The Honorable Mike Quigley  
Committee on Appropriations  
Subcommittee on Financial Services and General Government  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2000 Rayburn House Office Building (G Floor)  
Washington, DC 20515  

Dear Chairman Quigley:

On April 19, 2019, the Government Accountability Office (GAO) publicly released a report entitled Tribal Consultation: Additional Federal Actions Needed for Infrastructure Projects, GAO 19-22 (Report). The Report examines factors that hinder effective Tribal consultation; identifies steps that twenty-one federal agencies, including the FCC, have taken to facilitate consultation; and recommends actions to improve Tribal consultation for many of the federal agencies. GAO notes that it included the FCC in the report because its “approach to Tribal consultation for telecommunications towers was identified as a best practice among reports [GAO] reviewed and Tribal and agency officials [GAO] interviewed.”

The Report recommends that the FCC Chairman document in the agency’s Tribal consultation policy how agency officials are to communicate with Tribes about how Tribal input from consultation was considered in agency decisions on infrastructure projects. In responding to the draft Report, the Chiefs of the FCC’s Wireless Telecommunications Bureau and Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau explained how the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement Regarding the Section 106 National Historic Preservation Act Review Process (Nationwide Programmatic Agreement) sets forth procedures for communications between Tribal governments and the FCC regarding individual telecommunication infrastructure projects.

I share your interest in ensuring that the FCC has procedures in place to communicate with Tribes about how their input was considered in a project, which is why the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement is so important. Given that each of the 573 federally recognized Tribes has its own particular communications preference with the FCC, the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement provides a flexible approach that contemplates a collaborative, back-and-forth process between the FCC, entities constructing infrastructure projects, and the Tribes. The process created by the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement strikes a balance between keeping Tribes informed and respecting Tribal communication preferences.

Nevertheless, there is always room for improving communications. Consistent with GAO’s recommendation, I have asked FCC staff to explore ways of documenting how FCC staff could communicate with Tribes about how Tribal input was used in FCC decisions on
telecommunications infrastructure projects. I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the GAO Report and would be happy to discuss further if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Ajit V. Pai

cc: The Honorable Bernie Sanders
The Honorable Thomas Udall
The Honorable Raúl M. Grijalva
The Honorable Ruben Gallego
The Honorable Peter Aguilar
The Honorable Donald S. Beyer, Jr.
The Honorable Tony Cárdenas
The Honorable Yvette D. Clarke
The Honorable William Lacy Clay
The Honorable Keith Ellison
The Honorable Jared Huffman
The Honorable Daniel T. Kildee
The Honorable Derek Kilmer
The Honorable Ann Kirkpatrick
The Honorable Alan S. Lowenthal
The Honorable Ben Ray Lujan
The Honorable Betty McCollum
The Honorable Gwen Moore
The Honorable Grace F. Napolitano
The Honorable Frank Pallone, Jr.
The Honorable Jared Polis
The Honorable Lucille Roybal-Allard
The Honorable Raul Ruiz, M.D.
The Honorable Linda T. Sanchez
The Honorable Mark Takano
The Honorable Norma J. Torres
The Honorable Tom Graves  
Committee on Appropriations  
Subcommittee on Financial Services and General Government  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1016 Longworth Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Ranking Member Graves:

On April 19, 2019, the Government Accountability Office (GAO) publicly released a report entitled *Tribal Consultation: Additional Federal Actions Needed for Infrastructure Projects*, GAO 19-22 (Report). The Report examines factors that hinder effective Tribal consultation; identifies steps that twenty-one federal agencies, including the FCC, have taken to facilitate consultation; and recommends actions to improve Tribal consultation for many of the federal agencies. GAO notes that it included the FCC in the report because its “approach to Tribal consultation for telecommunications towers was identified as a best practice among reports [GAO] reviewed and Tribal and agency officials [GAO] interviewed.”

The Report recommends that the FCC Chairman document in the agency’s Tribal consultation policy how agency officials are to communicate with Tribes about how Tribal input from consultation was considered in agency decisions on infrastructure projects. In responding to the draft Report, the Chiefs of the FCC’s Wireless Telecommunications Bureau and Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau explained how the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement Regarding the Section 106 National Historic Preservation Act Review Process (Nationwide Programmatic Agreement) sets forth procedures for communications between Tribal governments and the FCC regarding individual telecommunication infrastructure projects.

