



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

August 7, 2018

The Honorable Deb Fischer
United States Senate
454 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Fischer:


Thank you for your letter in support of the FCC's recent efforts to crack down on abusive and illegal robocalls. Unwanted robocalls are consumers' top complaint to the FCC, and we have accordingly made combating illegal robocalls our top consumer protection priority. We have aggressively enforced the Telephone Consumer Protection Act as well as the Truth in Caller ID Act—leveling over \$200 million in proposed fines against illegal robocallers. We have authorized carriers to stop certain robocalls at the source while we pursue creation of a reassigned numbers database and a robust call-authentication framework. And we have been working with our colleagues at the Federal Trade Commission as well, hosting a policy forum in March and a tech expo in April.

In your letter, you urge the Commission to take quick action to clarify important issues under the TCPA. I agree that, in light of the decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia in *ACA International v. FCC*—which struck down much of the agency's *2015 TCPA Declaratory Ruling and Order*—it is time for the Commission to establish robust consumer protections in line with federal law. As I predicted in my dissent, the last Administration's order has left both the American customer and American enterprise worse off. This cannot possibly be what Congress intended.

The Commission is now poised to examine and reconsider these issues. On May 14, 2018, the Commission sought comment on the definition of an “automatic telephone dialing system,” the treatment of calls to reassigned wireless numbers, and the scope of a consumer's right to revoke prior express consent to receive robocalls. We also sought renewed comment on reconsidering the *Broadnet* decision and the *2016 Federal Debt Collection Rules*, as well as the interplay between the *Broadnet* decision and the Budget Act amendments. The comment and reply period closed on June 28, 2018, and Commission staff is now reviewing the record. We will be sure to include your comments in the record of the proceeding and take them into account as we move forward.

I look forward to working with my colleagues on addressing these issues while continuing our many other efforts to combat illegal robocalls. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,


Ajit V. Pai



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

August 7, 2018

The Honorable James M. Inhofe
United States Senate
205 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Inhofe:

Thank you for your letter in support of the FCC's recent efforts to crack down on abusive and illegal robocalls. Unwanted robocalls are consumers' top complaint to the FCC, and we have accordingly made combating illegal robocalls our top consumer protection priority. We have aggressively enforced the Telephone Consumer Protection Act as well as the Truth in Caller ID Act—leveling over \$200 million in proposed fines against illegal robocallers. We have authorized carriers to stop certain robocalls at the source while we pursue creation of a reassigned numbers database and a robust call-authentication framework. And we have been working with our colleagues at the Federal Trade Commission as well, hosting a policy forum in March and a tech expo in April.

In your letter, you urge the Commission to take quick action to clarify important issues under the TCPA. I agree that, in light of the decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia in *ACA International v. FCC*—which struck down much of the agency's *2015 TCPA Declaratory Ruling and Order*—it is time for the Commission to establish robust consumer protections in line with federal law. As I predicted in my dissent, the last Administration's order has left both the American customer and American enterprise worse off. This cannot possibly be what Congress intended.

The Commission is now poised to examine and reconsider these issues. On May 14, 2018, the Commission sought comment on the definition of an "automatic telephone dialing system," the treatment of calls to reassigned wireless numbers, and the scope of a consumer's right to revoke prior express consent to receive robocalls. We also sought renewed comment on reconsidering the *Broadnet* decision and the *2016 Federal Debt Collection Rules*, as well as the interplay between the *Broadnet* decision and the Budget Act amendments. The comment and reply period closed on June 28, 2018, and Commission staff is now reviewing the record. We will be sure to include your comments in the record of the proceeding and take them into account as we move forward.

I look forward to working with my colleagues on addressing these issues while continuing our many other efforts to combat illegal robocalls. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Ajit V. Pai".

Ajit V. Pai



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

August 7, 2018

The Honorable Jerry Moran
United States Senate
521 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Moran:


Thank you for your letter in support of the FCC's recent efforts to crack down on abusive and illegal robocalls. Unwanted robocalls are consumers' top complaint to the FCC, and we have accordingly made combating illegal robocalls our top consumer protection priority. We have aggressively enforced the Telephone Consumer Protection Act as well as the Truth in Caller ID Act—leveling over \$200 million in proposed fines against illegal robocallers. We have authorized carriers to stop certain robocalls at the source while we pursue creation of a reassigned numbers database and a robust call-authentication framework. And we have been working with our colleagues at the Federal Trade Commission as well, hosting a policy forum in March and a tech expo in April.

