting a policy forum in March and a tech expo of robocall-fighting apps in April.

In your letter, you note the recent decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia in ACA International v. FCC, which struck down much of the agency's 2015 TCPA Declaratory Ruling and Order—including "an expansive interpretation of 'capacity' having the apparent effect of embracing any and all smartphones." Thankfully, the court upheld a consumer's right to revoke consent should they no longer wish to receive robocalls and robotexts—and the ruling does not impact the Commission's current efforts to address illegal robocalls and spoofing.

I expect the court will issue its mandate soon. Once it does, I agree that the Commission must address the definition of an "automatic telephone dialing system," reassigned number protections, and the scope of a consumer's right to revocation—along with other pending questions such as whether federal contractors are "persons" and the long-stalled Budget Act rules. I look forward to working with my colleagues on addressing these issues while continuing our many other efforts to combat illegal robocalls.

Sincerely, Zit V. Pai



May 8, 2018

The Honorable Jack Reed United States Senate 728 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Reed:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA). Unwanted robocalls are consumers' top complaint to the FCC, and we have accordingly made combating illegal robocalls our top consumer protection priority. We have aggressively enforced the TCPA as well as the Truth in Caller ID—leveling over \$200 million in proposed fines against illegal robocallers. In November we authorized carriers to stop certain robocalls at the source, while we pursue the creation of a reassigned numbers database and a robust call-authentication framework. And we have been working with our colleagues at the Federal Trade Commission as well—hosting a policy forum in March and a tech expo of robocall-fighting apps in April.

In your letter, you note the recent decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia in ACA International v. FCC, which struck down much of the agency's 2015 TCPA Declaratory Ruling and Order—including "an expansive interpretation of 'capacity' having the apparent effect of embracing any and all smartphones." Thankfully, the court upheld a consumer's right to revoke consent should they no longer wish to receive robocalls and robotexts—and the ruling does not impact the Commission's current efforts to address illegal robocalls and spoofing.

I expect the court will issue its mandate soon. Once it does, I agree that the Commission must address the definition of an "automatic telephone dialing system," reassigned number protections, and the scope of a consumer's right to revocation—along with other pending questions such as whether federal contractors are "persons" and the long-stalled Budget Act rules. I look forward to working with my colleagues on addressing these issues while continuing our many other efforts to combat illegal robocalls.

Sincerely, Zit V. Var Ajit V. Pai



May 8, 2018

The Honorable Kirsten Gillibrand United States Senate 478 Russell Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Gillibrand:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA). Unwanted robocalls are consumers' top complaint to the FCC, and we have accordingly made combating illegal robocalls our top consumer protection priority. We have aggressively enforced the TCPA as well as the Truth in Caller ID—leveling over \$200 million in proposed fines against illegal robocallers. In November we authorized carriers to stop certain robocalls at the source, while we pursue the creation of a reassigned numbers database and a robust call-authentication framework. And we have been working with our colleagues at the Federal Trade Commission as well—hosting a policy forum in March and a tech expo of robocall-fighting apps in April.

In your letter, you note the recent decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia in *ACA International v. FCC*, which struck down much of the agency's 2015 *TCPA Declaratory Ruling and Order*—including "an expansive interpretation of 'capacity' having the apparent effect of embracing any and all smartphones." Thankfully, the court upheld a consumer's right to revoke consent should they no longer wish to receive robocalls and robotexts—and the ruling does not impact the Commission's current efforts to address illegal robocalls and spoofing.

I expect the court will issue its mandate soon. Once it does, I agree that the Commission must address the definition of an "automatic telephone dialing system," reassigned number protections, and the scope of a consumer's right to revocation—along with other pending questions such as whether federal contractors are "persons" and the long-stalled Budget Act rules. I look forward to working with my colleagues on addressing these issues while continuing our many other efforts to combat illegal robocalls.

Sincerely, Jul V. Pai



May 8, 2018

The Honorable Maggie Hassan United States Senate B85 Russell Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Hassan:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA). Unwanted robocalls are consumers' top complaint to the FCC, and we have accordingly made combating illegal robocalls our top consumer protection priority. We have aggressively enforced the TCPA as well as the Truth in Caller ID—leveling over \$200 million in proposed fines against illegal robocallers. In November we authorized carriers to stop certain robocalls at the source, while we pursue the creation of a reassigned numbers database and a robust call-authentication framework. And we have been working with our colleagues at the Federal Trade Commission as well—hosting a policy forum in March and a tech expo of robocall-fighting apps in April.