I share your interest in ensuring that the FCC has procedures in place to communicate with Tribes about how their input was considered in a project, which is why the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement is so important. Given that each of the 573 federally recognized Tribes has its own particular communications preference with the FCC, the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement provides a flexible approach that contemplates a collaborative, back-and-forth process between the FCC, entities constructing infrastructure projects, and the Tribes. The process created by the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement strikes a balance between keeping Tribes informed and respecting Tribal communication preferences.

Nevertheless, there is always room for improving communications. Consistent with GAO’s recommendation, I have asked FCC staff to explore ways of documenting how FCC staff could communicate with Tribes about how Tribal input was used in FCC decisions on
telecommunications infrastructure projects. I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the GAO Report and would be happy to discuss further if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Ajit V. Pai

cc: The Honorable Bernie Sanders
    The Honorable Thomas Udall
    The Honorable Raúl M. Grijalva
    The Honorable Ruben Gallego
    The Honorable Peter Aguilar
    The Honorable Donald S. Beyer, Jr.
    The Honorable Tony Cárdenas
    The Honorable Yvette D. Clarke
    The Honorable William Lacy Clay
    The Honorable Keith Ellison
    The Honorable Jared Huffman
    The Honorable Daniel T. Kildee
    The Honorable Derek Kilmer
    The Honorable Ann Kirkpatrick
    The Honorable Alan S. Lowenthal
    The Honorable Ben Ray Lujan
    The Honorable Betty McCollum
    The Honorable Gwen Moore
    The Honorable Grace F. Napolitano
    The Honorable Frank Pallone, Jr.
    The Honorable Jared Polis
    The Honorable Lucille Roybal-Allard
    The Honorable Raul Ruiz, M.D.
    The Honorable Linda T. Sanchez
    The Honorable Mark Takano
    The Honorable Norma J. Torres
The Honorable John N. Kennedy  
Committee on Appropriations  
Subcommittee on Financial Services and General Government  
United States Senate  
S-128 The Capital Building  
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Chairman Kennedy:

On April 19, 2019, the Government Accountability Office (GAO) publicly released a report entitled *Tribal Consultation: Additional Federal Actions Needed for Infrastructure Projects*, GAO 19-22 (Report). The Report examines factors that hinder effective Tribal consultation; identifies steps that twenty-one federal agencies, including the FCC, have taken to facilitate consultation; and recommends actions to improve Tribal consultation for many of the federal agencies. GAO notes that it included the FCC in the report because its “approach to Tribal consultation for telecommunications towers was identified as a best practice among reports [GAO] reviewed and Tribal and agency officials [GAO] interviewed.”

The Report recommends that the FCC Chairman document in the agency’s Tribal consultation policy how agency officials are to communicate with Tribes about how Tribal input from consultation was considered in agency decisions on infrastructure projects. In responding to the draft Report, the Chiefs of the FCC’s Wireless Telecommunications Bureau and Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau explained how the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement Regarding the Section 106 National Historic Preservation Act Review Process (Nationwide Programmatic Agreement) sets forth procedures for communications between Tribal governments and the FCC regarding individual telecommunication infrastructure projects.

I share your interest in ensuring that the FCC has procedures in place to communicate with Tribes about how their input was considered in a project, which is why the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement is so important. Given that each of the 573 federally recognized Tribes has its own particular communications preference with the FCC, the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement provides a flexible approach that contemplates a collaborative, back-and-forth process between the FCC, entities constructing infrastructure projects, and the Tribes. The process created by the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement strikes a balance between keeping Tribes informed and respecting Tribal communication preferences.

Nevertheless, there is always room for improving communications. Consistent with GAO’s recommendation, I have asked FCC staff to explore ways of documenting how FCC staff could communicate with Tribes about how Tribal input was used in FCC decisions on
telecommunications infrastructure projects. I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the GAO Report and would be happy to discuss further if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Ajit V. Pai