In your letter, you urge the Commission to take quick action to clarify important issues under the TCPA. I agree that, in light of the decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia in *ACA International v. FCC*—which struck down much of the agency's *2015 TCPA Declaratory Ruling and Order*—it is time for the Commission to establish robust consumer protections in line with federal law. As I predicted in my dissent, the last Administration's order has left both the American customer and American enterprise worse off. This cannot possibly be what Congress intended.

The Commission is now poised to examine and reconsider these issues. On May 14, 2018, the Commission sought comment on the definition of an "automatic telephone dialing system," the treatment of calls to reassigned wireless numbers, and the scope of a consumer's right to revoke prior express consent to receive robocalls. We also sought renewed comment on reconsidering the *Broadnet* decision and the *2016 Federal Debt Collection Rules*, as well as the interplay between the *Broadnet* decision and the Budget Act amendments. The comment and reply period closed on June 28, 2018, and Commission staff is now reviewing the record. We will be sure to include your comments in the record of the proceeding and take them into account as we move forward.

I look forward to working with my colleagues on addressing these issues while continuing our many other efforts to combat illegal robocalls. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Ajit V. Pai". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial "A".
Ajit V. Pai



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

August 7, 2018

The Honorable John Thune
Chairman
Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation
United States Senate
512 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Chairman Thune:


Thank you for your letter in support of the FCC's recent efforts to crack down on abusive and illegal robocalls. Unwanted robocalls are consumers' top complaint to the FCC, and we have accordingly made combating illegal robocalls our top consumer protection priority. We have aggressively enforced the Telephone Consumer Protection Act as well as the Truth in Caller ID Act—leveling over \$200 million in proposed fines against illegal robocallers. We have authorized carriers to stop certain robocalls at the source while we pursue creation of a reassigned numbers database and a robust call-authentication framework. And we have been working with our colleagues at the Federal Trade Commission as well, hosting a policy forum in March and a tech expo in April.

In your letter, you urge the Commission to take quick action to clarify important issues under the TCPA. I agree that, in light of the decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia in *ACA International v. FCC*—which struck down much of the agency's 2015 *TCPA Declaratory Ruling and Order*—it is time for the Commission to establish robust consumer protections in line with federal law. As I predicted in my dissent, the last Administration's order has left both the American customer and American enterprise worse off. This cannot possibly be what Congress intended.

The Commission is now poised to examine and reconsider these issues. On May 14, 2018, the Commission sought comment on the definition of an “automatic telephone dialing system,” the treatment of calls to reassigned wireless numbers, and the scope of a consumer's right to revoke prior express consent to receive robocalls. We also sought renewed comment on reconsidering the *Broadnet* decision and the 2016 *Federal Debt Collection Rules*, as well as the interplay between the *Broadnet* decision and the Budget Act amendments. The comment and reply period closed on June 28, 2018, and Commission staff is now reviewing the record. We will be sure to include your comments in the record of the proceeding and take them into account as we move forward.

I look forward to working with my colleagues on addressing these issues while continuing our many other efforts to combat illegal robocalls. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,


Ajit V. Pai



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

August 7, 2018

The Honorable Roger Wicker
United States Senate
555 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Wicker:


Thank you for your letter in support of the FCC's recent efforts to crack down on abusive and illegal robocalls. Unwanted robocalls are consumers' top complaint to the FCC, and we have accordingly made combating illegal robocalls our top consumer protection priority. We have aggressively enforced the Telephone Consumer Protection Act as well as the Truth in Caller ID Act—leveling over \$200 million in proposed fines against illegal robocallers. We have authorized carriers to stop certain robocalls at the source while we pursue creation of a reassigned numbers database and a robust call-authentication framework. And we have been working with our colleagues at the Federal Trade Commission as well, hosting a policy forum in March and a tech expo in April.

In your letter, you urge the Commission to take quick action to clarify important issues under the TCPA. I agree that, in light of the decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia in *ACA International v. FCC*—which struck down much of the agency's 2015 *TCPA Declaratory Ruling and Order*—it is time for the Commission to establish robust consumer protections in line with federal law. As I predicted in my dissent, the last Administration's order has left both the American customer and American enterprise worse off. This cannot possibly be what Congress intended.

