

OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON

December 31, 2019

The Honorable Cindy Axne U.S. House of Representatives 330 Cannon House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Congresswoman Axne:

Thank you for your letter concerning the Commission's ongoing work in the 2.5 GHz band, also known as the Educational Broadband Service (EBS). The 2.5 GHz band offers great potential for supporting the deployment of wireless broadband services across the country, including in unserved and underserved areas.

Unfortunately, that potential has not been recognized in the nearly six-decade experience with the band. The band—a public resource—is especially unused west of the Mississippi River. Moreover, what spectrum is in use is typically leased to commercial carriers by "nonprofit" middlemen, including some that have found a lucrative revenue stream to use for their own political advocacy, not the education of America's children. Indeed, Commissioner Carr has discovered the existing educational requirements are too often honored in the breach—that incumbent licensees are "liquidating the spectrum and spending cash on pet projects. Political campaigns. Buying a non-GMO farm. Even pocketing millions of this tax-free money for themselves." The Commission has no interest in allowing that state of affairs to continue, nor in extending this model even further by granting exclusive use of this band to some of the same "educational" entities that have not used it, or not used it for its intended use, to date.

This public resource should be devoted to the public—not to those who have indulged arbitrage for too many years. The best way to deliver mobile broadband services using the 2.5 GHz band, and thus to help close the digital divide across rural America, is to make it directly available through transparent, well-established FCC mechanisms. This is particularly appropriate given significant demand for mid-band spectrum (such as this band) for 5G networks, due in part to its favorable characteristics for enabling both wireless coverage and data capacity.

Page 2—The Honorable Cindy Axne

Sincerely, Git V. Pai



OFFICE OF

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON

December 31, 2019

The Honorable Grace Meng U.S. House of Representatives 1317 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Congresswoman Meng:

Thank you for your letter concerning the Commission's ongoing work in the 2.5 GHz band, also known as the Educational Broadband Service (EBS). The 2.5 GHz band offers great potential for supporting the deployment of wireless broadband services across the country, including in unserved and underserved areas.

Unfortunately, that potential has not been recognized in the nearly six-decade experience with the band. The band—a public resource—is especially unused west of the Mississippi River. Moreover, what spectrum is in use is typically leased to commercial carriers by "nonprofit" middlemen, including some that have found a lucrative revenue stream to use for their own political advocacy, not the education of America's children. Indeed, Commissioner Carr has discovered the existing educational requirements are too often honored in the breach—that incumbent licensees are "liquidating the spectrum and spending cash on pet projects. Political campaigns. Buying a non-GMO farm. Even pocketing millions of this tax-free money for themselves." The Commission has no interest in allowing that state of affairs to continue, nor in extending this model even further by granting exclusive use of this band to some of the same "educational" entities that have not used it, or not used it for its intended use, to date.

This public resource should be devoted to the public—not to those who have indulged arbitrage for too many years. The best way to deliver mobile broadband services using the 2.5 GHz band, and thus to help close the digital divide across rural America, is to make it directly available through transparent, well-established FCC mechanisms. This is particularly appropriate given significant demand for mid-band spectrum (such as this band) for 5G networks, due in part to its favorable characteristics for enabling both wireless coverage and data capacity.

Page 2—The Honorable Grace Meng

Sincerely, Gr V. Pai



OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON

December 31, 2019

The Honorable James E. Clyburn U.S. House of Representatives 242 Cannon House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Congressman Clyburn:

Thank you for your letter concerning the Commission's ongoing work in the 2.5 GHz band, also known as the Educational Broadband Service (EBS). The 2.5 GHz band offers great potential for supporting the deployment of wireless broadband services across the country, including in unserved and underserved areas.

Unfortunately, that potential has not been recognized in the nearly six-decade experience with the band. The band—a public resource—is especially unused west of the Mississippi River. Moreover, what spectrum is in use is typically leased to commercial carriers by "nonprofit" middlemen, including some that have found a lucrative revenue stream to use for their own political advocacy, not the education of America's children. Indeed, Commissioner Carr has discovered the existing educational requirements are too often honored in the breach—that incumbent licensees are "liquidating the spectrum and spending cash on pet projects. Political campaigns. Buying a non-GMO farm. Even pocketing millions of this tax-free money for themselves." The Commission has no interest in allowing that state of affairs to continue, nor in extending this model even further by granting exclusive use of this band to some of the same "educational" entities that have not used it, or not used it for its intended use, to date.