cc: The Honorable Bernie Sanders
    The Honorable Thomas Udall
    The Honorable Raúl M. Grijalva
    The Honorable Ruben Gallego
    The Honorable Peter Aguilar
    The Honorable Donald S. Beyer, Jr.
    The Honorable Tony Cárdenas
    The Honorable Yvette D. Clarke
    The Honorable William Lacy Clay
    The Honorable Keith Ellison
    The Honorable Jared Huffman
    The Honorable Daniel T. Kildee
    The Honorable Derek Kilmer
    The Honorable Ann Kirkpatrick
    The Honorable Alan S. Lowenthal
    The Honorable Ben Ray Lujan
    The Honorable Betty McCollum
    The Honorable Gwen Moore
    The Honorable Grace F. Napolitano
    The Honorable Frank Pallone, Jr.
    The Honorable Jared Polis
    The Honorable Lucille Roybal-Allard
    The Honorable Raul Ruiz, M.D.
    The Honorable Linda T. Sanchez
    The Honorable Mark Takano
    The Honorable Norma J. Torres
On April 19, 2019, the Government Accountability Office (GAO) publicly released a report entitled *Tribal Consultation: Additional Federal Actions Needed for Infrastructure Projects*, GAO 19-22 (Report). The Report examines factors that hinder effective Tribal consultation; identifies steps that twenty-one federal agencies, including the FCC, have taken to facilitate consultation; and recommends actions to improve Tribal consultation for many of the federal agencies. GAO notes that it included the FCC in the report because its “approach to Tribal consultation for telecommunications towers was identified as a best practice among reports [GAO] reviewed and Tribal and agency officials [GAO] interviewed.”

The Report recommends that the FCC Chairman document in the agency’s Tribal consultation policy how agency officials are to communicate with Tribes about how Tribal input from consultation was considered in agency decisions on infrastructure projects. In responding to the draft Report, the Chiefs of the FCC’s Wireless Telecommunications Bureau and Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau explained how the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement Regarding the Section 106 National Historic Preservation Act Review Process (Nationwide Programmatic Agreement) sets forth procedures for communications between Tribal governments and the FCC regarding individual telecommunication infrastructure projects.

I share your interest in ensuring that the FCC has procedures in place to communicate with Tribes about how their input was considered in a project, which is why the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement is so important. Given that each of the 573 federally recognized Tribes has its own particular communications preference with the FCC, the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement provides a flexible approach that contemplates a collaborative, back-and-forth process between the FCC, entities constructing infrastructure projects, and the Tribes. The process created by the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement strikes a balance between keeping Tribes informed and respecting Tribal communication preferences.

Nevertheless, there is always room for improving communications. Consistent with GAO’s recommendation, I have asked FCC staff to explore ways of documenting how FCC staff could communicate with Tribes about how Tribal input was used in FCC decisions on
telecommunications infrastructure projects. I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the GAO Report and would be happy to discuss further if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Ajit V. Pai

cc: The Honorable Bernie Sanders
    The Honorable Thomas Udall
    The Honorable Raúl M. Grijalva
    The Honorable Ruben Gallego
    The Honorable Peter Aguilar
    The Honorable Donald S. Beyer, Jr.
    The Honorable Tony Cárdenas
    The Honorable Yvette D. Clarke
    The Honorable William Lacy Clay
    The Honorable Keith Ellison
    The Honorable Jared Huffman
    The Honorable Daniel T. Kildee
    The Honorable Derek Kilmer
    The Honorable Ann Kirkpatrick
    The Honorable Alan S. Lowenthal
    The Honorable Ben Ray Lujan
    The Honorable Betty McCollum
    The Honorable Gwen Moore
    The Honorable Grace F. Napolitano
    The Honorable Frank Pallone, Jr.
    The Honorable Jared Polis
    The Honorable Lucille Roybal-Allard
    The Honorable Raul Ruiz, M.D.
    The Honorable Linda T. Sanchez
    The Honorable Mark Takano
    The Honorable Norma J. Torres
July 19, 2019

The Honorable Roger Wicker
Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation
United States Senate
512 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Chairman Wicker:

On April 19, 2019, the Government Accountability Office (GAO) publicly released a report entitled *Tribal Consultation: Additional Federal Actions Needed for Infrastructure Projects*, GAO 19-22 (Report). The Report examines factors that hinder effective Tribal consultation; identifies steps that twenty-one federal agencies, including the FCC, have taken to facilitate consultation; and recommends actions to improve Tribal consultation for many of the federal agencies. GAO notes that it included the FCC in the report because its “approach to Tribal consultation for telecommunications towers was identified as a best practice among reports [GAO] reviewed and Tribal and agency officials [GAO] interviewed.”

The Report recommends that the FCC Chairman document in the agency’s Tribal consultation policy how agency officials are to communicate with Tribes about how Tribal input from consultation was considered in agency decisions on infrastructure projects. In responding to the draft Report, the Chiefs of the FCC’s Wireless Telecommunications Bureau and Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau explained how the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement Regarding the Section 106 National Historic Preservation Act Review Process (Nationwide Programmatic Agreement) sets forth procedures for communications between Tribal governments and the FCC regarding individual telecommunication infrastructure projects.