In your letter, you note the recent decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia in ACA International v. FCC, which struck down much of the agency's 2015 TCPA Declaratory Ruling and Order—including "an expansive interpretation of 'capacity' having the apparent effect of embracing any and all smartphones." Thankfully, the court upheld a consumer's right to revoke consent should they no longer wish to receive robocalls and robotexts—and the ruling does not impact the Commission's current efforts to address illegal robocalls and spoofing.

I expect the court will issue its mandate soon. Once it does, I agree that the Commission must address the definition of an "automatic telephone dialing system," reassigned number protections, and the scope of a consumer's right to revocation—along with other pending questions such as whether federal contractors are "persons" and the long-stalled Budget Act rules. I look forward to working with my colleagues on addressing these issues while continuing our many other efforts to combat illegal robocalls.

Sincerely, Zut. V. Pai Jan



May 8, 2018

The Honorable Richard Blumenthal United States Senate 706 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Blumenthal:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA). Unwanted robocalls are consumers' top complaint to the FCC, and we have accordingly made combating illegal robocalls our top consumer protection priority. We have aggressively enforced the TCPA as well as the Truth in Caller ID—leveling over \$200 million in proposed fines against illegal robocallers. In November we authorized carriers to stop certain robocalls at the source, while we pursue the creation of a reassigned numbers database and a robust call-authentication framework. And we have been working with our colleagues at the Federal Trade Commission as well—hosting a policy forum in March and a tech expo of robocall-fighting apps in April.

In your letter, you note the recent decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia in ACA International v. FCC, which struck down much of the agency's 2015 TCPA Declaratory Ruling and Order—including "an expansive interpretation of 'capacity' having the apparent effect of embracing any and all smartphones." Thankfully, the court upheld a consumer's right to revoke consent should they no longer wish to receive robocalls and robotexts—and the ruling does not impact the Commission's current efforts to address illegal robocalls and spoofing.

I expect the court will issue its mandate soon. Once it does, I agree that the Commission must address the definition of an "automatic telephone dialing system," reassigned number protections, and the scope of a consumer's right to revocation—along with other pending questions such as whether federal contractors are "persons" and the long-stalled Budget Act rules. I look forward to working with my colleagues on addressing these issues while continuing our many other efforts to combat illegal robocalls.

Sincerely, Jit V. Pai



May 8, 2018

The Honorable Robert Menendez United States Senate 528 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Menendez:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA). Unwanted robocalls are consumers' top complaint to the FCC, and we have accordingly made combating illegal robocalls our top consumer protection priority. We have aggressively enforced the TCPA as well as the Truth in Caller ID—leveling over \$200 million in proposed fines against illegal robocallers. In November we authorized carriers to stop certain robocalls at the source, while we pursue the creation of a reassigned numbers database and a robust call-authentication framework. And we have been working with our colleagues at the Federal Trade Commission as well—hosting a policy forum in March and a tech expo of robocall-fighting apps in April.

In your letter, you note the recent decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia in ACA International v. FCC, which struck down much of the agency's 2015 TCPA Declaratory Ruling and Order—including "an expansive interpretation of 'capacity' having the apparent effect of embracing any and all smartphones." Thankfully, the court upheld a consumer's right to revoke consent should they no longer wish to receive robocalls and robotexts—and the ruling does not impact the Commission's current efforts to address illegal robocalls and spoofing.

I expect the court will issue its mandate soon. Once it does, I agree that the Commission must address the definition of an "automatic telephone dialing system," reassigned number protections, and the scope of a consumer's right to revocation—along with other pending questions such as whether federal contractors are "persons" and the long-stalled Budget Act rules. I look forward to working with my colleagues on addressing these issues while continuing our many other efforts to combat illegal robocalls.