This public resource should be devoted to the public—not to those who have indulged arbitrage for too many years. The best way to deliver mobile broadband services using the 2.5 GHz band, and thus to help close the digital divide across rural America, is to make it directly available through transparent, well-established FCC mechanisms. This is particularly appropriate given significant demand for mid-band spectrum (such as this band) for 5G networks, due in part to its favorable characteristics for enabling both wireless coverage and data capacity.

Page 2—The Honorable James E. Clyburn

Sincerely, Gr. V. Jan Ajit V. Pai



OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON

December 31, 2019

The Honorable Ro Khanna U.S. House of Representatives 221 Cannon House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Congressman Khanna:

Thank you for your letter concerning the Commission's ongoing work in the 2.5 GHz band, also known as the Educational Broadband Service (EBS). The 2.5 GHz band offers great potential for supporting the deployment of wireless broadband services across the country, including in unserved and underserved areas.

Unfortunately, that potential has not been recognized in the nearly six-decade experience with the band. The band—a public resource—is especially unused west of the Mississippi River. Moreover, what spectrum is in use is typically leased to commercial carriers by "nonprofit" middlemen, including some that have found a lucrative revenue stream to use for their own political advocacy, not the education of America's children. Indeed, Commissioner Carr has discovered the existing educational requirements are too often honored in the breach—that incumbent licensees are "liquidating the spectrum and spending cash on pet projects. Political campaigns. Buying a non-GMO farm. Even pocketing millions of this tax-free money for themselves." The Commission has no interest in allowing that state of affairs to continue, nor in extending this model even further by granting exclusive use of this band to some of the same "educational" entities that have not used it, or not used it for its intended use, to date.

This public resource should be devoted to the public—not to those who have indulged arbitrage for too many years. The best way to deliver mobile broadband services using the 2.5 GHz band, and thus to help close the digital divide across rural America, is to make it directly available through transparent, well-established FCC mechanisms. This is particularly appropriate given significant demand for mid-band spectrum (such as this band) for 5G networks, due in part to its favorable characteristics for enabling both wireless coverage and data capacity.

Page 2----The Honorable Ro Khanna

Sincerely, Git V. Pai

U. COMMLANCE

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN

December 31, 2019

The Honorable TJ Cox U.S. House of Representatives 1728 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Congressman Cox:

Thank you for your letter concerning the Commission's ongoing work in the 2.5 GHz band, also known as the Educational Broadband Service (EBS). The 2.5 GHz band offers great potential for supporting the deployment of wireless broadband services across the country, including in unserved and underserved areas.

Unfortunately, that potential has not been recognized in the nearly six-decade experience with the band. The band—a public resource—is especially unused west of the Mississippi River. Moreover, what spectrum is in use is typically leased to commercial carriers by "nonprofit" middlemen, including some that have found a lucrative revenue stream to use for their own political advocacy, not the education of America's children. Indeed, Commissioner Carr has discovered the existing educational requirements are too often honored in the breach—that incumbent licensees are "liquidating the spectrum and spending cash on pet projects. Political campaigns. Buying a non-GMO farm. Even pocketing millions of this tax-free money for themselves." The Commission has no interest in allowing that state of affairs to continue, nor in extending this model even further by granting exclusive use of this band to some of the same "educational" entities that have not used it, or not used it for its intended use, to date.

This public resource should be devoted to the public—not to those who have indulged arbitrage for too many years. The best way to deliver mobile broadband services using the 2.5 GHz band, and thus to help close the digital divide across rural America, is to make it directly available through transparent, well-established FCC mechanisms. This is particularly appropriate given significant demand for mid-band spectrum (such as this band) for 5G networks, due in part to its favorable characteristics for enabling both wireless coverage and data capacity.

Page 2—The Honorable TJ Cox

Thank you once again for your interest. Please let me know if I can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,

Ajit V. Pai