I share your interest in ensuring that the FCC has procedures in place to communicate with Tribes about how their input was considered in a project, which is why the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement is so important. Given that each of the 573 federally recognized Tribes has its own particular communications preference with the FCC, the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement provides a flexible approach that contemplates a collaborative, back-and-forth process between the FCC, entities constructing infrastructure projects, and the Tribes. The process created by the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement strikes a balance between keeping Tribes informed and respecting Tribal communication preferences.

Nevertheless, there is always room for improving communications. Consistent with GAO’s recommendation, I have asked FCC staff to explore ways of documenting how FCC staff could communicate with Tribes about how Tribal input was used in FCC decisions on telecommunications infrastructure projects. I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the GAO Report and would be happy to discuss further if you have any questions.

Sincerely,
The Honorable Bernie Sanders
The Honorable Thomas Udall
The Honorable Raúl M. Grijalva
The Honorable Ruben Gallego
The Honorable Peter Aguilar
The Honorable Donald S. Beyer, Jr.
The Honorable Tony Cárdenas
The Honorable Yvette D. Clarke
The Honorable William Lacy Clay
The Honorable Keith Ellison
The Honorable Jared Huffman
The Honorable Daniel T. Kildee
The Honorable Derek Kilmer
The Honorable Ann Kirkpatrick
The Honorable Alan S. Lowenthal
The Honorable Ben Ray Luján
The Honorable Betty McCollum
The Honorable Gwen Moore
The Honorable Grace F. Napolitano
The Honorable Frank Pallone, Jr.
The Honorable Jared Polis
The Honorable Lucille Roybal-Allard
The Honorable Raul Ruiz, M.D.
The Honorable Linda T. Sanchez
The Honorable Mark Takano
The Honorable Norma J. Torres
July 19, 2019

The Honorable Maria Cantwell
Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation
United States Senate
425 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Ranking Member Cantwell:

On April 19, 2019, the Government Accountability Office (GAO) publicly released a report entitled *Tribal Consultation: Additional Federal Actions Needed for Infrastructure Projects*, GAO 19-22 (Report). The Report examines factors that hinder effective Tribal consultation; identifies steps that twenty-one federal agencies, including the FCC, have taken to facilitate consultation; and recommends actions to improve Tribal consultation for many of the federal agencies. GAO notes that it included the FCC in the report because its “approach to Tribal consultation for telecommunications towers was identified as a best practice among reports [GAO] reviewed and Tribal and agency officials [GAO] interviewed.”

The Report recommends that the FCC Chairman document in the agency’s Tribal consultation policy how agency officials are to communicate with Tribes about how Tribal input from consultation was considered in agency decisions on infrastructure projects. In responding to the draft Report, the Chiefs of the FCC’s Wireless Telecommunications Bureau and Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau explained how the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement Regarding the Section 106 National Historic Preservation Act Review Process (Nationwide Programmatic Agreement) sets forth procedures for communications between Tribal governments and the FCC regarding individual telecommunication infrastructure projects.

I share your interest in ensuring that the FCC has procedures in place to communicate with Tribes about how their input was considered in a project, which is why the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement is so important. Given that each of the 573 federally recognized Tribes has its own particular communications preference with the FCC, the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement provides a flexible approach that contemplates a collaborative, back-and-forth process between the FCC, entities constructing infrastructure projects, and the Tribes. The process created by the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement strikes a balance between keeping Tribes informed and respecting Tribal communication preferences.

Nevertheless, there is always room for improving communications. Consistent with GAO’s recommendation, I have asked FCC staff to explore ways of documenting how FCC staff could communicate with Tribes about how Tribal input was used in FCC decisions on telecommunications infrastructure projects. I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the GAO Report and would be happy to discuss further if you have any questions.