Sincerely. Y V. Pai Par Ajit V. Pai



May 8, 2018

The Honorable Ron Wyden United States Senate 221 Dirksen Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Wyden:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA). Unwanted robocalls are consumers' top complaint to the FCC, and we have accordingly made combating illegal robocalls our top consumer protection priority. We have aggressively enforced the TCPA as well as the Truth in Caller ID—leveling over \$200 million in proposed fines against illegal robocallers. In November we authorized carriers to stop certain robocalls at the source, while we pursue the creation of a reassigned numbers database and a robust call-authentication framework. And we have been working with our colleagues at the Federal Trade Commission as well—hosting a policy forum in March and a tech expo of robocall-fighting apps in April.

In your letter, you note the recent decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia in ACA International v. FCC, which struck down much of the agency's 2015 TCPA Declaratory Ruling and Order—including "an expansive interpretation of 'capacity' having the apparent effect of embracing any and all smartphones." Thankfully, the court upheld a consumer's right to revoke consent should they no longer wish to receive robocalls and robotexts—and the ruling does not impact the Commission's current efforts to address illegal robocalls and spoofing.

I expect the court will issue its mandate soon. Once it does, I agree that the Commission must address the definition of an "automatic telephone dialing system," reassigned number protections, and the scope of a consumer's right to revocation—along with other pending questions such as whether federal contractors are "persons" and the long-stalled Budget Act rules. I look forward to working with my colleagues on addressing these issues while continuing our many other efforts to combat illegal robocalls.

Sincerely, Git V. Pai Par



May 8, 2018

The Honorable Tammy Baldwin United States Senate 717 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Baldwin:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA). Unwanted robocalls are consumers' top complaint to the FCC, and we have accordingly made combating illegal robocalls our top consumer protection priority. We have aggressively enforced the TCPA as well as the Truth in Caller ID—leveling over \$200 million in proposed fines against illegal robocallers. In November we authorized carriers to stop certain robocalls at the source, while we pursue the creation of a reassigned numbers database and a robust call-authentication framework. And we have been working with our colleagues at the Federal Trade Commission as well—hosting a policy forum in March and a tech expo of robocall-fighting apps in April.

In your letter, you note the recent decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia in *ACA International v. FCC*, which struck down much of the agency's 2015 *TCPA Declaratory Ruling and Order*—including "an expansive interpretation of 'capacity' having the apparent effect of embracing any and all smartphones." Thankfully, the court upheld a consumer's right to revoke consent should they no longer wish to receive robocalls and robotexts—and the ruling does not impact the Commission's current efforts to address illegal robocalls and spoofing.

I expect the court will issue its mandate soon. Once it does, I agree that the Commission must address the definition of an "automatic telephone dialing system," reassigned number protections, and the scope of a consumer's right to revocation—along with other pending questions such as whether federal contractors are "persons" and the long-stalled Budget Act rules. I look forward to working with my colleagues on addressing these issues while continuing our many other efforts to combat illegal robocalls.

Sincerely, Git V. Pai Jan



May 8, 2018

The Honorable Tina Smith United States Senate 309 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Smith:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA). Unwanted robocalls are consumers' top complaint to the FCC, and we have accordingly made combating illegal robocalls our top consumer protection priority. We have aggressively enforced the TCPA as well as the Truth in Caller ID—leveling over \$200 million in proposed fines against illegal robocallers. In November we authorized carriers to stop certain robocalls at the source, while we pursue the creation of a reassigned numbers database and a robust call-authentication framework. And we have been working with our colleagues at the Federal Trade Commission as well—hosting a policy forum in March and a tech expo of robocall-fighting apps in April.

In your letter, you note the recent decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia in ACA International v. FCC, which struck down much of the agency's 2015 TCPA Declaratory Ruling and Order—including "an expansive interpretation of 'capacity' having the apparent effect of embracing any and all smartphones." Thankfully, the court upheld a consumer's right to revoke consent should they no longer wish to receive robocalls and robotexts—and the ruling does not impact the Commission's current efforts to address illegal robocalls and spoofing.

I expect the court will issue its mandate soon. Once it does, I agree that the Commission must address the definition of an "automatic telephone dialing system," reassigned number protections, and the scope of a consumer's right to revocation—along with other pending questions such as whether federal contractors are "persons" and the long-stalled Budget Act rules. I look forward to working with my colleagues on addressing these issues while continuing our many other efforts to combat illegal robocalls.

Sincerely. Zit V. Pai