The Commission is now poised to examine and reconsider these issues. On May 14, 2018, the Commission sought comment on the definition of an “automatic telephone dialing system,” the treatment of calls to reassigned wireless numbers, and the scope of a consumer's right to revoke prior express consent to receive robocalls. We also sought renewed comment on reconsidering the *Broadnet* decision and the 2016 *Federal Debt Collection Rules*, as well as the interplay between the *Broadnet* decision and the Budget Act amendments. The comment and reply period closed on June 28, 2018, and Commission staff is now reviewing the record. We will be sure to include your comments in the record of the proceeding and take them into account as we move forward.

I look forward to working with my colleagues on addressing these issues while continuing our many other efforts to combat illegal robocalls. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



Ajit V. Pai



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

August 7, 2018

The Honorable Roy Blunt
United States Senate
260 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Blunt:


Thank you for your letter in support of the FCC's recent efforts to crack down on abusive and illegal robocalls. Unwanted robocalls are consumers' top complaint to the FCC, and we have accordingly made combating illegal robocalls our top consumer protection priority. We have aggressively enforced the Telephone Consumer Protection Act as well as the Truth in Caller ID Act—leveling over \$200 million in proposed fines against illegal robocallers. We have authorized carriers to stop certain robocalls at the source while we pursue creation of a reassigned numbers database and a robust call-authentication framework. And we have been working with our colleagues at the Federal Trade Commission as well, hosting a policy forum in March and a tech expo in April.

In your letter, you urge the Commission to take quick action to clarify important issues under the TCPA. I agree that, in light of the decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia in *ACA International v. FCC*—which struck down much of the agency's *2015 TCPA Declaratory Ruling and Order*—it is time for the Commission to establish robust consumer protections in line with federal law. As I predicted in my dissent, the last Administration's order has left both the American customer and American enterprise worse off. This cannot possibly be what Congress intended.

The Commission is now poised to examine and reconsider these issues. On May 14, 2018, the Commission sought comment on the definition of an "automatic telephone dialing system," the treatment of calls to reassigned wireless numbers, and the scope of a consumer's right to revoke prior express consent to receive robocalls. We also sought renewed comment on reconsidering the *Broadnet* decision and the *2016 Federal Debt Collection Rules*, as well as the interplay between the *Broadnet* decision and the Budget Act amendments. The comment and reply period closed on June 28, 2018, and Commission staff is now reviewing the record. We will be sure to include your comments in the record of the proceeding and take them into account as we move forward.

I look forward to working with my colleagues on addressing these issues while continuing our many other efforts to combat illegal robocalls. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Ajit V. Pai". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, looped initial "A".
Ajit V. Pai



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

August 7, 2018

The Honorable Shelley Moore Capito
United States Senate
172 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Capito:

Thank you for your letter in support of the FCC's recent efforts to crack down on abusive and illegal robocalls. Unwanted robocalls are consumers' top complaint to the FCC, and we have accordingly made combating illegal robocalls our top consumer protection priority. We have aggressively enforced the Telephone Consumer Protection Act as well as the Truth in Caller ID Act—leveling over \$200 million in proposed fines against illegal robocallers. We have authorized carriers to stop certain robocalls at the source while we pursue creation of a reassigned numbers database and a robust call-authentication framework. And we have been working with our colleagues at the Federal Trade Commission as well, hosting a policy forum in March and a tech expo in April.

In your letter, you urge the Commission to take quick action to clarify important issues under the TCPA. I agree that, in light of the decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia in *ACA International v. FCC*—which struck down much of the agency's 2015 *TCPA Declaratory Ruling and Order*—it is time for the Commission to establish robust consumer protections in line with federal law. As I predicted in my dissent, the last Administration's order has left both the American customer and American enterprise worse off. This cannot possibly be what Congress intended.

The Commission is now poised to examine and reconsider these issues. On May 14, 2018, the Commission sought comment on the definition of an "automatic telephone dialing system," the treatment of calls to reassigned wireless numbers, and the scope of a consumer's right to revoke prior express consent to receive robocalls. We also sought renewed comment on reconsidering the *Broadnet* decision and the 2016 *Federal Debt Collection Rules*, as well as the interplay between the *Broadnet* decision and the Budget Act amendments. The comment and reply period closed on June 28, 2018, and Commission staff is now reviewing the record. We will be sure to include your comments in the record of the proceeding and take them into account as we move forward.

I look forward to working with my colleagues on addressing these issues while continuing our many other efforts to combat illegal robocalls. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Ajit V. Pai". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial "A".

Ajit V. Pai