Sincerely,
cc:  The Honorable Bernie Sanders  
The Honorable Thomas Udall  
The Honorable Raúl M. Grijalva  
The Honorable Ruben Gallego  
The Honorable Peter Aguilar  
The Honorable Donald S. Beyer, Jr.  
The Honorable Tony Cárdenas  
The Honorable Yvette D. Clarke  
The Honorable William Lacy Clay  
The Honorable Keith Ellison  
The Honorable Jared Huffman  
The Honorable Daniel T. Kildee  
The Honorable Derek Kilmer  
The Honorable Ann Kirkpatrick  
The Honorable Alan S. Lowenthal  
The Honorable Ben Ray Lujan  
The Honorable Betty McCollum  
The Honorable Gwen Moore  
The Honorable Grace F. Napolitano  
The Honorable Frank Pallone, Jr.  
The Honorable Jared Polis  
The Honorable Lucille Roybal-Allard  
The Honorable Raul Ruiz, M.D.  
The Honorable Linda T. Sanchez  
The Honorable Mark Takano  
The Honorable Norma J. Torres
The Honorable Frank Pallone  
Committee on Energy and Commerce  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2125 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Chairman Pallone:

On April 19, 2019, the Government Accountability Office (GAO) publicly released a report entitled *Tribal Consultation: Additional Federal Actions Needed for Infrastructure Projects*, GAO 19-22 (Report). The Report examines factors that hinder effective Tribal consultation; identifies steps that twenty-one federal agencies, including the FCC, have taken to facilitate consultation; and recommends actions to improve Tribal consultation for many of the federal agencies. GAO notes that it included the FCC in the report because its “approach to Tribal consultation for telecommunications towers was identified as a best practice among reports [GAO] reviewed and Tribal and agency officials [GAO] interviewed.”

The Report recommends that the FCC Chairman document in the agency’s Tribal consultation policy how agency officials are to communicate with Tribes about how Tribal input from consultation was considered in agency decisions on infrastructure projects. In responding to the draft Report, the Chiefs of the FCC’s Wireless Telecommunications Bureau and Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau explained how the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement Regarding the Section 106 National Historic Preservation Act Review Process (Nationwide Programmatic Agreement) sets forth procedures for communications between Tribal governments and the FCC regarding individual telecommunication infrastructure projects.

I share your interest in ensuring that the FCC has procedures in place to communicate with Tribes about how their input was considered in a project, which is why the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement is so important. Given that each of the 573 federally recognized Tribes has its own particular communications preference with the FCC, the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement provides a flexible approach that contemplates a collaborative, back-and-forth process between the FCC, entities constructing infrastructure projects, and the Tribes. The process created by the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement strikes a balance between keeping Tribes informed and respecting Tribal communication preferences.

Nevertheless, there is always room for improving communications. Consistent with GAO’s recommendation, I have asked FCC staff to explore ways of documenting how FCC staff could communicate with Tribes about how Tribal input was used in FCC decisions on telecommunications infrastructure projects. I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the GAO Report and would be happy to discuss further if you have any questions.

Sincerely,
cc: The Honorable Bernie Sanders
    The Honorable Thomas Udall
    The Honorable Raúl M. Grijalva
    The Honorable Ruben Gallego
    The Honorable Peter Aguilar
    The Honorable Donald S. Beyer, Jr.
    The Honorable Tony Cárdenas
    The Honorable Yvette D. Clarke
    The Honorable William Lacy Clay
    The Honorable Keith Ellison
    The Honorable Jared Huffman
    The Honorable Daniel T. Kildee
    The Honorable Derek Kilmer
    The Honorable Ann Kirkpatrick
    The Honorable Alan S. Lowenthal
    The Honorable Ben Ray Lujan
    The Honorable Betty McCollum
    The Honorable Gwen Moore
    The Honorable Grace F. Napolitano
    The Honorable Frank Pallone, Jr.
    The Honorable Jared Polis
    The Honorable Lucille Roybal-Allard
    The Honorable Raul Ruiz, M.D.
    The Honorable Linda T. Sanchez
    The Honorable Mark Takano
    The Honorable Norma J. Torres
The Honorable Greg Walden  
Committee on Energy and Commerce  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2322A Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515  

Dear Ranking Member Walden:  

On April 19, 2019, the Government Accountability Office (GAO) publicly released a report entitled *Tribal Consultation: Additional Federal Actions Needed for Infrastructure Projects*, GAO 19-22 (Report). The Report examines factors that hinder effective Tribal consultation; identifies steps that twenty-one federal agencies, including the FCC, have taken to facilitate consultation; and recommends actions to improve Tribal consultation for many of the federal agencies. GAO notes that it included the FCC in the report because its “approach to Tribal consultation for telecommunications towers was identified as a best practice among reports [GAO] reviewed and Tribal and agency officials [GAO] interviewed.”  

The Report recommends that the FCC Chairman document in the agency’s Tribal consultation policy how agency officials are to communicate with Tribes about how Tribal input from consultation was considered in agency decisions on infrastructure projects. In responding to the draft Report, the Chiefs of the FCC’s Wireless Telecommunications Bureau and Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau explained how the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement Regarding the Section 106 National Historic Preservation Act Review Process (Nationwide Programmatic Agreement) sets forth procedures for communications between Tribal governments and the FCC regarding individual telecommunication infrastructure projects.  

I share your interest in ensuring that the FCC has procedures in place to communicate with Tribes about how their input was considered in a project, which is why the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement is so important. Given that each of the 573 federally recognized Tribes has its own particular communications preference with the FCC, the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement provides a flexible approach that contemplates a collaborative, back-and-forth process between the FCC, entities constructing infrastructure projects, and the Tribes. The process created by the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement strikes a balance between keeping Tribes informed and respecting Tribal communication preferences.  

Nevertheless, there is always room for improving communications. Consistent with GAO’s recommendation, I have asked FCC staff to explore ways of documenting how FCC staff could communicate with Tribes about how Tribal input was used in FCC decisions on telecommunications infrastructure projects. I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the GAO Report and would be happy to discuss further if you have any questions.  

Sincerely,
cc: The Honorable Bernie Sanders
The Honorable Thomas Udall
The Honorable Raúl M. Grijalva
The Honorable Ruben Gallego
The Honorable Peter Aguilar
The Honorable Donald S. Beyer, Jr.
The Honorable Tony Cárdenas
The Honorable Yvette D. Clarke
The Honorable William Lacy Clay
The Honorable Keith Ellison
The Honorable Jared Huffman
The Honorable Daniel T. Kildee
The Honorable Derek Kilmer
The Honorable Ann Kirkpatrick
The Honorable Alan S. Lowenthal
The Honorable Ben Ray Lujan
The Honorable Betty McCollum
The Honorable Gwen Moore
The Honorable Grace F. Napolitano
The Honorable Frank Pallone, Jr.
The Honorable Jared Polis
The Honorable Lucille Roybal-Allard
The Honorable Raul Ruiz, M.D.
The Honorable Linda T. Sanchez
The Honorable Mark Takano
The Honorable Norma J. Torres
The Honorable Ron Johnson
Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs
United States Senate
340 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Chairman Johnson:

On April 19, 2019, the Government Accountability Office (GAO) publicly released a report entitled *Tribal Consultation: Additional Federal Actions Needed for Infrastructure Projects*, GAO 19-22 (Report). The Report examines factors that hinder effective Tribal consultation; identifies steps that twenty-one federal agencies, including the FCC, have taken to facilitate consultation; and recommends actions to improve Tribal consultation for many of the federal agencies. GAO notes that it included the FCC in the report because its “approach to Tribal consultation for telecommunications towers was identified as a best practice among reports [GAO] reviewed and Tribal and agency officials [GAO] interviewed.”

The Report recommends that the FCC Chairman document in the agency’s Tribal consultation policy how agency officials are to communicate with Tribes about how Tribal input from consultation was considered in agency decisions on infrastructure projects. In responding to the draft Report, the Chiefs of the FCC’s Wireless Telecommunications Bureau and Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau explained how the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement Regarding the Section 106 National Historic Preservation Act Review Process (Nationwide Programmatic Agreement) sets forth procedures for communications between Tribal governments and the FCC regarding individual telecommunications infrastructure projects.

I share your interest in ensuring that the FCC has procedures in place to communicate with Tribes about how their input was considered in a project, which is why the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement is so important. Given that each of the 573 federally recognized Tribes has its own particular communications preference with the FCC, the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement provides a flexible approach that contemplates a collaborative, back-and-forth process between the FCC, entities constructing infrastructure projects, and the Tribes. The process created by the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement strikes a balance between keeping Tribes informed and respecting Tribal communication preferences.

Nevertheless, there is always room for improving communications. Consistent with GAO’s recommendation, I have asked FCC staff to explore ways of documenting how FCC staff could communicate with Tribes about how Tribal input was used in FCC decisions on telecommunications infrastructure projects. I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the GAO Report and would be happy to discuss further if you have any questions.

Sincerely,
Ajit V. Pai

cc: The Honorable Bernie Sanders
The Honorable Thomas Udall
The Honorable Raúl M. Grijalva
The Honorable Ruben Gallego
The Honorable Peter Aguilar
The Honorable Donald S. Beyer, Jr.
The Honorable Tony Cárdenas
The Honorable Yvette D. Clarke
The Honorable William Lacy Clay
The Honorable Keith Ellison
The Honorable Jared Huffman
The Honorable Daniel T. Kildee
The Honorable Derek Kilmer
The Honorable Ann Kirkpatrick
The Honorable Alan S. Lowenthal
The Honorable Ben Ray Lujan
The Honorable Betty McCollum
The Honorable Gwen Moore
The Honorable Grace F. Napolitano
The Honorable Frank Pallone, Jr.
The Honorable Jared Polis
The Honorable Lucille Roybal-Allard
The Honorable Raul Ruiz, M.D.
The Honorable Linda T. Sanchez
The Honorable Mark Takano
The Honorable Norma J. Torres
The Honorable Gary Peters
Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs
United States Senate
442 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Ranking Member Peters:

On April 19, 2019, the Government Accountability Office (GAO) publicly released a report entitled *Tribal Consultation: Additional Federal Actions Needed for Infrastructure Projects*, GAO 19-22 (Report). The Report examines factors that hinder effective Tribal consultation; identifies steps that twenty-one federal agencies, including the FCC, have taken to facilitate consultation; and recommends actions to improve Tribal consultation for many of the federal agencies. GAO notes that it included the FCC in the report because its “approach to Tribal consultation for telecommunications towers was identified as a best practice among reports [GAO] reviewed and Tribal and agency officials [GAO] interviewed.”

The Report recommends that the FCC Chairman document the agency’s Tribal consultation policy how agency officials are to communicate with Tribes about how Tribal input from consultation was considered in agency decisions on infrastructure projects. In responding to the draft Report, the Chiefs of the FCC’s Wireless Telecommunications Bureau and Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau explained how the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement Regarding the Section 106 National Historic Preservation Act Review Process (Nationwide Programmatic Agreement) sets forth procedures for communications between Tribal governments and the FCC regarding individual telecommunication infrastructure projects.

I share your interest in ensuring that the FCC has procedures in place to communicate with Tribes about how their input was considered in a project, which is why the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement is so important. Given that each of the 573 federally recognized Tribes has its own particular communications preference with the FCC, the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement provides a flexible approach that contemplates a collaborative, back-and-forth process between the FCC, entities constructing infrastructure projects, and the Tribes. The process created by the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement strikes a balance between keeping Tribes informed and respecting Tribal communication preferences.

Nevertheless, there is always room for improving communications. Consistent with GAO’s recommendation, I have asked FCC staff to explore ways of documenting how FCC staff could communicate with Tribes about how Tribal input was used in FCC decisions on telecommunications infrastructure projects. I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the GAO Report and would be happy to discuss further if you have any questions.

Sincerely,
cc: The Honorable Bernie Sanders
The Honorable Thomas Udall
The Honorable Raúl M. Grijalva
The Honorable Ruben Gallego
The Honorable Peter Aguilar
The Honorable Donald S. Beyer, Jr.
The Honorable Tony Cárdenas
The Honorable Yvette D. Clarke
The Honorable William Lacy Clay
The Honorable Keith Ellison
The Honorable Jared Huffman
The Honorable Daniel T. Kildee
The Honorable Derek Kilmer
The Honorable Ann Kirkpatrick
The Honorable Alan S. Lowenthal
The Honorable Ben Ray Lujan
The Honorable Betty McCollum
The Honorable Gwen Moore
The Honorable Grace F. Napolitano
The Honorable Frank Pallone, Jr.
The Honorable Jared Polis
The Honorable Lucille Roybal-Allard
The Honorable Raul Ruiz, M.D.
The Honorable Linda T. Sanchez
The Honorable Mark Takano
The Honorable Norma J. Torres
The Honorable Elijah E. Cummings
Committee on Oversight and Government Reform
U.S. House of Representatives
2157 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Chairman Cummings:

On April 19, 2019, the Government Accountability Office (GAO) publicly released a report entitled *Tribal Consultation: Additional Federal Actions Needed for Infrastructure Projects*, GAO 19-22 (Report). The Report examines factors that hinder effective Tribal consultation; identifies steps that twenty-one federal agencies, including the FCC, have taken to facilitate consultation; and recommends actions to improve Tribal consultation for many of the federal agencies. GAO notes that it included the FCC in the report because its “approach to Tribal consultation for telecommunications towers was identified as a best practice among reports [GAO] reviewed and Tribal and agency officials [GAO] interviewed.”

The Report recommends that the FCC Chairman document in the agency’s Tribal consultation policy how agency officials are to communicate with Tribes about how Tribal input from consultation was considered in agency decisions on infrastructure projects. In responding to the draft Report, the Chiefs of the FCC’s Wireless Telecommunications Bureau and Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau explained how the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement Regarding the Section 106 National Historic Preservation Act Review Process (Nationwide Programmatic Agreement) sets forth procedures for communications between Tribal governments and the FCC regarding individual telecommunications infrastructure projects.

I share your interest in ensuring that the FCC has procedures in place to communicate with Tribes about how their input was considered in a project, which is why the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement is so important. Given that each of the 573 federally recognized Tribes has its own particular communications preference with the FCC, the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement provides a flexible approach that contemplates a collaborative, back-and-forth process between the FCC, entities constructing infrastructure projects, and the Tribes. The process created by the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement strikes a balance between keeping Tribes informed and respecting Tribal communication preferences.

Nevertheless, there is always room for improving communications. Consistent with GAO’s recommendation, I have asked FCC staff to explore ways of documenting how FCC staff could communicate with Tribes about how Tribal input was used in FCC decisions on telecommunications infrastructure projects. I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the GAO Report and would be happy to discuss further if you have any questions.

Sincerely,
The Honorable Elijah E. Cummings

cc: The Honorable Bernie Sanders
    The Honorable Thomas Udall
    The Honorable Raúl M. Grijalva
    The Honorable Ruben Gallego
    The Honorable Peter Aguilar
    The Honorable Donald S. Beyer, Jr.
    The Honorable Tony Cárdenas
    The Honorable Yvette D. Clarke
    The Honorable William Lacy Clay
    The Honorable Keith Ellison
    The Honorable Jared Huffman
    The Honorable Daniel T. Kildee
    The Honorable Derek Kilmer
    The Honorable Ann Kirkpatrick
    The Honorable Alan S. Lowenthal
    The Honorable Ben Ray Lujan
    The Honorable Betty McCollum
    The Honorable Gwen Moore
    The Honorable Grace F. Napolitano
    The Honorable Frank Pallone, Jr.
    The Honorable Jared Polis
    The Honorable Lucille Roybal-Allard
    The Honorable Raul Ruiz, M.D.
    The Honorable Linda T. Sanchez
    The Honorable Mark Takano
    The Honorable Norma J. Torres
Dear Ranking Member Jordan:

On April 19, 2019, the Government Accountability Office (GAO) publicly released a report entitled *Tribal Consultation: Additional Federal Actions Needed for Infrastructure Projects*, GAO 19-22 (Report). The Report examines factors that hinder effective Tribal consultation; identifies steps that twenty-one federal agencies, including the FCC, have taken to facilitate consultation; and recommends actions to improve Tribal consultation for many of the federal agencies. GAO notes that it included the FCC in the report because its “approach to Tribal consultation for telecommunications towers was identified as a best practice among reports [GAO] reviewed and Tribal and agency officials [GAO] interviewed.”

The Report recommends that the FCC Chairman document in the agency’s Tribal consultation policy how agency officials are to communicate with Tribes about how Tribal input from consultation was considered in agency decisions on infrastructure projects. In responding to the draft Report, the Chiefs of the FCC’s Wireless Telecommunications Bureau and Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau explained how the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement Regarding the Section 106 National Historic Preservation Act Review Process (Nationwide Programmatic Agreement) sets forth procedures for communications between Tribal governments and the FCC regarding individual telecommunication infrastructure projects.

I share your interest in ensuring that the FCC has procedures in place to communicate with Tribes about how their input was considered in a project, which is why the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement is so important. Given that each of the 573 federally recognized Tribes has its own particular communications preference with the FCC, the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement provides a flexible approach that contemplates a collaborative, back-and-forth process between the FCC, entities constructing infrastructure projects, and the Tribes. The process created by the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement strikes a balance between keeping Tribes informed and respecting Tribal communication preferences.

Nevertheless, there is always room for improving communications. Consistent with GAO’s recommendation, I have asked FCC staff to explore ways of documenting how FCC staff could communicate with Tribes about how Tribal input was used in FCC decisions on telecommunications infrastructure projects. I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the GAO Report and would be happy to discuss further if you have any questions.
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